Risk analysis of systemic levels of estrogen and adipokines as well as estrogen receptors from PBMCs in childbearing and perimenopausal women with obesity
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Purpose: We aimed to evaluate the clinical value of systemic levels of estrogen and adipokines as well as estrogen receptors from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) in childbearing and perimenopausal women with obesity.

Subjects and methods: We observed 292 women, including 160 perimenopausal women (80 with obesity and 80 without obesity) and 132 women of childbearing age (67 with obesity and 65 without obesity). Body parameters, such as body mass index and waist circumference, were measured. Fat distribution was evaluated using a computed tomography scanner. The levels of serum estrogen, leptin, visfatin, and adiponectin were measured using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. The expression of circulating ERα was evaluated by Western blot analysis.

Results: Perimenopausal women and childbearing women with obesity exhibited lower levels of estrogen and adiponectin, in addition to a distribution of visceral fat with higher levels of leptin and visfatin. These findings reflect the current data of menopausal women, which confirms the reliability of this experimental system. However, the expression of ERα in peripheral blood was significantly enhanced in women with obesity of both childbearing and perimenopausal age. This result is contrary to the common understanding of adipose tissue, namely that ERα is protective. The expression of ERβ in the women without obesity of both childbearing and perimenopausal age was higher than in women with obesity, which coincides with the results of a previous study on adipose tissue.

Conclusion: Our data fundamentally contradicts the utility of circulating ERα and ERβ evaluations in obesity studies. Because estrogen exerts pleiotropic effects on multiple tissues in the body through differential regulation of ERs, although the expression of ERβ coincides with the results of a previous study on adipose tissue, the expression levels of ERs in blood cannot be used as a diagnostic of informative tool for obesity in women.
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Introduction

Obesity and obesity-related diseases, such as hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and stroke, are important public health concerns.1 The percentage of individuals suffering from obesity in China is increasing substantially with the nation’s economic growth. Obesity is closely related to several diseases. Therefore, many treatments, including western medicine and herbs based on traditional Chinese medicine, have been developed to manage obesity. However, obesity treatment...
remains limited due to uncertain efficacy and notable adverse events.\textsuperscript{2} Thus, a better understanding of the mechanisms underlying obesity is crucial. Obesity has traditionally been regarded as an excessive energy state associated with diet and lifestyle. However, recent investigations indicate that obesity is a metabolic syndrome of complicated pathogenesis associated with genetic\textsuperscript{3–5} and environmental\textsuperscript{6–8} factors. Recently, the roles of estrogen, estrogen receptors (ERs) and the G protein-coupled estrogen receptor (GPER, previously termed GPR30) have garnered increasing attention. The prevalence of obesity is enhanced in menopausal women\textsuperscript{8} and the regulation of their adipose metabolism is quite complex. It is modulated by leptin, visfatin, adiponectin, estrogen, and ERs. Adipokines, such as leptin and visfatin, exhibit positive correlations with obesity, while adiponectin is protective, showing a negative correlation with obesity.\textsuperscript{9–11} Thus, the mechanisms of obesity are complicated and affected by the interactions between estrogen, ERs, and adipokines. In a study from 2014, Grantham found that men in developing countries are exposed to environmental estrogen-like substances that reduce the risk of obesity.\textsuperscript{7} The results of an animal study also suggest that estrogen signaling may decrease the effects of obesity on obesity-related diseases in men.\textsuperscript{12} These findings provide evidence that estrogen is protective against obesity.\textsuperscript{13} ERs, including ER\textsubscript{α} and ER\textsubscript{β}, play a crucial role in the effects of estrogen. Liu\textsuperscript{2010} demonstrated that ERs modulate insulin sensitivity and energy homeostasis closely associated with obesity. Both ER\textsubscript{α} and ER\textsubscript{β} are expressed in subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissues. Park\textsuperscript{2011} found that the knockout of ER\textsubscript{α} in mice leads to central obesity, while nonclassical ER\textsubscript{α} signaling may reduce the risks associated with obesity.\textsuperscript{14} Lizcano\textsuperscript{2014} believed that ER\textsubscript{α} plays a more important role in fat distribution and adipocyte activity.\textsuperscript{2} A subsequent report suggested that selective activation of ER\textsubscript{α} may be a novel therapeutic target for promoting the binging of white adipose tissues.\textsuperscript{15} In this respect, ER\textsubscript{α} is protective against obesity.\textsuperscript{16} The roles of ER\textsubscript{β}, however, are poorly understood. Evidence suggests that ER\textsubscript{β} plays an important role in metabolism.\textsuperscript{17} Recently, Gonzalez-Granillo et al reported that ER\textsubscript{β} is protective in ovariec-tomized female mice.\textsuperscript{18} Other studies show that the index of ER\textsubscript{α}/ER\textsubscript{β} ratios is more sensitive and it has been used in several studies. Our previous findings demonstrate that enhancement of the hippocampal ER\textsubscript{α}/ER\textsubscript{β} ratio is neuroprotective against perimenopausal anxiety and depression in rats.\textsuperscript{19} Recently, the role of GPER in regulation of metabolism has been increasingly attended because it has multifold effects on many systems (immune system, nervous system, cardiovascular system, etc.). It has been documented that GPER play a crucial role in regulation of the body weight, lipid homeostasis, glucose metabolism and inflammation by evidence from in vivo and in vitro investigations.\textsuperscript{20} But the underlying mechanisms of GPER to regulate metabolism remains unclear.

