The Efficacy And Safety Of Aspirin As The Primary Prevention Of Cardiovascular Disease: An Updated Meta-Analysis
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Purpose: Information regarding the use of aspirin for patients with no known cardiovascular disease remains conflicting. We performed an updated meta-analysis to evaluate the efficacy and safety of aspirin for primary prevention of cardiovascular disease.

Patients and Methods: PubMed, MEDLINE, and Cochrane library databases were searched for randomized controlled trials comparing aspirin with placebos or no treatment published up until November 1, 2018. The primary efficacy endpoint was all-cause death. The secondary endpoints included cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, and stroke. The safety endpoints included major bleeding, gastrointestinal bleeding, and hemorrhagic stroke.

Results: Fourteen studies were included. Aspirin use was associated with a lower risk of myocardial infarction than placebo use or no treatment (risk ratio [RR], 0.83, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.73–0.95, P = 0.005). Additionally, compared with the control groups, aspirin use was not associated with a lower risk of all-cause mortality or cardiovascular mortality. In terms of safety, aspirin use was associated with a higher risk of major bleeding (RR, 1.40, 95% CI: 1.25–1.57, P = 0.000), gastrointestinal bleeding (RR, 1.58, 95% CI: 1.25–1.99, P = 0.000), and hemorrhagic stroke (RR, 1.30, 95% CI: 1.06–1.60, P = 0.011). Furthermore, the treatment effect was not significantly modified by patients’ clinical characteristics. No publication bias was present.

Conclusion: Aspirin use reduced the myocardial infarction risk in patients without known cardiovascular disease, but had no effect in terms of reducing the risk of all-cause death, cardiovascular death, and stroke, and increased the risk of major bleeding, gastrointestinal bleeding, and hemorrhagic stroke.
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Introduction

Cardiovascular disease is a leading cause of death worldwide, with approximately 24% of the global adult population dying from cardiovascular disease each year. Although evidence for aspirin as secondary prevention in patients with previous myocardial infarction or stroke is well defined, the use of aspirin in primary prevention of cardiovascular disease remains controversial. Certain studies have shown that aspirin can significantly decrease the rate of the main adverse cardiovascular events, whereas the results of other studies indicated contradictory findings. Moreover, recommendations regarding the daily use of low-dose aspirin also vary from guidelines to guidelines. For example, whereas the guidelines from the European Society of Cardiology do not recommend the use of aspirin as a primary...
prevention for cardiovascular disease in any population because of the risk of increasing major bleeding, the 2016 United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) statement recommended low-dose aspirin use for the primary prevention of CVD in adults aged 50 to 59 years who have a 10% or greater 10-year CVD risk without increased risk for bleeding. Therefore, whether aspirin can be used for primary prevention of cardiovascular disease remains inconclusive.

Recently, a number of related studies (e.g., ASPREE, ARRIVE and ASCEND trials) have published results. Therefore, we performed an updated meta-analysis by including the latest evidence to evaluate the efficacy and safety of aspirin for primary prevention of cardiovascular disease.

Materials And Methods
This study was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines to evaluate the efficacy and safety of aspirin for primary prevention of cardiovascular disease.

Search Strategy
We searched PubMed, MEDLINE, and the Cochrane library databases using the keywords “aspirin,” “cardiovascular disease,” and “primary prevention.” We also searched clinicaltrials.gov for more detail regarding the clinical trials. The language of all studies was in English. The time limit for publication of the literature was up until November 1, 2018.

Inclusion And Exclusion Criteria
The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) the subjects in the study were adult patients (≥18 years) without a history of cardiovascular disease; 2) subjects in the study were using aspirin; 3) the control treatment used was a placebo or no treatment; 4) the study should report our outcomes of interest, namely all-cause death, cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, stroke, major bleeding, and gastrointestinal bleeding; and 5) the type of study was a randomized controlled trial. If the same research has been reported in multiple publications, we included the most recently published research.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) the study included subjects with known history of cardiovascular disease; 2) aspirin was not used; 3) the study was without a control group; 4) the study did not report our designated outcomes; and 5) the study was an observational study, conference report, or corresponding letter.

The Outcomes Of The Study
The primary efficacy endpoint was all-cause death. The secondary efficacy endpoints included cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, and stroke. The primary safety endpoints were major bleeding. The secondary safety endpoints included gastrointestinal bleeding and hemorrhagic stroke. All the outcomes were defined according to the definition used in each trial.

