Toxicity screening of two prevalent metal organic frameworks for therapeutic use in human lung epithelial cells
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Introduction: The flexibility and tunability of metal organic frameworks (MOFs), crystalline porous materials composed of a network of metal ions coordinated by organic ligands, confer their variety of applications as drug delivery systems or as sensing and imaging agents. However, such properties also add to the difficulty in ensuring their safe implementation when interaction with biological systems is considered.

Methods: In the current study, we used real-time sensorial strategies and cellular-based approaches to allow for fast and effective screening of two MOFs of prevalent use, namely, MIL-160 representative of a hydrophilic and ZIF-8 representative of a hydrophobic framework. The two MOFs were synthesized “in house” and exposed to human bronchial epithelial (BEAS-2B) cells, a pertinent toxicological screening model.

Results: Analysis allowed evaluation and differentiation of particle-induced cellular effects as well identification of different degrees and routes of toxicity, all in a high-throughput manner. Our results show the importance of performing screening toxicity assessments before introducing MOFs to biomedical applications.

Discussion: Our proposed screening assays could be extended to a wider variety of cell lines to allow for identification of any deleterious effects of MOFs, with the range of toxic mechanisms to be differentiated based on cell viability, morphology and cell–substrate interactions, respectively.

Conclusion: Our analysis highlights the importance of considering the physicochemical properties of MOFs when recommending a MOF-based therapeutic option or MOFs implementation in biomedical applications.
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Introduction

Metal organic frameworks (MOFs) are crystalline porous materials with ultrahigh surface areas,1–3 consisting of metal ions or clusters linked with organic ligands via coordination bonds.4–6 The large number of combinations of metal ions and organic ligands available during such material synthesis confers a high degree of tunability of MOF’s geometry, size, porosity and functionality, respectively.5,6 Such features conversely elicit MOF integration in numerous applications ranging from gas capture and storage7,8 to water adsorption and from catalysis to electrical-based applications.9–12

Recently, MOFs have also gained interest and use in biomedical applications,13 both for the formation of contrast agents for MRI enhancement13,14 and as agents for drug...
The ability to tune their structures as well as their porosity to target specific areas of the body has allowed for adequate adsorption of selective drugs or molecules as well as user-directed delivery. MOF integration also led to better contrast and longer duration of imaging when used for MRI, all relative to traditional contrast agents or time-controlled delivery of covalently or noncovalently bound drugs to selected diseased tissue, all while preventing side effects of the surrounding healthy ones.

However, recent concerns over MOF or MOF-related product safety have been raised. Preliminary toxicological assessment studies showed that the presence of metal atoms in MOFs coordinated bonds structure could create a local imbalance in the exposed biological system to affect homeostasis, both extracellularly and intracellularly, with the degree of the homeostatic changes being dependent on material’s composition and structure. Studies by Tamames-Tabar et al., showed, for instance, that the toxicity of fourteen different MOFs containing varying metal ions (e.g., iron, zinc, and zirconium) and organic linkers (e.g., carboxylates or imidazolates) on human cervical carcinoma epithelial cells (HeLa) and in a murine macrophage cell line (J774) was dependent on the composition of the individual MOF being tested as well as the cell line being assessed. Analysis also showed that iron-based MOFs had lower toxicity relative to both zirconium- and zinc-MOFs, with J774 cells experiencing a greater toxicity due to the faster internalization of the tested material.

Complementary work by Ruyra et al., evaluated and categorized toxicity of sixteen uncoated MOFs in exposed zebrafish embryos, with results sorting deleterious effects based on material structure and the individual-related leaching of metal ions. Additionally, Ren et al., found that IRMOF-3, a rigid cubic zinc-based MOF, had a time- and concentration-dependent toxicity on rat pheochromocytoma cells starting at concentrations as low as 100 µg/mL, while Grall et al., observed low toxicity of mesoporous metals-based (e.g., iron, aluminum, chromium) MOFs when exposed to two hepatic cell lines (HepG2 and Hep3B) and two lung cell lines (A549 and Calu-3 respectively) at doses ranging from 10 to 100 µg/mL.