However, previous studies concerning ERs and obesity investigated samples of adipose tissue in animal obesity models, while most studies of ERs in humans investigated samples of pathological tissue. Clinically, this method is invasive and difficult to perform in women who cannot undergo surgery. Measuring the ERs in blood sample is lower invasive and easy to be accepted for the patients with obesity. We found only one study involved in investigation of ERs in blood samples, but that was for patient with hemopathy;\textsuperscript{21} and no study is found for investigating obesity. Thus, we sought to evaluate whether the levels of circulating ERs in peripheral blood samples could be used for examining the ER changes of perimenopausal women. We also investigated the utility of a peripheral blood ER\textsubscript{α}/ERβ ratio index in obesity research. Here, we attempted to reveal a new method for investigating ERs with low invasion that is easily applicable in a clinical setting.

**Subjects and methods**

**Participants**

A total of 292 women were enrolled based on voluntary participation. The criteria for perimenopause were an age of 40–55 years and the presence of menstrual disorders or amenorrhea for \( \geq 3 \) and <12 months.\textsuperscript{22} The criteria for women of childbearing age were an age of 20–39 years and normal menstruation. Women were considered to have simple obesity according to the Guidelines for Prevention and Control of Overweight and Obesity in Chinese Adults;\textsuperscript{23} waist circumference (WC) \( \geq 80 \) cm or body mass index (BMI) \( \geq 28 \) kg/m\(^{2}\) was defined as simple obesity. Central obesity was defined by body fat parameters measured via computerized tomography (CT) scans. A visceral fat area \( \geq 100 \) cm\(^{2}\) was defined as central obesity.\textsuperscript{24} We excluded subjects with the following conditions: 1) type 1 diabetes, gestational diabetes, secondary hypertension, or hyperlipemia, 2) serious heart, liver, kidney, or other complications, 3) psychiatric disorders, 4) secondary or drug-induced obesity, and 5) pituitary tumors or Cushing syndrome.
syndrome. Data were collected between October 2016 and March 2018. Finally, 160 perimenopausal women (80 with obesity and 80 without obesity) and 132 women of child-bearing age (67 with obesity and 65 without obesity) were enrolled. The study was designed and performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki of the World Medical Association (2000). It was approved and supervised by the Ethics Committee of the Fujian University of Traditional Chinese Medicine (approval number: SQ2014-007-01). The investigation protocol was explained to all study participants and their relatives. Informed consent was obtained from each participant and a relative before study initiation.

