The effects of aging on sleep parameters in a healthy, melatonin-competent mouse model
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\textbf{Background:} Sleep disturbances are common maladies associated with human age. Sleep duration is decreased, sleep fragmentation is increased, and the timing of sleep onset and sleep offset is earlier. These disturbances have been associated with several neurodegenerative diseases. Mouse models for human sleep disturbances can be powerful due to the accessibility to neuroscientific and genetic approaches, but these are hampered by the fact that most mouse models employed in sleep research have spontaneous mutations in the biosynthetic pathway(s) regulating the rhythmic production of the pineal hormone melatonin, which has been implicated in human sleep.

\textbf{Purpose and method:} The present study employed a non-invasive piezoelectric measure of sleep wake cycles in young, middle-aged and old CBA mice, a strain capable of melatonin biosynthesis, to investigate naturally-occurring changes in sleep and circadian parameters as the result of aging.

\textbf{Results:} The results indicate that young mice sleep less than do middle-aged or aged mice, especially during the night, while the timing of activity onset and acrophase is delayed in aged mice compared to younger mice.

\textbf{Conclusion:} These data point to an effect of aging on the quality and timing of sleep in these mice but also that there are fundamental differences between control of sleep in humans and in laboratory mice.
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\section*{Introduction}

One of the most common complaints among normal, healthy aged people involves problems with sleep.\textsuperscript{1,2} At the macro level, older people (>65 years) tend to display longer sleep latency, decreased sleep bout length, advanced bed-time, shorter sleep duration, and increased sleep fragmentation.\textsuperscript{3,4} There is also an increase in day-time sleep, or naps, as humans age.\textsuperscript{5,6} These macro level changes in sleep as people age are reflected electrophysiologically in sleep electroencephalography (EEG) in that deeper non-rapid eye movement (nREM) slow wave sleep (SWS) EEG (stages 3 and 4) is reduced in many adults aged 75 years or older,\textsuperscript{7} while the numbers of lighter, stage 1 and 2 nREM sleep bouts are increased.\textsuperscript{8,9} Much of the diminution in slow wave activity (SWA) during aging occurs in the prefrontal cortex, where decreases can be as great as 70–80\% relative to younger subjects.\textsuperscript{8}

These effects on sleep EEG power are believed to indicate a diminished capacity for the “homeostatic” control of sleep,\textsuperscript{1} according to the two-process model for sleep regulation.\textsuperscript{10,11} In this model, homeostatic sleep regulation reflects an accumulation of “Process S”, resulting in the logarithmic growth of “sleep pressure” as
we remain awake. This pressure can only be dissipated through sleep, during which Process S declines exponentially, especially with increased amplitude SWS in Stages 3 and 4 nREM sleep. During aging, it is believed that this homeostatic sleep pressure is reduced, resulting in a decrease in the quality of sleep.

The other process in this two process hypothesis entails a circadian clock, “Process C”, located within the hypothalamic suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN), which determines the timing of wakefulness in the human sleep: wake cycle.\textsuperscript{12} The SCN has been demonstrated to be a master circadian pacemaker for all mammals studied to date. The SCN is a self-sustained circadian oscillator that exhibits circadian rhythms in vivo and in vitro in electrical, metabolic, neurosecretory and gene expression processes. This nucleus is also necessary for circadian rhythms, since surgical destruction of the SCN abolishes all behavioral and physiological rhythms, including those controlling sleep, in animal models ranging from rodents to non-human primates. As one might expect, it would be extremely difficult, if not impossible, to directly measure the effects of aging on SCN function. Even so, Swaab, Hofmann and colleagues have conducted morphometric and immunohistochemical analyses of human SCN obtained post-mortem.\textsuperscript{13} These authors have reported morphometric rhythms in SCN structure and a decrease in the numbers of neurons expressing vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP) in aged post-mortem SCN.\textsuperscript{14}

In mammals, the daily and circadian secretion of the indoleamine hormone melatonin by the pineal gland is a direct output of the SCN.\textsuperscript{15–17} Melatonin is secreted during the night and reflects the length of the scotoperiod in all mammals studied thus far, whether they are nocturnal, diurnal or crepuscular,\textsuperscript{15,17} and is known to affect sleep: wake cycles in many species of birds and mammals.\textsuperscript{16} Afferents from the SCN are known to innervate subparaventricular hypothalamic neurons, which in turn innervate pre-ganglionic sympathetic neurons in the intermediolateral cell column of the thoracic spinal cord. These neurons innervate the sympathetic ganglionic chain, including the superior cervical ganglion, which innervates the pineal gland, where it secretes the neurotransmitter norepinephrine, stimulating melatonin biosynthesis. Destruction or blockade of any part of this multi-synaptic pathway from the SCN to the pineal gland abolishes melatonin biosynthesis. Thus, one way to assess the activity of the SCN during Process C is to measure melatonin output.