Data Extraction And Quality Evaluation
Two researchers read the full texts of each evaluated literature source and extracted the relevant information, which included the year of study, the country of research, the percentage of male patients, mean age of patients, the percentage of patients with diabetes mellitus, the dose of aspirin, and the follow-up time. If two the researchers disputed any point in the process of extracting information, a third researcher would pass judgment and make a final decision. We used the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool to evaluate the quality of all included randomized controlled trials.

Statistical Analysis
We used the risk ratios (RRs) and the corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) as the effect measure of dichotomous data. We performed $I^2$ and Cochran Q tests to evaluate the heterogeneity between studies. An $I^2$ value $<25\%$ indicated that there was low heterogeneity between the studies; $25\% < I^2 < 50\%$ indicated that there was moderate heterogeneity between the studies; and $I^2 \geq 50\%$ indicated that there was a high degree of heterogeneity between the studies. To account for unexplained heterogeneity, we performed the meta-analysis using a random-effects model (DerSimonian–Laird method). We used Begg’s funnel plots and Egger’s regression symmetry tests to detect publication bias. Subgroup analyses were performed based on population characteristics, such as the mean age of the population, the dosage of aspirin, the percentage of patients with diabetes mellitus, the percentage of male patients, and BMI. Meta-analysis was performed using STATA12.0 software.

Results
A flow diagram of the literature search and study selection process is shown in Figure 1. We found 1686 studies from a search of PubMed, MEDLINE, the Cochrane library databases, and the reference lists of relevant papers.
Finally, 16 studies involving 139,392 patients met the inclusion criteria. Three studies were different reports of one trial; therefore a total of 14 studies were included. The characteristics of the studies included in the analysis and their populations are listed in Table 1. The mean age of patients ranged from 55 to 74 years. Three trials included only male patients and one trial included only female patients. Most of the selected studies did not report the mean weight of patients, and included patients with a BMI higher than 24 kg/m². Most patients were taking the low-dose aspirin (81 or 100 mg per day) while three studies reported patients taking the high-dose aspirin. Three trials included patients with diabetes mellitus. The follow-up time ranged from 4 to 10 years. An assessment of the risk of bias of the included studies is presented in Figure 2. Overall, the included studies were found to have a low risk of bias.

The Clinical Outcome Of Studies

All-Cause Mortality

Fifteen studies were included and the results showed that the use of aspirin was not associated with a lower risk of all-cause mortality compared with the control group (RR, 0.97, 95% CI: 0.93–1.02, P = 0.266; I² = 0%, P = 0.589) (shown in Figure 3).

Cardiovascular Mortality

Fifteen studies were included and the results showed that the use of aspirin was not associated with a lower risk of cardiovascular mortality compared with the control group (RR, 0.93, 95% CI: 0.85–1.01, P = 0.096; I² = 0%, P = 0.452). (shown in Figure 4).