**Simple body fat parameter collection**
The indices of all participants in a fasting state, including height, body weight (BW), and WC were measured three times at 8 AM and the average of the three values was recorded. The BMI was calculated as BW/height\(^2\) (kg/m\(^2\)). WC was measured with tape as the circumference of the horizontal edge of the midpoint at the lower edge of the costal arch.

**Central obesity assessment**
As described in a previous study, a CT scanner (ECLOS-16; Hitachi, Japan) was used to perform the central obesity assessment.\(^{24}\) The participants were placed in the supine position, the subject was instructed to hold his or her breath during the scan, and 16-slice spiral CT scanning was performed at the waist 4-waist 5 segments (umbilical level). An experienced radiologist measured the abdominal fat area (visceral fat area [VFA]), the abdominal wall subcutaneous fat area (SFA), and the total fat area (TFA). The ratio of fat was calculated as VFA/SFA.

**Blood sampling**
Elbow venous blood was collected in a fasting state from 8 AM to 9 AM. For menstruating women, the blood was sampled on the 3rd-5th day after menstruation. For women whose menstruation had stopped for ≥6 months, the blood was sampled on any day according to convenience. The blood samples were divided as follows: 5 mL in a procoagulant tube, 3 mL treated with anticoagulant (EDTA-K\(_2\); Sarstedt, Niimebrecht, Germany), and 1.5 mg/mL untreated for further use.

**Measurement of serum levels of estrogen, leptin, visfatin, and adiponectin**
A standard enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay was used to measure the serum levels of estrogen, leptin, visfatin, and adiponectin using an estrogen kit (AB108667; Abcam, UK), a leptin kit (ELH-Leptin-1; RayBiotech, USA), an adiponectin kit (ELH-Adiponectin-1; RayBiotech, USA), and a visfatin kit (EIA-VIS-1; RayBiotech, USA). All assays were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and each assay was repeated three times. All experiments were performed by the same technician who was blinded to the study participants.

**Measurement of the protein expression of ERs**
As described in a previous study, standard Western blot analyses were performed to evaluate the protein expression of ER\(_\alpha\) and ER\(_\beta\).\(^{25}\) Three mL of anticoagulated blood was collected, the surface of the lymphocyte separation solution was added, and the tube was centrifuged for 25 min (1500 rpm, 23 °C; Eppendorf, USA). The second layer of milky suspension lymphocyte solution was isolated, supplemented with 10 mL of physiological saline, and centrifuged for 10 min (1500 rpm, 23 °C; Eppendorf, USA). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated by centrifugation using a Histopaque density gradient (Sigma, USA) for profiling the ERs. This step was performed twice to obtain the cells that were lysed with RIPA lysis solution (P0013B; Shanghai Beyotime Biological Co, China). Once the proteins were extracted, a BCA Protein Concentration Assay Kit (P0010; Shanghai Beyotime Biological Co, China) was used to quantify them. According to the protein quantification results, the corresponding volume of the total protein sample and 5× protein gel electrophoresis loading buffer was added (Shanghai Beyotime Biological Co, China), gently mixed, denature at 95 °C for 10 min, and immediately placed on ice for future use. Fifteen mg of protein from each sample was loaded into an SDS-PAGE gel, separated by electrophoresis, and transferred to a 0.45-mm polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Millipore, USA). For the transfer, the gel and membrane were placed into a transfer film plate marked with positive and negative electrodes, and then inserted into a transfer electrophoresis tank containing transfer buffer. The power supply was turned on and the transfer occurred under constant voltage at 65V for 2 h at 4 °C. When the protein transfer was confirmed, the membrane was placed it in a blocking solution for 1 h at room temperature (23 °C) on a slow-moving shaker. The primary antibody incubation was performed overnight at 4 °C with anti-ER\(_\alpha\) (Cat.14007–1-AP, Proteintech, USA) and anti-ER\(_\beta\) (Cat.21244–1-AP, Proteintech, USA) primary antibodies, respectively. The membrane was washed and incubated in a...
horseradish peroxidase-labeled secondary antibody (MDL Biotechnology, China) for 60 min at room temperature, shaking slowly in the dark. The membranes were imaged with a chemiluminescence imaging system (Bio-Rad, USA).

