Optic disc pit maculopathy: tamponade of maculoschisis
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Purpose: To present rapid and safe closure of the pit-macula communication (PMC) by core vitrectomy and adequate duration gas tamponade as our preferred method of resolving optic disc pit (ODP) maculopathy and to define the term “maculoschisis” in ODP maculopathy as an alternative to the term “schisis-like.”

Patient and methods: A twenty-four-year-old female presented with an optical coherence tomography (OCT) confirmed ODP and a “giant” communicating maculoschisis cavity. Central macular thickness (CMT) measured 906 microns, and macular volume was twice normal, at 20.8 mm3. Snellen corrected visual acuity was 20/70. Two months after initial vitrectomy performed elsewhere with short-term gas tamponade (SF6, 20%), CMT and visual acuity were not significantly improved. Combined lens extraction/ intraocular lens placement and repeat vitrectomy with C3F8 15% gas tamponade were performed, with one supplemental (office) gas injection.

Results: OCT imaging six weeks postoperatively showed definitive closure of the PMC with CMT reduced by 405 microns. Sequestered from its ODP source, foveal schisis fluid then resolved by 12 weeks postoperatively. At final follow-up 3.4 years postoperatively, the macula remained dry with a CMT of 322 microns and a concave foveal contour. Macular volume was reduced to (a normal) 10.2 mm3 and visual acuity had improved to 20/25.

Conclusion: No report heretofore has documented rapid, sustained closure of the PMC by gas tamponade as the preferred method of expeditiously resolving ODP maculopathy. However, tamponade PMC closure sequesters ODP fluid and uniquely provides early assurance of ultimate maculopathy resolution. In all other techniques, PMC closure is a trailing phenomenon and success remains uncertain during months to a year or more of (unsequestered) fluid resolution. We suggest that more invasive techniques (laser barrier application to the peripapillary choroid, vitreoretinal interface maneuvers, and pit-plugging) be withheld unless a recurrence is detected during subsequent examinations.
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Introduction

Optic disc pit (ODP) maculopathy was first described clinically in 1882 by Wiethe.1 For more than a century thereafter, macular fluid associated with ODP was referred to as macular detachment and was thought to directly exit an optic disc pit into the subretinal space.2,3 In 1988, Lincoff et al used stereo fundus photography to identify “schisis-like” intraretinal channels communicating with the pit and central, outer layer lamellar holes providing an indirect pathway for the accumulation of subretinal fluid.4 A decade later, with the advent of clinical optical coherence
tomography (OCT) imaging, these observations were con-

confirmed with maculoschisis (from the Ancient Greek “skhi-
sis”, meaning splitting) being the most common form of

ODP maculopathy and with (schisis) fluid usually entering

the subretinal space through an outer layer hole distant

from the pit.5,6

Vitrectomy is the most common form of ODP maculo-

pathy treatment, with a 50–90% success rate of achieving

foveal attachment when combined with various adjunctive

techniques.7,8 But fluid resolution is usually prolonged,

often requiring from 7 months to more than a year, while

success remains uncertain.8,9 And in non-tamponade tech-

niques, the pit-macula communication (PMC) remains

open, closing only passively as a trailing phenomenon.

Although seemingly obvious, no report has heretofore

(OCT) documented tamponade closure of the PMC itself

as the safest, quickest, and most certain method of resol-

ving ODP maculopathy.

We here present the rare image of an ODP discharging

fluid into a “giant” outer layer maculoschisis cavity, 906

microns high, extending throughout the central macula, dou-

bling the normal macular volume. With this case, we show

that safe and relatively rapid closure of the PMC was ac-

complished by vitrectomy and adequate duration gas tamponade

alone, with relatively prompt subsequent resolution of foveal

schisis fluid and a small subfoveal detachment.

Patient and methods

A twenty-four-year-old woman with no family history of

eye disease presented with visual loss of at least two

month’s duration in the right eye. Her left eye was normal

to examination.

