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Abstract: More than 90% of patients with multiple myeloma (MM) have osteolytic bone lesions which increase the risk of skeletal-related events (SRE). The cytokine milieu in the bone marrow microenvironment (BMME) of MM plays a key role in myeloma bone disease by impairing the balance between osteoclastogenesis and osteoblastogenesis. This is orchestrated by the malignant plasma cell (MPC) with the ultimate outcome of MPC proliferation and survival at the expense of excess osteoclast activation resulting in osteolytic bone lesions. Prevention of SRE is currently accomplished by the inhibition of osteoclasts. Bisphosphonates (BPs) are pyrophosphate analogues that cause apoptosis of osteoclasts and have been proven to prevent and delay SRE. Denosumab, a fully humanized monoclonal antibody that binds and inhibits receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB ligand (RANKL), a key molecule in the BMME crucial for osteoclastogenesis, is also approved for the prevention of SRE in MM. The addition of BPs and denosumab to standard MM treatment affords a survival benefit for patients with MM. Specifically, the addition of denosumab to standard MM treatments results in superior PFS compared to BPs, highlighting the key role of the RANK-L pathway in MM. This review focuses on the pathophysiology of myeloma bone disease as well as on the importance of targeting the RANK-L pathway for the treatment of MM and prevention of SRE.
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Introduction

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a plasma cell neoplasm that accounts for 13% of all hematologic cancers. With an age-adjusted incidence of nearly 6 per 100,000 persons per year, MM is the second most common hematological malignancy.1 MM is characterized by malignant proliferation of monoclonal plasma cells in the bone marrow with resultant elevation in monoclonal paraprotein, hypercalcemia, renal dysfunction, anemia and osteolytic lesions.2,3 Diffuse osteopenia, pathologic fractures, focal lytic lesions and bone pain are common clinical manifestations in patients with MM. Using Positron Emission Tomography and Computed Tomography (PET-CT) and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), bone involvement can be found in 91 and 95% of MM patients respectively.4 These osteolytic bone lesions result in an increased risk of skeletal-related events (SRE). SRE are defined as pathological fractures, radiation or surgery to bone, spinal cord compression and hypercalcemia that often lead to diminished quality of life and increased morbidity and mortality.5,6 Approximately 60% of MM patients will develop a fracture during their disease course.7
Via interactions with the bone marrow microenvironment (BMME), malignant plasma cells (MPC) are able to orchestrate the production of osteoclast-activating factors and osteoblast-inhibitory factors which leads to asynchronous bone turnover, net bone loss and osteolytic lesions. MPC and stromal cells secrete factors such as RANKL, macrophage inflammatory protein 1 alpha (MIP-1α), interleukin 3 (IL-3), and interleukin 6 (IL-6), which increase osteoclast activity and additional factors such as dickkopf-1 (Dkk-1) and secreted frizzled-related protein 2 (sFRP-2) which inhibit osteoblast function. Signaling between MPC and osteoclasts leads to increased RANKL and sclerostin secretion. The former recruits and activates osteocytes while the later inhibits osteoblast function. RANKL in particular is a key mediator of osteoclast formation, activation and survival. In MM, production of RANKL is significantly increased by osteocytes, bone marrow stromal cells and MPC resulting in increased osteoclast activity and increased bone resorption. MPC ability to upregulate RANKL secretion in the BMME results in a vicious cycle of osteoclast activation, increased bone resorption and MPC proliferation and survival.

Bisphosphonates (BPs) were the first class of drugs approved for treating MM bone disease. By causing osteoclast apoptosis, BPs such as zoledronic acid (ZA) and pamidronate led to a decrease and a delay in the development of SRE in MM. Furthermore, BPs have been found to reduce mortality and improve overall survival in MM which suggests that BPs have an anti-MM effect due to their disruption of the feedback loop between MPC and osteoclasts. Denosumab, a fully human monoclonal antibody that binds RANKL and inhibits the RANK pathway has proven to be non-inferior to ZA in delaying SRE in a phase III trial and resulted in superior progression free survival. This review focuses on the role of the RANKL pathway in the prevention of SRE and in the treatment of MM.

