NSAID-induced reactions: classification, prevalence, impact, and management strategies
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Abstract: Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are the leading cause of hypersensitivity drug reactions. The different chemical structures, cyclooxygenase 1 (COX-1) and/or COX-2 inhibitors, are taken at all ages and some can be easily obtained over the counter. Vasoactive inflammatory mediators like histamine and leukotriene metabolites can produce local/systemic effects. Responders can be selective (SR), IgE or T-cell mediated, or cross-intolerant (CI). Inhibition of the COX pathway is the common mechanism in CI, with the skin being the most frequent organ involved, followed by the lung and/or the nose. An important number of cases have skin and respiratory involvement, with systemic manifestations ranging from mild to severe anaphylaxis. Among SR, this is the most frequent entity, often being severe. Recent years have seen an increase in reactions involving the skin, with many cases having urticaria and/or angioedema in the absence of chronic urticaria. Aspirin, the classical drug involved, has now been replaced by other NSAIDs, with ibuprofen being the universal culprit. For CI, no in vivo/in vitro diagnostic methods exist and controlled administration is the only option unless the cases evaluated report repetitive and consistent episodes with different NSAIDs. In SR, skin testing (patch and intradermal) with 24–48 reading can be useful, mainly for delayed T-cell responses. Acetyl salicylic acid (ASA) is the test drug to establish the diagnosis and confirm/exclude CI by controlled administration. Desensitization to ASA has been extensively used in respiratory cases though it can also be applied in those cases where it is required.

Keywords: NSAIDs, hypersensitivity drug reactions, mechanisms, management

Introduction
Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are recognized to be the most common drugs involved in hypersensitivity drug reactions (HDR).¹⁻⁵ Until recently, antibiotics, particularly betalactams (BLs), were considered the most important inducers,⁶⁻¹⁰ but after confirmation NSAIDs are now at the front.¹,⁴

Interest in updating information about HDR to NSAIDs (HDR-NSAID), a large family of drugs¹¹,¹² derives from the research done by the RIRAAF (Spanish acronym for the network for the study of adverse drug reactions [ADR]),¹³ reflected in several publications.¹⁴⁻²⁰ Although for many years HDR-NSAID were considered to affect adults, they also occur in children and adolescents.²¹,²²

Although a connection exists between atopy and HDR-NSAID, this differs from IgE allergic reactions to drugs, like HDR to BLs,²³ more related with the cross-intolerant (CI) category.²,²³,²⁶,²⁴⁻²⁸ Since 2010 several groups have worked focusing on the skin, the most frequent organ involved.¹⁻⁵ The European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (EAACI) provided a new classification that has been
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progressively adopted by many groups worldwide, although not by others, probably due to local/national differences in patterns of drug prescription, medical indications and self-intake of these drugs, as well as in some instances the limited number of cases evaluated in clinical practice in some centers. When subjects complaining of HDR-NSAID develop a reaction they do not consider NSAIDs as the cause because the reaction occurs in the context of different coincidental triggers and the physicians are often not familiar with the procedures to follow. It may take a long time to evaluate these cases, even though several episodes occurred in a patient, sometimes eight or more.

It is surprising that some NSAIDs can be obtained over the counter, and are advertised on TV or in other media. In a study published in the lay press, the two most important chemicals found in depurated water were dioxin and ibuprofen metabolites. The price we are paying for this, as it contributes to the increased exposure, is a rise in the reactions to aryl propionic acids, particularly ibuprofen. This situation resembles that occurring with BL antibiotics, where subjects not only become sensitized or resensitized, but also develop clinical manifestations after hidden exposure.

Leaders in the field, although attempting to conciliate the European and American positions, still have disagreements. This may be related with the expertise of each author in their daily practice rather than differences among countries/populations because common drugs involved are ibuprofen and paracetamol, followed by diclofenac and more recently selective COX-2 inhibitors. In a collaborative study with a Chinese group, a high degree of homology existed with the Spanish population not only in the drugs involved but also in clinical entities. Recent years have seen an increasing number of manuscripts concerning children. The adolescent population might be less exposed to NSAIDs as compared to children, although sports people usually require NSAIDs for treating muscle and bone lesions.

Classification of HDR-NSAID and mechanisms

ENDA classification has been a reference guide for clinicians and investigators working in this field. This classification is not new but rather represents an extension of previous ones but better adapted to daily demands and provides a more precise approach for the diagnosis and management of subjects with HDR-NSAID. However, further improvement of the classification is expected to occur in the coming years, with resulting modifications in the classification.

To the five major groups shown in Table 1 with the corresponding acronyms and two additional entities added: organ-specific reactions and skin/systemic reactions included in the SR category. Most of the proposed mechanisms have been inferred from the clinical manifestations accompanied by basic knowledge obtained mainly from the cases with NERD, although the subject is in fact more complex. A list of NSAIDs is provided in Figure 1 and includes a large number of chemically different organic compounds classified according to the chemical structure.

Considering the entities within the CI category (Table 1), the first proposed mechanism was inhibition of PGE2 synthesis and activation of key cells like mast cells, basophils, and eosinophils. This created a shunting with released metabolites of the LOX pathway, PGD2, and histamine, as well as several cytokines and other protein mediators, including tryptase. However, a more complex mechanism emerges if we look at all the by-products released, the enzymes involved, and the receptors through which the mediators signal.

The most distinctive finding in NERD is not shared by the other entities. It consists of chronic inflammation, mostly with the presence of eosinophils, extending beyond the acute episode. Both COX and LOX mediators contribute to the lesions including an up/downregulation of some by-products, although a product of the COX pathway, PGD2, is also released by mast cells. Other abnormalities extend beyond the classical COX and LOX pathways, including the involvement of lipoxin A4. In this context, an interplay between all these cells plus those of the bronchial and nasal epithelia originate a response that goes beyond the classical TH1/TH2 paradigm.

