Impact of arterial hypertension and type 2 diabetes on cardiac autonomic modulation in obese individuals with recommendation for bariatric surgery

Nara Nóbrega Crispim Carvalho1,2 Francisco Antônio de Oliveira Junior3 Gitana da Silva4 Vinicius José Baccin Martins3 Valdir de Andrade Braga5 João Henrique da Costa-Silva6 Flávia Cristina Fernandes Pimenta4 José Luiz de Brito Alves1

1Department of Nutrition, Health Sciences Center, Federal University of Paraíba, João Pessoa, Brazil; 2Department of Endocrinology, Lauro Wanderley University Hospital, Federal University of Paraíba, João Pessoa, Brazil; 3Department of Physiology and Pathology, Health Sciences Center, Federal University of Paraíba, João Pessoa, Brazil; 4Department of Biotechnology, Center of Biotechnology, Federal University of Paraíba, João Pessoa, Brazil; 5Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, Federal University of Pelotas, Pelotas, RS, Brazil; 6Department of Physiology and Pathology, Health Sciences Center, Federal University of Paraíba, João Pessoa, Brazil; 7Department of Biotechnology, Center of Biotechnology, Federal University of Paraíba, João Pessoa, Brazil; 8Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, Federal University of Pelotas, Pelotas, RS, Brazil

Background and aim: Obese individuals with recommendation for bariatric surgery (BS) exhibit increased cardiovascular risk. The association of obesity with comorbidities, such as arterial hypertension (HTN) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), can worsen cardiovascular dysfunction. This study aimed to investigate the effect of HTN on cardiac autonomic function and whether diabetes exacerbates HTN-related impairment of autonomic function in obese subjects.

Methods: Samples (n=63) were allocated to three groups: Obese without HTN and T2DM (n=29), Obese with HTN (OHTN, n=17) and OHTN with T2DM (OHTN+T2DM, n=17), in which anthropometric measures, body composition, blood biochemical parameters, blood pressure (BP) and heart rate variability (HRV) were evaluated.

Results: The age was higher in OHTN+T2DM and OHTN than in obese groups (p<0.05). OHTN+T2DM individuals had increased neck circumference and compromised glycemic profile when compared to obese and OHTN groups (p<0.05). Mean values for standard deviation of RR (SDRR), square root of the mean squared differences of successive RR interval (RMSSD) and number of pairs of successive normal-to-normal beat intervals that differed by 50 ms (pRR50) were significantly lower in OHTN+T2DM and OHTN groups when compared to patients with obesity alone (p<0.05). The low frequency (LF), low frequency/high frequency (LF/HF) ratio were higher in the OHTN+T2DM and OHTN than subjects with obesity alone (p<0.05). Nonlinear parameters SD2 and SD1 were also lower in the OHTN+T2DM when compared to the obese group (p<0.05). However, the SD2/SD1 ratio was higher in the OHTN+T2DM and OHTN groups than the obese group.

Conclusion: T2DM and/or HTN impair the cardiac autonomic function in obese patients. However, the presence of T2DM did not exacerbate the hypertension-related impairment of autonomic function.
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Introduction

Obesity is a chronic disease of high prevalence and complex management. In most cases, the excessive body weight has been associated with several comorbidities, such as type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), arterial hypertension (HTN), dyslipidemia and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome, which contribute to high cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in this group of patients.1,2
The sympathetic activity and sympathovagal tone imbalances are markedly increased in obese subjects, which are independently associated with increased cardiovascular risk. In addition to obesity, diabetes and HTN are comorbidities that impair the cardiac autonomic function. For example, among patients with diabetes, the mortality rate is higher in those with autonomic dysfunction than in those subjects with well-controlled autonomic function.

Weight loss has been associated with a remarkable improvement in the autonomic cardiac function. However, sustained weight loss in morbidly obese patients is difficult and repeatedly unsuccessful. Thus, bariatric surgery (BS) has been considered the more effective treatment approach for these patients. Despite BS has been shown effective in reducing body weight, the improvement of comorbidities and greater weight loss after BS is not always satisfactory achieved. As a result, cardiac autonomic dysfunction (CAD) in obese subjects prior to BS is associated with a lower resolution of those comorbidities after surgery.

