Escherichia coli O25b-ST131 and O16-ST131 causing urinary tract infection in women in Changsha, China: molecular epidemiology and clinical characteristics

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal:
Infection and Drug Resistance

Yi-Ming Zhong¹

Wen-En Liu¹

Qian Meng²

Yuan Li²

¹Department of Clinical Laboratory, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, People's Republic of China; ²Faculty of Laboratory Medicine, Xiangya School of Medicine, Central South University, Changsha, People's Republic of China

Objective: This study aimed to investigate the prevalence of *Escherichia coli* ST131 and molecularly characterize the O25b-ST131 and O16-ST131 subgroups among urinary tract infection (UTI) *E. coli* isolates from women in central China. We also assessed the clinical characteristics and outcomes of infections caused by *E. coli* ST131.

Methods: Between January 2014 and December 2015, a total of 216 consecutive, non-repetitive *E. coli* isolates were recovered from UTI urine samples from women in Changsha, China. All isolates were analyzed for phylogenetic groups, antimicrobial resistance and virulence genotypes. ST131 clonal groups were identified using PCR and characterized using O serotyping, CTX-M genotypes, *fimH*, *gyrA*, and *parC* alleles, fluoroquinolone resistance genes and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). Clinical data were obtained from medical records.

Results: Overall, 41 (19.0%) of 216 *E. coli* isolates were identified to contain ST131 strains, among which 27 were O25b-ST131 strains and 14 were O16-ST131 strains. The clinical characteristics and outcomes of the ST131 group did not differ significantly from those of the non-ST131 group, except for the presence of urinary stones (43.9% vs 27.4%, $P=0.039$). Ciprofloxacin resistance was found to be significantly higher in O25b-ST131 isolates than O16-ST131 isolates (96.3% vs 14.3%, $P<0.001$). The majority of O25b-ST131 isolates belonged to *fimH*30 (92.6%), followed by *fimH*41 (3.7%) and *fimH*27 (3.7%). O25b-H30 and O25b-H41 isolates were resistant to ciprofloxacin, and possessed *gyrA*1AB/*parC*1aAB combination. All of the O16-ST131 isolates were found to belong to *fimH*41, and of which, two of the ciprofloxacin-resistant strains harbored *gyrA*1AB/*parC*3A combination. Three PFGE clusters, consisting of 38 (92.7%) isolates, with more than 70% similarity were identified.

Conclusion: The O25b and O16 sub-lineages have emerged as an important group of *E. coli* ST131 in UTI isolates from women in China. UTI patients with a history of urinary stones may need to be particularly vigilant against ST131 infection.

Keywords: *E. coli*, ST131, *fimH*-based subclones, fluoroquinolone resistance, urinary tract infection

Correspondence: Wen-En Liu
Department of Clinical Laboratory,
Xiangya Hospital, Central South
University, Changsha, Hunan Province
410008, People's Republic of China
Tel +86 7 318 432 7437
Fax +86 7 318 432 7332
Email wenenliu@163.com

Introduction

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is one of the most common infectious diseases. UTIs are much more common in women.^{1,2} It is estimated that almost half of all women will experience at least one UTI in their lifetime.^{1,2} Recurrent UTIs occur in more

than 25% of affected women.³ *Escherichia coli* is the most common pathogen causing UTIs in humans and belongs to the large group of extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli* (ExPEC).^{4–6} ExPEC is the leading cause of community-onset UTIs and nosocomial UTIs.⁶

In the last 10 years, the emergence and rapid global spread of *E. coli* sequence type 131 (ST131) with high virulence potential presents a severe threat to public health.⁷ ST131 is considered to be the predominant ExPEC strain throughout the world.⁷ Regarding the spread of ST131 among *E. coli* isolates causing UTIs, most studies have focused on extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing or fluoroquinolone-resistant (FQ-R) isolates.⁷ Fewer studies have evaluated the prevalence of ST131 among unselected UTI *E. coli* isolates, with dissimilarities between different subjects and geographic areas.^{8–10} ST131 in UTI *E. coli* isolates has been sporadically reported in two recent studies in mainland China,^{11,12} but this has not yet been investigated systematically. In addition, although the majority of ST131 isolates belong to the O25b:H4 serotype, a small subset of ST131 isolates with the O16:H5 serotype have recently been identified in several countries.^{13–16} In China, we first reported O16-ST131 in a previous study, and found that this type was the predominant subset among ST131 fecal *E. coli* isolates.¹⁷ However, two recent studies found that O16-ST131 accounted for 33.7% of ST131 among clinical *E. coli* isolates in mainland China,¹¹ and 27.0% of ST131 among urinary *E. coli* isolates in Hong Kong.¹⁸ Considering the huge population of China (1.4 billion), more data are urgently needed. Furthermore, women, as a high-risk population, have not yet received the attention they deserve in most studies.^{8–12}

Therefore, the aim of the current study was to determine the prevalence of ST131 and molecularly characterize the O25b-ST131 and O16-ST131 subgroups among unselected UTI *E. coli* isolates from women in central China. We also assessed the clinical characteristics and outcomes of infections caused by *E. coli* ST131.