Classification in Table 1

NERD Although we cannot state exactly since when, this is no longer the most frequent entity among HDR-NSAID. As ASA is not the most common drug involved, the term NERD seems more appropriate. Besides the classical entity reported, there are entities with less severe manifestations that do not follow the natural course. Within ASA-induced asthma several endotypes have been reported that may correspond to different mechanisms or variations of the same mechanism involved in NERD. To complicate this, we have reported in a small series of cases selective respiratory responders that do not fall into this classification.
NECD.\textsuperscript{55} In subjects with active CSU symptoms aggravated after intake of strong COX-1 inhibitors. This may affect up to 30% of CSU cases, although these have not been widely confirmed\textsuperscript{1,3,12,33} and other types of urticaria/angioedema like physical urticaria may also be aggravated.\textsuperscript{71,72} Whether NECD represents a subtype or it may occur in any case of CSU has not been clarified so far.

The evidence supporting the mechanism in NECD is based on limited data.\textsuperscript{12,15} The basal abnormal state is not present in CSU and the entity itself may change, with a subsequent variation in the response to NSAIDs.\textsuperscript{73} Less is known about the response in NIUA where the evidence is missing and studies are needed to unveil differences with NERD and NECD.\textsuperscript{74}

NIUA.\textsuperscript{55} This entity has been neglected or given less attention till recently, when our group provided evidence supporting that it was the most prevalent of all entities, confirmed by other groups.\textsuperscript{1–5,69,73–76} Published literature and classical textbooks still do not accept it but it is expected that in the coming years this entity should be taken into account.\textsuperscript{12,77–79} Although anaphylaxis was not included in the first ENDA classification, later reviews have now considered it.\textsuperscript{80} Claims by some European groups regarding ENDA classification consider it incomplete and have made us to clarify this,\textsuperscript{56} as shown in Table 1. Now in NIUA, we include the combined forms of respiratory plus skin involvement accompanied in certain cases with systemic symptoms.\textsuperscript{56}

The mechanism proposed for the entities within this group has been directly inferred from that for NERD and assumed to be the same.\textsuperscript{12,56,81} Although all strong COX-1 inhibitors can induce reactions, clinical observations suggest that there might be differences in the way they interact with the COX enzymes.\textsuperscript{82} For example, ASA induces an irreversible inactivation of COX-1 and COX-2 but differences with other NSAIDs may explain why some subjects having a response to ASA tolerate ibuprofen, diclofenac, or piroxicam even at high dose.\textsuperscript{3,12,52,83} Transient competitive inhibition of prostanoit biosynthesis may also contribute to symptoms,\textsuperscript{83} and specific drugs like

Table 1 Classification of NSAIDs-HDR and mechanisms and example of drugs involved

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cat</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Entities</th>
<th>Drugs involved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>NERD</td>
<td>Inhibition PG-LEK pathways</td>
<td>Rhinitis, asthma, rhinosinusitis, nasal polyposis</td>
<td>NSAIDs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>NECD</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>CSU aggravated or reactivated by NSAIDs</td>
<td>NSAIDs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>NIUA</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Urticaria and/or angioedema, anaphylaxis, mixed reactions</td>
<td>NSAIDs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR</td>
<td>SNIUAA</td>
<td>IgEAb/others</td>
<td>Anaphylaxis, urticaria and/or angioedema, rhinitis, asthma</td>
<td>Aril-propionics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR</td>
<td>SNIDHR</td>
<td>T cells</td>
<td>CD, Photosensitivity CD, Isolated mucosal involvement, Bullous and/or desquamative exanthema, DRESS, TEN, AGEP, FDE, NI urticaria, Serum sickness like accelerated urticaria, Nicolau syndrome</td>
<td>Diclofenac, Naproxen, piroxicam, Etoricoxib, Diclofenac, Ibuprofen, phenylbutazone, Ibuprofen, paracetamol, celecoxib, Ibuprofen, flurbiprofen, piroxicam, Ibuprofen, piroxicam, Mesamizol, Diclofenac, ibuprofen, Diclofenac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR</td>
<td>Organ specific</td>
<td>Mechanism T cells/toxicologic/both</td>
<td>Hepatitis, Bile duct syndrome, Meningitis</td>
<td>Diclofenac, Ibuprofen, Ibuprofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR</td>
<td>Skin/system*</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Vasculitis</td>
<td>Naproxen, ibuprofen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Skin plus in some instances systemic manifestations.

Abbreviations: AGEP, acute generalized exanthematic pustulosis; CI, cross-intolerant; CSU, chronic spontaneous urticarial; CD, contact dermatitis; DRESS, drug rash with fever toxic syn-drome; FDE, fixed drug eruption; NECD, NSAID-exacerbated cutaneous disease; NERD, NSAID-exacerbated respiratory disease; NIUA, NSAID-induced urticaria, angioedema; NSAID, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; SNIDHR, single NSAID-induced delayed hypersensitivity reaction; SNIUAA, single NSAID-induced urtica-ria, angioedema or anaphylaxis; SR, selective responders; TEN, toxic epidermal necrolysis.
piroxicam, although considered a strong COX-1 inhibitor, are better tolerated in cases with skin involvement, but our experience questions whether tolerance occurs in NERD. Paracetamol has been shown to suppress PGE$_2$ biosynthesis contributing to the imbalance leading to excess production of LOX pathway products.