Statistical analyses
Data were analyzed with SPSS 20.0 software (IBM, USA). All measurement data are represented as means ± standard deviations. All statistical results were tested on both sides. Normality of distribution and homogeneity of variance tests were performed first. Then, analysis of variance followed by Bonferroni post-hoc correction were performed for multiple comparisons. In the row correlation analysis, the Pearson line correlation was used for normal data. A p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Comparison of obesity between the women of childbearing and perimenopausal age
In the comparison between perimenopausal women with and without obesity, BW, BMI, WC, VFA, SFA, and levels of leptin, adiponectin, and visfatin were significantly different. However, there was a different pattern in the variation between women of childbearing age with or without obesity. The estrogen levels were significantly higher in women of childbearing age without obesity, while the visfatin levels were not significantly different between the two groups.

In the comparison between women of perimenopausal age with obesity and women of childbearing age with obesity, age, estrogen level, VFA, VFA/TFA, VFA/SFA, and the levels of adiponectin and visfatin were significantly different.

These data indicate that estrogen levels and deposition of visceral fat might be characteristic changes in perimenopausal women with obesity, and that estrogen may have a protective effect against obesity (Table 1).

Correlations of adipokines and obesity-related factors in women of childbearing age and perimenopausal age
As shown in Table 2, the estrogen level was negatively correlated with age and positively correlated with WC in perimenopausal women. However, in women of childbearing age with obesity, VFA/TFA and VFA/SFA exhibited a negative correlation with the estrogen level. These data suggest that the difference between the protective effect of estrogen against obesity in women of different ages is attributable to differences in the underlying mechanisms responsible for the effect. This subject requires further investigation.

The leptin levels were positively correlated with WC and SFA in perimenopausal women with obesity and showed a positive correlation with BW, BMI, WC, and SFA in women of childbearing age.

Table 1 Obesity-related factors in different populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Childbearing age</th>
<th>Perimenopausal age</th>
<th>p-value (CO vs CN)</th>
<th>p-value (PO vs PN)</th>
<th>p-value (CO vs PO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>32.21±5.08</td>
<td>30.59±5.87</td>
<td>49.30±4.66</td>
<td>48.43±4.06</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estrogen (pg/mL)</td>
<td>45.40±25.67</td>
<td>78.80±35.83</td>
<td>32.13±29.20</td>
<td>33.86±48.60</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>158.27±4.72</td>
<td>157.52±5.33</td>
<td>156.99±5.48</td>
<td>155.65±5.54</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW (kg)</td>
<td>67.83±7.24</td>
<td>56.02±6.30</td>
<td>67.23±8.33</td>
<td>58.32±4.45</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>27.11±3.04</td>
<td>22.39±2.28</td>
<td>27.30±2.88</td>
<td>24.07±1.45</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waistline (cm)</td>
<td>89.54±5.99</td>
<td>74.54±4.13</td>
<td>89.41±6.79</td>
<td>76.36±2.73</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFA (cm²)</td>
<td>77.08±35.21</td>
<td>38.71±6.50</td>
<td>104.52±25.23</td>
<td>62.19±22.54</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFA (cm²)</td>
<td>237.73±67.32</td>
<td>135.93±31.65</td>
<td>228.24±57.00</td>
<td>137.68±21.73</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFA/TFA</td>
<td>0.27±0.16</td>
<td>0.22±0.08</td>
<td>0.30±0.09</td>
<td>0.31±0.09</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFA/SFA</td>
<td>0.35±0.20</td>
<td>0.29±0.12</td>
<td>0.43±0.18</td>
<td>0.47±0.20</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptin (ng/mL)</td>
<td>3.55±2.46</td>
<td>1.77±1.32</td>
<td>3.71±2.31</td>
<td>1.99±1.46</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiponectin (µg/mL)</td>
<td>23.23±13.74</td>
<td>30.41±15.84</td>
<td>15.85±11.31</td>
<td>29.71±26.53</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visfatin (ng/mL)</td>
<td>66.73±21.21</td>
<td>59.44±26.52</td>
<td>121.91±76.00</td>
<td>75.48±40.41</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *p<0.05; **p<0.01.
Abbreviations: BW, body weight; BMI, body mass index; VFA, visceral fat area; SFA, subcutaneous fat area; TFA, total fat area; CO, obese women in childbearing age; CN, normal women in childbearing age; PO, perimenopausal women with obesity; PN, perimenopausal women without obesity.
The adiponectin levels were negatively correlated with VFA/TFA and VFA/SFA in perimenopausal women with obesity and were negatively correlated with height, BW, BMI, VFA, VFA/TFA, and VFA/SFA in women of childbearing age with obesity.