Materials and methods

Bacterial isolates and clinical data collection

This study was conducted at Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, a 3500-bed tertiary care center located in Changsha, central China, with 7500–10,000 daily patient visits. A total of 216 consecutive, non-repetitive *E. coli*

clinical isolates from female patients with UTIs, one from each patient, were collected from January 2014 to December 2015. Isolates were recovered from non-duplicate urine samples with significant *E. coli* bacteriuria (colony count $>10^5$ cfu/mL) and pyuria.

The demographic and clinical information of the patients was retrieved retrospectively, and included age, location, history of underlying diseases, use of urinary catheters or central venous catheters, received radiotherapy or chemotherapy within 1 month, corticosteroid or immunosuppressant use within 1 month, recent surgical procedures, treatment with antibiotics and outcomes.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Susceptibility testing was performed using the Vitek 2 system (bioMérieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, France) and interpreted in accordance with Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) criteria.¹⁹ ESBL producers were confirmed using the double-disc synergy test.¹⁹ Isolates with intermediate susceptibility were considered to be resistant. The resistance score was the number of antimicrobial agents to which the isolate was non-susceptible.¹⁷ Multidrug resistance was defined as resistance to three or more antimicrobial categories.^{17,20}

Phylogenetic group, virulence genotyping, and ST131 detection

Major *E. coli* phylogenetic groups (A, B1, B2, C, D and E) were determined using PCR.²¹ The presence of 31 virulence genes was assessed through multiplex PCR and the virulence score was calculated as described previously.^{22,23} The ST131 lineages and O serotyping were identified as previously described.²⁴

Molecular characterization of ST131

Detection of *bla*_{CTX-M} was performed in ST131 isolates through multiplex PCR and sequencing.^{25,26} *fimH*, *gyrA* and *parC* subtyping was performed as previously described.^{27–29} The screening of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance (PMQR) determinants [*qnrA*, *qnrB*, *qnrC*, *qnrS*, *aac(6')-Ib-cr* and *qepA*] was carried out using a multiplex PCR method.³⁰

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)

PFGE was performed on all ST131 isolates in accordance with a standardized protocol.³¹ Banding patterns were analyzed using BioNumerics software (Applied Maths,

Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium) and the Dice similarity coefficient. A dendrogram was constructed in accordance with the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages (UPGMA). Isolates were considered to belong to the same PFGE pattern if their Dice similarity index was $\geq 85\%$.

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables (clinical information, antimicrobial resistance patterns and virulence traits) were compared between different groups using a χ^2 or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. Continuous variables (age, resistance and virulence score) were compared using Student's *t*-test or the Mann-Whitney *U* test. The criterion for statistical significance was $P < 0.05$. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Distribution of phylogenetic groups, ST131 and O types

Phylogenetic analysis revealed that 100 (46.3%) of the 216 *E. coli* strains belonged to phylogroup B2, 36 (16.7%) to phylogroup D, 29 (13.4%) to phylogroup F, 28 (13.0%) to phylogroup A, 10 (4.6%) to phylogroup B1, 9 (4.2%) to phylogroup C, and 4 (1.8%) to phylogroup E.

Overall, 41 (19.0%) of the 216 *E. coli* strains were identified as ST131, and of these, 27 (65.9%) were from serogroup O25b and 14 (34.1%) from serogroup O16.

Epidemiological and clinical associations of ST131 status and O types

The epidemiological and clinical data of the 216 female patients with ST131 and non-ST131 *E. coli* isolates causing UTIs are demonstrated in Table 1. The clinical characteristics of the ST131 group did not differ significantly from the findings for the non-ST131 group, except for the presence of urinary stones (43.9% vs 27.4%, $P = 0.039$). In addition, the clinical presentation and outcomes of patients infected with O16-ST131 were similar to those of patients infected with O25b-ST131 isolates.

Antimicrobial susceptibility associated with ST131 status and O types

ST131 isolates showed higher resistance proportions than non-ST131 isolates, except for resistance to nitrofurantoin. This difference was significant for ampicillin, ceftriaxone

and ampicillin/sulbactam (Table 2). ST131 accounted for 23.9% of ESBL-producing isolates and 23.0% of the FQ-R isolates, but only 11.0% of non-ESBL-producing isolates and 13.8% of the FQ-susceptible (FQ-S) isolates (Table 3). However, there was no significant difference in the resistance scores of ST131 and non-ST131 isolates.

As indicated in Table 2, the O25b-ST131 isolates had a significantly higher prevalence of resistance to cefazolin and ciprofloxacin compared with the O16-ST131 isolates. O25b subgroups accounted for 75.0% and 92.9% of ST131 isolates within ESBL and the FQ-R groups, but only 33.3% and 7.7% of those within non-ESBL-producing and FQ-S groups, respectively. In contrast, O16 subgroups accounted for 66.7% and 92.3% of ST131 isolates within non-ESBL-producing and FQ-S groups, but only 25.0% and 7.1% of those within ESBL and FQ-R groups, respectively (Table 3). Resistance scores were significantly higher for the O25b-ST131 isolates than the O16-ST131 isolates.