Aging in humans profoundly affects the amplitude and phase of serum melatonin rhythms.\textsuperscript{18–21} Most studies indicate that the amplitude of serum melatonin decreases during early childhood and continues to decline to approximately 10% of maximal levels in aged individuals in their 70s and 80s as compared to younger subjects.\textsuperscript{18–22} In contrast, two studies describe only modest changes in the amplitude of melatonin during aging but instead observe changes in circadian phase, albeit in opposite directions. Ohashi et al\textsuperscript{23} reported an advance in the melatonin rhythm as subjects age, while Duffy et al\textsuperscript{24} observed a delay in the circadian phase of the melatonin rhythm. In addition to its role as a biomarker for circadian functions and phase, there is considerable evidence that melatonin also contributes to the quality of sleep if administered at the correct dosages and phases.\textsuperscript{25} These will be discussed in the Discussion below.

The technical and ethical difficulties in studying mechanisms of normal sleep or aging in sleep in human subjects has led many researchers to employ animal models for sleep studies, particularly in laboratory mice. Early EEG studies indicated a decrease in SWS and an increase in wakefulness in healthy, aged C57BL/6J and DBA/2J mice,\textsuperscript{26} although these authors expressed concerns that the EEG apparatus itself was affecting the quality of sleep.\textsuperscript{27} Later studies by Welsh et al\textsuperscript{28} reported that old C57BL/6 mice exhibit increased sleep and decreased wakefulness during the active phase of the nocturnal animals at night, and more time awake during the resting, day-time phase. In contrast, Wimmer et al\textsuperscript{29} did not find decreases in total nREM sleep or increases in wakefulness in aged C57BL/6 mice. Rather, they reported aged mice do not sustain long episodes of wakefulness or nREM sleep, but that there was an increase in total nREM, nREM in the dark and a decrease in overall wakefulness.

A major limitation to the study of sleep with common laboratory strains of mouse is that the most commonly employed strains such as inbred C57BL/6 mice are not capable of producing melatonin due to spontaneous mutations in the rate-limiting enzymes responsible for melatonin biosynthesis,\textsuperscript{30} whereas wild \textit{Mus musculus}, CBA and C3H mouse strains are proficient in melatonin biosynthesis. In the case of C57BL/6 inbred strains, a “natural knockdown” in melatonin biosynthesis is due to a truncation in the gene encoding arylalkylamine-N-acetyltransferase (AANAT),\textsuperscript{31,32} while DBA mice exhibit a deficiency in acetylsersotonin methyl transferase (ASMT; \textit{née} hydroxyindole-O-methyl transferase; HIOMT).\textsuperscript{33} Thus,
understanding any role for endogenous melatonin in sleep regulation in mice is not possible in many strains of mice.

As part of a study on the effects of aging on circadian function of the melatonin-proficient CBA mouse gastrointestinal system, we also monitored sleep wake patterns employing a piezoelectric, non-invasive sleep monitoring system. This system has been shown to reliably monitor distribution of sleep, sleep quality and transitions to and from rapid eye-movement (REM) sleep, which corresponds favorably with EEG-determined sleep parameters. The results reveal interesting insights on changes in both sleep quality (Process S) and sleep timing (Process C) during the aging process of melatonin proficient mice.

Methods

Animals and housing

Male CBA/J mice (National Institute of Aging, USA) at ages 4 weeks (young, n=5), 10 months (middle, n=5) and 22 months (old, n=5) were housed at the University of Kentucky Division of Laboratory Animal Research (UKDLAR). Before piezoelectric sleep recording, animals were housed in 14 hrs: 10 hrs of light:dark (LD 14:10) conditions as per UKDLAR policy. Food (Purina Mouse chow) and water were freely available to the mice throughout the experiment. All procedures were approved by UKDLAR and the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Animal welfare was ensured using USDA guidelines.