Pathophysiology Of Myeloma Bone Disease: The Key Role Of RANKL In The Myeloma Bone Marrow Microenvironment

Under normal physiologic conditions, osteoblasts and osteoclasts work in unison to remodel bone by bone formation and bone resorption, respectively. Immature osteoblasts secrete cytokines such as IL-6 to upregulate osteoclasts and mature osteoblasts secrete osteoprotegerin (OPG) to inhibit the activation of osteoclasts. As new bone is formed, osteoblasts become trapped and differentiate into osteocytes which contribute factors to both osteoclastogenesis and osteoblastogenesis. MPC cause the dysregulation and uncoupling of this bone remodeling process by interacting with the BMME to induce osteoclast-activating factors to promote osteoclastogenesis while simultaneously secreting osteoblast inhibitory factors to inhibit osteoblastogenesis (Figure 1). In the initial stages of the disease, both osteoblasts and osteoclasts are recruited to initiate bone resorption. MPC produce IL-1 and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) which stimulate osteoblast progenitor cells to differentiate into osteoblasts. Osteoblasts in turn secrete IL-6 which acts as MPC growth factor and promoter of osteoclastogenesis. Once myeloma bone disease (MBD) is established, osteoblasts decrease in number. MPC and bone marrow stromal cells secrete Dkk-1 while osteocytes secrete sclerostin, both of which inhibit the canonical Wnt pathway and result in a decrease in osteoblastogenesis. Dkk-1 additionally inhibits mesenchymal stromal cells from differentiating into osteoblasts which enables the maximum amount of IL-6 to be secreted thus promoting MPC growth. sFRP-2, a Wnt antagonist secreted by MPC, further inhibits osteoblastogenesis.

The balance between osteoblasts and osteoclasts is maintained by the ratio of OPG:RANKL. The interaction between RANK and RANKL activate downstream nuclear factor kappa B (NF-kB) which in turn activates osteoclast precursors and causes their differentiation to mature osteoclasts and decreases osteoclast apoptosis. OPG is a soluble decoy receptor for RANKL that inhibits the RANK-RANKL interaction via molecular mimicry in order to increase osteoblast activity and promote bone formation. MPC interact with the BMME and activate molecular cascades that ultimately result in increased RANKL and decreased OPG expression. MPC secrete soluble RANKL as well as PTHrP, IL-1, IL-6, IL-11 and other cytokines which in turn stimulate RANKL expression by osteoblasts and bone marrow stromal cells. In addition, MPC express syndecan-1 which binds to OPG resulting in subsequent endocytosis and degradation of OPG by MPC.

Greater serum RANKL/OPG ratios are associated with shorter survival. At 60 months, the survival probability for patients with soluble RANKL/OPG <1 was 89% and for patients with a ratio of 1–3 was 32%. The level of soluble RANKL also correlated with the extent of bone disease as
examined by radiographic imaging. MPC are able to tip the balance of RANKL/OPG in favor of greater levels of RANKL with subsequent suppression of osteoblastogenesis, hyperactivation of osteoclasts and the propagation of osteolytic lesions throughout the entire bone marrow.

Anti-Myeloma Therapies And Their Effect On The RANKL Pathway And Bone Remodeling

Given the key role of the RANKL pathway and osteoclastogenesis in MPC survival, anti-myeloma therapies that simultaneously target MPC and osteoclast differentiation have the potential to cause deep clinical responses as well as prevent SRE.

Proteasome inhibitors (PIs) such as bortezomib, carfilzomib and ixazomib have been reported to affect bone remodeling via their ability to modulate the RANKL/RANKL pathway. One of the main cytotoxic effects of proteasome inhibitors is attributed to inhibition of NF-kB activity. Given that binding of RANKL to RANK on the surface of osteoclast precursors activates NF-kB which promotes osteoclast maturation and bone resorption, proteasome-dependent inhibition of NF-kB by PIs lead to a reduction in RANKL-mediated osteoclast differentiation. In patients with MM, bortezomib was associated with an increase in the levels of biomarkers associated with bone formation and decreased serum levels of RANKL and markers of bone resorption. Carfilzomib has been shown to directly inhibit osteoclast formation and bone resorption in vitro, while enhancing osteogenic differentiation and matrix mineralization. Carfilzomib increased trabecular bone volume, decreased bone resorption and enhanced bone formation in mouse models of MM. Ixazomib has demonstrated the ability to inhibit in vitro osteoclastogenesis and resorption and these effects on osteoclasts were partially mediated by inhibition of RANKL-induced NF-kB signaling. Ixazomib also stimulates osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal cells in vitro and promotes osteoblast function and matrix mineralization.