Virulence traits associated with ST131 status and O types

The positive rate of virulence genes and virulence scores in ST131 isolates were higher than those in non-ST131 isolates. This difference was significant for *malX*, *bmaE*, *iutA*, *hlyA*, *kpsM II*, *traT*, *afa/draBC*, *cnfI*, *iha*, *ompT*, *sat*, *afa FM955459*, *usp*, *iucD*, *fyuA*, F10 *papA*, and *fimH* (Table 4). However, the virulence genotypes and virulence scores of the O16-ST131 isolates resembled those of the O25b-ST131 isolates.

ST131 characterization

ESBL-producing *E. coli* ST131 prevalence was 78.0% (32/41). A total of 93.8% (30/32) of the isolates harbored *bla*_{CTX-M}. Of the 30 isolates, 15 (50.0%) produced CTX-M-14, 6 (20.0%) CTX-M-55, 4 (13.3%) CTX-M-15, 4 (13.3%) CTX-M-27, and 1 (3.3%) CTX-M-24.

As indicated in Figure S1, the majority of the O25b-ST131 isolates belonged to *fimH*30 (25/27, 92.6%), followed by *fimH*41 ($n=1$) and *fimH*27 ($n=1$). O25b-*H*30 and O25b-*H*41 isolates were resistant to ciprofloxacin, and possessed a set of four amino acid replacement mutations (*gyrA*1AB Ser-83-Leu, Asp-87-Asn and *parC*1aAB Ser-80-Ile, Glu-84-Val) in the quinolone resistance-determining regions (QRDRs). However, all of the O16-ST131 isolates were found to belong to *fimH*41, and of which, two of the ciprofloxacin-resistant strains harbored three replacement mutations (*gyrA*1AB Ser-83-Leu, Asp-87-Asn and *parC*3A Ser-80-Ile). The

Table 1 Epidemiological and clinical data of 216 female patients with ST131 and non-ST131 *E. coli* isolates causing urinary tract infection

Variable	No. (%) of Patients		P-value	No. (%) of Patients		P-value
	ST131 (n=41)	non-ST131 (n=175)		O16-ST131 (n=14)	O25b-ST131 (n=27)	
Age (year, mean $\pm$ SD)	60.2 $\pm$ 14.8	55.3 $\pm$ 16.2	0.077	56.0 $\pm$ 14.7	62.4 $\pm$ 14.6	0.189
Location						
Outpatient	7 (17.1)	25 (14.3)	0.651	3 (21.4)	4 (14.8)	0.923
Inpatient	34 (82.9)	150 (85.7)	0.651	11 (78.6)	23 (85.2)	0.923
Underlying disease						
Malignancy	1 (2.4)	18 (10.3)	0.197	0 (0)	1 (3.7)	1.000
Hypertension	12 (29.3)	44 (25.1)	0.587	3 (21.4)	9 (33.3)	0.665
Diabetes mellitus	12 (29.3)	52 (29.7)	0.955	7 (50.0)	5 (18.5)	0.082
Coronary artery disease	8 (19.5)	23 (13.1)	0.295	3 (21.4)	5 (18.5)	1.000
Neurological disease	11 (26.8)	52 (29.7)	0.714	3 (21.4)	8 (29.6)	0.849
Chronic kidney disease	11 (26.8)	46 (26.3)	0.943	4 (28.6)	7 (25.9)	1.000
Urinary stones	18 (43.9)	48 (27.4)	0.039	9 (64.3)	9 (33.3)	0.058
Liver cirrhosis	3 (7.3)	4 (2.3)	0.251	1 (7.1)	2 (7.4)	1.000
Urinary catheter use	12 (29.3)	35 (20.0)	0.195	5 (35.7)	7 (25.9)	0.771
Central venous catheter use	3 (7.3)	15 (8.6)	1.000	0 (0)	3 (11.1)	0.507
Corticosteroid or immunosuppressant use within 1 month	7 (17.1)	48 (27.4)	0.171	3 (21.4)	4 (14.8)	0.923
Received radiotherapy or chemotherapy within 1 month	1 (2.4)	15 (8.6)	0.309	1 (7.1)	0 (0)	0.341
Surgical procedure within prior 30 days	13 (31.7)	37 (21.1)	0.149	5 (35.7)	8 (29.6)	0.966
Treatment with antibiotics ($\geq$ two categories)	22 (53.7)	83 (47.4)	0.473	7 (50.0)	15 (55.6)	0.735
30-day mortality	2 (4.9)	3 (1.7)	0.241	1 (7.1)	1 (3.7)	1.000