The early CAD may exhibit no symptoms and only be detected by abnormal indices of heart rate variability (HRV). The HRV reflects the oscillation in the time intervals between consecutive heartbeats (usually stated as RR intervals) and is extensively used to evaluate autonomic modulation of the cardiac function. Reduced HRV is associated with increased sympathovagal tone in several disorders such as HTN, diabetes and, obesity, which are independently associated with increased cardiovascular risk. The association of these comorbidities, such as diabetes and HTN, appears to impair HRV more than either the diseases alone.

In this way, the understanding of the autonomic function in obese subjects with or without comorbidities before BS might be essential for predicting clinical outcomes after surgery. Therefore, the aim of the study was to examine the impact of HTN with or without T2DM on HRV in obese subjects with a recommendation for BS.

Methods
Ethical aspects of the research
This cross-sectional study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol of the original study entitled “Cardiovascular, metabolic and muscular evaluation in sarcopenic and non-sarcopenic obese patients indicated for bariatric surgery” was submitted and approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Lauro Wanderley University Hospital, Federal University of Paraiba (Reference number 80984817.9.0000.5183) and all procedures were conducted in agreement with the Resolution 466/2012 of the National Health Council and the International. After the patients gave written informed consent they underwent screening procedures.

Subjects
Obese subjects aged 18–60 years, BMI ≥40 kg/m² or ≥35 kg/m² with a recommendation for BS and belonging to the surgical obesity outpatient clinic of Lauro Wanderley University Hospital were included in the study between March and September 2018.

Exclusion criteria consisted of a history consistent with pregnancy, cardiac transplantation, presence of arrhythmias (eg, ventricular atrial block, atrial fibrillation), cardiac pacemakers, clinical history of renal dysfunction, ischemic and non-ischemic cardiomyopathy, important psychiatric diseases and active malignant neoplasms.

The participants were divided into three groups: 1) obese individuals without diagnosis of HTN and T2DM (obese, n=29); 2) obese individuals with diagnosis of HTN (OHTN, n=17); and 3) obese individuals with diagnosis of HTN and T2DM (OHTN+T2DM, n=17). All participants underwent a careful clinical assessment before the study.

Clinical and anthropometric evaluation
A questionnaire was administered to participants in order to retrieve information regarding their age, sex, physical activity (moderate physical activity ≥150 min/week), nutritional counseling, smoking, previous diseases, time of illness and use of medication. The duration of T2DM and HTN was recorded based on the date of diagnosis self-reported by patients.

Body weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg (Inbody 370, Model JW140, Chungeoongnam-do, KOREA). Height was measured to the nearest 0.5 cm using a stadiometer (anthropometric balance caumaq mechanical capacity 300 kg) and BMI (kg/m²) was calculated as the weight divided by the square of the height (Kg/m²). Neck circumference (NC) was measured using a flexible ruler at the thyroid cartilage level.

Assessment of body composition
The body composition was evaluated by bioimpedance (Inbody 370, Model JW140, Chungeoongnam-do, KOREA), tetrapolar, multifrequency, segmental, with 15 impedance measurements using 3 different frequencies.
After an initial stabilization period of 5 mins, subjects were instructed to remain silent, breathing normally at tidal volume and with the subjects at rest and in the supine position. Following, ECG measurements were recorded for 10 mins. The ECG model 26T-LTS (ADInstruments®, Bella Vista, NSW, Australia) was used and recordings were made with the 5-electrode configuration through LabChart® data acquisition software (ADInstruments®, Bella Vista, NSW, Australia). ECG was set to a sampling rate of 1 KHz, range of 2 millivolts using a digital filter of 50 Hz (low pass). All data were exported and blindly analyzed by an independent trained researcher using LabChart 8 software.

ECG recordings were processed by computer software (ECG analysis module for LabChart Pro; ADInstruments) for automatic detection of the R waves and beat-by-beat calculation of RR interval. For detection of ECG, 80 ms was used to determine typical QRS width and for R waves at least 300 ms apart. For ECG analysis, 240 ms was used for the maximum PR and 240 ms for maximum RT.