Immunomodulatory drugs such as thalidomide, lenalidomide and pomalidomide possess anti-myeloma properties including immune modulation, anti-angiogenic, anti-inflammatory and anti-proliferative effects. Lenalidomide has been shown to inhibit osteoclast formation and...
activation through inhibition of key factors during osteoclastogenesis in vitro. The combination of lenalidomide and bortezomib blocked osteoclast-derived secretion of growth and survival factors and RANKL secretion from bone marrow stromal cells. Furthermore, lenalidomide treatment decreased serum bone-remodeling markers in patients with relapsed and refractory MM.\(^47\) In patients with relapsed and refractory MM, intermediate doses of thalidomide (200mg/day) with dexamethasone led to significant reduction of the soluble RANKL/OPG ratio and markers of bone remodeling.\(^48\) Pomalidomide has been shown to inhibit osteoclastogenesis by downregulating transcription factor PU.1 and by significantly blunting RANKL upregulation normalizing the RANKL/OPG ratio in human osteoprogenitor cells when co-cultured with MM cells.\(^49,50\)

Monoclonal antibodies against CD 38 are the newest group of drugs that have revolutionized anti-MM therapy. Daratumumab, an anti-CD38 monoclonal antibody, has shown in-vitro inhibition of osteoclastogenesis and bone resorption activity in bone marrow cells of MM patients by blocking the interaction of CD 38 expressing monocytes and early osteoclast progenitors.\(^51\) Furthermore, the inhibition of T-cell proliferation caused by osteoclasts is partially overcome by another anti-CD38 monoclonal antibody, isatuximab, via inhibition of multiple immune checkpoint molecules expressed on osteoclasts which in turn decrease the immune-evasive properties of MPC.\(^52\)

In a study of 51 MM patients, patients who received high dose chemotherapy followed by autologous stem cell transplant (ASCT) had a significant reduction of sRANKL/OPG ratio, with a concomitant decrease in markers of bone resorption starting the second month post-ASCT. Bone formation markers started to increase after the 9th month post-ASCT while the increase of OPG preceded this. Thus, it is postulated that high dose chemotherapy followed by ASCT normalizes the abnormal bone resorption in MM patients through the decrease of the RANKL/OPG ratio.\(^53\)

Histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACs) inhibit HDAC enzymes, curtailing the aberrant HDAC enzyme activity in MPC.\(^54\) Vorinostat has been shown to inhibit RANKL-induced osteoclast formation by suppressing the induction of the osteoclastogenic transcription factor c-Fos.\(^55\) Panobinostat has also been shown to inhibit RANKL-mediated osteoclast formation in vitro and in a mouse model of MM.\(^56\)

By targeting the RANKL pathway, the most active myeloma therapies not only cause apoptosis of the MPC but also inhibit osteoclastogenesis and other key signaling events that underlie SRE.

**Bisphosphonates For The Prevention Of Skeletal-Related Events And Treatment Of Multiple Myeloma**

BPs are pyrophosphate analogues characterized by two phosphate groups linked to a P-C-P core.\(^57\) They inhibit osteoclast activity by inhibiting farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase and accumulate in the mineral phase of the bone.\(^58\)

There are two groups of BPs, one that contains nitrogen and one that does not. Ibandronate, pamidronate, and ZA contain nitrogen; etidronate and clodronate do not. Nitrogen-containing BPs inhibit farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase, which is essential for osteoclast survival and activity while non-nitrogen containing BPs are metabolized to cytotoxic adenosine triphosphate analogues that induce osteoclast apoptosis.\(^59\) BPs have also been shown to stimulate the innate anti-cancer immune response by upregulating γδT-cells.\(^60\) A direct anti-MPC activity has also been described for N-BPs in vitro.\(^61\) Nitrogen-containing BPs have also been shown to inhibit RANKL-induced osteoclast formation in vitro.\(^62\) Nitrogen-containing BPs have potencies that are 100 to 10,000 times higher than BPs without nitrogen.\(^63\) Multiple clinical trials have shown the efficacy of BPs in preventing SRE in patients with MM (Table 1).

