Evaluation of efficacy and safety of fixed dose lovastatin and niacin$^{\text{ER}}$ combination in Asian Indian dyslipidemic patients: a multicentric study
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**Abstract:** Asian Indian dyslipidemia is characterized by: borderline high low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol and apolipoprotein (apo) B; high triglycerides, low high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol and apoA1; and high lipoprotein(a) (lp[a]). We performed a controlled multicentric trial in India to evaluate the efficacy and safety of a fixed dose combination of lovastatin and niacin extended release (niacin$^{\text{ER}}$) formulation in patients with moderate to severe dyslipidemia. Consecutive subjects that satisfied the selection criteria, agreed to an informed consent, and with no baseline presence of liver/renal disease or heart failure were enrolled in the study. After a 4-week run-in period there were 142 patients with LDL levels $\geq 130$ mg/dL. Eleven patients were excluded because of uncontrolled hyperglycemia and 131 patients were recruited. After baseline evaluation of clinical and biochemical parameters all subjects were administered lovastatin (20 mg) and niacin$^{\text{ER}}$ (500 mg) combination once daily. Dose escalation was done on basis of lipid parameters at 8 weeks and in 11 patients increased to lovastatin (20 mg) and niacin$^{\text{ER}}$ (1000 mg). An intention-to-treat analysis was performed and data was analyzed using nonparametric Wilcoxon signed rank test. Thirteen patients (10%) were lost to follow-up and 4 (3%) withdrew because of dermatological adverse effects: flushing, pruritus, and rash. The mean values of various lipid parameters (mg/dL) at baseline, and at weeks 4, 12, and 24 respectively were: total cholesterol 233.9 ± 27, 206.3 ± 27, 189.8 ± 31, and 174.9 ± 27 mg/dL; LDL cholesterol 153.4 ± 22, 127.3 ± 21, 109.2 ± 27, and 95.1 ± 23 mg/dL; triglycerides 171.1 ± 72, 159.5 ± 75, 149.2 ± 45, and 135.2 ± 40 mg/dL; HDL cholesterol 45.6 ± 7, 48.9 ± 7, 51.6 ± 9, and 53.9 ± 10 mg/dL; lp(a) 48.5 ± 26, 40.1 ± 21, 35.4 ± 21, and 26.9 ± 19 mg/dL; and apoA1/apoB ratio 0.96 ± 0.7, 1.04 ± 0.4, 1.17 ± 0.5, and 1.45 ± 0.5 (p < 0.01). The percentage of decline in various lipids at 4, 12, and 24 weeks was: total cholesterol 11.8%, 18.8%, and 25.2%; LDL cholesterol 17.0%, 28.8%, and 38.0%; triglyceride 6.8%, 12.8%, and 21.0%; lp(a) 17.5%, 26.9%, and 44.5% respectively (p < 0.01). HDL cholesterol and apoA1/apoB increased by 7.2%, 13.1%, and 18.2%; and 7.9%, 21.9%, and 51.6% respectively (p < 0.01). Target LDL levels (< 100 mg/dL in subjects with manifest coronary heart disease or diabetes; < 130 mg/dL in subjects with > 2 risk factors) were achieved in 92 (80.7%) patients. No significant changes were observed in systolic or diastolic blood pressure, blood creatinine, transaminases, or creatine kinase. A fixed dose combination of lovastatin and niacin$^{\text{ER}}$ significantly improved cholesterol lipoprotein lipids as well as lp(a) and apoA1/apoB levels in Asian Indian dyslipidemic patients. Satisfactory safety and tolerability profile in this population was also demonstrated.
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**Introduction**
Asian Indian dyslipidemia is characterized by moderate to high low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, raised small-dense LDL particles, low high-density lipoprotein...
we performed a controlled multicentric trial to study the efficacy and safety of a fixed dose lovastatin and niacin extended release (niacinER) combination.