The heart rate (HR) and the following measures of HRV analysis were determined; 1) time-domain parameters: average R-R interval, standard deviation between the duration of RR intervals (SDRR), the square root of the mean of the sum of the squares of the successive differences between adjacent normal-to-normal beats (RMSSD), and the number of pairs of successive normal-to-normal beat intervals that differed by 50 ms (pRR50); 2) frequency-domain parameters: low frequency (LF) band (from 0.04 to 0.15 Hz) and high frequency (HF) band (from 0.15 to 0.40 Hz) and the LF/HF ratio, the power of each spectral component was calculated in normalized units (un); 3) Nonlinear parameters (SD1 and SD2). Poincaré scatters plots were constructed and investigated as a nonlinear tool, including the transverse axes (SD1, an indicator of parasympathetic activity) and the longitudinal axes (SD2, an function of sympathetic and vagal activity).

**Statistical analysis**

Values are reported as mean (95% confidence interval). The variables age and NC were analyzed using one-way ANOVA test, following Tukey post-test. BP, body composition, biochemical parameters, ECG analysis and HRV measures were analyzed using one-way ANCOVA with correction for age and NC. Categorical data were analyzed by chi-square. The Pearson correlation coefficient (r) was used to explore the relationship between the clinical, anthropometric, body composition and laboratory...
variables obtained with the HRV parameters. The correlations obtained were classified as poor (r≤0.20), weak (0.21–0.40), moderate (0.41–0.60), good (0.61–0.80), and excellent (0.81–1.00).\(^{27,28}\) Differences were considered significant when \(p<0.05\). ANCOVA and chi-square tests were performed using SPSS 20.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY) and the others statistical analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).

Results

Subject characteristics

Sixty-three obese individuals with a recommendation for BS were evaluated over a six-month period. Clinical, anthropometric, body composition and laboratory characteristics of subjects studied are shown in Table 1.

The study sample included three groups: 29 obese patients (mean BMI of 42.9±4.3 kg/m\(^2\)), 17 OHTN (mean BMI of 43.7±6.1 kg/m\(^2\)) and 17 OHTN+T2DM (mean BMI of 44.1±7.1 kg/m\(^2\)). OHTN and OHTN + T2DM groups were older than the obese subjects, \(p<0.05\). NC was higher in OHTN+T2DM than OHTN and obese groups (Table 1).

Although the BMI and body fat percentage were similar among groups, the OHTN+T2DM subjects presented worse glycemic profile (fasting glucose, HOMA-IR, and HbA1c) than the obese and OHTN. In addition, subjects of OHTN+T2DM group showed higher triglycerides when compared to obese individuals (Table 1). Due to differences in age and NC between groups, all other results were corrected for these variables.

The comparison among the groups studied for HRV in the time domain, frequency domain and nonlinear measurements are reported in Table 2. HRV analyses in time domain demonstrated that SDRR, RMSSD, and pRR50 were significantly lower in OHTN and OHTN+T2DM groups when compared to obese subjects (Table 2). Regarding the frequency domain measurements, we found that LF band and LF/HF ratio were higher in the OHTN+T2DM and OHTN than subjects with obesity alone \(p<0.05\). HF was lower in the OHTN+T2DM and OHTN groups than the obese group (Table 2). Lastly, non-linear measures SD1, SD2 was also lower in the OHTN+T2DM group than in the obesity alone group, while SD2/SD1 ratio was higher in the OHTN+T2DM and OHTN when compared to obese \(p<0.05\). Taken together, the findings shown higher sympathetic power in the group with comorbidities.

All ECG measures analyzed, such as PR, QRS, QTc, and ST intervals, and P duration, were similar among all three groups, with the exception of a higher HR and a shorter RR interval in the OHTN+T2DM group than in the other groups (Table 2).

We correlated clinical parameters, anthropometric measures, body composition, HR, BP and laboratory parameters with measurements of HRV in the time domain, frequency and nonlinear measurements (Table 3). Older patients exhibited increased SBP, DBP and lower values for SDRR and SD2 (Table 3). There was a positive correlation between BMI and NC with SBP, DBP, LF, LF/HF, and SD2/SD1. In the evaluation of the body composition, body fat percentage did not correlate with any other parameter of HRV (Table 3).

