The association between omentin and diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies

Aims: A number of studies have examined the association between the serum levels of omentin and diabetes, but the findings have been inconclusive. Herein, we systematically reviewed available observational studies to elucidate the overall relationship between omentin and diabetes, including type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM), type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), and impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) among adolescent and adult population.

Methods: PubMed, Cochrane’s Library, Science Direct, Scopus, Google Scholar, and ISI Web of Science databases were searched for all available literature until January 2019 for studies assessing the association between omentin and diabetes. The Newcastle–Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale was used to assess the quality of each study.

Results: A total of 28 articles met the inclusion criteria and were included in our systematic review and meta-analysis. There was a significant association between serum omentin and diabetes (WMD = 1.68; 95% CI, −2.17 to −1.19; \( P<0.001 \)). The result of our sub-group analysis based on participants’ health status revealed that omentin was significantly lower in T2DM and IGT subjects but not in T1DM ones compared to healthy controls.

Conclusion: We found that serum omentin level is significantly lower in T2DM and IGT patients but not in T1DM ones. These data could be used by clinicians for early diagnosis and management of diabetes. Furthermore, we need more clinical trials to investigate new agents which could influence omentin levels.
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Introduction

It is expected for diabetes prevalence to increase from 171 million individuals in 2000 to 366 million by 2030.\(^1\) Diabetes mellitus could be explained best as a complex, multifactorial, chronic metabolic, and endocrine disorder. It has been traditionally defined by the existence of hyperglycemia that can be produced by flaws in insulin secretion, insulin action, or both.\(^2\) Individuals with diabetes have increased risk of other co-existing complications including myocardial infarction or stroke.\(^3\) Accordingly, sufficient attention to diabetes is needed to be early diagnosed and treated to decrease its undesirable effects.

Recently, adipose tissue has attracted a lot of attention because of its crucial role in human metabolic pathways. Like an endocrine organ, adipose tissue releases a variety of adipokines including leptin, adiponectin, visfatin, TNF-a, and IL-6\(^1,2\). These adipokines could control carbohydrate and lipid metabolism and appear to play a vital role in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance, diabetes, inflammation,
atherosclerosis, and vascular endothelial dysfunction.\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^10\) Identification of a novel adipokine associated with diabetes might provide new opportunities for clinicians for early diagnosis and better management of diabetes and its related complications. Omentin (intelectin, intestinal lactoferrin receptor, endothelin lectin HL-1, galactofuranose-binding lectin) is a novel fat depot-specific adipokine identified by Yang et al, in 2003 from a visceral omental adipose tissue cDNA library.\(^1\)\(^1\) There are mainly two isoforms of omentin, omentin-1 and omentin-2, with omentin-1 being the major circulating form in human plasma.\(^12\) Omentin is an anti-inflammatory adipokine and plays a crucial role in regulating insulin sensitivity through paracrine and endocrine factors where it could enhance insulin sensitivity and glucose metabolism.\(^13\) Omentin could only hasten insulin-mediated glucose transport and has no modulating effect on the basal glucose transport which designates that it has no inherent insulin-like activity.\(^14\) Based upon previous reports, plasma omentin levels inversely correlate with body mass index (BMI), fat mass, and fasting plasma insulin, and positively with insulin sensitivity and glucose metabolism including T1DM, T2DM, and IGT; (2) human studies with no restrictions on study parameters (study duration, design, or sample size); (3) adequate data to calculate a relevant measure of association [mean difference, median (Range), and median (interquartile range)]; (4) articles published in English.