The visfatin levels were positively correlated with WC and SFA in perimenopausal women with obesity. No visfatin correlations existed in women of childbearing age (Table 2).

Our data suggest that estrogen and adiponectin are protective against obesity, while leptin and visfatin induce obesity in women of both childbearing and perimenopausal age. Leptin exhibited a higher sensitivity than visfatin.

**Investigation of the ER and obesity**

The protein expression of ERα was significantly increased in women of childbearing and perimenopausal age with obesity relative to those without obesity (Figure 1). For the perimenopausal women with obesity, the ERα expression was considerably high (Figure 1B).

The protein expression of ERβ in women of childbearing and perimenopausal age without obesity was higher than in those with obesity (Figure 1C).

The ERα/ERβ ratio exhibited the same tendency as ERα, but with a larger difference (Figure 1D).

**Discussion**

In the present study, we investigated the distribution of estrogen, ERs, and adipokines in women of childbearing and perimenopausal age. The estrogen levels of perimenopausal women were significantly lower relative to those in women of childbearing age. The deposition of the visceral fat was higher in perimenopausal women than in women of childbearing age. The adiponectin levels were higher in normal women and women of childbearing age and were lower in perimenopausal women with obesity. The levels of leptin and visfatin, however, exhibited an opposite tendency. The leptin and visfatin levels were higher in perimenopausal women with obesity and lower in normal and childbearing women. Similar results were found in the correlation analyses. These results are congruent with previous analogous studies of menopausal women.

Interestingly, our data exhibited that the leptin levels showed a positive correlation with BW, BMI, WC, and SFA in women of childbearing age, whereas the visfatin levels did not. We therefore considered that leptin might be a more sensitive index than visfatin in the women with obesity. The previous studies supported that combined use
Mastorakos et al reported that in childbearing women with obesity was significantly higher than that in perimenopausal women without obesity (n=80); the protein expression of ER<sub>α</sub> in childbearing women with obesity was significantly higher than that in childbearing women without obesity (n=65); the protein expression of ER<sub>α</sub> in perimenopausal women with obesity was significantly higher than that in women of childbearing age with obesity. Our results are opposite to those of previous studies. However, the ratio exhibits a greater difference; perimenopausal women without obesity exhibited the lowest values, while perimenopausal women with obesity exhibited the highest values. Data are shown as mean ± standard deviation. *p<0.05. C indicates women of childbearing age; P indicates perimenopausal women.

**Abbreviations:** CO, obese women in childbearing age; CN, normal women in childbearing age; PO, perimenopausal women with obesity; PN, perimenopausal women without obesity.