The right eye corrected visual acuity was 20/70 using a

Snellen chart, and the anterior segment was normal. There

was a clear and solid vitreous with no posterior vitreous
detachment and a normal peripheral retina. A large pocket

of fluid was seen at the macula, contacting a subtle optic
disc pit visible at the inferior disc margin (Figure 1A). The

disc was otherwise normal, and there was a small, non-

communicating choroidal coloboma 3 mm inferior to the

optic disc, commonly seen in ODP eyes.

OCT imaging showed a 906-micron high maculoschisis
cavity in the outer retina, extending throughout the central

macula with a small, central foveal detachment beneath a

microscopic outer layer hole. Macular volume was 20.8 mm³

in the ODP right eye and 11.2 mm³ in the normal left eye.

There was a wide-open communication between the schisis

fluid and a definite pit (Figure 1B and C).

A 25-gauge vitrectomy was performed by a surgeon in

the patient’s hometown, with attempted drainage of macu-

lar fluid through a small retinotomy inside the distal super-

otemporal arcade. Laser treatment was placed at this

retinotomy and 20% SF₆ tamponade was placed to

Figure 1 (A) At presentation. Fundus photograph of optic disc, maculoschisis (outlined with white arrows), and inferior coloboma. There is slight depigmentation within the small subfoveal detachment, but no abnormality of the macular retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) elsewhere, since schisis fluid is not in contact with the RPE. (B) Composite OCT topography image of a “giant” maculoschisis cavity, in direct contact with the ODP. (C) OCT cross-section image showing a wide-open pit-macula communication (PMC) (12/15/14, CMT 906 microns, Volume 20.8 mm³).

Abbreviations: OCT, optical coherence tomography; ODP, optic disc pit; CMT, central macular thickness.
encourage flattening of the schisis cavity postoperatively. Despite a week of full-time postoperative prone positioning and persistent nighttime prone positioning, the central macular thickness (CMT) remained little changed at 871 microns with the pit communication still wide open two months postoperatively.

After counseling and informed consent, we then performed a clear lens extraction with placement of a posterior chamber intraocular lens, enabling immediate return to college studies with no prone positioning during daylight hours; reduction of six diopters of myopia; and avoidance of secondary cataract from gas contact. In the same operation, a 25-gauge vitrectomy with placement of more prolonged C$_3$F$_8$ 15% tamponade was performed. A small epimacular membrane that had developed parafoveal subsequent to previous vitrectomy was also removed. Postoperative instructions were to position facing downward only while sleeping.

**Results**

Six weeks later, the CMT had decreased from 906 microns at presentation to 501 microns, macular volume had decreased from 20.8 mm$^3$ at presentation to 15.3 mm, and the PMC was closed (Figure 2A and B). As a further assurance of adequate tamponade, an office-based, sequential liquid/gas exchange was then performed using a 27-gauge needle placed through the inferior pars plana, adding 3 cc of C$_3$F$_8$ 30% as the prior tamponade resolved.

Twelve weeks postoperatively, the maculoschisis had resolved at the fovea as it contracted inferonasally, and the PMC remained closed (Figure 3A and B). The patient moved to another city and was lost to frequent follow-up until 3.4 years after the initial presentation. The maculoschisis fluid had completely resolved and the fovea was dry and concave with visual acuity improved to 20/25. The macular volume had diminished to a normal 10.2 mm$^3$ and the CMT was 322 mm (Figure 4A–C).