Methods and materials
This study was performed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) statement.\(^2\)\(^8\)

Data source and search strategy
We searched databases including PubMed, Scopus, Cochrane Library, Science direct, and ISI web of sciences up to January 2019 to identify relevant studies. The reference lists of the included articles were also reviewed to identify additional eligible studies. In order to increase the power of our search strategy and minimize the chance of missing relevant articles, we also contacted expert scientists in the field of diabetes and omentin. The following search strategy was run in PubMed and tailored to each database when necessary: “Diabetes” OR “T2DM” OR “T1DM” OR “glucose tolerance” OR “NIDDM” OR “impaired glucose” OR “impaired fasting glucose” OR “prediabetes” OR “dysglycemia” OR “Diabetes Mellitus” OR “type 2 Diabetes Mellitus” OR “Hyperglycemia” OR “Glucose Tolerance Test” OR “Glucose Intolerance” OR “hyperglycemia” AND “omentin”.

Inclusion criteria
To be included in the study, publications investigating the association between omentin and diabetes had to meet the following criteria: (1) original articles which examined the association between omentin and glucose metabolism dysfunction including T1DM, T2DM, and IGT; (2) human studies with no restrictions on study parameters (study duration, design, or sample size); (3) adequate data to calculate a relevant measure of association [mean difference, median (Range), and median (interquartile range)]; (4) articles published in English.

Data extraction
Pairs of independent reviewers screened the titles and abstracts of each study prior to full-text screening of candidate studies. Any discrepancies in terms of decision on a given study were dealt with via discussion and if necessary, arbitration by a third reviewer. For all included studies, two reviewers independently extracted information including first author’s name, year of publication, country, sample size, participants’ age, gender, BMI, health status, study design, and omentin assay method.

Study quality
The Newcastle–Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (NOS) was used to assess the quality of included studies.\(^2\)\(^9\) The scale consists of the assessment of three domains: selection, comparability, and outcome for a total score of 10 points for cross sectional and 9 points for case-control studies. Studies scoring 7–10, 3–6, and 0–3 points were identified as high, moderate, and low quality, respectively.\(^3\)\(^0\)

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were carried out using the STATA software (version 11.0; Stata Corporation). All data were
collected as means ± standard deviation (SD) to estimate the pooled effects. Meta-analysis was performed using hedges’g with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for assessing the association between serum omentin levels and diabetes. All analyses were done using the random effects model which takes the between-study variability into account.\textsuperscript{31} The sensitivity analyses were also performed to assess the influence of each individual study on the stability of the meta-analysis results. Each time, one study was excluded to show the impact of that study on the combined effect estimate. We also conducted subgroup analyses based on different factors, including BMI, co-existing disease, participants’ health status, and studies location.

**Assessment of heterogeneity**

Heterogeneity of the study results was estimated by the chi-squared ($\chi^2$) test and quantified using the $I^2$ statistic, which represents the percentage of total variation across studies attributable to heterogeneity rather than to chance. $I^2$ was calculated using the formula: $I^2=100% \times (Q_{df})/Q$ (where $Q$ is the chi-squared statistic, and df is the degree of freedom), and an $I^2$ value of 75% or greater was deemed to indicate a high level of inconsistency. Significant heterogeneity was defined as a P-value of <0.05.\textsuperscript{30}

**Assessment of publication bias**

Publication bias was assessed by visual inspection of the funnel plots, and Egger’s and Begg’s tests were conducted to determine the degree of funnel plot asymmetry with $p<0.05$ representing significant publication bias.\textsuperscript{30} When publication bias was found, trim & fill analysis performed to find out the effects of missed study on overall effects.

**Results**

**Search results**

Our initial search through databases identified a total of 4675 articles. Removing duplicates yielded 3857 articles which were reviewed based on the title and abstract by two independent reviewers and 3039 irrelevant studies were excluded at this stage. Ninety-seven papers were retrieved and reviewed based on full text, and 28 articles met the inclusion criteria and were included in our systematic review and meta-analysis. The PRISMA flow diagram summarizes the results of the study selection process for this systematic review and meta-analysis (Figure 1).