A randomized double-blind study of patients with newly diagnosed MM which compared the effect of daily etidronate vs placebo on the osteolysis of MM found that etidronate therapy did not have a clinically significant effect in MM.\(^64\) The results of three randomized trials comparing oral clodronate to placebo showed variable clinical results. A Finnish trial with 350 MM patients found that the proportion of patients with progression of osteolytic bone lesions was twice as high in the placebo group than in the clodronate group respectively (24 vs 12%, \(p=0.026\)).\(^65\) Another Finnish trial reported no significant differences in the reduction of vertebral or nonvertebral fractures.\(^66\) The Medical Research Council reported a significant reduction in nonvertebral fractures (6.8% vs 13.2%, \(p=0.04\)) and vertebral fractures (38% vs 55%, \(p=0.01\)) in the clodronate arm compared to the placebo arm respectively.\(^67\)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Median Time to first SRE (m) or % SRE</th>
<th>HR (m)</th>
<th>OS (m)</th>
<th>HR (m)</th>
<th>PFS (m)</th>
<th>HR (m)</th>
<th>ONJ (%)</th>
<th>Renal Toxicity (%)</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raje et al&lt;sup&gt;25&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Denosumab</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>22.83m</td>
<td>0.98 (0.85–1.14)</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>0.90 (0.70–1.16)</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>(0.68–0.99)</td>
<td>p=0.03</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>10 Denosumab non-inferior to ZA in reducing SRE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zoledronic Acid</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>23.98m</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry et al&lt;sup&gt;39&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Denosumab</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.03 (0.68–1.57)</td>
<td>2.26 (1.13–4.50)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Denosumab non-inferior to ZA in reducing SRE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zoledronic Acid</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berenson et al&lt;sup&gt;70&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Pamidronate</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>p=0.01</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>p=0.08</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>P superior to placebo in reducing SRE after 9 cycles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berenson et al&lt;sup&gt;71&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Pamidronate</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>p=0.01</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>p=0.37</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>P superior to placebo in reducing SRE after 21 cycles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gimsing et al&lt;sup&gt;72&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Pamidronate 30mg</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>10.2m</td>
<td>0.95 (0.76–1.18)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>p=0.54</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>p=0.51</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>P 30mg is recommended dose for prevention of SRE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pamidronate 90mg</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>9.2m</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menssen et al&lt;sup&gt;48&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Ibandronate</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>15.6m</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>I does not reduce SRE compared to placebo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>16.5m</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belch et al&lt;sup&gt;44&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Etidronate</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>p=0.08</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>E does not reduce SRE compared to placebo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanfilippo et al&lt;sup&gt;76&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Zoledronic Acid</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.75 (0.60–0.94)</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>0.78 (0.67–0.92)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ZA results in superior OS and reduces SRE compared to P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pamidronate</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan et al&lt;sup&gt;22&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Zoledronic Acid</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>p=0.00</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.84 (0.74–0.96)</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>(0.80–0.98)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12 ZA results in superior OS, PFS and reduced SRE compared to clodronate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clodronate</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** SRE, skeletal-related event; HR, hazard ratio; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression free survival; ONJ, osteonecrosis of the jaw; ZA, zoledronic acid; P, pamidronate; I, ibandronate; E, etidronate; NS, not significant.
A phase III double-blind trial comparing ibandronate vs placebo in addition to anti-myeloma therapy found that ibandronate did not show significant benefits in reducing SRE in MM patients with lytic bone disease. Oral pamidronate (300mg/d) was evaluated in a double-blind randomized trial in patients with newly diagnosed MM. After a median duration of 18 months, no significant reduction was apparent in SRE, hypercalcemic episodes or survival between treatment arms. A large, randomized, double-blind study conducted to determine the effect of monthly 90mg infusions of pamidronate in patients with MM revealed that after nine cycles of therapy, 24% of pamidronate-treated patients developed a SRE compared to 41% of patients who received placebo. Patients who received pamidronate also had a significant decrease in bone pain, no deterioration in performance status and no increase in analgesic use at the end of 9 months. The proportion of patients developing an SRE remained significantly lower in the pamidronate group after an additional 12 cycles of treatment. Overall survival was increased in the subset of patients with MM receiving second-line antimyeloma therapy (21 months vs 14 months; p=0.041) compared with placebo. A double-blind randomized phase III trial comparing monthly 90mg vs 30mg of IV pamidronate found that the median time to a SRE was 9.2 months in the 90 mg group and 10.2 months in the 30 mg group (p=0.63). Given that a higher proportion of patients in the pamidronate 90 mg group developed osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ) and renal toxicity compared to patients in the 30 mg group, monthly infusion of pamidronate 30 mg was the recommended dose for prevention of bone disease in patients with MM.