**Discussion**

Reports in the OCT era have shown a myriad of ODP maculopathy anatomic variations,\(^{10,11}\) with most cases including “schisis” fluid within the macula. Schisis (Greek skhisis) is technically a word fragment and in medicine is combined with an anatomical prefix, such as palatoschisis, iridoschisis, retinoschisis, or maculoschisis, to denote a clefting or separation of tissues. We here define maculoschisis as the accumulation of reversible intraretinal fluid in the macula, separating inner and outer retinal layers, with preserved axonal connections. These delicate connections distinguish maculoschisis from (peripheral) retinoschisis, in which all such connections are permanently broken. This explains partially preserved visual acuity in maculoschisis and provides the basis for improvement in central vision upon fluid resolution. Unlike peripheral retinoschisis, maculoschisis is a secondary form of schisis with fluid entering...
the retina from an adjacent pit and/or resulting from traction maculopathy.\textsuperscript{12,13}

This report uniquely demonstrates a very large maculoschisis cavity in the outer retina with a prominent PMC. In contrast to many other ODP reports that show shallow schisis channels overlying relatively large macular detachments,\textsuperscript{11,14} here a “giant” schisis cavity developed and apparently remained a pure maculoschisis until finally breaking through a microscopic outer layer hole to form a small detachment at the fovea (Figure 1C), as first described by Lincoff et al.\textsuperscript{4}

Sobol et al showed that the natural course of ODP maculopathy results in 80% of eyes having 20/200 visual acuity or less, at an average follow-up of nine years.\textsuperscript{15} Because of both increased recognition of this poor prognosis and advances in vitrectomy technology, treatment of ODP maculopathy has been a subject of increasing interest in the vitrectomy/OCT era.

All recently reported treatments have included vitrectomy with some form of adjunctive procedure. But due to its rarity and poorly understood pathogenesis, there have been no clinical trials of proposed ODP maculopathy treatments and no treatment consensus other than vitrectomy has developed.

Many ODP treatment reports mention in passing that a posterior vitreous detachment was created, as though it was simple, innocuous, and required.\textsuperscript{16} But in young ODP maculoschisis eyes (with typically strong cortical vitreous adherence to ILM overlying tenuous neural connections), producing cortical vitreous detachment can be especially hazardous (Figure 5A and B)\textsuperscript{17} and should be performed with extreme caution. In contrast, gas tamponade actually safeguards fragile maculoschisis tissue (Figure 6).

Core vitrectomy with an effort to remove only cortical vitreous that separates easily relieves possible occult anteroposterior vitreous traction as a triggering mechanism.\textsuperscript{18} Moreover, there is no significant evidence for tangential traction in ODP maculopathy requiring cortical vitreous separation from the macular surface as a condition of success.\textsuperscript{19,20} ILM peeling, which we introduced in 1990,\textsuperscript{21} poses further iatrogenic tractional hazard and is not required.

**Conclusion**

Thirty years ago, Morris and Witherspoon reported the first successful treatment series for this rare condition, achieving complete resolution of ODP maculopathy in 8 of 8 eyes using core vitrectomy, gas tamponade, and laser retinopexy underlying the papillomacular bundle.\textsuperscript{20} Four of the 8 eyes...
required a second tamponade and/or laser treatment, immediately upon clearance of macular gas tamponade, based on persistent fluid seen over the laser treatment on contact lens examination. They thus permanently closed the PMC in all eyes by carefully creating a physical barrier to the egress of pit fluid.

With OCT imaging, we have since learned that once flattened by vitrectomy and adequate duration gas tamponade, the PMC typically remains closed without the need of laser retinopexy, and all sequestered ODP maculopathy fluid subsequently resolves. OCT imaging also helps guide tamponade so as to be utilized long enough, and only long enough, to achieve PMC closure. Adequate duration tamponade may require pseudophakia as the price of most safely regaining optimal macular function, depending on patient tolerance of positioning.

In summary, the novel insight from this report is that PMC closure by vitrectomy with tamponade alone, without higher risk peripapillary laser or macular dissection...
techniques, is effective and is the only reliable, early indicator of ultimate success, obviating months of outcome uncertainty. Subsequent OCT monitoring then serves to confirm progressive resolution and detect recrudescence. This is now our preferred ODP maculopathy treatment, with higher risk laser retinopexy and/or vitreoretinal interface surgery reserved only for a rare recurrence.
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