**Overview of included studies**

The general characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 1. A total of 28 studies involving 3354 participants were included in our systematic review and meta-analysis with their sample size ranging from 37 to 496 subjects. Selected studies were published between 2008 and 2018. Mean age of the participants ranged between 11 and 67 years. Twenty-one studies recruited both gender,\textsuperscript{17,19,22–24,27,32–46} four were conducted only in men,\textsuperscript{18,21,25,47} and three used female subjects.\textsuperscript{20,26,48} Included studies were conducted in participants with T1DM,\textsuperscript{17,32,40} T2DM\textsuperscript{22,23,26,27,38,39,41,43–47}, and patients with IGT.\textsuperscript{18,21,24,42} Seven studies enrolled normal weight (BMI<24.9) subjects,\textsuperscript{23,32,33,36,44–46} 13 recruited overweight participants (24.9≤BMI<29.9)\textsuperscript{17,18,21,22,25,27,34,38,39,41,43,47,48} and 6 were conducted on obese subjects.\textsuperscript{20,24,26,35,37,42} but 2 studies did not mention baseline BMI.\textsuperscript{19,40} Two studies were cross sectional\textsuperscript{35,37} and the others were case-control.\textsuperscript{17–27,32–34,36,38–48} Based on the NOS, 10 studies ranked as high-quality\textsuperscript{23,27,32,35,37,40,41,43,44,47} and the others as were classified as moderate in quality assessment.

The association between serum omentin level and diabetes

Twenty-eight studies with 2941 participants examined serum omentin level among patients with diabetes (T1DM, T2DM, and IGT) and healthy subjects.\textsuperscript{17–27,32–48} There was a significant association between serum omentin and diabetes (WMD=1.68; 95% CI, −2.17 to −1.19; $P<0.001$). There was evidence of heterogeneity between the effect sizes of the included studies ($I^2=96.9%$ $p<0.001$). So, we did sub-group analysis based on the BMI category (normal/overweight/obese), participants’ health status (T1DM/T2DM/IGT), co-existing disease (diabetes/diabetes plus other complications), and location (Asia/Europe/Africa). None of these sub-group analyses could reduce heterogeneity. The result of our sub-group analysis based on participants’ health status revealed that omentin was significantly lower in T2DM and IGT subjects but not in T1DM ones compared to healthy controls. The results of the sub-group analysis are shown in Table 2. There was evidence of publication bias (Begg’s test: $P<0.001$, Egger’s test: $P<0.001$), therefore, we did trim & fill analysis to find out probable missed studies but this method could not add any studies to our included ones.
The sensitivity analysis showed that removing any of the studies could not substantially change the association between omentin and diabetes.

**Discussion**

In order to identify new biomarkers for early diagnosis of diabetes and better management of its progress and complications, various studies have been conducted. However, interpreting the literature to wrap up a final conclusion is such a difficult process for clinicians, comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis of available literature can represent the most reliable evidence.

