Typical electronegative electroretinography and nyctalopia as a presenting feature of systemic malignant melanoma

Abstract: We report a unique case of a female who presented with unilateral disk edema, melanoma-associated retinopathy symptoms, and suggestive electroretinography findings preceding a diagnosis of metastatic melanoma of the pelvis. A 63-year-old female presented with complaints of seeing shimmering lights and nyctalopia, and underwent an extensive ophthalmological and electrophysiological examination. Best-corrected visual acuity was 20/20 in both eyes. Visual fields showed relative central scotomata and concentric narrowing. Slit-lamp and fundus examinations were normal. Rod-specific electroretinography responses were severely reduced, with electronegative maximal combined rod–cone responses and delayed cone responses with normal amplitude. Melanoma-associated retinopathy was suspected. Extensive systemic and internal evaluation revealed occult metastatic melanoma of the pelvis of unknown primary site.
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Introduction
Melanoma-associated retinopathy (MAR), a rare paraneoplastic disorder, often targets the rod-response system of the eye.\(^1\),\(^2\) Consequentially, abnormal night vision and photopsia are the most common presenting symptoms.\(^1\)–\(^5\) Patients usually have an established diagnosis of cutaneous melanoma (with non ocular metastasis) years prior to the onset of ocular symptoms.\(^3\) Electroretinography (ERG) testing with characteristic “electronegative” appearance, preservation of the dark-adapted a-wave, and reduction of the b-wave confirms the diagnosis. Though an established entity, MAR remains an infrequent phenomenon and reported rarely.\(^3\) We report a case of MAR with typical ERG findings in a female without a history of melanoma. Further investigations (under the direction of a neuro-oncology service) detected primary melanoma in the pelvis with metastasis (responsible for systemic and ocular findings).

Case report
A 63-year-old female with a history of hyperlipidemia and tobacco use presented for 4 days of sudden, painless “shimmering lights” in her left eye associated with nyctalopia. Best-corrected visual acuity was 20/20-I in the right eye and 20/20 in the left. Pupils, color vision, extraocular movement, confrontational fields, and anterior-segment examination were normal.
Fundoscopy revealed a cup:disk ratio of 0.15 in both eyes, 1+ inferior disk edema in the left eye, and vascular tortuosity in both eyes (Figure 1). A B-scan was negative for drusen of optic nerves. Optical coherence tomography of the nerve-fiber layer showed thickening in the right eye (121 right, 111 left, Figure 2). Humphrey visual field 24-2 showed an enlarged blind spot with superior altitudinal defect in the right eye and a superior arcuate defect in the left (Figure 3). Complete blood count, erythrocyte-sedimentation rate, and CRP were normal. She was initially diagnosed with non arteritic ischemic optic neuropathy and was started on oral prednisone 0.5 mg/kg.

Two months after initiation of treatment, her night vision continued to deteriorate. Repeat Humphrey visual field 24-2 showed worsening peripheral suppression in both eyes (Figure 4). Multifocal and full-field ERG revealed intact macular cone function and abnormal rod-system response to scotopic flash with an electro-negative response (Figure 5) suggestive of MAR. She was immediately referred to the neuro-oncology service for evaluation. Head computed tomography and brain magnetic resonance imaging were normal. Whole-body positron-emission tomography imaging revealed a 6.4×4.4 cm hypermetabolic focus at the left pelvic wall with additional lesions (asymptomatic) of the left distal femur, left gastrocnemius, and left iliacus (Figure 6). Magnetic resonance imaging of the abdomen/pelvis confirmed a left pelvic wall mass (Figure 7). The gynecology-oncology department performed an excisional biopsy of the mass. Histopathological examination revealed a poorly differentiated malignancy with melanocytic differentiation (Figure 8), consistent with metastatic melanoma.

Further imaging revealed spread to pulmonary nodules, right intra parotid nodules, a mass on the left dorsal foot, and right carotid-sheath nodules. The patient was commenced on pembrolizumab for treatment of her metastatic melanoma. Repeated four times over 6 months. The patient was followed for 14 months. Repeat positron-emission tomography–computed tomography 7 months after initial diagnosis revealed marked decreases in the size and activity of the left pelvic wall mass. Activity in pulmonary nodules, right intra parotid nodules, left dorsal foot thickening, and right carotid-sheath nodules remained stable. She remained asymptomatic, except for intermittent headaches and fluctuating visual fields.