The two groups of entities below are considered SR and are induced by specific immunologic mechanisms.

**SNIUAA.** This can be indistinguishable from NIUAA and involves mainly the skin, though when respiratory and/or other organs are involved this is anaphylaxis. Several entities can be included ranging from mild urticaria/angioedema to the more severe forms. Isolated angioedema in the absence of other skin manifestations may occur, and this may be produced by another mechanism still unknown. We include single respiratory responders to several drugs that are not chemically related and that have good tolerance to ASA.

**SNIDR.** It includes well-differentiated entities such as NIU, delayed angioedema, exanthematic and bullous
Of particular concern are the propionic derivatives it is hard to envisage an alternative mechanism to the IgE-specific response but further efforts for the elucidation are warranted.68

Evidence supporting specific immunologic mechanisms in IgE (SNIDR) or T-cell-dependent reactions (SNIDR) exists.80–92 Positive skin testing with some pirazolones like metamizole or propifenazone,93,94 in vitro basophil activation with metamizole,93,95 or sIgE to ASA96 supports an IgE-mediated reaction but other mechanisms nonimmunologically mediated are worth exploring.89 All efforts so far to detect sIgE Abs to diclofenac, arylpropionic, and other drugs like COX-2 inhibitors have been unsuccessful.91,97 Of particular concern are the propionic derivatives where the response is so selective that subjects tolerate ASA, the other strong COX-1 inhibitors as well as other NSAIDS, and also other aryl propionic drugs. For example, subjects developing immediate reactions to naproxen can tolerate ibuprofen.29 Further evidence now being evaluated (data not published) show that some subjects become tolerant over time. With this evidence for the propionic derivatives it is hard to envisage an alternative mechanism to the IgE-specific response but further efforts for the elucidation are warranted.56

Regarding SNIDR, T-cell involvement exists56 with allergic and photoallergic reactions reported with positive delayed skin testing, both intradermal and patch testing, biopsies showing a mononuclear cell infiltrate. NSAIDs are difficult to study in vitro because they interfere with prostaglandin biosynthesis pathways at several steps.56 The development of T-cell clones specific for certain NSAIDs has contributed to elucidate in part the physiopathology, together with genetic studies involving HLA molecules and antigen presentation.56 To our knowledge, drug-specific T-cell clones to NSAIDs have not been produced and this limits understanding the mechanisms involved.56 Regarding drug metabolites of ibuprofen and pyrazolones,98 although some have been identified, studies regarding their antigenicity are still pending. In cases with HDR to pirazolones, T-cell proliferation has been shown.99–101 This suggests the specificity of T-cell responses to different metabolites and that low amounts of such metabolites might be generated during in vitro cell culture, allowing stimulation of specific T cells. A similar situation may occur with other NSAIDs, including weak and selective COX-2 inhibitors and further research would be needed.

The four subgroups of T-cell-dependent reactions within the IV group of Classical Gel and Coombs classification have been reported with NSAIDs.56 Some examples for each one are shown below with the addition of a fifth sub-group.

Type IVa. Contact and photo-CD. This is considered a Th1 response with effector T-cell involvement and production of interferon gamma (IFN-γ), IL-12, and other cytokines and chemokines.92,102,103

Type IVb: MPE is the classical entity with activated T cells, CD4, and CD8, which home to the skin and form a perivascular infiltrate that can be accompanied by eosinophils and neutrophils. Activated T cells are present with the expression of several activation markers and production of IL-4 and IL-5.104

Type IVc: SJS-TEN. This is a very severe entity, both in the histopathology and clinical manifestations, with the presence of activated T cells that release perforin and granzyme B leading to apoptosis and a massive death of keratinocytes and other cells.105 An entity with lesions partly resembling TEN is FDE, though this is usually limited to well-localized areas but sometimes, if more extensive, must be differentiated from SJS-TEN. MPE, which in severe lesions is often named toxicodermia, and CD can often be quite aggressive and involve an important degree of cell death. In fact, MPE can be followed by extensive desquamation in the absence of bullae formation.106,107

Type IVd: AGEP. T cells as well as neutrophils are attracted to the skin inducing a typical lesion consisting of small confluent pustules without infected fluid. Lesions are generalized, usually mild, and can produce problems in the clinical course.108,109

Type IVe. NIU. Although AX, AX-CLAV, and antibiotics are the most common culprits,110–112 NSAIDs are also involved.71 Although, this entity has only been studied in detail recently showing T-cell activation with the presence in peripheral blood-activated T cells (CD4 and CD8) responsible for the lesions. Skin immunohistopathology is in consonance with the pattern observed in peripheral cells.110

DRESS. This reaction starts with mild exanthema that may generalize to an intense erythema, often accompanied by angioedema, joint involvement, fever, malaise, and liver damage. Neutrophilia and eosinophilia can also be present.113,114
Other clinical entities in which the mechanisms have not been so extensively studied are included in Table 1.\textsuperscript{115–117}

Focusing on the clinical entities included within the CI groups, a number of claims have been raised, especially with NIUAA, indicating that particularly in children and adolescents both cutaneous and respiratory manifestations may occur.\textsuperscript{60,118} These reactions also occur in adults although in a lower percentage.\textsuperscript{3} Further queries can be raised concerning this classification that rather than invalidating it actually contributes to improving the classification, providing more detailed information on the clinical entities outlined above.\textsuperscript{56}

\section*{Prevalence}

Although different approaches concerning adverse drug effects have been made,\textsuperscript{119} few data have focused on HDR-NSAID. Published reports on ADR mostly relate to new drugs\textsuperscript{120,121} and include side effects that go beyond the field of HDR. Once drugs are released on to the market and have lost their novelty as a new drug product or category, interest is gradually lost.\textsuperscript{119} Because ADR including NSAIDs occur in the context of complex situations with many offenders, links to side effects are often not convincing\textsuperscript{122} and side effects to HDR-NSAID may be attributed to errors by the physician or other health care givers.\textsuperscript{119} The term prevalence for HDR in general and even for those groups of drugs like antibiotics is difficult to establish.\textsuperscript{56} Nevertheless, despite the limitations we shall use this term here referring to HDR to NSAIDs.