However, while highly comprehensive, these available studies are mostly based on single-point assays (e.g., 4 succinate dehydrogenase activity – MTT – assay) that do not allow real-time assessment of toxicity nor provide insights into time-dependent windows of exposure. Considering that such single-point assays also suffer from interference from reagents normally used in classical cellular approaches that could potentially influence MOF-induced toxicity assessment, we proposed to screen for cellular behavior upon exposure to MOFs of prevalent use by using only cell-based bio-sensorial approaches. To demonstrate the validity and applicability of the proposed approaches, we used MIL-160, a microporous hydrophilic aluminum-based MOF with a five-membered ring and an oxygen heteroatom, and ZIF-8, a hydrophobic framework of zeolitic imidazolate. Human bronchial epithelial (BEAS-2B) cells were employed as a pertinent model for toxicity assessment. MOF selection was based on their demonstrated wide integration for gas storage applications, enzyme-based green technologies and drug delivery systems, while cell selection was based on demonstrated intrinsic sensitivity of such systems to convert biological activity into electrical measurements to be recorded in real time with an electric cell impedance sensing platform (ECIS). By simply relying on the natural sensitivity of cells, our analysis demonstrates high-throughput, rapid and accurate screening and differentiation of toxicity based on MOFs physicochemical properties, as well as the capability for extension and large-scale screening of other MOF materials.

Materials and methods
Preparation of MIL-160
MIL-160 was synthesized by linking aluminum chloride hexahydrate (99%, Acros Organics) with 2, 5-furandicarboxylic acid (98%, Alfa Aesar), through a hydrothermal method. Specifically, a precursor compromised of dissolved 2, 5-furandicarboxylic acid, aluminum chloride hexahydrate and sodium hydroxide (extra pure, Acros Organics) was suspended in deionized water in a mole ratio of 1.0: 1.0: 2.1: 100. The precursor was subsequently dosed in a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and heated at a temperature of 363 K for 24 hrs. After hydrothermal reaction and cooling to room temperature, the products were filtered on cellulose filters of grade 1 (Whatman) and washed extensively with deionized water to remove any unreacted species. The filter paper was then dried overnight at room temperature and the resulting products were removed and stored in a vacuum chamber, again, at room temperature.

Preparation of ZIF-8
ZIF-8 was synthesized by rapidly mixing zinc nitrate (98%, Acros Organics) and 2-methylimidazole (97%, Alfa Aesar)
solutions, at room temperature, for about 10 mins.32 Initially, solutions A and B were prepared, with solution A being prepared by dissolving 0.2 g zinc nitrate hexahydrate in 5 mL deionized water and solution B by dissolving 2.0 g 2-methylimidazole also in 5 mL deionized water. The solutions were then mixed under uniform mechanical stirring and at room temperature. The resulting product was collected by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 8 mins, washed with deionized water several times to remove any nonprecipitated species, dried at room temperature and subsequently stored in a vacuum chamber, again at room temperature.

Material characterization
Surface morphology and elemental composition of MIL-160 and ZIF-8 were investigated using Hitachi S-4700 Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (Hitachi High-Technologies Corporation) equipped with energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy. For these analyses, dry powders of the samples were mounted onto carbon tape and investigated using an accelerating voltage of 5.0 kV.

Crystalline phases of the synthesized MIL-160 and ZIF-8 MOFs were confirmed by powder x-ray diffraction (PXRD) analysis with experiments performed at room temperature under ambient conditions on PANalytical X’Pert Pro X-ray Diffractometer with CuKa radiation at 40 kV and 40 mA, respectively.

Cell culture
Immortalized human bronchial epithelial (BEAS-2B, American Type Culture Collection – ATCC) cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM; Corning) media containing 5% FBS, 1% L-glutamine and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (reagents purchased from Life Technologies). The cells were passaged regularly using 0.25% trypsin (Invitrogen) and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO2 and 80% relative humidity. Before each experiment, cells were grown to a confluent monolayer. Control experiments with human non-small cancer lung (H460) cells purchased from ATCC were also performed. For these, H460 cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute-1640 medium (RPMI, Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% L-glutamine and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Cells were passaged regularly using 0.25% trypsin and maintained in a humidified atmosphere at 37°C and 5% CO2.

Dose-response curves
BEAS-2B and H460 cells were seeded in 12-well plates (Falcon) at a density of 2.0×10^4 cells/mL. After 24 hrs, the cells were exposed to MIL-160 or ZIF-8 at the doses of 1, 10, 50, 100, 250, 500 and 750 µg/mL, respectively, with such doses formed by serial dilutions from original stock concentrations in their respective cells’ media. Before any of the intended cellular exposures, the samples were sonicated for 8–10 mins using of a bath sonicator (Branson). After 24 hrs of exposure, the cells were trypsinized, stained with 0.4% trypan blue solution (Invitrogen) and 10 µL of such stained cells was subsequently added to a hemocytometer (Hauser Scientific). The number of cells in the four outer grids of the hemocytometer were counted using a Leica DM IL optical microscope (Leica Microsystems) and a 10× objective to determine the IC_{50} value, ie, the half maximum inhibitory concentration.