Piezoelectric sleep recording

Activity was recorded using a piezoelectric monitoring system described earlier. The system uses piezoelectric film to record changes in pressure, the intensity and duration of which are automatically scored by computer algorithms and classified as sleep or wake. Previous use of this system has been validated with 90% accuracy when compared to EEG. While validation studies have not been specifically conducted across the entire lifespan of mice or across all mouse strains, the PiezoSleep system has been used by over 100 investigators around the world in many different mouse models of different ages, with no apparent loss of accuracy in sleep-wake classification. Much of this data is unpublished, but see additional references. The primary concern with aged mice might be a reduction in locomotor activity, however, locomotor activity is not a requirement for accurate sleep vs wake determination using the PiezoSleep system, which relies more on breathing regularity during sleep, which is disrupted during even quiet wake by subtle postural adjustments, olfactory sampling (moving the nose), and other small movements that disrupt the regular sleep signature. Mice were housed individually for two days prior to piezoelectric recording in a light:dark cycle of LD 12:12 and maintained in this photoperiod until the end of recording.

Data collected from the piezoelectric system were binned over 5 mins using a rolling average of the percent sleep, and by individual sleep bout length from which mean bout lengths were calculated. Sleep bouts were defined by any contiguous sleep pattern that remained uninterrupted by arousal periods of more than 30 seconds. In addition, bout length counts were initiated only when a 30-second interval contained greater than 50% sleep and were terminated when a 30-second interval contained less than 50% sleep. Breath rates were averaged for each individual mouse directly from piezoelectric recordings (Figure 1). Mice were returned to normal housing after recording for use in another study.

Statistical analysis

Measures of sleep parameters included percent Total Sleep over 24 hrs., percent sleep during the day-time hrs., percent sleep in the night-time hrs., time in seconds of the average sleep bout lengths, time in seconds of the average sleep bout length during the day, and time in seconds of the average sleep bout length during the night. Measures of circadian (Process C) sleep regulation were registered in Zeitgeber Time (ZT), where ZT0 corresponds to the time of lights on in an LD 12:12 cycle, and ZT12 corresponds to the time of lights off. These measures included the ZT of activity onset, the ZT of activity offset, and the ZT of peak wake time, which
were calculated using SleepStats Software (Signal Solutions, LLC) and verified by ActogramJ.\textsuperscript{44}

All graphs and statistical tests were performed in Sigmaplot Software (Systat Software, Inc). Percent sleep and sleep parameters were calculated for each individual mouse and then averaged. Comparisons among young, middle-aged, and old mice were tested using Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA on ranks with several non-parametric post-hoc tests. For sleep measures that did not pass Kruskal-Wallis (for total sleep over the course of the day), we applied a Dunn’s test, while a Tukey’s HSD test was applied to those measures that passed Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA. For circadian parameters, we applied a Holm-Sidak method for multiple comparisons.

Results

Sleep parameters

Piezoelectric monitoring of sleep-wake cycles predictably indicated that mice were active predominantly during the dark phase in young, middle-aged and old mice (Figures 2A and 3). Conversely, piezoelectric measures of sleep predominated during the light phase in all three age groups (Figures 2B and 3). In all cases, smaller but significant amounts of activity were detected in all age groups during the light, while smaller but significant sleep activity was observed during the dark.

Measures of sleep from piezoelectric analyses reveal significant age-related changes (Figure 4). Percentage in total sleep/24 hrs. was significantly lower in young vs both old and middle mice (Figure 4A, \( p<0.05 \) via Dunn’s method). Old mice exhibited more time asleep during the day than did young and middle-aged mice (Figure 4B, \( p<0.05 \) via Tukey’s HSD). Young mice also spent less time asleep during the night than did middle-aged mice (\( p<0.05 \) via Tukey’s HSD) (Figure 4C) but did not differ from old mice.

Total sleep bout lengths were lower in middle-aged mice than in old mice (\( p<0.05 \), Tukey’s HSD) but not different from bout length in young mice (Figure 4D). Daytime bout lengths in middle-aged were also lower than bout lengths in old mice (\( p<0.05 \), Tukey’s HSD), but not different from bout length in young mice (Figure 4E). Nighttime bout length was significantly different between young and old mice (\( p<0.05 \), Tukey’s HSD) (Figure 4F).

\[ \text{Figure 2} \text{ Representative piezoelectric recordings of wake and sleep in young (green lines), middle-aged (blue lines), and old (red lines) mice. Overlaying each trace shows greater differences in wake activity (A) vs sleep (B).} \]
Circadian parameters
Activity onset differed significantly between young and old mice (Figure 5A, p<0.05, Holm-Sidak Method). Activity offsets in both young and old mice showed greater variability than middle-aged mice but showed no significant difference between any age group (Figure 5B). Peak phase of activity was significantly delayed in old mice compared to both young and middle-aged mice (Figure 5C, p<0.05, Holm-Sidak Method). Wakefulness in the first three hours after dark onset, which may be interpreted as an indicator of entrainment to the light: dark cycle, was significantly higher in young mice compared to middle-aged and old mice (Figure 5D, p<0.05 Tukey’s HSD).