Table 2 Prevalence of antimicrobial drug resistance in relation to ST131 status among 216 UTI *E. coli* isolates from women

Antimicrobial resistance	No. (%) of strains		P-value	No. (%) of ST131 strains		P-value
	ST131 (n=41)	non-ST131 (n=175)		O16-ST131 (n=14)	O25b-ST131 (n=27)	
Nitrofurantoin	0 (0)	33 (18.9)	0.003	0 (0)	0 (0)	–
Cefazolin	33 (80.5)	116 (66.3)	0.077	8 (57.1)	25 (92.6)	0.021
Ampicillin	39 (95.1)	145 (82.9)	0.047	13 (92.9)	26 (96.3)	1.000
Ciprofloxacin	28 (68.3)	94 (53.7)	0.090	2 (14.3)	26 (96.3)	0.000
Gentamicin	17 (41.5)	71 (40.6)	0.917	7 (50.0)	10 (37.0)	0.424
Ceftriaxone	32 (78.0)	103 (58.9)	0.022	8 (57.1)	24 (88.9)	0.053
Ampicillin/sulbactam	36 (87.8)	117 (66.9)	0.008	12 (85.7)	24 (88.9)	1.000
Imipenem	0 (0)	1 (0.6)	1.000	0 (0)	0 (0)	–
Aztreonam	20 (48.8)	70 (40.0)	0.305	6 (42.9)	14 (51.9)	0.585
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	21 (51.2)	82 (46.9)	0.615	6 (42.9)	15 (55.6)	0.440
Piperacillin/tazobactam	0 (0)	7 (4.0)	0.417	0 (0)	0 (0)	–
Multidrug resistance	39 (95.1)	135 (77.1)	0.009	13 (92.9)	26 (96.3)	1.000
ESBLs	32 (78.0)	102 (58.3)	0.019	8 (57.1)	24 (88.9)	0.053
Resistance score	5.5 (0–8) ^a	4.8 (0–10) ^a	0.182	4.4 (0–6) ^a	6.1 (1–8) ^a	0.002

Note: ^aThe value denotes the mean and range.

Abbreviation: ESBL, extended-spectrum beta-lactamase.

Table 3 Distribution by resistance group of ST131 among 216 *E. coli* isolates and O25b/O16 subgroups among 41 ST131 isolates

Categories	ESBL (n=134)	non-ESBL (n=82)	P-value	FQ-R (n=122)	FQ-S (n=94)	P-value
ST131	32 (23.9)	9 (11.0)	0.019	28 (23.0)	13 (13.8)	0.090
O25b	24 (75.0)	3 (33.3)	0.053	26 (92.9)	1 (7.7)	0.000
O16	8 (25.0)	6 (66.7)	0.053	2 (7.1)	12 (92.3)	0.000

Abbreviations: FQ-R, fluoroquinolone-resistant; FQ-S, fluoroquinolone-susceptible; ESBL, extended-spectrum beta-lactamase.

aac(6')-Ib-cr gene was found in two ST131 isolates. None of the other types of PMQR determinant were detected.

The PFGE results showed that the 41 ST131 isolates comprised 28 PFGE patterns (defined at $\geq 85\%$ similarity), the majority of which were grouped into three large clusters with a 70% similarity. These three PFGE clusters accounted for 38 (92.7%, 38/41) isolates (Figure S1).

Discussion

The overall rate of the ST131 clone in UTI-associated *E. coli* isolates from women was 19.0%, which is similar to that reported (18.5%) in male and female patients in Hong Kong in 2015 and slightly higher than that observed (15.4%) in the general population in mainland China in 2017.^{11,18} The high prevalence of this worldwide pandemic clone highlights the necessity to monitor the spread of the highly successful multidrug-resistant ST131 clonal group throughout China. The positivity rate of ESBLs in the ST131 strains was 78.0%, which is higher than that observed (35.1%) in the UK.⁸ The ST131 isolates were more resistant to ampicillin, ceftriaxone

and ampicillin/sulbactam, but more susceptible to nitrofurantoin than the non-ST131 isolates. These results suggest that nitrofurantoin may be effective in treating UTIs caused by *E. coli* ST131.

Similar to previous studies,^{7,11} we found that O25b-ST131 was the predominant subclone among ST131 isolates. O16-ST131 accounted for a high proportion (34.1%) of the ST131 isolates in the current study, whereas this type accounted for 1% in Australia, 4.3% in Spain, 8% in France and 12% in Japan.^{7,13–16} The first report of O16-ST131 was from China in 2015 and occurred in fecal samples from healthy individuals,¹⁷ and it has now been identified across China. The distribution of serogroups we observed in the current study is very close to that reported recently from consecutive *E. coli* isolates from various clinical samples in Fuzhou, China.¹¹ This emphasizes the importance of studying the O16 subclone further in this high-incidence country.