A phase III trial evaluated two doses of ZA (4 and 8mg) compared with pamidronate (90mg) infused every 3 to 4 weeks for the treatment of patients with MM or breast cancer with metastatic bone disease. The results of the study showed that the proportion of patients developing SRE did not differ between the ZA (4mg) and pamidronate-treated patients. After 25 months of follow-up, the overall proportion of patients developing an SRE remained similar between the ZA (4mg) and pamidronate group. However, an additional multiple-events analysis showed that patients treated with ZA had a 16% reduction in the risk of developing an SRE compared with patients who received pamidronate. In a study which evaluated ZA vs pamidronate in 1,018 United States Veterans with newly diagnosed MM, patients receiving ZA had a 25% reduction in SRE as well as a 22% reduction in risk of death compared to pamidronate. A randomized phase III trial comparing 4 mg IV ZA every 3–4 weeks or 1600 mg oral clodronic acid (CA) daily amongst 1970 patients found that ZA reduced mortality by 16% compared to CA (p=0.0118). ZA also extended median overall survival by 5.5 months (50.0 months vs 44.5 months; p=0.04), significantly improved progression-free (PFS) survival by 12% (p=0.0179), and increased median PFS by 2.0 months (19.5 months vs 17.5 months; p=0.07) compared to CA. While ZA has been administered every 4 weeks in the aforementioned trials, a randomized phase III trial has shown that ZA administered every 12 weeks is noninferior to ZA administered every 4 weeks.

BPs not only prevent SRE in MM but also provide a survival benefit possibly due to their anti-MPC properties. In vitro studies suggest that pamidronate may possess anti-MPC properties based on its ability to induce apoptosis of MPC, suppression of IL-6 production and antiangiogenesis. ZA has also been shown to possess antiangiogenic properties in vitro. In a long-term follow-up (8.6 years) of a placebo-controlled trial, the subset of CA-treated patients who did not have vertebral fractures at baseline had significantly longer OS vs patients who received placebo (median OS, 59 months vs 37 months, respectively; p=0.006). In a retrospective analysis of a phase III trial comparing ZA (4 mg) with pamidronate (90 mg), patients with high baseline bone-specific alkaline phosphatase levels had significantly better 25-month survival with zoledronic acid than with pamidronate (82 vs 53%, respectively; p=0.041). In a clinical trial in which 94 patients were randomized to receive either ZA (4mg) or not, after 49.6 months median follow-up, the ZA-treated group had superior 5-year event-free survival (80% vs 52%, p<0.01) and 5 year OS (80% vs 46%, p<0.01) compared to the control group. As aforementioned, several other trials have shown that BPs prolong survival in patients with MM. Taken together, these studies conclusively established the role of the nitrogenous BPs ZA, and to a slightly lesser extent pamidronate, for management of MBD.

By interfering with the crosstalk between MPC and osteoclasts, BPs are able to reduce SRE and prolong survival in MM patients via apoptosis of osteoclasts, immunemodulation and direct anti-MPC activity.

**Side Effects And Toxicity Of Bisphosphonates**

Despite being effective agents for the prevention of SRE in MM patients, the long term use of BPs has come under...
scrutiny due to their side effects. Notable and well-characterized toxicities of BPs include flu-like symptoms, renal toxicity requiring dose reduction in patients with renal insufficiency, ONJ, gastrointestinal upset, atrial fibrillation and atypical femoral fracture. Eight

Approximately 40% of patients will experience a flu-like syndrome with the first administration of an IV nitrogen-containing BP. Symptoms include fever, fatigue, malaise, myalgia, arthralgia and bone pain that are caused by release of cytokines from γδT cells and macrophages. Patients treated with alendronate for osteoporosis were noted to develop low-energy fractures associated with minor trauma, most commonly in the subtrochanteric region of the femur. These fractures have usually been associated with extended BP treatment duration of 4–10 years. In MM patients treated with IV BPs, cases of atypical fractures resembling those seen in patients on alendronate have been reported.

