Mesenchymal stem cell therapy assisted by nanotechnology: a possible combinational treatment for brain tumor and central nerve regeneration
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Abstract: Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) intrinsically possess unique features that not only help in their migration towards the tumor-rich environment but they also secrete versatile types of secretomes to induce nerve regeneration and analgesic effects at inflammatory sites. As a matter of course, engineering MSCs to enhance their intrinsic abilities is growing in interest in the oncology and regenerative field. However, the concern of possible tumorigenesis of genetically modified MSCs prompted the development of non-viral transfected MSCs armed with nanotechnology for more effective cancer and regenerative treatment. Despite the fact that a large number of successful studies have expanded our current knowledge in tumor-specific targeting, targeting damaged brain site remains enigmatic due to the presence of a blood–brain barrier (BBB). A BBB is a barrier that separates blood from brain, but MSCs with intrinsic features of transmigration across the BBB can efficiently deliver desired drugs to target sites. Importantly, MSCs, when mediated by nanoparticles, can further enhance tumor tropism and can regenerate the damaged neurons in the central nervous system through the promotion of axon growth. This review highlights the homing and nerve regenerative abilities of MSCs in order to provide a better understanding of potential cell therapeutic applications of non-genetically engineered MSCs with the aid of nanotechnology.
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Introduction

The heterogeneity and complexity of tumors make cancer treatment as an ongoing challenge, but the tumor mortality rate has been relatively decreased in recent years due to a better understanding of tumor biology and advance in technology. Despite the huge progress in cancer treatment, glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), a lethal brain tumor, is still associated with poor prognosis and a median life expectancy of less than fifteen months. Unfortunately, current therapies are not effective against GBM due to the presence of a brain–blood barrier (BBB) and endothelial membranes with high transendothelial electrical resistance located within brain capillaries that tightly regulate paracellular and transcellular perviousness of molecules in the systemic circulation.

Since conventional brain tumor chemotherapy exhibits poor tumor brain–blood barrier penetrability, developing new chemotherapies with improved BBB penetrability is important. For example, despite improved therapeutic nanocarrier
platform enhances antitumor efficacy, drug delivery to brain is hindered by the poor perviousness through BBB.\(^7\) In this aspect, stem cell therapy gains great attention to overcome BBB permeability since MSCs can not only cross the BBB but also migrate to target region after transmigrating the BBB for drug delivery.

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are multipotent cells that can self-renew and differentiate into multiple cell types.\(^8\) Due to their self-renewal and multilineage differential potential, MSCs are widely used for tissue regeneration and immune disorders.\(^9\) In addition, significant progress in the understanding of MSC biology has opened up their potential for therapeutic use in brain tumor.\(^8,10\) The BBB-penetrable ability of MSCs has been explored in order to overcome the barricade of transporting drugs to brain tumor sites, and the multi-differentiating capacity of MSCs has been proposed as a potential solution for regenerative therapy.\(^11-14\)

Transmigration of MSCs across the BBB is facilitated under both physiological and pathophysiological conditions.\(^15,16\) In addition, the regenerative potential of MSCs is associated with nerve regeneration and secrete factors that can reduce inflammation.\(^17\) As such, MSCs have both abilities on tumor tropism and anti-inflammatory property. In clinical aspect, MSCs are also relatively easy to isolate and transplant back into the patients after their propagation.\(^18,19\) Due to their unique abilities and ease of manipulation, the ability of MSCs can be further enhanced therapeutic efficiencies through genetic engineering, but the potential tumorigenesis induced by viral vectors is a major concern over their clinical application despite the promising results in antitumor treatment using genetically modified stem cells.\(^20\)

In this aspect, non-genetically engineered MSCs assisted by nanoparticles have garnered attention due to their less immunotoxicity compared to that of genetically modified MSCs.\(^21,22,23,24,25\) Concurrently, nanotechnology has made significant contributions to the field of oncology over the past decades. Various nanocarriers including liposomes, inorganic nanocarriers, and polymeric micelles hold huge nanotherapeutic potential, and these types of nanocarriers have shown promise in clinical practice with several nanotherapeutic platforms such as chemotherapy, hyperthermia, gene therapy, and radiotherapy already being used in clinical practice.\(^26-30\) In nanoparticles-assisted stem cell therapy, the homing and apoptosis-inducing properties of MSCs are enhanced using adjuvant drug-loaded and membrane-conjugated nanoparticles.\(^31-33\) This review will address on the clinical application of MSCs advanced with nanotechnology, specifically, in GBM treatment and post nerve regenerative therapy.