Some studies have found that older age was associated with ST131 infection.^{18,23,32} Although the differences did not reach statistical significance, patients

Table 4 Prevalence of virulence traits in relation to ST131 status among 216 UTI *E. coli* isolates from women

Virulence gene	No. (%) of strains		P-value	No. (%) of ST131 strains		P-value
	ST131 (n=41)	non-ST131 (n=175)		O16-ST131 (n=14)	O25b-ST131 (n=27)	
Adhesins						
<i>fimH</i>	41 (100)	145 (82.9)	0.004	14 (100)	27 (100)	–
<i>F10 papA</i>	39 (95.1)	46 (26.3)	0.000	13 (92.9)	26 (96.3)	1.000
<i>fimAV_{MT78}</i>	0 (0)	9 (5.1)	0.294	0 (0)	0 (0)	–
<i>papC</i>	7 (17.1)	37 (21.1)	0.560	2 (14.3)	5 (18.5)	1.000
<i>papEF</i>	8 (19.5)	42 (24.0)	0.540	2 (14.3)	6 (22.2)	0.847
<i>sfal/focDE</i>	0 (0)	5 (2.9)	0.586	0 (0)	0 (0)	–
<i>afa/draBC</i>	11 (26.8)	15 (8.6)	0.003	5 (35.7)	6 (22.2)	0.580
<i>afa FM955459</i>	7 (17.1)	5 (2.9)	0.001	3 (21.4)	4 (14.8)	0.923
<i>bmaE</i>	4 (9.8)	3 (1.7)	0.033	2 (14.3)	2 (7.4)	0.882
<i>gafD</i>	0 (0)	1 (0.6)	1.000	0 (0)	0 (0)	–
<i>iha</i>	31 (75.6)	64 (36.6)	0.000	9 (64.3)	22 (81.5)	0.405
Toxins						
<i>cdtB</i>	0 (0)	0 (0)	–	0 (0)	0 (0)	–
<i>sat</i>	22 (53.7)	55 (31.4)	0.007	2 (14.3)	20 (74.1)	0.000
<i>cnfI</i>	11 (26.8)	19 (10.9)	0.008	4 (28.6)	7 (25.9)	1.000
<i>hlyA</i>	7 (17.1)	5 (2.9)	0.001	2 (14.3)	5 (18.5)	1.000
Siderophores						
<i>iucD</i>	39 (95.1)	106 (60.6)	0.000	13 (92.9)	26 (96.3)	1.000
<i>iroN</i>	2 (4.9)	47 (26.9)	0.002	1 (7.1)	1 (3.7)	1.000
<i>iutA</i>	39 (95.1)	105 (60.0)	0.000	12 (85.7)	27 (100)	0.111
<i>fyuA</i>	32 (78.0)	105 (60.0)	0.031	10 (71.4)	22 (81.5)	0.734
Capsules						
<i>kpsM II</i>	36 (87.8)	118 (67.4)	0.009	11 (78.6)	25 (92.6)	0.425
<i>kpsM II-K2</i>	2 (4.9)	58 (33.1)	0.000	1 (7.1)	1 (3.7)	1.000
<i>kpsM II-K5</i>	19 (46.3)	56 (32.0)	0.083	4 (28.6)	15 (55.6)	0.100
<i>kpsM III</i>	0 (0)	3 (1.7)	1.000	0 (0)	0 (0)	–
Miscellaneous						
<i>cvaC</i>	0 (0)	19 (10.9)	0.057	0 (0)	0 (0)	–
<i>iss</i>	2 (4.9)	35 (20.0)	0.021	0 (0)	2 (7.4)	0.539
<i>traT</i>	36 (87.8)	105 (60.0)	0.001	14 (100)	22 (81.5)	0.224
<i>ibeA</i>	0 (0)	11 (6.3)	0.210	0 (0)	0 (0)	–
<i>malX</i>	39 (95.1)	63 (36.0)	0.000	13 (92.9)	26 (96.3)	1.000
<i>usp</i>	40 (97.6)	52 (29.7)	0.000	13 (92.9)	27 (100)	0.341
<i>tsh</i>	0 (0)	14 (8.0)	0.128	0 (0)	0 (0)	–
<i>ompT</i>	37 (90.2)	92 (52.6)	0.000	13 (92.9)	24 (88.9)	1.000
Virulence score	12.5 (7–17) ^a	8.2 (1–20) ^a	0.000	11.6 (7–17) ^a	12.9 (11–16) ^a	0.072

Note: ^aThe value denotes the mean and range.

with ST131 isolates were older than those with non-ST131 isolates in the present study. We found for the first time that the presence of urinary stones was significantly associated with patients infected with ST131 isolates, indicating that UTI patients with a history of urinary stones may need to be particularly vigilant against ST131 infections. As has been found in other

studies,^{23,32,33} other clinical characteristics and mortality were similar between the different groups.