The present study included 28 observational studies which involved a total of 3354 individuals. Present study showed that serum level of omentin was significantly lower in T2DM and IGT subjects but not in T1DM ones compared to healthy controls. Furthermore, sub-group analysis could not reduce heterogeneity or change the overall results. Although overall results revealed a significant association between omentin with T2DM and IGT, there...
Table 1 Characteristics of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Sample size (F/M)</th>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Assay method</th>
<th>Health status</th>
<th>Quality score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abd-Elbaky et al., 2016</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>160 M</td>
<td>40.3±3.6</td>
<td>26.7±0.55</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>7/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al., 2014</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>58/62</td>
<td>66.53±10.68</td>
<td>26.07±2.20</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>7/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoo et al., 2011</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>53/37</td>
<td>54.5±6.99</td>
<td>24.02±2.42</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yan et al., 2011</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>51/54</td>
<td>53.8±1.6</td>
<td>24.07±0.49</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yan et al., 2011</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>35/25</td>
<td>51.6±8.45</td>
<td>24.05±3.75</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>7/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wan et al., 2014</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>68/57</td>
<td>58.26±7.95</td>
<td>23.8±2.29</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>7/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urbanova et al., 2014</td>
<td>Czech</td>
<td>37 F</td>
<td>49.85±2.5</td>
<td>37.55±1.47</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>4/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tekce et al., 2014</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>39/52</td>
<td>54.9±10.8</td>
<td>25.7±3.55</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>5/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tan et al., 2008</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>39 M&amp;F</td>
<td>30.2±4.5</td>
<td>25.5±3.1</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T1DM</td>
<td>4/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sperling et al., 2016</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>33/31</td>
<td>30–60</td>
<td>38.95±0.65</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>IGT</td>
<td>4/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pan et al., 2010</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>68/83</td>
<td>37.99±6.02</td>
<td>25.7±3.74</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>7/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurten et al., 2018</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>213/283</td>
<td>11.35±4.17</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T1DM</td>
<td>7/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motawi et al., 2018</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>72/48</td>
<td>54.9±4.55</td>
<td>27.15±1.55</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moreno-Navarrete et al., 2011</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>248 M</td>
<td>53.3±10.85</td>
<td>27.75±3.5</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>IGT</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matloch et al., 2018</td>
<td>Czech</td>
<td>8/30</td>
<td>67.45±8.76</td>
<td>29.05±4.34</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>4/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kocijancic et al., 2015</td>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>84/36</td>
<td>64.37±13.62</td>
<td>25.25±4</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>5/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gursoy et al., 2010</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>120 F</td>
<td>53.75±9.45</td>
<td>29.3±2.5</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kahwaji et al., 2013</td>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>131/64</td>
<td>51.26±10.45</td>
<td>33.32±5.47</td>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>7/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassif et al., 2013</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>15/65</td>
<td>43.15±1.77</td>
<td>23.9±0.37</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>4/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greulich et al., 2013</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>92 M</td>
<td>55.5±6.35</td>
<td>27.85±3</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>5/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateva et al., 2018</td>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>68/12</td>
<td>50.4±10.6</td>
<td>37.15±5.65</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>IGT</td>
<td>4/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flehmig et al., 2014</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>74/67</td>
<td>48±14</td>
<td>46.1±10.1</td>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>7/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elsaiidi et al., 2015</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>90 F</td>
<td>46.6±6.7</td>
<td>30.45±4.55</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>5/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogan et al., 2016</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>32/28</td>
<td>48.5±5.45</td>
<td>22.69±1.54</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahmed et al., 2018</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>100 M&amp;F</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>4/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abd El Dayem et al., 2015</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>46/46</td>
<td>16.22±2.07</td>
<td>24.83±4.93</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T1DM</td>
<td>7/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El-Mesallamy et al., 2015</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>23/67</td>
<td>56.53±2</td>
<td>28.33±0.53</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>6/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaushik et al., 2018</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>150 M</td>
<td>41.4±8.03</td>
<td>26.71±3.09</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>IGT</td>
<td>5/9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; T1DM, type 1 diabetes mellitus; IGT, impaired glucose tolerance; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CAS, coronary artery stenosis; CAD, coronary artery disease; HCV, hepatitis C virus; CP, chronic periodontitis; LAD, latent autoimmune diabetes; CVD, cardiovascular disease; IHD, ischemic heart disease.

are inconsistencies among included studies which should be taken into account when interpreting the results. We found significant heterogeneity in our study, which might be contributed to different population, sample size, omentin assay kit, participants’ BMI, and age, presence of other co-existing diseases, subjects’ health status and location of studies.