**General concept of BBB**

The BBB is a continuous endothelial membrane, placed in brain microvessels, that has tight junctions and maintains its barrier properties by constantly interacting with astrocytes and pericytes (Figure 1).\(^34\) Both astrocytes and pericytes play vital roles in maintaining the barrier properties of the BBB by providing cellular connections and structural integrity.\(^35,36\) The BBB is essential not only for sustaining homeostasis of brain microenvironment but also for protecting the neurons from toxins.\(^37\) The BBB restricts the entry of molecules with a molecular weight greater than 400 Daltons and molecules with hydrophilicity by containing more than 8 hydrogen bonds, whereas certain molecules including molecules with lipophilicity, glucose, oxygen, and carbon dioxide can transverse the BBB mainly via passive diffusion.\(^34,38\) Carrier-mediated transport and receptor-mediated transport also support the uptake of nutrients, but the BBB can also efflux unwanted molecules from the brain.\(^39\) The BBB under intact conditions, therefore, prevents the efficient delivery of drugs thereby reducing the drug efficiency. Conversely, alteration of the BBB can worsen neuro-disorders by allowing the entry of neurotoxins, xenobiotics, and pathogens that can induce neuronal damages.\(^40\) This phenomenon of the BBB rupture is associated with aberrant vascularization in GBM (Figure 1).\(^41\) Since tumor angiogenesis is inevitable and occurs in response to the oxygen and nutrient requirements of the tumor, the structure of BBB is compromised (Figure 1).\(^41,42\) The dramatic increase in the number of blood vessels at the tumor microenvironment increases the nanoparticle distribution in tumor tissue more than normal tissue via the enhanced permeability and retention effect.\(^43\)

**Brain tumor (GBM)**

GBM is the most common and a malignant form of primary brain tumor in adults. The morbidity and mortality of GBM remain high despite the technological advances in cancer treatment. Prognosis of GBM is poor, with median survival rates of approximately fifteen months.\(^44\) Conventional treatment for GBM is surgical resection followed by radiotherapy and chemotherapy, but tumor recurrence after surgical removal and therapeutic resistance to radiotherapies and chemotherapies results in the poor prognosis of GBM.\(^45,46,47\) Therefore, development of a new strategy with improved tumor specificity and reduced normal cell toxicity is required to overcome tumor vitality and for maximizing drug accumulation at tumor sites.\(^48\)
Brain tumor-induced BBB disruption

The function and structure of BBB can be disrupted heterogeneously under pathophysiological conditions, and the disruption of BBB can be observed using gadolinium-based MRI contrast agents and immunohistochemistry.

Heterogeneous alteration of the BBB is initiated by cytokines released from glioma cells and aberrant angiogenesis (Figure 1). In GBM, a large number of glioma cells interact with blood vessels and infiltrate the healthy brain cells. The gradual progression of random neovascularization and growth of glioma cells breakdown the tight junctions of the BBB that can lead the formation of a leaky and heterogeneous nature of BBB, known as the blood–brain tumor barrier (BBTB) (Figure 1). Specifically, the difference in drug permeability is observed in tumor-dense areas and peripheral areas due to distinct tumor microvessel populations and spatial structures of the capillary pores presented in GBM.

Interestingly, uneven permeability induced by abnormal microvessel population has higher gold nanoparticle distributions of 10 nm, 50 nm, and 100 nm diameter in the tumor brain tissue than in normal brain tissue, and 10 nm gold nanoparticles showed the highest accumulation in the tumor brain tissue compared to bigger sized gold nanoparticles. This phenomenon may contribute to the increased drug delivery when nanodrugs are exposed to leaky structure of the BBB. Similarly, the increased permeability of the BBTB compared to that of the intact BBB may potentially result in higher accumulation of migrated MSCs in tumor cells in pathophysiological condition without direct transmigration of BBB.