Methods

Study design
This was a 24-week open labeled, multicentric, non-comparative; nonrandomized study approved by the Drug Controller General of India and respective Institutional Ethics Committees and was conducted in accordance with the guideline of good clinical practice and the Helsinki Declaration. All patients were recruited after obtaining written informed consent before their participation. The study included men and women > 21 years age willing to complete a 4-week run-in period. Participants were required to fulfill one of the following three criteria: (1) manifest coronary heart disease or diabetes and LDL cholesterol > 130 mg/dL; (2) ≥ 2 coronary risk factors and LDL cholesterol > 160 mg/dL in subjects with no preexisting coronary disease or diabetes; or (3) < 2 coronary risk factors, LDL cholesterol > 190 mg/dL and no evidence of coronary disease or diabetes. Exclusion criteria included pregnant women, serum triglycerides > 800 mg/dL, HDL cholesterol > 70 mg/dL, evident liver dysfunction or elevation in hepatic enzymes, recent myocardial infarction, stroke or bypass graft within 6 months, recent acute arterial hemorrhage, uncontrolled grade III hypertension, uncontrolled cardiac arrhythmia, uncontrolled diabetes, active gall bladder disease, peptic ulcer disease, history of recent gout attack, hypothyroidism not managed with stable thyroxin doses, concomitant use of other investigational agent or dyslipidemic medication, or concurrent therapy with agents having potential to cause drug-drug interactions (isotretinoin, cyclosporine, troglitazone, macrolide antibiotics, and azole-antifungal medications). Patients were informed that they were free to withdraw from the study at any time without stating the reason. The investigator could withdraw a subject from the study if he suffered from significant illness during the course of the study, experienced serious adverse effects, or withdrawal was in best interest of the patient. In case the patient did not come for follow up, he was treated as a drop out from the study. 142 patients were screened for the study and included for the initial run-in phase.

Assessments
Symptoms and demographic data were recorded at enrolment. Physical examination, 12-lead electrocardiography,
Lovastatin-niacin in Asian Indian dyslipidemic patients

urinalysis, hematological examinations (blood counts, sedimentation rate), and chest radiography were performed at the beginning and at 24 weeks (end of trial). Plasma lipids, total cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, apoA1, apoB, and lp(a) were measured at baseline and after weeks 4, 12, and 24 of drug therapy. All the biochemical investigations were standardized across sites by using internal and external quality control measures. ApoA1, apoB, and lp(a) were estimated by nephelometry and immunoturbidimetry using Randox® kit. The intraassay and interassay coefficient of variations respectively were: apoA1 2.13%–2.78% and 2.65%–2.98%; apoB 1.82%–4.50% and 5.65%–6.69%; lp(a) 6.55%–10.34% and 13.61%–16.26%. Evaluation of hepatic functions (transaminases, alkaline phosphatase, prothrombin time), renal functions (creatinine, urea, uric acid), and creatine kinase, and vital signs (pulse and blood pressure) was also performed at baseline and weeks 4, 12, and 24. Patients were also advised to visit at the 16th week when additional adverse drug reaction monitoring was performed. Primary and secondary end points of the trial were evaluation of efficacy and safety of the drug combination. Efficacy was assessed by the achievement of goals according to the NCEP (2002) guidelines. Safety was evaluated as nonoccurrence of any drug related adverse effects using clinical and laboratory investigations.

Intervention

A fixed dose combination (FDC) of lovastatin (20 mg) and niacin ER (500 mg) was administered once daily with a low fat snack at bedtime. The physicochemical characteristics of this extended release form of niacin were comparable with the internationally available niacin formulation: Niaspan® (Panacea-Biotec 2004). Dose escalation was done on the basis of LDL cholesterol levels at week 8 of therapy. In coronary disease or diabetic subjects who did not achieve LDL cholesterol of < 100 mg/dL, or non-diabetic or non-coronary disease subjects with ≥ 2 risk factors and LDL cholesterol < 130 mg/dL, the dose of niacin was increased. During first dose escalation FDC of lovastatin (20 mg) and niacin ER (1000 mg) was used and dose greater than 40/2000 mg was not recommended. Use of aspirin was permitted 30 minutes before dosing to prevent flushing.

Statistical analysis

A sample size of 120 subjects was considered adequate for the study statistical power. Descriptive statistics (number, mean, standard deviation) have been presented. Pre- and post-intervention comparison was done using nonparametric Wilcoxon signed rank test. P < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Of the 142 subjects eligible for enrollment in the study, 11 were not initiated into the study due to poor glycemic control. The mean age of subjects was 52.6 ± 11.1 years, weight 67.6 ± 10.1 kg, and height 1.64 ± 0.1 m. Fifty-three (40.4%) subjects had body mass index ≥ 25 kg/m², concomitant hypertension was in 53 (40.4%), diabetes in 28 (21.4%), coronary artery disease in 60 (45.8%), controlled hypothyroidism in 1 (0.8%), and 72 (55.0%) were on aspirin. Of these 131 patients, 4 withdrew from the study because of dermatological adverse effects, and 13 were lost to follow up. The baseline characteristics of the 13 patients who were lost to follow up did not differ significantly from those who continued in the study. The mean age of this group was 50.8 ± 9 years, weight 69.4 ± 13.6 kg, total cholesterol 227.6 ± 22 mg/dL, triglycerides 196.8 ± 84 mg/dL, HDL cholesterol 43.1 ± 10.1 mg/dL, LDL cholesterol 149.3 ± 14.8 mg/dL, lp(a) 51.3 ± 20.1 mg/dL and apoA1/apoB was 0.82 ± 0.39 (see Table 1 for comparison). Thus, at the end of the study a total of 114 subjects were available for efficacy and safety evaluations.