Renal injury is a major limiting factor in BP use, with acute tubular necrosis as the main pathology. Nephrotoxicity is related to the dose, infusion time and maximum plasma concentration that affects the intracellular concentration of BPs. There is the potential for BPs with prolonged renal tissue half-life, such as ZA, to accumulate in renal tissue and cause damage. Pamidronate has been associated with collapsing focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. With ZA, the risk of kidney injury (rise in creatinine of 0.5 mg/dl) was observed in the initial phase III trials comparing ZA to pamidronate, prompting a dose reduction from 8mg to 4mg and increase in the duration of the infusion from 5 to 15 min. To minimize toxicity, the 2007 American Society of Clinical Oncology guidelines suggested dose adjustments of ZA in patients with creatinine clearance ranging from 30–60 mL/min. ZA is not recommended for patients with creatinine clearance <30 mL/min. For patients with severe renal impairment, pamidronate 90mg administered over 4–6 hrs is the preferred BP. This nephrotoxicity is not unique to nitrogenous BPs as in a randomized phase III trial comparing 4 mg IV ZA every 3–4 weeks or 1600 mg oral CA daily amongst 1970 patients with MM, both groups had the same rate of renal toxicity (12%). Dose dependence of renal toxicity was demonstrated in a phase III trial comparing monthly 90mg vs 30mg of IV pamidronate which found a greater incidence of renal toxicity in the 90mg group compared to the 30mg group (6% vs 2.7%; p=0.072).

ONJ is defined as a lesion of exposed bone in the maxilla or mandible that persists for 8 weeks in patients treated with BPs who are not receiving radiotherapy to the craniofacial area. Clinical signs and symptoms of ONJ include pain, swelling and/or ulceration of the oral mucosa, loose teeth or a nonhealing socket after tooth extraction. The severity of ONJ can vary from asymptomatic forms to severe lesions complicated by the appearance of fistula or fracture. The incidence of ONJ in patients with MM treated with BPs has been noted to be as high as 8.5%. Ibandronate and pamidronate appear to have a better safety profile compared to ZA. In a study comparing ZA vs pamidronate in 1,018 United States Veterans with newly diagnosed MM, the patients who received ZA had a higher incidence of ONJ compared to patients who received pamidronate (2.6% vs 0.8%). The phase III trial comparing monthly 90mg vs 30mg of IV pamidronate found a greater amount of ONJ in the 90mg group (2.4%) vs the 30mg group (0.5%). The complete removal of necrotic bone, smoothing of sharp bony edges and careful wound closure, accompanied by perioperative antibiotic treatment is generally considered to be the most suitable approach to achieve ONJ healing. With surgical management, resolution with complete healing of ONJ has been noted greater than 80% of cases.

Denosumab: Combining Inhibition Of Osteoclastogenesis With Anti-Myeloma Activity And An Improved Side-Effect Profile
Denosumab is a fully human monoclonal antibody that binds and inhibits RANKL and is administered subcutaneously. Unlike BPs, denosumab does not accumulate or persist in bone and is cleared through the reticuloendothelial system hence not dependent on renal clearance. Denosumab has a half-life of approximately 26 days. The positive effect of denosumab on bone remodeling was initially demonstrated in the treatment of osteoporosis. A phase III trial of 7886 women with osteoporosis demonstrated that denosumab (60mg given subcutaneously every 6 months) was superior to placebo in reducing the risk of vertebral, nonvertebral and hip fractures.

Two clinical trials have evaluated the efficacy of denosumab for the prevention of SRE in MM (Table 1). The first double-blind study which evaluated the efficacy of denosumab in MM was the 244 study which compared denosumab 120mg subcutaneously vs ZA 4mg
IV every 4 weeks. This study excluded breast and prostate cancer patients and the largest proportion of patients had lung cancer (40%) and MM (10%). The median time to first SRE was longer with denosumab compared to ZA (20.6 vs 16.3 months, p=0.06). Denosumab improved quality of life and also reduced the need for radiation and preventing worsening of pain.