Conventional radio-, chemo-, and immune cell therapies for treating GBM

The conventional therapy for patients with GBM requires surgical resection of tumor sites followed by chemotherapy or radiotherapy. However, minimal progress in prognosis has been observed over the past years due to the infiltration of tumor cells inside healthy brain cells. This heterogeneous nature of tumor cells results in the incomplete removal of tumor cells, and, thus, insufficient tumor resection area greatly increases the probability of tumor recurrence, resulting in a corresponding decline in survival rate. Post-operative chemotherapy or radiotherapy is performed in order to eradicate residual tumor from surgery and increase the survival rate of patients, but chemo- and radioresistance of tumor cells remains a significant hurdle. Conventional radiotherapy with high-energy X-ray induces apoptosis in tumor cells by damaging DNA strands, but the presence of EGFRvIII in tumor cells upregulates the DNA repair system (Figure 2). Similar to radiotherapy, chemotherapy uses temozolomide, which is an alkylation agent that induces apoptosis by methylating purines, but resisted by O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT), an arbitrator of DNA repair. Higher expression
of MGMT in tumor cells results in low temozolomide-induced anticancer efficacy.\textsuperscript{59} Furthermore, increased expression of ATP-binding cassette transporters in tumor cells contributes to the chemoresistance of tumor cells due to the efflux of chemotherapeutic agents from tumor cells with multidrug resistance.\textsuperscript{39,60}

As of today, aside from radio- and chemotherapy, chimeric antigen receptor T cell (CAR T-cell) therapy holds great promise in brain tumor treatment.\textsuperscript{61} CAR T-cell therapy is an immunotherapy that genetically engineers autologous T cells to express appropriated CAR for the desired antigen.\textsuperscript{62} The primary method of CAR T cells delivery, however, is limited to local administration to circumvent the BBB, a barrier that blocks most of the innate T cells from entering the brain.\textsuperscript{62} As such, the efficiency of antitumor therapies remains a challenge due to the factors discussed earlier.

**Homing of mesenchymal stem cells to cancer cells is acknowledged, but tumor suppression is still controversial**

MSCs are non-hematopoietic stem cells with the ability of self-renewal and multiple-lineage differentiation. MSCs can also recruit at the tumor or inflammatory site for tissue regeneration upon receiving endocrinal signals, such as SDF-1, TNF-\(\alpha\), and interleukins from injured tissues.\textsuperscript{63–65} Interestingly, favorability of tumor tropism varies from one MSC lineage to another, such that, for instance, tumor tropism of bone marrow MSCs is the most favorable for lung tumors (A549 and H1975 cells).\textsuperscript{24} Despite their different favorability of tumor tropism, MSCs can migrate to multiple types of tumors, including gliomas, breast, colon, ovarian, lung, and metastatic tumors.\textsuperscript{63–67} Along with inherent tumor tropism, MSCs are relatively easy to isolate, culture, expand, and differentiate in vitro, which makes MSCs as excellent candidates for cell therapy.\textsuperscript{68,69}

MSC-mediated suppression of tumor growth was observed in various cancer models such as melanoma (skin cancer), hepatoma (liver tumor), and breast cancer.\textsuperscript{70–72} MSC-mediated tumor inhibition is induced by suppressing angiogenesis, regulating signaling pathways, and promoting apoptosis in the tumor microenvironments.\textsuperscript{73–76} However, the potential clinical application of MSCs is still controversial. Although the unique features of MSCs such as tumor-specific targeting and easy manipulation make MSCs a potential candidate for tumor targeting agent,\textsuperscript{77} the reproduction of the same MSC phenotypes, which is affected by

---

**Figure 2** The radiotherapy resistance mechanism in glioma cell. After the break of DNA, Ku70/Ku80 heterodimers bind to damaged ends of DNA and triggers the recruitment of overexpressed DNA-PKcs from EGFRvIII to the damaged DNA ends. Then, XRCC4, DNA ligase IV and XLF subsequently bind to the damaged ends of DNA for the ligation.
various factors including cell density, culture conditions, and passages, must be addressed before future clinical application.\textsuperscript{78,79} Along with the optimization of MSC reproducibility, the tumor progression induced by MSCs is also a hurdle in the clinical application of MSCs. For example, MSCs are known to be associated with a higher degree of metastasis development.\textsuperscript{80–82} When MSCs are co-injected with human breast cancer cells such as MCF-7, MDA MB-231, and MDA MB-435, enhanced tumor growth and lung metastases are observed.\textsuperscript{83} Production of CCL5 from MSCs that are stimulated by breast tumor cells promotes breast cancer metastasis. Furthermore, TGF-\(\beta\)1 secreted by MSCs suppresses leukocytes proliferation, contributing to the tumor progression.\textsuperscript{84} Due to the integrated tumor suppression and progression effects of MSCs, numerous factors including the types of tumor, heterogeneity, tumor microenvironment, and MSC source need to be thoroughly considered before clinical applications.