Propionic acid derivatives are available worldwide, particularly ibuprofen, although there exist country/regional differences.\textsuperscript{4,42} In one study, a consecutive series of >4,000 cases with DHR reactions were evaluated in a period of 6 years. The protocol applied for NSAIDs was as described by the EAACI drug expert committee.\textsuperscript{55} The analysis of the different factors/variables of the study was made by Mann–Whitney tests and chi-square analysis. After confirmation by DPT (drug provocation test) the 47.2 were confirmed as hypersensitivity to multiple NSAIDs followed by antibiotics with an 18%, less than the half and in a minor proportion by other drugs like non-\textit{BL} antibiotics, contrast media, and other drugs.\textsuperscript{1}

Ibuprofen and paracetamol are the most commonly prescribed drugs in children, with some competition with BLs.\textsuperscript{49,58} When HDR are considered in children some studies indicate that antibiotics are the most frequent before making the final evaluation, after which the opposite occurs.\textsuperscript{5,72} From adolescence up to the oldest people the rest of the NSAIDs shown in Table 2 are progressively prescribed, although ASA has diminished its indication in cardiovascular prophylaxis worldwide.\textsuperscript{123,124} In a large multicentric study conducted under the GA\textsuperscript{2}LEN program, >60,000 cases were evaluated in 22 centers from 15 countries, and the aim was to estimate the prevalence of NERD assessing upper and lower respiratory disorders. For this purpose, cases were selected in each country by random from general physician registries and a questionnaire was undertaken. Sampling probability weights were used to standardize prevalence by gender and 5-year groups. The association of NSAIDs as potential agents inducing respiratory symptoms was made by logistic regression analysis. Results showed a mean prevalence of 1.9% with a variation rank from 0.9 to 4.9 in the different centers included.\textsuperscript{67}

In another study, a systematic review identified patients with NERD selected by either challenge or a self-reported history showing a prevalence of 9% (95% confidence interval 6%–12%) in the cases confirmed by oral provocation test and 9.9% (95% confidence interval 9.4%–10.5%) using a self-reported history\textsuperscript{68} concluding that the prevalence was similar by both approaches. This is similar to other estimations with sufficient data provided for respiratory diseases.\textsuperscript{62} For the other entities within the CI group, and also for SR, fewer data are available and we refer the reader to a recent review giving details on this issue.\textsuperscript{56}

\section*{Impact}

As NSAIDs contribute to the highest percentage above all drugs inducing HDR-NSAID and they are widely used as stated above, the impact in managing cases with these reactions is paramount. For dealing with this, we divide this section in three parts: clinical entities, further impact, and role of atopy.

\section*{Clinical entities}

The classification in Table 1 provides a guide to allocating the different entities shown above. Because these are described elsewhere,\textsuperscript{12,14,49,50,54,55,62} we will focus on just a few points. Time interval is implicit in clinical manifestations,\textsuperscript{14,29} so to use this variable as discriminative for a new classification is not needed.\textsuperscript{118} Within each group several entities can be identified although more will emerge or be segregated from these as more research is done.

NERD. The natural course is well established and in many cases it may have an unfavorable clinical evolution that needs special surveillance, close attention, and great
A detailed phenotyping has now been made. The description by the patient is initially atopy was not present. If this does not occur, the mechanism acts in the activation pathway at a level common to the different subphenotypes where the pathophysiology is common for all forms, including the autoimmun and not autoimmune, and possibly other forms of urticaria. The clinical manifestations consist of a worsening that can often be latent, very attenuated, or severe because there is a dose–effect relationship.

Subjects can be exposed without knowing the risks taken and often experience mild symptoms that are not imputed to NSAIDs since it is coincidental with physical factors, other drugs or chemicals, foods, and even psychological triggers that can be confounding factors or cofactors. Respiratory symptoms usually appear in the course of the underlying disease with involvement of the upper and lower airways with nasal polyposis in severe cases. Occurrence before the third and fourth decades of life is unusual and the more common natural order of symptom appearance is the upper airways, followed by the lower airways and later by symptoms elicited by NSAIDs. Triggering factors in the absence of NSAID intake include alcohol, and possibly we must consider other histamine-releasing factors that may aggravate or predispose. Initially atopy was not present but nowadays it is a fact that may correspond to a general increase in atopy in western populations, although the connection is hard to define. Nasal symptoms are quite bothersome and in addition obstruction, rhinorrhea, loss of smell, and anosmia may occur. In cases of polyyp recurrence corticoids may be needed for control. Aggravation of CSU after NSAID intake may occur in up to 30% of cases. In spite of the progress made in recent years concerning understanding the pathophysiology of CSU, a better phenotyping has now been made. Nevertheless, we still do not know in which type of CSU NSAIDs reactivate or worsen the disease. If this does not occur, the mechanism acts in the activation pathway at a level common to the different subphenotypes where the pathophysiology is common for all forms, including the autoimmun and not autoimmune, and possibly other forms of urticaria. The clinical manifestations consist of a worsening that can often be latent, very attenuated, or controlled by medication in the previous state. If this is the case, it requires a more aggressive treatment with the use of CS. Despite this, there are cases in children and adults of development of symptoms involving several organs and thus we are dealing with anaphylaxis. Although less known, some reports point out that physical urticaria and