Given these findings and the fact that renal dysfunction often presents a major barrier to effective and continued use of osteoclast-targeted therapy with BPs, denosumab is an ideal agent for preventing SRE in patients with MM and renal disease as its dosing does not depend on creatinine clearance. The improved PFS noted in the denosumab group compared to the ZA group warrants further investigation. Given the key role of RANKL in osteoclastogenesis and the importance of osteoclast cytokine signaling crucial for MPC, it is possible that denosumab’s anti-myeloma effects arises from its interference of the crosstalk between osteoclasts and MPC via RANKL.

The first therapeutic study on RANKL blockade in an animal model of myeloma bone disease revealed that RANKL inhibition markedly reduced tumor burden assessed histologically and by serum paraprotein in the SCID-hu-MM mice. In a phase II study of single-agent denosumab in the treatment of 93 relapsed or plateau-phase multiple myeloma patients, treatment with denosumab did not result in reduction of serum monoclonal protein level in the range of complete response, partial response or minimal response. However, eleven subjects (21%) with myeloma who entered the study with progressive disease maintained stable disease for a maximum of 16.5 months (median duration: 2.6 months) and 19 subjects (46%) with plateau-phase myeloma maintained stable disease for a maximum of 18.3 months (median duration: 10.2 months). It is important to consider that this study was dealing with a relatively-drug resistant population which may have made it difficult to detect an anti-myeloma effect with single agent denosumab. The stabilization of disease observed in some subjects raises the possibility that cytostatic effects through alteration of the BMME could influence the growth of MPC. Further research into the anti-neoplastic role of RANKL inhibition and its clinical benefit is merited, especially in the context of recent therapeutic advances in the treatment of MM.

As noted above, the toxicity profile of denosumab is fairly established. Hypocalcemia and ONJ are important acute and long term toxicities, respectively. One of the
major concerns of denosumab treatment is the increased risk of vertebral fractures when the drug is discontinued. Multiple case reports of vertebral fractures, including multiple vertebral fractures, soon after discontinuation of denosumab in osteoporosis patients have been published.\cite{9,10} A post hoc analysis of postmenopausal women with osteoporosis discontinuing denosumab in the FREEDOM trial revealed evidence of increased vertebral fractures.\cite{10} The risk of multiple vertebral fractures was 3.4% after stopping denosumab and 2.2% after stopping placebo (p = 0.049), with the risk being 3.9 (95% CI 2.1–7.2) times higher in those with a prior vertebral fracture before or during treatment compared with those having no prior vertebral fracture. Treating with BPs after stopping denosumab can prevent rebound fractures. Limited evidence suggests that ZA given 7–8 months after the last dose of denosumab may be the preferred clinical strategy.\cite{10} Further evaluation of denosumab discontinuation and fracture risk in MM patients is warranted.

**Conclusion**

SRE are a hallmark of MM and lead to increased morbidity and mortality. Via interactions with the BMME, MPC stimulate osteoclastogenesis which in turn leads to MPC survival and osteolytic bone lesions. Osteoclast inhibition with BPs, particularly ZA and pamidronate, is the standard of care in preventing and delaying SRE in MM as well as in prolonging OS due to their anti-myeloma properties. However, administration of BPs is challenging in MM patients due to their renal clearance, potential to cause nephrotoxicity and the inherent renal dysfunction associated with MM. RANKL is a key molecule in the BMME involved in osteoclastogenesis. Anti-myeloma therapies including PIs, immunomodulatory agents, high dose chemotherapy followed by ASCT and HDACs have been shown to inhibit osteoclastogenesis via inhibition of RANKL. Denosumab, a fully human monoclonal antibody against RANKL has proven to be noninferior to ZA in preventing and delaying SRE in MM. Denosumab has also shown to prolong PFS in MM patients compared to ZA. Favorable renal tolerance makes denosumab an attractive candidate for use in MM patients with renal disease. Vertebral fractures upon discontinuation of therapy represent an important toxicity and need to be monitored for carefully. Given the direct anti-MM effect observed in several studies, well planned clinical trials combining denosumab with novel immunotherapeutic approaches are desirable to expand the therapeutic armamentarium for MM.
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