In addition to the obesity, HR, BP and metabolic profile also correlated with worse HRV (Table 3). HR correlated with all HRV measures evaluated, positively with measures expressing more sympathetic domain LF, LF/HF, and SD2/SD1, and negatively with measures expressing the parasympathetic domain RR, SDRR, RMSSD, pRR50, HF, SD1 and SD2 (Table 3).

In the evaluation of the metabolic profile, HbA1c was the one that had the greatest impact on HRV, correlating positively with HR, LF/HF, SD2/SD1 and negatively with RR, SDRR, RMSSD, pRR50, SD1 and SD2 (Table 3).

Discussion

This study evaluated the impact of HTN with or without T2DM on cardiovascular autonomic function in obese with a recommendation for BS. Our findings demonstrated that the presence of these comorbidities impairs cardiovascular autonomic function, with a decrease in parasympathetic activity and a predominance of the sympathetic component.

The weight gain enhanced the appearance of multiple pathophysiological disorders, such as ectopic fat deposition, inflammation, insulin resistance, and increased sympathetic nervous activity, which contributes to high cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.\(^{3,17}\)

Previous studies verified that some HRV indices have good accuracy for diagnostic of CAD in some populations.\(^{29,30}\) It was demonstrated that subjects with morbid obesity exhibit impaired HRV when compared to non-obese individuals.\(^{17}\) Notably, the authors observed that the time domain components, such as SDNN, RMSSD, and pNN50 were reduced in obese subjects prior to BS, as well as, individuals with morbid obesity exhibited high LF/HF ratio. Although the findings
suggested that the sympathovagal balance is impaired in individuals with morbid obesity,\textsuperscript{17} the authors did not assess the risk factors that could be involved with the worsening of HRV in these subjects.

Many risk factors for cardiovascular disease are associated with altered autonomic function. For example, decreased HRV is frequently observed in patients with T2DM or HTN.\textsuperscript{31-33} Of note, the presence of HTN in

---

### Table 1: Assessment of clinical, anthropometric, body composition and laboratory variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLES</th>
<th>OBESE (n=29)</th>
<th>OHTN (n=17)</th>
<th>OHTN+T2DM (n=17)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (women/men)</td>
<td>(27/2)</td>
<td>(15/2)</td>
<td>(12/5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>34.1 (31.6–36.4)</td>
<td>34.7 (39.7–47.4)\textsuperscript{ii}</td>
<td>44.4 (39.9–48.9)\textsuperscript{ii}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m\textsuperscript{2})</td>
<td>42.3 (40.2–44.5)</td>
<td>44.1 (41.5–46.7)</td>
<td>43.2 (40.5–45.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC (cm)</td>
<td>37.6 (36.0–39.2)</td>
<td>37.5 (35.9–39.3)</td>
<td>41.1 (38.7–43.5)\textsuperscript{ii}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>112 (106–117)</td>
<td>115 (108–121)</td>
<td>118 (111–125)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>74 (71–78)</td>
<td>75 (70–79)</td>
<td>76 (71–81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN duration (years)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10.7 (6.4–15.1)</td>
<td>13.5 (8.2–18.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2DM duration (years)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.5 (1.9–7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking - % (n)</td>
<td>3.5 (1)</td>
<td>5.8 (1)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity - % (n)</td>
<td>51.7 (15)</td>
<td>35.3 (6)</td>
<td>47.1 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutritional counseling - % (n)</td>
<td>55.1 (16)</td>
<td>70.6 (12)</td>
<td>64.7 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia - % (n)</td>
<td>27.6 (8)</td>
<td>29.4 (5)</td>
<td>76.5 (13)\textsuperscript{ii}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Medications**

- Antiglycemics
  - Biguanides - % (n): 10.3 (3)
  - SGLT-2 inhibitors - % (n): –
  - Thiazolidinediones - % (n): –
  - DPP-4 inhibitors: –
  - GLP-1 analogs: –
  - Antihypertensive
  - ARB II - % (n): –
  - ACE I inhibitors - % (n): 17.6 (3)
  - β Blocker - % (n): 17.6 (3)
  - Calcium blockers - % (n): 11.7 (2)
  - Diuretics - % (n): 58.8 (10)