Figure 1 Protein expression of ER<sub>α</sub>, ER<sub>β</sub>, and ER<sub>α/ER<sub>β</sub></sub> in women with and without obesity.

Notes: (A) Representative images of the Western blot analysis of ER<sub>α</sub> and ER<sub>β</sub>. (B) The protein expression of ER<sub>α</sub> in perimenopausal women with obesity (n=80) was significantly higher than that in perimenopausal women without obesity (n=80); The protein expression of ER<sub>α</sub> in childbearing women with obesity (n=67) was significantly higher than that in childbearing women without obesity (n=65); the protein expression of ER<sub>α</sub> in perimenopausal women with obesity was significantly higher than that in women of childbearing age with obesity. (C) The protein expression of ER<sub>β</sub> in perimenopausal women with obesity was significantly lower than that in perimenopausal women without obesity; the protein expression of ER<sub>β</sub> in childbearing women with obesity was significantly lower than that in childbearing women without obesity. (D) ER<sub>α</sub>/ER<sub>β</sub> shows the same tendency as ER<sub>α</sub>. However, the ratio exhibits a greater difference; perimenopausal women without obesity exhibited the lowest values, while perimenopausal women with obesity exhibited the highest values. Data are shown as mean ± standard deviation. *p<0.05. C indicates women of childbearing age; P indicates perimenopausal women.

of the indices of adipokines including adiponectin, leptin and visfatin is more reliable. Mastorakos et al reported that serum visfatin was the best negative predictor of percentage body fat in insulin resistance in normal pregnancy. Plati et al found that serum visfatin levels exhibits a more sensitivity than serum leptin levels in women with polycystic ovaries. Our results are opposite with these previous studies. We believe the changes and mechanisms of adipokines are complicated and multifold, which may be altered in different pathophysiological state. However, no more study compared the sensitivity of serum leptin and visfatin in women with obesity, which needs further investigation in our future studies.

With respect to ERs, we found that the ER<sub>α</sub> levels were higher in perimenopausal women and women with obesity, and lower in childbearing women and women without obesity. These data are contrary to the results of previous studies. The ER<sub>β</sub> levels exhibited a reverse tendency, with lower values in women with obesity. ER<sub>α</sub>/ER<sub>β</sub> ratios exhibited a similar tendency as those of ER<sub>α</sub>, but the difference was larger. Our results suggest a low utility of investigating circulating ERs in obesity-related studies.

The estrogen levels were significantly lower in perimenopausal women than childbearing women. When the estrogen levels were compared between women with and without obesity, only those of childbearing age exhibited a significant difference. There was no significant difference between perimenopausal women with and without obesity. These data are congruent with a previous report on pre- and postmenopausal women that observed lower estrogen levels only in premenopausal women. We speculate that estrogen levels decrease considerably at the perimenopausal age, irrespective of the presence or absence of obesity. Thus, our study did not detect a significant difference between the estrogen levels of perimenopausal women with and without obesity. We also found that VFA/TFA and VFA/SFA were significantly different.
different between women with obesity of childbearing and perimenopausal age. However, there was no difference between the women with and without obesity at the same age. These results suggest that fat distribution is different between women with obesity of childbearing and perimenopausal age. Deposition of visceral fat may be a characteristic change in perimenopausal women with obesity. Notably, our data indicate that VFA/TFA and VFA/SFA were negatively correlated with the estrogen levels of women of childbearing age with obesity, which provides strong evidence for the relationship between estrogen and visceral fat. Several reports have demonstrated that a decrease in the estrogen levels of menopausal women may cause loss of subcutaneous fat and enhance visceral fat, and that estrogen is closely associated with the accumulation of subcutaneous fat.2,31-33

Our data of perimenopausal women are similar to the data of menopausal women. Estrogen metabolism and regulation become disrupted in the perimenopausal age. This metabolic disruption exerts a remarkable impact on fat metabolism, resulting in visceral fat accumulation that is closely associated with obesity and obesity-related diseases. Our data regarding adipokines are congruent with previous reports of menopausal women.2,34 Our correlation analyses provide further evidence that leptin and visfatin are factors that induce obesity, while adiponectin exerts a protective effect against obesity. These results of estrogen levels, fat distribution, and adipokines in perimenopausal women are in accordance with the data from previous reports of menopausal women, which confirms the reliability of the experimental system in the present study.