The mean values of various lipid parameters at baseline, and weeks 4, 12, and 24 are shown in Table 1. The values of various lipoprotein lipids respectively were: total cholesterol 233.9 ± 27, 206.3 ± 27, 189.8 ± 31, and 174.9 ± 27 mg/dL; LDL cholesterol 153.4 ± 22, 127.3 ± 21, 109.2 ± 27, and 95.1 ± 23 mg/dL; triglycerides 171.1 ± 72, 159.5 ± 75, 149.2 ± 45, and 135.2 ± 40 mg/dL; HDL cholesterol 45.6 ± 7, 48.9 ± 7, 51.6 ± 9, and 53.9 ± 10 mg/dL; lp(a) 48.5 ± 26, 40.1 ± 21, 35.4 ± 21, and 26.9 ± 19 mg/dL; and the ratio of apoA1/apoB were 0.96 ± 0.7, 1.04 ± 0.4, 1.17 ± 0.5, and 1.45 ± 0.5 (p < 0.01). The percentage of decline in various lipids at 4, 12, and 24 weeks were for total cholesterol 11.8%, 18.8%, and 25.2%; LDL cholesterol 17.0%, 28.8%, and 38.0%; triglyceride 6.8%, 12.8%, and 21.0%; lp(a) 17.5%, 26.9%, and 44.5% respectively (p < 0.01). HDL cholesterol and apoA1/apoB increased by 11.8%, 18.8%, and 25.2%; LDL cholesterol 17.0%, 28.8%, and 38.0%; triglyceride 6.8%, 12.8%, and 21.0%; lp(a) 17.5%, 26.9%, and 44.5% respectively (p < 0.01). HDL cholesterol and apoA1/apoB increased by 7.2%, 13.1%, and 18.2%; and 7.9, 21.9 and 51.6% respectively (p < 0.01) (Figure 1). Of the 114 patients who were available at end of the trial, 92 patients (80.7%) achieved the target LDL cholesterol goals according to the NCEP ATP-III guidelines (NCEP 2002).
Overall, treatment with lovastatin and niacinER FDC was well tolerated with no persistent or unexpected adverse drug reactions. Twenty-six patients (19.8%) reported minor adverse events; most were gastrointestinal (nausea, vomiting, dyspepsia) and dermatologic (pruritus, rash, flushing). Other adverse events were myalgia (2 subjects). Flushing caused 3 patients to withdraw from the study. One patient withdrew because of pruritus and rash; this patient was receiving the combination containing 1000 mg niacin. Clinical myopathy was not observed in any of the subjects. Haematological and biochemical changes are reported in Table 2.

**Discussion**

This study shows that a combination of lovastatin and low-dose niacin is effective in ameliorating the Asian Indian type of dyslipidemia. The pattern of dyslipidemia seen in Asian Indians is different from observed in other ethnic groups and is also the dyslipidemia of metabolic syndrome (Grundy 2004). Lovastatin and niacin in low doses used in the present study resulted in a significant decline in LDL cholesterol, triglycerides, and lp(a) along with an increase in HDL cholesterol and apoA1/apoB ratio.

Combination therapy for the treatment of dyslipidemias associated with coronary artery disease, diabetes, and hypertension is a widely used strategy to promote effective treatment in patients with disturbances in more than one lipid parameter (Deedwania et al 2004). Combination therapy allows the physician to take advantage of the independent effects of the therapies selected. An additive and possibly synergistic LDL cholesterol lowering effect may be achieved by using drugs that individually lower LDL cholesterol, but by different mechanisms. Additionally, different lipid parameters such as HDL cholesterol and triglycerides may also be corrected by using combination therapies. The combination of lovastatin and niacinER is the first hypolipidemic combination approved by the American Federal Drug Administration. Various studies have demonstrated that the efficacy of this combination is better than either of the two agents used alone (Guyton et al 1998; Chong and Bachenheimer 2000; Gupta and Ito 2002; Kashyap 2002; NCEP 2002).

Niacin inhibits hepatic triglyceride synthesis and results in reduced production of apoB-containing lipoproteins. Niacin is considered an excellent choice in increasing HDL cholesterol and is effective in reducing lp(a); an important emerging risk factor. Lovastatin is known to upregulate LDL receptors, which results in increased LDL and apoB catabolism. Therefore, this lipid modifying drug combination is ideal for improvement of the multiple coexistent lipid abnormalities frequently encountered in Indian population (Deedwania and Gupta 2005). The combined administration of a statin and nicotinic acid was first described over 15 years ago (Henkin et al 1991). A year-long open label study showed that concomitant administration of lovastatin, pravastatin, or simvastatin with niacin decreased LDL cholesterol to a greater extent than...
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Lovastatin-niacin in Asian Indian dyslipidemic patients (–32% vs –18%) without unduly increasing the frequency of adverse events (Guyton et al 1998). Drug withdrawal was observed in 5% subjects. This is similar to the present study where LDL cholesterol decreased by 38% over a 6-month period and drug withdrawal was observed in 3% of cases. Ten percent dropped out of the study due to unspecified reasons and it is not clear whether this was due to adverse events or other issues of compliance.