Some studies have reported that the ST131 isolates significantly surpassed the non-ST131 isolates in terms of the presence of antimicrobial resistance and/or virulence genes.^{23,34}

The present study demonstrated similar results. Johnson et al considered that the resistance-plus-virulence combination

associated with ST131 gave it a fitness advantage in the pathogenic niche.^{14,34} However, several recent studies using mouse models yielded discordant results as to whether ST131 is more virulent than other *E. coli* strains.^{14,35,36} We also found that patients with the ST131 isolates with more virulence factors and antimicrobial resistance did not have worse outcomes than patients with non-ST131 isolates, as previous studies reported.^{23,32} Therefore, further studies are needed to assess the correlation between pathogenic properties and the carriage of virulence genes as well as that between clinical implications and the carriage of virulence genes in ST131 strains.

We found that ST131 isolates mainly carried *fimH30*, in uropathogenic *E. coli* isolates in the current study. This result is in disagreement with our previous study in which H41 was the predominant *fimH* allele among ST131 isolates from intestinal commensal *E. coli*.¹⁷ Paul et al reported that the tip FimH adhesin of type 1 fimbriae, encoded by the *fimH* gene, was under strong positive selection for functional changes.³⁷ In uropathogenic *E. coli* isolates, the monomannose-specific adherence of the NA114 variant (encoded by the *fimH30* allele) was higher than the adherence of the SE15 FimH variant (encoded by the *fimH41* allele).³⁷ Additionally, increased monomannose-specific uroepithelial adhesion is considered to be commonly associated with point FimH mutations in uropathogenic strains of *E. coli*.³⁸ In the current study, we reported for the first time that an O25b-ST131 isolate contained the *fimH27* allele. This may be because *fimH* is under selection and probably gained point mutations. Further studies are required to verify this speculation and elucidate the evolution of the *fimH* allele in ST131 isolates.

Consistent with Johnson et al,²⁴ we found that most of the O16-ST131 isolates were susceptible to ciprofloxacin. In contrast, 96.3% of the O25b-ST131 isolates were resistant to ciprofloxacin, suggesting that the O16-ST131 isolates have recently emerged among the fluoroquinolone-susceptible ST131 isolates. The main mechanism of fluoroquinolone resistance in ST131 isolates is amino acid substitutions within the QRDRs of GyrA and ParC, the fluoroquinolone targets.⁷ Our results also confirm this. The *aac(6)-Ib-cr* gene had been sporadically detected in ST131 isolates, which is similar to the results of previous studies.^{7,11} Additionally, the fluoroquinolone-susceptible isolates in the O16-ST131 subgroup were commonly found to have one or two mutations in the QRDRs. With long-term use and exposure to quinolones, these fluoroquinolone-susceptible isolates may be at risk of acquiring drug resistance.³⁹

A limitation was that certain subgroups, particularly within non-ESBL-producing and FQ-S O25b/O16 sub-lineages, were small, increasing the probability of type II errors.⁴⁰ Therefore, further multicenter and broad scale studies with larger sample sizes are needed to molecularly characterize these clonal groups in the future.

Conclusion

In summary, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first report to systematically characterize O25b-ST131 and O16-ST131 clonal groups among clinical UTI isolates from women in China. These results are particularly valuable as they are specific to women, a population at high risk of UTIs. Despite the lower ciprofloxacin resistance of the O16-ST131 isolates, these isolates did not exhibit significant differences in virulence genotypes or virulence scores compared with classic O25b-ST131 isolates. The results reveal for the first time that UTI patients with a history of urinary stones may need to be particularly vigilant against ST131 infection.

Ethical approval

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, and the requirement for informed consent from patients was waived because the study was retrospective and used a database that ensured confidentiality.

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. Fangyou Yu, Xin Xia, Jiayu Jiang and all the staff in the Microbiology Department of Xiangya Hospital for their kind help. This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (81672066).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. Foxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. *Am J Med.* 2002;113 Suppl 1A:5S–13S. doi:10.1016/S0002-9343(02)01054-9
2. Foxman B, Barlow R, D'Arcy H, et al. Urinary tract infection: self-reported incidence and associated costs. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2000;10(8):509–515. doi:10.1016/S1047-2797(00)00072-7
3. Foxman B. Recurring urinary tract infection: incidence and risk factors. *Am J Public Health.* 1990;80(3):331–333. doi:10.2105/ajph.80.3.331
4. Yang Q, Zhang H, Wang Y, et al. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of aerobic and facultative gram-negative bacilli isolated from Chinese patients with urinary tract infections between 2010 and 2014. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2017;17(1):192. doi:10.1186/s12879-017-2296-x