With respect to the data of the ERs, the blood sample results contrary to those from the adipose tissue. The protective role of ERα against obesity has been well documented. Recently, Arao et al found that activation of ERα prevents fat accumulation mediated by increased energy expenditure.35 Liu et al reported that ERα activation by resveratrol protects against the effects of high-fat diet-induced mouse cardiomyopathy.36 Leonetti et al showed that ERα activation improved metabolic alterations and provided vascular protection in a mouse model of obesity-related disorders.37 However, the blood sample data in the present study showed that ERα was lower in women of childbearing age and perimenopausal age without obesity. These data do not support the protective effects of ERα and, therefore, are nonsensical. Our data shows that ERβ is higher in women without obesity, but there is no significant difference between women of perimenopausal and childbearing ages. Although these findings are partially in agreement with results from a previous study of adipose tissue,18 namely that ERβ is protective, the roles of ERβ remain controversial. Mia et al found that ERβ plays a novel role in regulating the browning of adipose tissue.38 Ponnusamy et al found that ERβ activation enhances mitochondrial function, enhances energy expenditure, and browns adipose tissue.39 A recent study observed the body metabolism in non-ovariectomized female mice who were administered a high-fat diet and selective ERβ agonist. They reported that ERβ activation improved the fasting glucose levels and insulin sensitivity and reduced liver steatosis. They concluded that ERβ activation exerts a beneficial effect on whole-body metabolism related to obesity.18 Because the utility of circulating ERα measurement is not supported by the data of the present study, the ERα/ERβ ratio cannot be considered a useful measurement. An explanation of these results is that estrogen exerts pleiotropic effects on multiple tissues in the body through differential regulation of ERs, we believe that expression of ERs in the blood cells may not reflect the true levels of ERs in metabolically relevant tissues. In this regard, although the expression of ERβ coincides with the results of a previous study on adipose tissue, we believe that the expression levels of ERβ in blood also cannot be used as a diagnostic of informative tool for obesity in women.

In this study, we compared the obesity-related indexes, estrogen, fat distribution, adipokines, and circulating ERs between women of childbearing and perimenopausal age. We found that the estrogen, fat distribution, and the adipokines of these women were similar to those of menopausal women, which confirmed the reliability of our experimental system. Our data suggest that circulating ERα is not protective, which is contrary to the acknowledged conclusion that ERα derived from adipose tissue is protective. These data do not corroborate the utility of circulating ERα and ERα/ERβ ratios as indexes for obesity-related studies. Despite the finding that circulating ERβ exhibits similar tendencies to those observed in previous studies of adipose tissue, the clinical value of circulating ERβ cannot be supported. In future experiments, we will consider other invasive methods for investigating ERs as well as GPER in obesity studies.

**Conclusion**

In the present study, we compared the obesity-related indexes, estrogen, fat distribution, adipokines, and circulating ERs in women of childbearing and perimenopausal age.
We found that the obesity-related indexes, estrogen, fat distribution, and adipokines were similar to those observed in previous studies. Our results regarding circulating ERα were opposite of those from adipose tissue. This finding fundamentally disagrees with the utility of detecting blood ERα and ERα/ERβ ratios in obesity studies. Despite the finding that circulating ERβ exhibits similar tendencies to those seen in previous studies, the utility of circulating ERβ measurement cannot be supported. The expression levels of ERs in blood cannot be used as a diagnostic of informative tool for obesity in women.
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