Brain tumor tropism by MSCs

The migration of MSCs to multiple types of tumors, such as gliomas, colon, ovarian, lung, and breast tumors may infer that tumor tropism of MSCs is independent of type of the tumor sites.\textsuperscript{84,85} Regardless of tumor types, endocrinial signals from tumor microenvironment influence the migration of MSCs to tumor sites, and among these signals, CXCR4/SDF-1 is the main signal that regulates the migration of MSCs.\textsuperscript{86–88} Internalizing magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles into MSCs can further enhance the tumor tropism of MSCs.\textsuperscript{32} Previous study showed that the migration process is heavily dependent on the interaction between SDF-1\(\alpha\) and CXCR4, and the elevation of CXCR4 levels in MSCs was observed after internalization of magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles and any gene modification.\textsuperscript{89} The increase in CXCR4 levels resulted in improved migration in both traumatic brain injury and glioblastoma models (Figure 3A).

BBB-penetration by MSCs under physiological condition

Despite technological advances in molecular biology and related fields, the molecular mechanism of tumor tropism by MSCs is still poorly understood.\textsuperscript{90} Recent findings revealed that multiple factors such as chemokines, cytokines, and their receptors (mainly SDF-1/CXCR4 interaction) are involved in the migration of MSCs in vitro.\textsuperscript{16} Furthermore, expression of the \(\alpha\)-4/\(\beta\)-1 heterodimer, also known as very late antigen-4 (VLA-4), on the cell surface facilitates cell to cell interaction with vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) which help in the anchoring MSCs to endothelial cells (Figure 3B).\textsuperscript{91} Similar to the VLA-4 and VCAM-1 interaction, CD44 expressed on the surface of MSCs also mediate the cell to cell interaction with hyaluronic acid receptor in order to strengthen the MSCs anchorage.\textsuperscript{92} As MSCs transmigrate across BBB, matrix metalloproteinases-2 secreted by MSCs regulates the homing ability of MSCs by degrading the extracellular matrix (Figure 3B).\textsuperscript{93}

Importantly, the proposed mechanism of MSC migration across the BBB is relatively similar to that of leukocytes—multistep-targeted cascades interacting with endothelial cells (Figure 3B). The leukocyte is a type of blood cell that plays a vital role in the immune system. The presence of BBB also restricts the migration of leukocytes into brain cells, but various studies have reported that during inflammation, leukocytes can traverse the BBB.\textsuperscript{94} The mechanism underlying the transmigration of leukocytes is a series of adhesion and migration process. Leukocytes interact with endothelium through intercellular adhesion molecule-1 and VCAM-1-mediated interactions.\textsuperscript{95} Leukocytes then firmly anchor on the surface of the endothelium. Subsequently, leukocytes migrate laterally over the luminal surface.\textsuperscript{91} Then, leukocytes utilize the cytoskeletal protrusions to transmigrate across the BBB via transcellular and paracellular migration.\textsuperscript{96} Molecules expressed by MSCs, including chemokine receptors and cell adhesion molecules, resemble those expressed by leukocytes. MSCs, in a mechanism similar to leukocytes, associate with endothelial cells and transmigrate via paracellular and transcellular processes. However, unlike leukocytes, MSCs do not laterally migrate over the luminal surface.\textsuperscript{91} Rather, MSCs form blebs, a cell surface protrusion with diameter of 1–5 \textmu m, and interact with endothelial cells to trigger transmigration.\textsuperscript{97}

Roles of MSCs in tumor inhibition

Cancer apoptotic induction by MSCs

Although some studies discussed tumor promotion induced by MSCs, MSCs can promote apoptosis of tumor cells by regulating apoptotic signaling pathways. The inhibitory effects of murine-derived MSCs on hepatoma H22 (murine hepatic carcinoma cells) and insulinoma INS-1 (murine pancreatic carcinoma cells) cell lines were heavily influenced by the upregulation of p21, which is a downregulator of cell cycle and apoptosis-associated caspase-3 pathway in
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Roles of MSCs in tumor inhibition