Our analysis failed to show any significant association between omentin and T1DM. The results of previous studies in this sub-group are inconsistent. In other words, some evidence proved lower level of omentin in T1DM subjects, but Nurten et al, revealed higher levels of this adipokine in these populations. The included studies of this sub-group had high quality of included studies was high or moderate. Another sub-group of our study included T2DM patients. The analysis proved lower levels of omentin in these populations which is consistent with previous reports, but was not confirmed by some other evidence. The quality of included studies was high or moderate.
As‘habi et al. Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy 2019:12

Table 2 Result of sub-group analysis of included studies in meta-analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-grouped by</th>
<th>No. of trials</th>
<th>Effect size*</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>I² (%)</th>
<th>P for heterogeneity</th>
<th>P for between sub-group heterogeneity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI category</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal weight (≤24.9 kg/m²)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-1.63</td>
<td>-2.45, -0.81</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight (24.9 &lt; BMI ≤ 29.9)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-2.09</td>
<td>-2.92, -1.26</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese (BMI ≥ 30)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.91</td>
<td>-1.8, -0.03</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1DM</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1.35</td>
<td>-2.94, 0.23</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
<td>-2.59, -1.36</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGT</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>-1.11, -0.08</td>
<td>83.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Co-existing disease</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-1.44</td>
<td>-1.99, -0.88</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes plus other complications</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-2.51</td>
<td>-3.68, -1.34</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-1.19</td>
<td>-1.75, -0.63</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
<td>-1.45, -0.38</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-3.32</td>
<td>-4.49, -2.15</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculated by Random-effects model.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; T1DM, type 1 diabetes mellitus; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; IGT, impaired glucose tolerance.
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In recent years, visceral adipose tissue and adipokines have attracted lots of attention. Omentin, a novel adipokine, is mainly expressed in visceral adipose tissue and has been suggested as a biomarker of metabolic disease. Based on previous evidence, serum omentin levels were negatively associated with metabolic risk factors. Also, it has been proved that omentin may play a role as an anti-inflammatory and insulin-sensitizing agent. Recently, it has been documented that omentin may be associated with vascular function modulation by endothelium-dependent vasodilation. Recent mechanism may explain the cardioprotective effects of omentin. Omentin exerts its anti-atherosclerotic properties by supersession of cytokine-stimulated expression of adhesion molecules in endothelial cells. In vitro studies illustrated that Omentin as a polypeptide hormone increases insulin-stimulated glucose uptake and also Akt phosphorylation in human adipocytes. The Akt pathway is a signal transduction pathway which enhances survival and growth in reaction to extracellular signals. The dysfunction of Akt pathway regulation results in increased signaling activity which could lead to cancer and T2DM. By activating protein kinase B, omentin boosts insulin signal transduction and enhances insulin-mediated glucose transport in adipocytes. Decreased serum omentin level, observed in T2DM patients, could cause a reduction in insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in insulin-sensitive tissues. This may explain the state of insulin resistance present in T2DM. It has been reported that glucose and insulin could decrease mRNA expression of omentin and omentin protein production in adipose tissue. This mechanism may indicate that plasma glucose and insulin levels directly or
indirectly modulate omentin synthesis. On the other hand, serum omentin may influence the glucose or insulin levels. So we speculate that the association between omentin, insulin, and glucose might be a mutual relationship.

The present study has some limitations that warrant consideration. First, significant heterogeneity was present in our analysis that would limit the generalization of our findings. Heterogeneity between studies may be explained by different population, sample size, omentin assay kit, participants’ BMI and age, presence of other co-existing disease, subjects’ health status and location of studies. Moreover, five of the included studies used a cross-sectional design. The nature of cross-sectional studies makes it impossible to draw a causal link between variables. Since, it is a snapshot of the population, it could be altered overtime and included Neyman’s bias (prevalence-incidence bias), which is another form of selection bias and highlighted in longer-lasting disorders. Other studies used case-control design which is prone to selection bias.

There is no previous systematic review and meta-analysis assessing the link between serum omentin level and diabetes including T1DM, T2DM, and IGT in observational studies which could be considered as our study strength.

Conclusion

According to what was discussed, we found that serum omentin level is significantly lower in T2DM and IGT patients but not in T1DM ones. These data could be used by clinicians for early diagnosis and management of
diabetes. Furthermore, we need more clinical trials to investigate new agents with possible influence on omentin levels.
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