Retained bones of the second twin following a cesarean section: a case report
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Abstract: Twin pregnancies are increasing due to assisted reproductive techniques. Following the death of one twin in-utero, the surviving and dead twin are removed from the uterine cavity at delivery. This is a report of a 38-year-old para 0+3 woman who experienced fetal demise of one of a set of twins at 20 weeks of gestation. She had an elective cesarean section during which the dead twin was forgotten in the uterine cavity. She presented with profuse vaginal discharge that was sterile on culture. An ultrasound scan showed the presence of several echogenic substances within the endometrial cavity. These substances were hysteroscopically removed and confirmed by histology to be fetal bones. The vaginal discharge subsequently stopped. In conclusion, uterine revision should always be performed during cesarean section to prevent such an occurrence.
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Introduction
Twin pregnancy is uncommon among humans, but the prevalence varies in different populations. The rate of twin gestation is increasing due to assisted reproductive techniques, such as in vitro fertilization (IVF).1 Fetal death after 20 weeks gestation increases the incidence of intrauterine growth restriction, preterm delivery, preeclampsia, and perinatal mortality of the surviving twin.2 Retention of a dead twin following a cesarean section is not common. A practitioner might be considered negligent if such a situation occurs, and there could be associated medico-legal consequences. This report is intended to raise awareness to this condition as well as highlight its management and prevention.

Case summary
A 38-year-old para 0+3 woman presented with a 7-year history of infertility, and evaluation revealed uterine fibroids and bilateral tubal blockage. She had previous myomectomy followed by IVF, resulting in a twin gestation. Based on a history of three previous terminations of pregnancy and ultrasound scan findings of a short cervical length of 2.3 cm, a prophylactic cervical cerclage using the McDonald’s technique was applied. Antenatal care was continued at a different hospital. At 20 weeks gestation, a routine anomaly scan showed that one of the twins had no visible cardiac activity. A repeat ultrasound scan at 28 and 36 weeks, separately, revealed a viable cephalic presenting fetus coexisting with a nonviable fetus. An elective cesarean section was performed at the hospital where she had antenatal care at 37 weeks gestation, and a male baby weighing 2.8 kg with APGAR scores of 7 at 1
min and 10 at 5 mins was delivered. Information on the handling of the uterine cavity at cesarean section was not available.

The cervical cerclage was removed. Postoperative period was complicated by repeated vaginal discharge for which no bacteria was cultured. She was discharged on the 10th postoperative day.

Ten months after the delivery, she represented to our facility with repeated vaginal discharge. On physical examination, the abdomen was normal except for the cesarean section scar. Vaginal examination showed a short cervix and copious nonfoul smelling whitish discharge from the external cervical os. Endocervical swab culture and transvaginal ultrasound scan were planned, but the patient defaulted. She returned 1 year later with persistence of vaginal discharge. A transvaginal ultrasound scan revealed a bulky anteverted uterus with a highly reflective and hyperechoic endometrial cavity. Culture of the vaginal discharge was sterile. Retention of foreign body within the uterine cavity was suspected. At hysteroscopy, various types of bony materials were present in the endometrial cavity and were removed piecemeal without difficulty and with the aid of a 5F hysteroscopic grasping forceps (Video S1; Figure 1). Following the procedure, the vaginal discharge stopped, and she remained well at 11 months of follow-up. Histology of the removed material confirmed that they were bones. The findings were communicated to the remaining members of the previous managing team as the lead physician left the institution. Table 1 shows important timelines.

Discussion

Fetal bones, which are rarely found within the uterine cavity, usually follow retained bone fragments after termination of pregnancy. However, metaplasia of mature endometrial stromal cells following chronic inflammation or trauma have been suspected to produce the same features.3 The incidence of fetal demise of one of a set of twins is approximately 3.7–6.8%.4 Intrauterine death of a twin is uncommon in the second or third trimester. The surviving twin, especially if it is a monochorionic twin, is exposed to complications, such as cerebral impairment, preterm delivery with its sequelae, and even intrauterine death.5 Mode of delivery is dependent on various factors, and intrauterine death of one of a set of twins is not an exclusive indication for cesarean section. The surviving twin in the present report did not suffer any complications. Why the remains of the dead twin were left behind during the cesarean section is not clear.

Retained fetal bones in the uterine cavity may lead to vaginal discharge, chronic pelvic pains, spontaneous passage of bone fragments during menstruation, and infertility.6,7 The patient in the present report had repeated vaginal discharge for several months. Such repeated vaginal discharge following cesarean section should be carefully evaluated to exclude retention of fetal parts.

It is important to avoid retention of fetal remains following cesarean section. Routine cleaning of the uterine cavity after delivery of the placenta at cesarean section is practiced by some.8 Such cleansing of the uterine cavity at cesarean section may help in preventing the retention of fetal parts as noted in the present report. Information on the handling of the uterine cavity at cesarean section in the present patient was not available. It is difficult to arrive at a case of medical negligence, as we did not have direct information from the managing physician. Being a resident doctor at the time, he completed his training program and left the training institution where the case was managed. It would however constitute clinical negligence if there was an omission in inspecting the uterine cavity following delivery of the baby and placenta.9
The removal of retained fetal bones from the uterine cavity can safely be performed hysteroscopically,\(^7\),\(^10\) as noted in this report. Removal of retained bones using a curette under abdominal ultrasound scan guide after dilatation and curettage has been reported.\(^11\)

In conclusion, retention of fetal bones is a rare occurrence. To our knowledge, this is the first report of retained fetal bones following a cesarean section. Vaginal discharge might be the only presenting feature, as was observed in this case. Performing a routine uterine cavity revision during cesarean section should prevent its occurrence. Hysteroscopic removal of such bones is safe and effective.
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