Table 2 Proposed guidelines for the differential diagnosis of clinical manifestation where skin symptoms are the predominant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entities</th>
<th>NIUA</th>
<th>NECD</th>
<th>Mix reaction</th>
<th>SNIUA</th>
<th>SNIDHR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>CI</td>
<td>CI</td>
<td>CI</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>SR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urticaria</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angioedema</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaphylaxis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time interval</td>
<td>1–6 hours</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>2–24 hours</td>
<td>≤1 hour</td>
<td>6–24 hours*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System symptoms</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>No*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST</td>
<td>No value</td>
<td>No value</td>
<td>No value</td>
<td>Optional*</td>
<td>Optional*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin biopsy</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature evolution</td>
<td>May disappear</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>May disappear</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticoids</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The interval can be shorter or in rarer instances longer than 24 hours. *If systemic symptoms appear, a DRESS must be ruled out. Anaphylaxis is graded as highly probable (+++), less probable (+), absent (−). Abbreviations: CI, cross-intolerant; NECD, NSAID-exacerbated cutaneous disease; NIUA, NSAID-induced urticaria angioedema; NSAID, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; SNIUA, single NSAID-induced urticarial; SR, selective responders; ST, skin test.
possibly others\textsuperscript{129} may be worsened by NSAID intake. Although evidence is mostly supported by single cases or small series, more information is needed in this respect but it is not surprising that any type of activation of mast cells, which occurs in all types of urticaria, may cause worsening in certain cases after the pharmacologic interaction after intake of these drugs.\textsuperscript{56}

\textit{NIUAA}. This is the most common entity and it can be confusing when we depend on just the clinical description.\textsuperscript{3} However, the patient history provides clues to differentiate it, like the appearance of urticaria with or without angioedema in a well-delineated pattern at any place or all over the body, being most troublesome on the face. The time interval is usually no longer than 2 hours, although episodes may appear up to 6 hours later or longer, and in many instances angioedema can be predominant or be the sole manifestation.\textsuperscript{27} The natural evolution indicates that this is a well-defined entity that does not convert to NERD over a long period of follow-up, as suggested by some.\textsuperscript{130}

\textit{SNIUAA}. Following the general classification, the two entities that follow belong to SR. Clinical manifestations also include urticaria accompanied or not by angioedema, isolated angioedema, or anaphylaxis, as occurs in NIUAA.\textsuperscript{89} However, organ-specific reactions have recently been reported that, although the skin is not involved, we still include in this section because patients have good tolerance to ASA, as far as we know.\textsuperscript{70} If more evidence is supported by different groups in the coming years, the same term can be used with the extra addition of an “A” for anaphylaxis (NIUAAA). The term acute does not fit well because all the cases of NSAID-DHR are acute with no chronic forms, excluding NECD. The time interval in these reactions is usually <1 hour, but not always, as occurs with the immediate IgE reactions to AX and other BL antibiotics.\textsuperscript{32}

\textit{SNIDR}. The entities included in this group are shown in Table 1, and although NSAIDs are not the main drugs eliciting these reactions, particularly the severe ones, some entities like FDE or MPE can be induced. Much literature has been dedicated to this\textsuperscript{121–133} and in this context we recommend several reviews for further reading.\textsuperscript{54–56,78,103,105}

Further phenotyping
It is becoming clearer that within the five major categories, more phenotypes and subphenotypes are now recognized that help understanding the pathologic mechanisms involved. This extends not only to NERD\textsuperscript{62} but to the other entities.\textsuperscript{56,89} Further phenotyping is not only of interest in understanding new mechanisms but also of clinical relevance in order to establish a prognosis once a diagnosis is made and adequate alternatives are given.\textsuperscript{14–16,56}

NERD. Within the classical syndrome known as ASA triad there are several endotypes that have been clearly described by several groups. More subphenotypes have been proposed that need external validation by other groups and in other populations.\textsuperscript{31,134} In one study, significant differences were found in total urinary LTE4 and serum total IgE and also in the eosinophil count in the different subgroups.\textsuperscript{135} As shown above, single respiratory responders to one or several NSAIDs with good tolerance to ASA exist and must not be considered in this group; further details will be given below.\textsuperscript{70}

NECD. Although not in all instances, subjects with CSU worsen with NSAIDs, though we do not know which cases develop symptoms after NSAID intake.\textsuperscript{56}

\textit{NIUAA}. In the clinical description of this group, several entities have been included that clearly represent well-differentiated forms.\textsuperscript{3} These have been described in detail in a previous section of this review and reported elsewhere.\textsuperscript{14,17,54,55} The combination of both NERD plus NECD has been reported but much more frequent than NERD are those with mixed reactions. We prefer this term to blended reactions, though it is still pending a defined nomenclature that is awaiting further discussion and consensus agreements.\textsuperscript{14,17} Clinical data in children and adolescents point in this direction.\textsuperscript{22,134} In this context, further consensus to set up the clinical criteria is being demanded.\textsuperscript{56}

\textit{SNIUAA}. Within this category, the entities included can be well defined or be unique, with a variation in symptom expression from less to more severe. Thus, urticaria can be the first step in an anaphylaxis episode that after re-exposure within a short time can be more severe, while the opposite can occur; if a long time has elapsed after the first episode symptoms can be minor or even absent. This is well known with the BL model, particularly in those cases with IgE reactions to AX and some cephalosporins,\textsuperscript{3} and it is extensible to everything, including SNIUAAA.\textsuperscript{56,89} It is clear that isolated angioedema can be a reproducible and consistent entity, without further progression in repeated episodes, but there are no clues as to the underlying mechanism in these cases.\textsuperscript{56}