**Body composition**

- Body fat percentage (%): 50.8 (48.8–52.8)\textsuperscript{*}, 52.4 (49.9–54.9), 50.1 (47.7–52.7)

**Biochemical evaluations**

- Total cholesterol (mg/dL): 177 (161–192), 181 (162–200), 184 (164–203)
- LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL): 104 (91–116), 103 (88–117), 101 (85–117)
- HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL): 50 (45–54), 49 (43–54), 51 (46–57)
- Triglycerides (mg/dL): 113 (81–147), 148 (109–188), 174 (132–216)\textsuperscript{*}
- Insulin (µU/mL): 21 (14–28), 23 (15–31), 29 (20–38)
- Fasting glucose (mg/dL): 95 (86–104), 87 (76–98), 121 (110–133)\textsuperscript{‡}
- HOMA-IR: 4.5 (2.5–6.5), 4.7 (2.2–7.2), 9.4 (6.8–12.0)\textsuperscript{‡}
- HbA1c (%): 5.8 (5.4–6.2), 6.0 (5.5–6.5), 7.4 (6.8–7.9)\textsuperscript{‡}
- C-RP (mg/L): 9.4 (6.7–12.1), 8.1 (4.8–11.6), 5.1 (1.6–8.6)

**Notes:** Data are expressed as mean (95% confidence interval) or % (N). \textsuperscript{*}p<0.05 OHTN + T2DM versus obese group. \textsuperscript{‡}p<0.05 OHTN versus obese group. Age and NC were analyzed by One-way ANOVA and Tukey post-test were used. One-way ANCOVA adjusted for age and NC.

**Abbreviations:** OHTN, obese individuals with diagnosis of HTN; OHTN+T2DM, obese individuals with diagnosis of hypertension and type 2 diabetes mellitus; BMI, body mass index; NC, neck circumference; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; HTN, arterial hypertension; SGLT-2, sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitor; DPP-4, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors; GLP-I, glucagon-like peptide-1 analogs; ARB II, angiotensin II receptor antagonists; ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme I inhibitors; LDL-cholesterol, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-cholesterol, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance; C-RP, high sensitivity quantitative C-reactive protein.
In our study, the glycemic parameters were among the diabetic subjects appears to worsen the HRV. Recently Bassi and colleagues evaluated the influence of HTN on cardiac autonomic modulation and cardiopulmonary capacity in diabetic individuals. The authors found that hypertensive condition negatively affected the HRV in patients with T2DM, however, a higher BMI and BP levels in the group with T2DM and HTN could have interfered with the results. On the other hand, a recent study related to the presence of diabetes in hypertensive patients did not have an impact on worsed HRV.

A recent study demonstrated that approximately 24% of subjects with glucose homeostasis dysfunction (HbA1c >6.0%) exhibit increased risk of autonomic dysfunction and CAD. In our study, the glycemic parameters were positively correlated with SBP, DBP LF/HF, and SD2/SD1. This suggests that elevated glycemic levels are associated with the increased sympathetic activity, low HRV and augmented cardiovascular risk in morbidly obese.