Myocardial Infarction

Fifteen studies were included and the results showed that the use of aspirin was associated with a
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country of Subjects</th>
<th>Number of Subjects</th>
<th>Inclusion Criteria</th>
<th>Mean Age (Years)</th>
<th>Male (%)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Diabetes (%)</th>
<th>Aspirin Dose</th>
<th>Mean Follow-Up (Years)</th>
<th>Aspirin Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gaziano et al, 2018</td>
<td>12 countries</td>
<td>12,546</td>
<td>Men and women 55 years of age or older and had an average cardiovascular risk, deemed to be moderate on the basis of the number of specific risk factors.</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>Enteric-coated aspirin tablets (100 mg) once daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McNeil et al, 2018</td>
<td>Australia and the United States</td>
<td>19,114</td>
<td>Men and women at least 70 years of age, or 65 years of age among blacks and Hispanics in the United States, and did not have cardiovascular disease, dementia, or disability.</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100 mg of enteric-coated aspirin once daily</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>mast coa trademarked aspirin a day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowman et al, 2018</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>15,480</td>
<td>Men and women aged at least 40 years of age, or 50 years of age among blacks and Hispanics in the United States, and did not have cardiovascular disease, dementia, or disability.</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Aspirin at a dose of 100 mg once daily</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>mast coa trademarked aspirin a day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacco et al, 2001</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>4,495</td>
<td>People with at least one already known major cardiovascular risk factor, or diabetes mellitus (any type) and did not have known cardiovascular disease.</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100 mg enteric-coated aspirin a day</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>mast coa trademarked aspirin a day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fowkes et al, 2010</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>6,290</td>
<td>Men and women aged 50 to 75 years at baseline with no history of vascular disease.</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Low-dose aspirin group (81 or 100 mg per day)</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>mast coa trademarked aspirin a day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saito et al, 2017</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>2,539</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetes without a history of atherosclerotic disease.</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100 mg enteric-coated aspirin a day</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>mast coa trademarked aspirin a day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>The Name Of Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Number Of Subjects</th>
<th>Inclusion Criterial</th>
<th>Mean Age (Years)</th>
<th>Male (%)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Diabetes (%)</th>
<th>Aspirin Dose</th>
<th>Mean Follow-Up (Years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ikeda et al, 2014</td>
<td>JPPP</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>14, 658</td>
<td>Aged 60 to 85 years and had not been diagnosed with atherosclerotic disease</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>Enteric-coated aspirin 100mg/d</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belch et al, 2008</td>
<td>POPADAD</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>1, 276</td>
<td>Aged 40 or more with type 1 or type 2 diabetes and an ankle brachial pressure index</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Once Daily, 100 mg aspirin tablet</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridker et al, 2005</td>
<td>WHS</td>
<td>United states</td>
<td>39,876</td>
<td>Women were eligible if they were 45 years of age or older; had no history of coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease and cancer</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>100 mg of aspirin</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kassoff et al, 1992</td>
<td>ETDRS</td>
<td>United states</td>
<td>3, 711</td>
<td>Ages of 18 and 70 years with a clinical diagnosis of diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>650mg tablets once daily</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belanger et al, 1989</td>
<td>PHSI</td>
<td>United states</td>
<td>22, 071</td>
<td>Male physicians aged 40–84 y</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>325 mg every day, not enteric-coated</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meade et al, 1998</td>
<td>TPT</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2, 540</td>
<td>Men aged between 45 years and 69 years at high risk of ischemic heart disease</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Aspirin was given as 75 mg a day in a controlled-release formulation.</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peto et al, 1980</td>
<td>BMD</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>5, 139</td>
<td>Healthy male doctors</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>500 mg aspirin daily</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansson et al, 1998</td>
<td>HOT</td>
<td>26 countries</td>
<td>18, 790</td>
<td>Aged 50–80 years (mean 61.5 years) with hypertension and diastolic blood pressure between 100 mm Hg and 115 mm Hg (mean 105 mm Hg)</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>75 mg/day aspirin</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
lower risk of myocardial infarction compared with the control group (RR, 0.83, 95% CI: 0.73–0.95, P = 0.005; I² = 57.8%, P = 0.005). (shown in Figure 5).

Stroke
Fifteen studies were included and the results showed that the use of aspirin was not associated with a
lower risk of stroke compared with the control group (RR, 0.95, 95% CI: 0.86–1.03, P = 0.208; I² = 8.2%, P = 0.364). (shown in Figure 6).

**Major Bleeding**
Five studies4,10,11,20,22 were included and the results showed that the use of aspirin was associated with a higher risk of major bleeding compared with the control group (RR, 1.40, 95% CI: 1.25–1.57, P = 0.000; I² = 9.1%, P = 0.355). (shown in Figure 7).

**Gastrointestinal Bleeding**
Fourteen trials4,7,10–12,18–24 were included and the results showed that the use of aspirin was associated with a higher risk of gastrointestinal bleeding compared with the control group (RR, 1.58, 95% CI: 1.25–1.99, P = 0.000; I² = 79.6%, P = 0.000). (shown in Figure 8).

**Hemorrhagic Stroke**
Eleven studies6,7,10–12,18–20,22,23,25 were included and the results showed that the use of aspirin was associated with a
higher risk of hemorrhagic stroke compared with the control group (RR, 1.30, 95% CI: 1.06–1.60, P = 0.011; I² = 0%, P = 0.529). (shown in Figure 9).

Additional Analysis
Results of the subgroup analysis are displayed in Table 2. The results showed no evidence that the treatment effect of all-cause mortality was significantly modified by patients’ clinical characteristics. The comparison-adjusted funnel plots are shown in Figure 10. The results indicated that no publication bias was present.

Discussion
This updated meta-analysis, including three of the latest trials, showed that the use of aspirin was associated with a lower risk of myocardial infarction in patients without known cardiovascular disease. However, aspirin usage had no effect in terms of reducing the risk of all-cause
death, cardiovascular death, or stroke, and increased the risk of major bleeding, gastrointestinal bleeding, and hemorrhagic stroke.