5. Zhang H, Kong H, Yu Y, et al. Carbapenem susceptibilities of Gram-negative pathogens in intra-abdominal and urinary tract infections: updated report of SMART 2015 in China. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2018;18(1):493. doi:10.1186/s12879-018-3405-1
6. Pitout JD. Extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli*: a combination of virulence with antibiotic resistance. *Front Microbiol.* 2012;3:9. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2012.00009
7. Nicolas-Chanoine MH, Bertrand X, Madec JY. *Escherichia coli* ST131, an intriguing clonal group. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2014;27(3):543–574. doi:10.1128/CMR.00125-13
8. Gibreel TM, Dodgson AR, Cheesbrough J, et al. Population structure, virulence potential and antibiotic susceptibility of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* from Northwest England. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2012;67(2):346–356. doi:10.1093/jac/dkr451
9. Brisse S, Diancourt L, Laouánan C, et al. Phylogenetic distribution of CTX-M- and non-extended-spectrum- β -lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* isolates: group B2 isolates, except clone ST131, rarely produce CTX-M enzymes. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2012;50(9):2974–2981. doi:10.1128/jcm.00919-12
10. Banerjee R, Strahilevitz J, Johnson JR, et al. Predictors and molecular epidemiology of community-onset extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* infection in a Midwestern community. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2013;34(9):947–953. doi:10.1086/671725
11. Li B, Lu Y, Lan F, He Q, Li C, Cao Y. Prevalence and characteristics of ST131 clone among unselected clinical *Escherichia coli* in a Chinese university hospital. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control.* 2017;6:118. doi:10.1186/s13756-017-0274-0
12. Qin X, Hu F, Wu S, et al. Comparison of adhesin genes and antimicrobial susceptibilities between uropathogenic and intestinal commensal *Escherichia coli* strains. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(4):e61169. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061169
13. Platell JL, Cobbold RN, Johnson JR, et al. Commonality among fluoroquinolone-resistant sequence type ST131 extraintestinal *Escherichia coli* isolates from humans and companion animals in Australia. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2011;55(8):3782–3787. doi:10.1128/AAC.00306-11
14. Banerjee R, Johnson JR. A new clone sweeps clean: the enigmatic emergence of *Escherichia coli* sequence type 131. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2014;58(9):4997–5004. doi:10.1128/AAC.02824-14
15. Dahbi G, Mora A, López C, et al. Emergence of new variants of ST131 clonal group among extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* producing extended-spectrum β -lactamases. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* 2013;42(4):347–351. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2013.06.017
16. Blanc V, Leflon-Guibout V, Blanco J, et al. Prevalence of day-care centre children (France) with faecal CTX-M-producing *Escherichia coli* comprising O25b: H4and O16: H5 ST131 strains. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2014;69(5):1231–1237. doi:10.1093/jac/dkt519
17. Zhong YM, Liu WE, Liang XH, et al. Emergence and spread of O16-ST131 and O25b-ST131 clones among faecal CTX-M-producing *Escherichia coli* in healthy individuals in Hunan Province, China. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2015;70(8):2223–2227. doi:10.1093/jac/dkv114
18. Ho PL, Chu YP, Lo WU, et al. High prevalence of *Escherichia coli* sequence type 131 among antimicrobial-resistant *E. coli* isolates from geriatric patients. *J Med Microbiol.* 2015;64(Pt 3):243–247. doi:10.1099/jmm.0.000012
19. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing: Twenty-sixth Informational Supplement M100-S26.* Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2016.
20. Jia H, Li W, Hou T, et al. The attributable direct medical cost of healthcare associated infection caused by multidrug resistance organisms in 68 hospitals of China. *Biomed Res Int.* 2019;2019:7634528. doi:10.1155/2019/7634528
21. Clermont O, Christenson JK, Denamur E, et al. The Clermont *Escherichia coli* phylo-typing method revisited: improvement of specificity and detection of new phylo-groups. *Environ Microbiol Rep.* 2013;5(1):58–65. doi:10.1111/1758-2229.12019
22. Johnson JR, Stell AL. Extended virulence genotypes of *Escherichia coli* strains from patients with urosepsis in relation to phylogeny and host compromise. *J Infect Dis.* 2000;181(1):261–272. doi:10.1086/315217
23. Blanco J, Mora A, Mamani R, et al. Four main virotypes among extended-spectrum- β -lactamase-producing isolates of *Escherichia coli* O25b: H4-B2-ST131: bacterial, epidemiological, and clinical characteristics. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2013;51(10):3358–3367. doi:10.1128/JCM.01555-13
24. Johnson JR, Clermont O, Johnston B, et al. Rapid and specific detection, molecular epidemiology, and experimental virulence of the O16 subgroup within *Escherichia coli* sequence type 131. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2014;52(5):1358–1365. doi:10.1128/JCM.03502-13
25. Woodford N, Fagan EJ, Ellington MJ. Multiplex PCR for rapid detection of genes encoding CTX-M extended-spectrum (β)-lactamases. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2006;57(1):154–155. doi:10.1093/jac/dki412
26. Grobner S, Linke D, Schutz W, et al. Emergence of carbapenem-non-susceptible extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates at the university hospital of Tübingen, Germany. *J Med Microbiol.* 2009;58(Pt 7):912–922. doi:10.1099/jmm.0.005850-0
27. Weissman SJ, Johnson JR, Tchesnokova V, et al. High-resolution two-locus clonal typing of extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2012;78(5):1353–1360. doi:10.1128/AEM.06663-11
28. Leflon-Guibout V, Jurand C, Bonacorsi S, et al. Emergence and spread of three clonally related virulent isolates of CTX-M-15-producing *Escherichia coli* with variable resistance to aminoglycosides and tetracycline in a French geriatric hospital. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2004;48(10):3736–3742. doi:10.1128/AAC.48.10.3736-3742.2004
29. Johnson JR, Tchesnokova V, Johnston B, et al. Abrupt emergence of a single dominant multidrug-resistant strain of *Escherichia coli*. *J Infect Dis.* 2013;207(6):919–928. doi:10.1093/infdis/jis933
30. Kim HB, Park CH, Kim CJ, et al. Prevalence of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance determinants over a 9-year period. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2009;53(2):639–645. doi:10.1128/AAC.01051-08
31. Ribot EM, Fair MA, Gautam R, et al. Standardization of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis protocols for the subtyping of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7, *Salmonella*, and *Shigella* for PulseNet. *Foodborne Pathog Dis.* 2006;3(1):59–67. doi:10.1089/fpd.2006.3.59
32. Banerjee R, Johnston B, Lohse C, et al. *Escherichia coli* sequence type 131 is a dominant, antimicrobial-resistant clonal group associated with healthcare and elderly hosts. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2013;34(4):361–369. doi:10.1086/669865
33. Kim YA, Lee K, Chung JE. Risk factors and molecular features of sequence type (ST) 131 extended-spectrum- β -lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* in community-onset female genital tract infections. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2018;18(1):250. doi:10.1186/s12879-018-3168-8
34. Johnson JR, Johnston B, Clabots C, et al. *Escherichia coli* sequence type ST131 as the major cause of serious multidrug-resistant *E. coli* infections in the United States. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;51(3):286–294. doi:10.1086/653932
35. Vimont S, Boyd A, Bleibtreu A, et al. The CTX-M-15-producing *Escherichia coli* clone O25b: H4-ST131 has high intestine colonization and urinary tract infection abilities. *PLoS One.* 2012;7(9):e46547. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046547