There are studies that have compared the effects of statin-niacin combination therapy with statin alone. Three were placebo controlled randomized trials (Davignon et al 1994; O’Keefe et al 1995; Vacek et al 1995), one was an open label study (Illingworth and Bacon 1989) and one was a retrospective analysis (Wolfe et al 2001). In these five studies, the combination of nicotinic acid with a statin resulted in greater decreases in LDL cholesterol and triglycerides, and greater increase in HDL cholesterol than occurred with statin monotherapy. Decreases in LDL cholesterol and increases in HDL cholesterol tended to be marked with high doses of niacin. At higher doses of niacin, the frequency of adverse events and drop-out rate was greater in those with combination therapy than those on statin alone, although statin use was associated with greater incidence of abnormal transaminases. As we did not use a control group with statins only, we cannot comment on this observation. Published studies also report that LDL lowering effects of statin-niacin combination were only slightly greater (10%) than achieved with statins alone and the combination was not recommended for LDL cholesterol lowering (Thompson 2004). In patients with raised triglycerides and low HDL cholesterol, which is the typical dyslipidemia of Asian Indians, and the metabolic syndrome, there are major benefits of this combination as decreases in triglycerides and increases in HDL approach 25%, which more than compensates for the side effects of niacin. The present study also demonstrates that this fixed drug combination causes a significant decline in lpa1 (–44%) and increase in the apoA1/apoB ratio (–52%), which are major benefits not reported previously. This study also shows that there is increasing efficacy of this drug combination and a trend towards a continued decline in LDL cholesterol, triglycerides, and lpa1, and an increase in HDL cholesterol and apoA1. Similar trends have been previously reported in short term studies (Chong and Bachenheimer 2000). In long term studies, it has been reported that the initial large decline tends to attenuate with time (Warnica 2004).

In the present study this combination in a lower dose was used to generate data in the Asian Indian population. At the end of weeks 4, 12, and 24, statistically significant changes were observed in the levels of LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, apoA1/apoB ratio, and lpa1. The maximum change was observed towards end of the study. The target LDL cholesterol levels, as recommended by NCEP (2002) were achieved in more than 80% of the patients evaluated in the study. Dose escalation was needed on basis of serum lipid parameters in 11 patients only. In our study, the number of female patients was low and they were not analyzed separately. There are several limitations in the study. We used an open-labeled design with no blinded placebo group. This can lead to biased physician recruitment, such as the noninclusion of patients with severe hypercholesterolemia leading to more patients achieving the lipid targets, as well as greater reporting of niacin-related side effects. However, the present study design was important, as this was a regulatory post-marketing trial. All the laboratory investigations were blinded. Also the focus of the study was the amelioration of typical Asian Indian

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Effect of lovastatin–niacin combination tablet on selected laboratory parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin (gm/dl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White cell count (cells/cmm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR (mm 1st hour)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGOT (IU/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGPT (IU/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline Phosphatase (IU/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric acid (mg/dL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatine kinase (mg/dL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose fasting (mg/dL)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean ± 1 standard deviation

Abbreviations: ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; SGOT, serum aspartate aminotransferase; SGPT, serum alanine aminotransferase.
dyslipidemia, which is characterized by low HDL cholesterol, high triglycerides, and lp(a). Statins have been reported to be of insignificant benefit in these lipid abnormalities; therefore no control group with statins was included. It is also possible that dietary changes and more exercise were performed in the study patients leading to large benefits as seen in the present study. The diet-exercise advice was given uniformly to all the patients. In a subgroup of patients where dietary patterns and exercise were evaluated (Bhargava 2004) in detail it was noted that the change in diet was the greatest in the first two weeks, followed by a gradual reversal to baseline levels with time, hence, the influence of lifestyle changes appears minimal. The number of subjects in the present study is also small and larger studies are needed to more definitively answer the study question.

Overall, this study demonstrates that the low dose combination offers an effective control of LDL cholesterol besides providing additional benefits in terms of reduced triglycerides, lp(a), and increased HDL cholesterol and apoA1/apoB ratio. Latter findings have special relevance in context of Asian Indian dyslipidemia as well as insulin resistance syndrome and the metabolic syndrome.
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