ECIS
Real-time analysis of BEAS-2B cells behavior was monitored via ECIS (ECIS-ZΘ; Applied Biophysics).34,35 For such analyses, 96-well plates (96W1E+ or 96W20idf) were used; the electrodes were first stabilized for 2 hrs with 200 µL of DMEM to help minimize any potential drift during the experiment. BEAS-2B cells were subsequently seeded at a density of 2.0×10^5 cells/mL and allowed to form a confluent monolayer for 24 hrs. After 24 hrs, the cells were exposed to suspensions of MIL-160 or ZIF-8 dispersed in media (as previously described) at doses below, at and above their determined IC_{50} values (see section above). Cellular behavior was monitored in real-time over 72 hrs of exposure with changes in cellular resistance and alpha’s parameter (detailing the changes in the current between the ventral surface of the cell and electrode)36 being continuously monitored during this time. Media with MIL-160 or media with ZIF-8, at each exposure dose, served as blanks while cells only in media served as controls.

Statistical analyses
All graphs are presented as the mean value of the number of indicated replicates with (±) SE bars. GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software) was used for the determination of the IC_{50} values, with a sigmoidal dose response (four-parameter dose-response curve) being chosen for the fit.
Results and discussion
We implemented a screening strategy based on ECIS\textsuperscript{34,35} for a common in vitro model cell line\textsuperscript{28} (BEAS-2B) to assess the safety of MOFs of prevalent use in real-time and in a high-throughput fashion. For the assessment, MOFs were differentiated based on their physicochemical characteristics since previous studies showed that materials’ properties determine the overall toxicity in an exposed biological system. Specifically, the chosen MOFs differed in their surface morphology, with MIL-160 displaying a cube-like morphology with distinct edges and points (Figure 1A) and ZIF-8 displaying a flower-like morphology with softer edges (Figure 1B) as shown by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis. Both MOFs displayed regular sizes of 8–10 µm for MIL-160 and 1–2 µm for ZIF-8, respectively, with the observed differences being based on their overall aspect ratios.

Elemental composition of the two MOFs was determined by energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy and showed that MIL-160 composition consisted mainly of carbon and oxygen resulting from the integration of the 2, 5-furandicarboxylic acid linker, as well as aluminum from the presence of aluminum chloride hexahydrate metal clusters (Figure 1C). The elemental composition of ZIF-8 consisted of carbon, nitrogen and zinc, all consistent with the make-up of its imidazolate linker and metal ion, zinc, respectively.

![Figure 1](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 1** Surface morphology of (A) MIL-160 and (B) ZIF-8 as determined by SEM. (C) Elemental composition of MIL-160 and ZIF-8 as determined by EDX. (D) Crystalline structures of the two MOFs of prevalent use as determined by PXRD.

**Abbreviations:** SEM, scanning electron microscopy; EDX, energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy; MOFs, metal organic frameworks; PXRD, powder X-ray diffraction.
Powder x-ray diffraction (PXRD) analysis confirmed both MIL-160 and ZIF-8 crystalline phases (Figure 1D) with characteristic peaks of MIL-160\textsuperscript{11} at 15.2°, 18.8°, 22.8° and 27° as assigned to the planes (031), (022), (051) and (502) respectively. Complementary, characteristic peaks of ZIF-8 at 10.33°, 12.8°, 14.7°, 16.5° and 18° were attributed to planes (002), (112), (022), (013) and (222) respectively, consistent with previous studies.\textsuperscript{33,37}

For the proposed toxicity screening of the two MOFs, a dose-response curve was first established. Human bronchial epithelial (BEAS-2B) and human non-small cancer lung (H460) cells were used. Specifically, cells were exposed to either MIL-160 or ZIF-8 MOFs at doses of 1, 10, 50, 100, 250, 500 and 750 µg/mL; the range of doses was chosen to represent the potential exposures used when biological applications are considered.\textsuperscript{38} The dose-response curves of BEAS-2B cells exposed to MIL-160 and ZIF-8 are displayed in Figure 2A and B, respectively. The analysis of the IC\textsubscript{50} values (i.e., concentration of MOF required to inhibit cell growth by 50%) was determined from these curves, with exposure to MIL-160 and ZIF-8 eliciting IC\textsubscript{50} values of 421 and 57 µg/mL, respectively, and indicating a much lower degree of toxicity for MIL-160 relative to the ZIF-8 particles. The dose-response curves for H460 cells are displayed in Figure 2C and D, respectively. The analysis of IC\textsubscript{50} values was determined from these curves, with exposure to MIL-160 and ZIF-8 eliciting IC\textsubscript{50} values of 433 µg/mL and 61 µg/mL, respectively, values which were similar to the ones obtained for the BEAS-2B. Because BEAS-2B cells were previously established as an acceptable model cell line in a variety of toxicity studies,\textsuperscript{28} we decided to focus the remaining analysis solely on BEAS-2B cells.