36. Johnson JR, Porter SB, Zhanel G, et al. Virulence of *Escherichia coli* clinical isolates in a murine sepsis model in relation to sequence type ST131 status, fluoroquinolone resistance, and virulence genotype. *Infect Immun*. 2012;80(4):1554–1562. doi:10.1128/IAI.06388-11
37. Paul S, Linardopoulou EV, Billig M, et al. Role of homologous recombination in adaptive diversification of extraintestinal *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol*. 2013;195(2):231–242. doi:10.1128/JB.01524-12
38. Weissman SJ, Beskhlebnaya V, Chesnokova V, et al. Differential stability and trade-off effects of pathoadaptive mutations in the *Escherichia coli* *fimH* adhesin. *Infect Immun*. 2007;75(7):3548–3555. doi:10.1128/iai.01963-06
39. Matsumura Y, Yamamoto M, Nagao M, et al. Association of fluoroquinolone resistance, virulence genes, and IncF plasmids with extended-spectrum-beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* sequence type 131 (ST131) and ST405 clonal groups. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2013;57(10):4736–4742. doi:10.1128/AAC.00641-13
40. Kudinha T, Johnson JR, Andrew SD, et al. *Escherichia coli* sequence type 131 as a prominent cause of antibiotic resistance among urinary *Escherichia coli* isolates from reproductive-age women. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2013;51(10):3270–3276. doi:10.1128/JCM.01315-13

Supplementary material

Figure S1 Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis profiles of XbaI-digested genomic DNA from 41 *E. coli* ST131 isolates. The dendrogram was constructed in accordance with the UPGMA. The broken vertical lines indicate 85% and 70% similarity of PFGE profiles, respectively. Strain number, location, O serogroup, *fimH*, *gyrA*, and *parC* alleles, PFGE patterns and resistance profiles are included. *gyrA*I, wild type; *gyrA*IA, one replacement mutation, Ser-83-Leu; *gyrA*IAB, two replacement mutations, Ser-83-Leu and Asp-87-Asn; *parC*1b, one silent mutation; *parC*3A, a recombined variant containing one replacement mutation Ser-80-Ile; *parC*1aAB, *parC*1a plus the replacement mutations Ser-80-Ile and Glu-84-Val.

Abbreviations: KZ, ceftazolin; AMP, ampicillin; CIP, ciprofloxacin; GEN, gentamicin; CRO, ceftriaxone; A/S, ampicillin/sulbactam; ATM, aztreonam; SXT, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole.

Infection and Drug Resistance

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Infection and Drug Resistance is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that focuses on the optimal treatment of infection (bacterial, fungal and viral) and the development and institution of preventive strategies to minimize the development and spread of resistance. The journal is specifically concerned with the epidemiology of

antibiotic resistance and the mechanisms of resistance development and diffusion in both hospitals and the community. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/infection-and-drug-resistance-journal>