\textit{NIDHR}. This is the group of HDR-NSAID where the phenotypes are best defined and more consistent, induced
by the different drug as well as the specific mechanism within an effector T-cell response. In fact in a new updated revision, we have included an additional Type IVe corresponding to NIU, also known as accelerated allergic reactions and also serum sickness-like disease.\textsuperscript{110}

**Role of atopy**

Atopy as a trait is a complex definition applied to subjects who develop sensitization to inhalant as well as food proteins and glycoproteins by a mechanism mediated by IgE Abs.\textsuperscript{135} There is a big difference in the prevalence of respiratory compared to oral allergen sensitizations, although both are on the increase. To connect both the GI and the respiratory system is not easy because usually when we are positive to an inhalant, like the case of birch pollen, in most instances we develop symptoms after oral exposure also known as secondary sensitization. When primary sensitization occurs by the oral route although IgE Abs are found, very often subjects are tolerant, and this makes sense because the GI system has been adapted to develop immunologic tolerance to proteins that pass through it.\textsuperscript{136,137} Whether HDR-NSAID are linked with atopy or not is not sufficiently clear, although different references support this\textsuperscript{2,3,56} and further stratification in large numbers of cases and with molecular allergens is needed for a better clarification.\textsuperscript{56} Some cumulative evidence of HDR-NSAID points to an increase in allergic reactions to NSAIDs but appropriate studies with long enough time follow-up periods to support this are lacking. Unreported data from our group show an increase but these are not complete as we did not know the denominator for referring and therefore these comparisons lack accuracy.

**Management**

In this section, we include the first step consisting in diagnosis followed by the management of the cases once the diagnosis has been established.

Following the general description shown in the text, after the clinical history has been taken a clear approach of how to proceed must be elucidated.\textsuperscript{2,3,27} For this purpose, two major categories can be envisaged: CI or SR. If the clinical information is reliable and the number of episodes is provided, the classification can be consistent and definitive. Because NSAIDs are drugs taken very frequently and subjects often take them without medical prescription, they come to the allergist with the diagnosis already made and our intervention consists of making a clear report, including the diagnosis and what recommendations to propose.\textsuperscript{3,27}

If clinical manifestations are limited to the respiratory airways, we are dealing in most instances with CI and NERD is the category we are dealing with and can be rhinitis and/or nasal polyposis and/or asthma,\textsuperscript{62} although there are exceptions.\textsuperscript{32} When in addition to respiratory symptoms patients also report cutaneous symptoms (mixed reactions) the approach is different and will be detailed below. The most frequent reactions are those with cutaneous involvement and, in the event a single episode has occurred, the subject can be CI or SR.\textsuperscript{3,56} In our populations as well as in others, the proportion of CI to SR is 3 to 1.\textsuperscript{1,2} However, great differences may exist not only from country to country but also when we consider different age strata. Children are much more common to be CI than SR,\textsuperscript{138} although not all series agree with this.\textsuperscript{21}

**NERD.** Cases with the symptoms described above are easily diagnosed without need for further confirmation.\textsuperscript{62} The problems arise when first episode appear and this is the first step in the course of the disease. Rhinoscopy provides information on the status of the mucosa with the presence of obstruction and edema, often signs of infection in the mucus and polyps. All these symptoms must be slight and no polyps present at the onset of the disease.\textsuperscript{139} In computed tomography of the sinus, the extension of the lesion can be seen, as well as opacification.\textsuperscript{140} The more recent procedure of magnetic resonance imaging may be needed when intraorbital and other complications are suspected.\textsuperscript{62} In children, the classical NERD has been rarely reported although cases with upper or lower airway symptoms may occur.\textsuperscript{39,40,69}

Today several diagnostic algorithms are provided that are useful for the diagnosis of NERD. As reported by the ENDA group,\textsuperscript{62} the following are indicators of category and groups within which to classify according to Table 1: upper and/or lower immediate symptoms after NSAID intake whether or not reported by the patient but clearly described in a clinical report, involvement of two or more strong COX-1 inhibitors but also one strong inhibitor and a weak one like paracetamol, the occurrence of several episodes, the existence of previous symptoms, the existence of nasal polyposis and of persistent nasal complaints indicative of rhinosinusitis.\textsuperscript{62} Several episodes with all these characteristics are quite indicative and when some are missing or the history is not reliable, further confirmation is needed. Although several algorithms have been provided through the years, the most updated has been published by the ENDA working group.\textsuperscript{62} Figure 2 provides the steps to follow in the case of NERD evaluation.\textsuperscript{62}
NECD. This is not difficult because CSU appears first and can sometimes be with minor symptoms. In these circumstances, avoidance of the drugs leads to improvement although sometimes additional support with corticoids is needed for a quick and better improvement.\textsuperscript{55,80}

NIUAA. In this group, because there are alternatives, another NSAID can be the choice and there are quite a large number of drugs that are strong COX-1 inhibitors that can be safely administered.\textsuperscript{89} Skin testing has only been validated for pirazolones, mostly with metamizole, although sensitivity does not surpass 50%.\textsuperscript{93} In this sense, basophil activation can be an alternative, though also with limited sensitivity, but cases exist of skin-test negative and basophil positive and vice versa. Putting both techniques together, sensitivity does not increase >60%.\textsuperscript{89} Recommendations for escalating and the doses with different NSAIDs have been published.\textsuperscript{3}