Discussion
The present results are consistent with other studies of healthy mouse models in that both this and previous studies indicated increases in day-time sleep, decreasing night-time wakefulness, and increases in total amounts of sleep as mice age. These data are also similar to at least one aspect of aging human sleep in that both humans and our mice experience increases in sleep during the inactive phase of their sleep-wake cycle; for diurnal humans, there is an increase in day-time sleep, while in mice there is an increase in night-time sleep (Figures 2 and 4). However, they differ from studies of healthy humans in that humans experience decreased sleep bout length and shorter sleep durations, while mice exhibit increased sleep bout length and increased sleep durations (Figure 4). Similarly, circadian parameters differ during aging in humans and mice, since humans experience earlier bed-times, while mice exhibit a phase delay in sleep as they age (Figure 5).

Many studies have identified sleep deficits as contributing factors in degenerative diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease (AD), sundown syndrome and other forms of dementia, and many of those factors have been verified through the use of piezoelectric recording. Sleep disturbances are prevalent and a highly disruptive behavioral symptom in patients with AD. AD patients experience exacerbated disruptions in sleepiness as the disease progresses and an inability to sleep through the night, so much so that there may be a day/night reversal in sleep phase in the late stages of the disease. Additionally, a study has reported that sleep disturbances negatively affect cognitive function and functional impairment in AD patients. In addition, AD has been associated with disruption of circadian rhythmicity, such as increased nocturnal activity, decreased diurnal activity, and core body temperature phase delay and amplitude decrease, which have traditionally been assumed to be downstream of the disease. Congruently, there is an association of melatonin biosynthesis and secretion with Alzheimer’s dementia. Levels of melatonin in blood and cerebrospinal fluid in Alzheimer’s patients are lower than in age-matched healthy subjects, and there is evidence that administration of exogenous melatonin to Alzheimer’s patients ameliorates cognitive deficits associated with the disease in living patients as well as decreasing tau deposits in post-

![Figure 3 Representative activity (left panels) and sleep (right panels) profiles of young (top pair), middle-aged (middle pair), and old-aged (bottom pair) mice. Within each panel, onset (green lines), peak (blue lines), and offset (red lines) as calculated by Actogram] are indicated.](https://www.dovepress.com/doi/10.2147/NSS.S215582.citation)
It is not clear if the progression of Alzheimer’s symptoms affects the circadian secretion of melatonin or whether greater decreases in melatonin levels in aged patients contributes to the progression of the disease. Properly timed administration of low dosages of melatonin decreases sleep latency and decreases sleep fragmentation in both young adult[1] and aged[2] patients. Furthermore, since quality of sleep contributes to the etiology of AD,[3] it is likely that proper secretion of melatonin is an important factor for the progression of normal aging as well as the diseased state.

Thus, understanding the effects of age on the sleep patterns and other biological processes in a mouse strain that is capable of synthesizing melatonin may provide a more accurate window into the aging process. Clearly, the fact that we see consistent changes in both sleep and circadian parameters of sleep in our aging mice is an encouraging sign that this may be so. However, we

Figure 4 Effects of age on measures of sleep. (A) The percentage of time asleep is lower in young mice than in either middle-aged or old mice. (B) The percentage of time asleep during the day is greater in old mice than in either young or middle-aged mice. (C) The percentage of time asleep during the night is lower in young mice than in either middle-aged or old mice. (D) Average sleep bout length is highly variable. (E, F) Similarly, day-time and night-time sleep bout length is highly variable. (a) indicates significance vs young, (b) indicates significance vs middle-aged, and (c) indicates significance vs old mice.
recognize that there are fundamental differences between mice and humans in sleep regulation and the effects of age on sleep regulation that raise questions concerning the limitations of mouse models for human health and disease. These may derive from the simple fact that mice are nocturnal, while humans are diurnal, and the fact that melatonin induces sleep in humans and other diurnal species, while it activates nocturnal rodent locomotor behavior. 
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