The observed differences in toxicity between the two prevalent MOFs are presumably due to their different characteristics, one of which is hydrophobicity. This is supported by a previous analysis that showed that hydrophobicity plays critical roles in nanomaterial interactions with biological systems, with protein absorption and corona formation at their interfaces to influence material-cellular uptake\textsuperscript{39} and with hydrophobic nanoparticles known to be more disruptive to cellular membranes because of their ability to localize within to thus remove lipids from their structural bilayer.\textsuperscript{39} Specifically, Tamames-Tabar et al., found a correlation between MOF degree of hydrophobicity and toxicity.\textsuperscript{21} Analysis showed that as the LogP for the MOF increased, the degree of toxicity generally increased, indicating a higher degree of toxicity for hydrophobic MOFs,\textsuperscript{21} consistent with the results of this study. Numerous other studies have also found that an increase
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\caption{Dose-response curves (± SE bars) of BEAS-2B cells exposed to (A) MIL-160 and (B) ZIF-8 (n=4) and H460 cells exposed to MIL-160 (n=6) (C) and ZIF-8 (n=2) (D) respectively.}
\end{figure}
in hydrophobicity caused an increased toxicity of nanomaterials.\textsuperscript{40,41} For example, Farcal et al., showed that toxicity increased when TiO\textsubscript{2} nanomaterials were coated with a hydrophobic coating,\textsuperscript{40} presumably due to interactions of such coating with the lipid layers of the cell's membrane,\textsuperscript{39,40} with such interactions resulting in changes to membrane integrity, cell signaling,\textsuperscript{42} as well as increased uptake.\textsuperscript{43} Additionally, in regard to the uptake of such nanomaterials, previous studies have found that an increase in uptake results in an increase in cellular toxicity presumably due to greater effects on the metabolic pathways of the exposed cells.\textsuperscript{21,44}

In addition to the differences in hydrophobicity, the elemental composition, morphology and size of MIL-160 and ZIF-8 could likely influence their degree of toxicity. Specifically, zinc, the constituting metal for ZIF-8 was shown to have a higher degree of toxicity relative to other metals (e.g., iron or zirconium), with the toxicity being due to its ability to modify cellular metabolism to ultimately lead to cellular damage.\textsuperscript{21} In addition, ZIF-8 displayed a smaller particle size relative to MIL-160; smaller particles were previously shown to result in higher surface area for internal cellular protein binding\textsuperscript{45} along with higher degrees of toxicity.\textsuperscript{46} MIL-160 displayed a morphology with sharper edges relative to ZIF-8 which has the potential to disturb cellular membranes\textsuperscript{47} however to a smaller degree.

Real-time screening using Electric Cell Impedance Sensing (ECIS) platform\textsuperscript{48} was performed at doses below, at and above each MOF’s respective IC\textsubscript{50} value (200, 400 and 800 µg/mL for MIL-160 and 20, 60 and 100 µg/mL for ZIF-8), with exposure monitored continuously over a 72 hrs period. ECIS was previously shown to provide a mean to assess cell–cell and cell–substrate interactions, cell morphology, motility, and coverage at a nanoscale resolution and in a high-throughput manner.\textsuperscript{34,35,49} Representative analysis of cellular resistance monitored using the ECIS system provided an indication of cell coverage, morphology and viability respectively.\textsuperscript{34,35}