SNIDR. The problems diagnosing T-cell-mediated reactions come mainly when any NSAID induces a reaction in the context of the intake of several drugs, all of them being strong candidates.\textsuperscript{56} When this occurs, according to the probability and risk/benefit balance, we must start with the drug that, having no alternatives, has the lowest probability of being responsible. The acute phase is quite useful for monitoring the reactions and seeking immunologic markers. The general approach, valid also for NSAIDs, is to monitor the reaction in both the acute phase and the resolution period, taking samples from peripheral blood and skin. Results show a perivascular mononuclear cell infiltrate in the skin with the presence of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells that are activated expressing IL-25+, early and late lymphocyte activation markers, the presence of IL-12 and IFN-γ and, depending on the severity of the lesions, perforin and FAS-L. These changes are also observed in parallel in peripheral blood together with the expression of markers on T cells that home to the skin like CLA. Further studies and transcriptomic analysis add additional information to the mechanisms involved in these lesions.\textsuperscript{141–143}

General recommendations for intradermal and patch testing are shown in several reviews.\textsuperscript{144,145} In this respect, although no large series of T-cell-mediated reactions to NSAIDs are available there are several studies with different drugs from these groups that show the concentration
used for intradermal or patch testing. In general, patch testing is reserved for those NSAIDs that are not soluble, and powder solutions in petrolatum at a concentration of 5%–20% w/w are recommended although a maximum concentration value in a negative control needs to be assessed with new drugs where information is not available in the literature. For investigating photoallergic reactions, the most effective and widely used method is to perform photo-patch testing prepared in petrolatum and applied in duplicate sets to the skin, irradiating one of them with a UV source, as described.

Because in many instances the information provided by the patients is based on retrospective episodes, and also because sometimes we evaluate reactions that can belong to any of the categories shown above, we provide in Table 2 the characteristics of any of the clinical entities described as well as the different groups to which they belong in order to help to clarify this.

Because NSAIDs are drugs taken and prescribed for many conditions at all ages they cannot be withdrawn without providing alternatives. Once a subject has been diagnosed as having HDR-NSAID, next step is the management and consists of providing clear measures to follow, which must be written in a intelligible language for patients and also for the physicians who in the future need to know the precise diagnosis in order to make any decision as to which drug to prescribe. The EAACI has considered it useful to provide a consensus document (drug allergy passport) with the recommendations needed for each case written in a clear way and also given, in addition to the native language, in English, thus enabling the document to be consulted in any country.

One of the major problems in management is when the patients have not been diagnosed, as occurs in all cases of the first episode as well as in many cases that have had several episodes, an allergological workup never has been carried out. In these instances, allergy centers are not available to undertake an appropriate study although in many countries allergy services now have personal facilities to evaluate subjects with drug allergies, including HDR-NSAID. Drug allergy is not a permanent condition; in many instances, it is not for ever and in others it may get worse and subjects may need further evaluation. Another reason is that new drugs from the same group are released on the market, and often assessing tolerance to these may be required, as occurs in the case of weak COX-1 or new COX-2 inhibitors.

With NSAIDs the diagnostic approach not only implies confirming/excluding HDR-NSAID but also providing safe alternatives needed in the cases of CI, as will be detailed below. With SR, once the diagnosis is made, there are easy alternatives unless a very specific drug is particularly useful for the process to be treated. This is the case of SNIAA to propionic derivatives where our evidence now has shown that subjects can be SR to ibuprofen but have good tolerance to other propionic acid derivatives. For the other drugs, this must also be considered although cases are rather uncommon. This is the case with selected COX-2 inhibitors where differences in chemical structures and clinical data suggest that an SR to one can develop a reaction to the alternatives. In this sense, this can be extended to oxicams, pyrazolones, and others. Drug allergy management, as is many areas of medicine, is becoming a very personalized approach, and that kind of reasoning may be valuable for physicians on specific occasions.

The other group is SNIDHR and as occurs with BLs, in addition to group-specific responders selective reactions also occur, like with oxicams and aryl-propionics. If the drug that is provided belongs to the same group but is not the same drug that induced the reaction, and if it was not severe, an approach to assess tolerance can be done. The same measures as for a challenge should apply, although less stringently in order to accommodate the balance of risks/benefits.

For CI, it is now progressively accepted that in a case of a subject with NSAIDs-HDR a search for a safe alternative must be made. To advise in a case of CI, the safety of paracetamol or even a COX-2 inhibitor must not be taken for granted. Nowadays, since the classical division in five major groups with well-defined characteristics, our position is that when we deal with CI and an alternative drug must be given, it is not the same evaluating cases with NERD as those with NIUA and NIUA. Studies with a sufficient number of cases for providing evidence-based recommendations are lacking, although there are some publications that although not fulfilling the requirements are to some extent valid for following their recommendations.