**Comparison With Other Studies**

Aspirin is commonly used for primary prevention in patients with a high risk of cardiovascular disease. However, issues regarding the use of aspirin for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease in low- or intermediate-risk populations are still inconclusive. In 2009, the Antithrombotic Trialists Collaboration (ATTC) pooled six large-scale clinical trials that included a total of 95,456 patients with a 10-year risk of cardiovascular disease at low risk, with an average follow-up of 6.9 years. The results showed that aspirin reduced the rate of major cardiovascular events (including myocardial infarction, stroke, and cardiovascular death) by 12% and reduced the event rate of non-fatal myocardial infarction by 23%. However, aspirin was not associated with a lower risk of all-cause mortality, cardiovascular death, or stroke, and the incidence of major bleeding in extracranial (mainly digestive tract) regions increased by 54%. Moreover, the results of other meta-analyses that have evaluated the efficacy and safety of aspirin for primary prevention indicate that the use of aspirin does not reduce the risk of all-cause mortality.
Recently, three clinical studies have further evaluated the efficacy and safety of aspirin for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease.\textsuperscript{10–12} The results of the ARRIVE study, which included 12,546 patients with a low risk of cardiovascular risk and no history of diabetes, with a median follow-up time of 60 months,\textsuperscript{12} indicated that oral aspirin had no effect in terms of reducing the main cardiovascular events (including cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, instability angina, stroke, and transient ischemic attack (TIA)), but significantly increased the risk of gastrointestinal bleeding. The results of the ASPREE study, which included 19,114 elderly patients with an average age of 70 years without cardiovascular disease and a follow-up time was 4.7 years,\textsuperscript{11} indicated that the use of aspirin did not prolong the disease-free survival of these patients, but significantly increased the risk of major bleeding. The results of the ASCEND study, which included 15,480 patients with diabetes but without known cardiovascular disease,\textsuperscript{10} indicated that the use of aspirin reduced the incidence of severe vascular events (including myocardial infarction, stroke, TIA, or angiogenic death) by 22%, but increased the risk of major bleeding by 29%. The findings of the present analyses tend to be consistent with the findings of these studies, and indicate that although taking aspirin reduces the risk of myocardial infarction in patients with no previous cardiovascular disease, it has no effect with regards to reducing the rates of all-cause death, cardiovascular death, and stroke. Moreover, the use of aspirin is also associated with increases in the risk of major bleeding, gastrointestinal bleeding, and hemorrhage stroke. Our subgroup analysis also showed that the treatment effect was not significantly modified by patients’ clinical characteristics. A previous study demonstrated that the reduced risk of major adverse cardiovascular events upon aspirin administration was initially offset by an increased risk of major bleeding, but effects on both outcomes diminished with increasing follow-up.\textsuperscript{31}

In our study, the included patients were with a low risk of cardiovascular disease, and the event rate of cardiovascular mortality was very low. Additionally, in regards of

### Table 2 The Results Of Subgroup Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Variable</th>
<th>Estimated Relative Treatment Effects RR(95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;65 years</td>
<td>0.95 (0.88–1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥65 years</td>
<td>1.06 (0.97–1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The percentage of male</td>
<td>1.06 (0.97–1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0.98 (0.93–1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The dosage of aspirin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤100 mg/d</td>
<td>0.99 (0.94–1.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;100 mg/d</td>
<td>0.93 (0.84–1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;28 kg/m(^2)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.89–1.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥28 kg/m(^2)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.96–1.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The percentage of DM</td>
<td>0.98 (0.93–1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>others</td>
<td>0.99 (0.93–1.04)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 10](https://www.dovepress.com/submit-your-manuscript/www.dovepress.com)
patients included in these studies tended to be high, and the use of drugs that improve prognosis such as statins was low. Therefore, differences among publication dates may lead to a heterogeneity among studies. Third, some information regarding population characteristics, such as average age and weight, was not fully extracted; therefore, these population characteristics were not included in the subgroup analyses. Fourth, the issue of aspirin compliance was not addressed in the present study because most of the information relating to aspirin compliance was unavailable. Fifth, the definition of endpoints between the included studies is inconsistent, which may have resulted in inaccurate reporting. Sixth, the patients in the included studies were with a low or intermediate risk of cardiovascular disease; for instance, in the ASCEND study, 2.5% of patients were with myocardial infarction during a mean follow-up of 7.4 years. Therefore, we could not compare the results of our study with results reported on other patients with a high risk of cardiovascular disease.

Conclusion

The use of aspirin was found to reduce the risk of myocardial infarction in patients without known cardiovascular disease but had no effect in reducing the risk of all-cause death, cardiovascular death, and stroke, and increased the risk of major bleeding, gastrointestinal bleeding, and hemorrhagic stroke.
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