The resistance trends displayed by the BEAS-2B cells over the 72 hrs of exposure showed to be dependent on the MOF physicochemical characteristics and exposure doses being used. Specifically, cells exposed to MIL-160 displayed a dose-dependent decrease in their resistance relative to control cells (Figure 3A), with the cells exposed to 800 µg/mL displaying a complete loss in resistance within 20 hrs of exposure, thus indicating a loss in the cell–cell interaction and monolayer formation, as well as changes in cellular viability.\textsuperscript{48} Consistent with the dose-response curves and the IC\textsubscript{50} value, cells exposed to MIL-160 at 400 µg/mL displayed a resistance that was approximately half of that of the control (cells that were not exposed). This result persisted over the whole 72 hrs of observation. Complementary cells exposed to 200 µg/mL displayed slightly lower resistances relative to control cells, with such results being recorded over the full exposure time. Unlike the cells exposed to MIL-160, cells exposed to ZIF-8 at doses below (20 µg/mL) and at the material's
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Figure 3 Representative normalized resistance of BEAS-2B cells after exposure to (A) MIL-160 and (B) ZIF-8 at doses below, at and above their determined IC\textsubscript{50} values. Representative normalized alpha parameter for BEAS-2B cells after exposure to (C) MIL-160 and (D) ZIF-8 at doses below, at and above their determined IC\textsubscript{50} values.
respective IC<sub>50</sub> value (60 µg/mL) had resistance values similar to the resistance of the control cells over the majority of the exposure time. A slight loss in cell resistance after approximately 36 hrs of exposure was noted for the cells exposed to 20 µg/mL (Figure 3B). Similar to the cells exposed to MIL-160, cells exposed to the high dose of ZIF-8 displayed a complete loss in cellular resistance, thus indicating a loss in the cell monolayer and cellular viability possibly due to changes in cell–substrate interactions. ECIS analysis also showed that BEAS-2B cells exposed to MIL-160 caused a dose-dependent decrease in the alpha parameter, i.e., cell–substrate interactions. Specifically, exposure to 800 µg/mL caused an almost complete loss in recording (Figure 3C), while exposure to 200 µg/mL MIL-160 caused values of alpha parameter similar to those of control. Complementary, exposure to 400 µg/mL led to a decrease in the alpha parameter. Cells exposed to 20 and 60 µg/mL ZIF-8 had similar alpha parameter values as the control cells, while cells exposed to 100 µg/mL displayed a complete loss in alpha and thus a loss in cell–substrate interactions (Figure 3D).

The different degrees of cell–substrate interactions as analyzed by our real-time, high-throughput strategy confirmed the possible different routes of toxicity for the two MOFs, based on their different physicochemical properties. Specifically, the results suggest that the loss in resistance displayed by BEAS-2B cells exposed to 200 µg/mL MIL-160 was likely not due to changes in cell–substrate interactions. In contrast, the similar degrees of decrease in the alpha parameter for cells exposed to 400 and 800 µg/mL MIL-160, along with a larger drop in resistance for cells exposed to 200 µg/mL, suggest that the loss in resistance is more due to the loss in cell viability or changes in morphology rather than due to cell–substrate alterations. Further, the similarity of the degree of decrease in resistance and alpha parameter for cells exposed to ZIF-8 at all doses suggests that cell–substrate interactions may be responsible for the cellular toxicity of these particles.

Our assessment is supported by the previous single-point studies that identified increased toxicity based on material hydrophobicity, with such characteristic to affect interactions of the specific material with the lipid bilayer and subsequently induce changes in membrane’s integrity and cell-responsive signaling. Our results thus demonstrate that the proposed strategy represents an effective means of assessment, with additional identified benefits to classify toxicity based on the times of exposure. Specifically, the early time points associated with changes in cellular behavior could be further used as a means to mitigate additional or concentrated exposures, thus possibly allowing for cellular recovery if exposure stops or is removed.

Our proposed screening assay represents an important step towards detection and assessing MOF-induced deleterious effects in a wider variety of cell lines, with the range of toxic mechanisms to be differentiated based on cell viability, morphology and cell–substrate interactions, respectively. Furthermore, considering that our high-throughput screening strategy indicates that cell death manifests through loss of cell–substrate interactions, our approach could help become an instrumental tool for discovering what specific pathways are actually being targeted, thus complimenting molecular-based hypotheses, however, in a much more reliable and high-throughput fashion. While this current study supports the use of a real-time technique to screen for toxicity, it does not suggest replacement of currently used in vitro toxicity testing strategies provided by the single-point assays but rather seeks to add a valuable primary screening tool that could be supplemented by a variety of other assays to ensure assessment of cellular metabolic activity for instance. In addition, this study was performed using in vitro cell lines; to further understand the toxicological effects of these particles as they relate to human exposure, studies should be done in vivo and on suitable animal models.

**Conclusion**

We proposed a screening strategy that allows for differentiation of toxicity in human epithelial cells in a real-time, high-throughput fashion. Our analysis suggests different routes of toxicity to be influenced by MOFs physicochemical characteristics. Our results emphasize the importance of performing toxicological screening assessment of MOFs before their implementation in biomedical applications.
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