As an important number of patients suffer from the underlying disease the management must include both pharmacologic and other support measures to treat the whole process. Strong COX-1 inhibitors should be avoided and safe alternatives must be provided. Regarding weak COX-1 inhibitors, preferentially COX-2 with some COX-1 effects or selective COX-2, the general idea is that they are well tolerated although in the case of paracetamol doses higher than 500 mg can lead in some instances to worsening of respiratory symptoms that may even lead to manifest bronchospasm.
Whether these patients are more resistant to classical asthma treatment with CS and B2 inhalers must be considered in severe cases as well as inhaled CS, both needed for control.62 The value of panleukast and zileuton was initially emphasized by several manuscripts, although they have not yet attained the generalized use of CS and B2 inhalers.154–157 Regarding biologics, promising avenues are now open with initial evidence from case reports, and a recent study has shown improvement.158–160

NECDS. It is assumed in clinical practice that in subjects with CSU, particularly those very active, ASA and other strong COX-1 inhibitors, including piroxicam, may aggravate the clinical course.161,162 Whether this can be extended to weak COX-1 inhibitors or selective COX-2 is not known and the general practice is to administer this with reasonable safety.161,162

NIUA. As occurs with other entities all strong COX-1 inhibitors should be avoided in these patients although some cases tolerate ibuprofen in spite of having a reaction with ASA or other NSAID, though in all instances intolerance to ASA must be proven.3,14,27,30 Cases with any of the clinical entities belonging to this group, if they tolerate ASA, are considered double or triple selective.38 In the case of piroxicam it has been estimated that about 20% of cases may develop symptoms, with 80% having good tolerance,80 but later follow-up of these cases has shown that they can develop mild symptoms after intake (data not published). Other drugs of the group of oxicams are shown to be better tolerated.163 Regarding paracetamol, although generally it is well tolerated, investigators who have studied large series of patients have shown that up to 19% of cases may have symptoms after intake.164 Better tolerance is shown with selective COX-2 inhibitors, although a low percentage of cases (about 10%) may develop symptoms.165 Another alternative drug that has been tested, not available in many countries, is nabumetone that has been shown to be well tolerated.166 More recently, a new drug, tiaramide, has been shown to be well tolerated in a reduced number of cases in a Japanese study.167,168

SNIUAA. The choice in this entity is to look for an alternative drug80,89 but if any of these drugs must be administered a desensitization procedure must be considered, as shown below. It is expected that with the progress made in the understanding of drugs involved in the prostanandin–leukotriene pathways, any of the NSAIDs now in use may have specific beneficial/detrimental effects that will in the future need to be considered independently.

Because of the relevance of NSAIDs and considering that they are drugs of absolute necessity, if no alternatives are available, desensitization is an option that can be considered in special cases.80 Also, dispensation has been used in the management of those cases that within the NERD group have a poor evolution.52,169–171 The rationale is different than that for IgE-mediated reactions but the final outcomes pursued are similar, to induce some type of tachyphylaxis although additional mechanisms have recently been proposed.73

For NSAID, desensitization ASA is given at initial doses of 40.5 mg with increasing doses given over a period of 1–3 days till achieving a final dose of 325 mg. During this period in some cases minor symptoms appear that can be transitory. Then the dose can be maintained from 325 to 650 mg of ASA daily. This treatment is then maintained over a long time during which patients experience decrease in nasal swelling, better breathing by the nose but no change in the presence of asthma. This approach, initially made at the Scrip Clinic by Stevenson et al, has been now generalized to many American centers and also other located all over the world including Europe.172 Several protocols have been used and, although they have apparent differences, they, nevertheless, follow the same rational strategy with the use of omalizumab in some cases that may help in the success of the procedure. For further details, we recommend the recent review published by the ENDA study group on NERD.82 In cases of NECDS, desensitization has been less studied and it is not easy to find cases where we can envisage this.173 Because the number of patients treated so far is rather low and adverse effects have been reported, we do not know if cases with NECD are more resistant to ASA desensitization. In any case, in any potential scenario where a certain NSAID is needed, the general approaches made for NERD are also valid here.62 Finally, concerning desensitization in SNIUAA no cases yet exist and as far as we are aware no information is available in the medical literature, as can be seen by introducing appropriate key words in PubMed or other database sources.

Concluding remarks
Increasing worldwide evidence in the last year supported by many groups is showing that NSAIDs are the most important drug involved in HDR. This observation extends to children and the older people although in these ages more evidence is still needed for supporting the observations made in adults and adolescents. Due to the lack of well-validated in vitro and in vivo tests like skin testing for the diagnosis, DPT is the sole approach in most instances to verify tolerance or confirm hypersensitivity. This requires that many centers involved in drug allergy evaluation include in their services special units dedicated to evaluate drug allergy with the required facilities.
and trained personnel for the diagnosis and management of NSAID-HDR. As the use of these drugs including selective COX-2 inhibitors goes on increasing, this approach must be warranted in allergy services.
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Ab, antibodies; ADR, adverse drug reactions; AGEP, acute generalized exanthematic pustulosis; ASA, acetyl salicylic acid; AX, amoxicillin; AX-CLAV, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid; BLs, betalactams; CD, contact dermatitis; CI, cross-intolerance; COX, cyclooxygenase; COX-1, cyclooxygenase-1; COX-2, cyclooxygenase-2; CS, corticosteroid; CSU, chronic spontaneous urticaria; DRESS, drug rash with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms; DPT, drug provocation test; EAACI, European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology; ENDA, European Network for Drug Allergy; FDE, fixed drug eruption; GI, gastrointestinal; HDR, hypersensitivity drug reactions; HDR-NSAIDs, hypersensitivity drug reactions to NSAIDs; LOX, lipo-oxygenase; MPE, maculopapular exanthema; NECD, NSAIDs-exacerbated cutaneous disease; NERD, NSAIDs exacerbated respiratory disease; NIU, nonimmediate urticaria; NIUA, NSAID-induced urticaria angioedema; NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; RIRAAF, Spanish National Network for the Study of Adverse Drug Reactions; sIgE, specific IgE; SJ-S-TEN, Steven Johnson Syndrome – toxic epidermal necrolysis; SNIDR, single NSAID-induced delayed reactions; SNIUAA, single NSAID-induced urticaria, angioedema, and anaphylaxis; SR, selective responders.
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