HRV may be influenced by gender, age, and physical activity. Older individuals and women have lower HRV, while more trained people have higher HRV. Among the obese subjects studied, we found that patients from the OHTN and OHTN+T2DM groups were older (43.7±1.8 and 44.4±2.1, respectively) and that age was positively correlated with SBP and DBP and negatively correlated with measures of SDRR and SD2. A previous study demonstrated that subjects with age greater than 53 years had a sensitivity of 76% and specificity of 61% for the
Table 3 Correlation coefficients between clinical, anthropometric, body composition and laboratory variables with the heart rate variability measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SBP</th>
<th>DBP</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>RR interval</th>
<th>SDRR</th>
<th>RMSSD</th>
<th>pRR50</th>
<th>LF</th>
<th>HF</th>
<th>LF/HF</th>
<th>SD1</th>
<th>SD2</th>
<th>SD2/SD1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.39*</td>
<td>0.46*</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.32*</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.33*</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (Kg/m2)</td>
<td>0.29*</td>
<td>0.25*</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.32*</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.57*</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.35*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC (cm)</td>
<td>0.52*</td>
<td>0.40*</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.40*</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.25*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat percentage (%)</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.33*</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.28*</td>
<td>-0.28*</td>
<td>-0.30*</td>
<td>-0.26*</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.26*</td>
<td>-0.29*</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.46*</td>
<td>0.36*</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.31*</td>
<td>-0.26*</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.26*</td>
<td>-0.31*</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.40*</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.35*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>0.50*</td>
<td>0.36*</td>
<td>0.36*</td>
<td>-0.34*</td>
<td>-0.39*</td>
<td>-0.39*</td>
<td>-0.39*</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.29*</td>
<td>-0.39*</td>
<td>-0.38*</td>
<td>0.32*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-RP (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.83*</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.25*</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.26*</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>0.83*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.25*</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.25*</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (bpm)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.98*</td>
<td>-0.50*</td>
<td>-0.63*</td>
<td>-0.59*</td>
<td>0.48*</td>
<td>-0.43*</td>
<td>0.38*</td>
<td>-0.63*</td>
<td>-0.43*</td>
<td>0.62*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *p<0.05, Pearson correlation coefficient (R). The bold values are considered statistically significant.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; NC, neck circumference; LDL-cholesterol, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-cholesterol, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; FG, fasting glucose; HOMA, homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; C-RP, high sensitivity quantitative C-reactive protein; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HR (bpm), heart rate; SDRR (ms), standard deviation of RR; RMSSD (ms), square root of the mean squared differences of successive RR interval; pRR50 (ms), number of pairs of successive normal-to-normal beat intervals that differed by 50 ms; LF nu, normalized unit in the low frequency band; HF nu, normalized unit in the high frequency band; SD1/SD2, SD, standard deviation of instantaneous RR interval variability.
presence of CAD. Due to the difference in age among groups, we corrected all the result by the age of each subject.

Another cause associated with the risk of CAD in the early stages of glucose dysmetabolism is a QTc interval greater than 423 ms. This interval is associated with arrhythmias and increased risk for cardiovascular mortality. In our study, the QTc interval was similar between the groups, not exceeding more than 400 ms.

Regarding the impact of the increased BMI among obese individuals on HRV, it was demonstrated that higher BMI effectively depresses the HF component, a marker of parasympathetic activity. Some data from the literature show that other measures of adiposity, such as body fat percentage, visceral adipose tissue and waist circumference correlated with worse HRV, whereas there was no significant association with BMI. In our study, body fat percentage was not associated with any of the HRV parameters, but conversely, BMI positively correlated with LF, LF/HF and SD2/SD1. Indicating that excessive body weight impair autonomic function.

It has been described that a NC greater than 38 cm has been associated with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome, metabolic syndrome and increase in muscle sympathetic nerve activity. In our study, a positive correlation was found between NC and SBP, DBP, LF/HF and SD2/SD1. These associations show the importance of the NC measurement for evaluate the possibility of cardiovascular complications in obese subject with or without comorbidities.

Conclusion
HTN and T2DM impair the cardiac autonomic function in obese individuals with a recommendation for BS. The presence of T2DM did not exacerbate the hypertension-related impairment of autonomic function.

Abbreviations
ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme I inhibitors; ARBII, angiotensin II receptor antagonists; ASM, appendicular skeletal muscle mass; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; BS, bariatric surgery; CAD, cardiac autonomic dysfunction; C-RP, high sensitivity quantitative C-reactive protein; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; ECG, electrocardiogram; FFMI, fat-free mass index; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HDL-cholesterol, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; HF, high frequency; HOMA, homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance; HR, heart rate; HRV, heart rate variability; HTN, hypertension; LDL-cholesterol, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; LF, low frequency; MMI, muscle mass index; NC, neck circumference; OHTN, obese individuals with diagnosis of HTN; OHTN+T2DM, obese individuals with diagnosis of hypertension and type 2 diabetes mellitus; pRR50, number of pairs of successive normal-to-normal beat intervals that differed by 50 ms; RMSSD, square root of the mean squared differences of successive RR interval; RR, RR interval; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SD, standard deviation; SDRR, standard deviation of RR; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.
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