Discussion
MAR is a paraneoplastic process involving autoantibodies against “on” bipolar cells of the rod system.\(^1,2\) Though the target antigen has historically been elusive, TRMP1, present on both melanocytes and bipolar cells, has been implied in many cases. Disruption of bipolar cell function prevents appropriate neuroretinal transmission from photoreceptors to the inner retina, leading to an effective decrease in vision. Unlike other paraneoplastic processes, MAR is usually diagnosed as a late feature of diagnosed melanoma.\(^1,3\) With a latency period averaging 3.6 years from diagnosis of the primary neoplasm, the onset of MAR indicates the presence of metastasis.\(^3\) Age of onset is commonly during the sixth decade of life, with a male:female ratio of 4.7:1.\(^3\)

Typical patient presentation includes acute onset of night blindness, shimmering, flickering, or pulsating
Figure 2 Initial optical coherence tomography of nerve-fiber layer showing thickening OD (121) corresponding to inferior edema.
Figure 3 Continued.
Figure 3 Humphrey visual fields, 24-2.

Notes: Inital tests (A). Right eye (right) with enlarged blind spot and superior altitudinal defect; left eye (left) with superior arcuate defect. Two months later (B) after treatment with prednisone. Right eye (right) with improvement centrally and dense superior and inferior arcuate defects; left eye (left) with worsening of superior and new inferior arcuate defects.
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Figure 4 Continued.
Notes: Initial tests (A). Right eye (right) with enlarged blind spot and superior altitudinal defect; left eye (left) with superior arcuate defect. Two months later (B) after treatment with prednisone. Right eye (right) with improvement centrally and dense superior and inferior arcuate defects; left eye (left) with worsening of superior and new inferior arcuate defects.
Figure 5 Continued.
Figure 5 Continued.
Figure 5 Full-field electroretinography: Scotopic 0.01, Scotopic 3.0, oscillatory potential (OP), cone response, 30 Hz flicker.

Notes: Electronegative rod-system response with loss of b-wave (0.01 and 3) and increased a-wave latency (3). Oscillatory potentials WNL. Preserved cone-system response with normal cone response, 30 Hz flicker.
photopsias, and loss of peripheral vision.\textsuperscript{1,2,4} As the rod system is predominantly affected and the cone system spared, both color vision and visual acuity are relatively preserved (82\% of cases with best-corrected visual acuity 20/60 or better).\textsuperscript{1,3} Visual fields show patterns of generalized constriction, paracentral scotomas, or acute defects.\textsuperscript{1,4}

ERG demonstrates a markedly reduced b-wave in the presence of a normal dark-adapted a-wave, yielding an electronegative pattern consistent with bipolar and Müller cell dysfunction.\textsuperscript{2,3} This pattern may be seen in other conditions, including congenital stationary night blindness, X-linked retinoschisis, and enhanced S-cone syndrome. Examination of serum may reveal increased aspecific antiretinal antibodies by Western blot. Postmortem staining of retinae demonstrates antibodies, particularly to bipolar cells, by indirect immunohistological studies.\textsuperscript{3,5}

Management of MAR is difficult and therapeutic response variable. Options include chemotherapy with surgical cytoreduction, radiation, intravenous (IV) steroids, IVIg, and plasma exchange,\textsuperscript{3} with IVIg proving the most effective thus far, having some improved visual effect in two-thirds of patients.\textsuperscript{3} Concern remains, however, that despite its immunomodulatory effect, IVIg may increase cancer mortality, given that it decreases titers of circulating antibodies, some of which are protective against tumor spread. Current best practice includes combined cytoreductive therapy and immunomodulation.\textsuperscript{3} Overall visual prognosis is guarded, as MAR is traditionally believed to remain stable and non progressive. A minority of cases do lose central vision.\textsuperscript{3}

Our case demonstrates a constellation of clinical findings that required further objective testing and critical evaluation to diagnose an ocular manifestation of a life-threatening disease. Although MAR has been described previously, this case demonstrates unique diagnostic features of MAR, including short duration of symptoms prior to diagnosis, an occult melanoma of unknown primary site, a rare metastatic location to the pelvic wall, and the presenting fundus finding of unilateral optic disk edema. This unique case of MAR demonstrates the protective role of the ophthalmologist and highlights the variable clinical presentation, given the poor prognosis and elusive nature of diagnosing melanoma.

In summary, we report a case of MAR in a female with occult melanoma. Classic ERG findings alerted prompt referral, and systemic investigation confirmed the presence of melanoma with metastasis. Written informed consent was obtained from the patient, who
gave permission to publish the report and accompanying figures. Institutional review board was not necessary to report the case.
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**Figure 8** Histopathology of left pelvic wall mass incisional biopsy, displaying (A) sheets of large, round cells with prominent nucleoli, (B) melanoma-positive cocktail, (C) S100+, indicating neuroectodermal origins, and (D) WT1+ cytoplasmic/golgi, consistent with a poorly differentiated melanoma.