Cancer apoptotic induction by MSCs

Although some studies discussed tumor promotion induced by MSCs, MSCs can promote apoptosis of tumor cells by regulating apoptotic signaling pathways. The inhibitory effects of murine-derived MSCs on hepatoma H22 (murine hepatic carcinoma cells) and insulinoma INS-1 (murine pancreatic carcinoma cells) cell lines were heavily influenced by the upregulation of p21, which is a downregulator of cell cycle and apoptosis-associated caspase-3 pathway in
a direct co-culture condition. This phenomenon further suggests the tumor suppressive efficacy of MSCs by cancer apoptosis stimulation through G0/G1 phase arrest regardless of host immunosuppression. Factors released from MSCs induce apoptosis in tumor cells through triggering various apoptosis signaling pathways. Similar to the direct co-culture condition, the MSC conditioned media exhibits inhibitory effects on melanoma cell growth via G0/G1 phase arrest and caspase-3/7 pathway activation. Consistent with these results, hUCBSC (human umbilical cord blood mesenchymal stem cells) downregulates the X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein (XIAP), and, consequently, induces apoptosis in glioma cells through the activation of caspase-3/9 pathways. Conditioned MSC media alone also can downregulate XIAP and survivin genes, which in turn, results in the upregulation of caspase-3/9 genes in glioma cell lines.

Although MSCs alone can induce apoptosis in tumor cells by regulating signaling pathways, apoptotic induction of MSCs can be improved by triggering the overexpression of tumor necrosis factor related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) in MSCs through the internalization of amino group-end poly (β-amino esters) based nanoparticles. Non-virally overexpressed TRAIL on

Figure 3 The tumor tropism of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs). (A) Schematic illustration of tumor tropism of CXCR4-overexpressed MSCs (B) Homing mechanism of MSCs to tumor sites.
the surface of MSCs interacts with DR4 and DR5 receptors and induces apoptosis by activating the caspase-8 pathway in glioblastoma-xenograft models and sarcoma models.101,102

Inhibition of cancer angiogenesis by MSCs
MSCs can not only induce apoptosis of tumor cells but also inhibit angiogenesis through the interaction with endothelial cells to stop nutrient supply of tumor cells. A study discussed that high dosages of MSCs inhibit the development of vasculature by producing reactive oxygen species (ROS), whereas low dosages of MSCs can promote tumor angiogenesis by secreting proangiogenic factors and differentiating into pericytes.103 Exposing endothelial cells (EC) to high concentration of MSCs prompts the formation of connexin-43-containing gap junction channels.103 MSCs-EC gap junctional communication induces the apoptosis of EC, leading to capillary degeneration.104 Another study details the dysfunction of vascularization and reduction in tumor size in GBM tumor xenograft models after systemic injection of MSCs.105 MSCs-mediated downregulation of proangiogenic factors is the mechanism underlying the suppression of vascularization in glioma cells. MSCs, when co-cultured with glioma cells, release antiangiogenic factors that downregulate levels of PDGF-BB and IL-1β, which result in the reduction of microvessel density (Figure 4A–C).106

Antitumor efficacy of oncolytic virus-loaded MSCs
The inherent nature of MSCs to migrate to tumor sites prompted the development of nanodrug-assisted stem cell therapy. MSCs can not only migrate to tumor sites but also infiltrate into the heterogeneous structure of tumor cells.107,108 Thus, utilizing MSCs to deliver chemotherapeutic drugs and vectors into tumor microenvironment may enhance antitumor therapy. Various studies have investigated the potential clinical use of nanocarriers.109–113

Internalizing oncolytic virus to MSCs has been widely investigated as a method for safe delivery to target sites. Oncolytic viruses are genetically modified viruses that selectively replicate within tumor cells.114 Viral infections by oncolytic virus to cancer cells induce lysis of tumor cells in situ and release viral particles into the neighboring tumor cells, those resulting in more viral infections. Similar to infectious disease, new candidates of tumor cells will subsequently spread viruses throughout their surroundings and completely eradicate the entire tumor after countless rounds of infections.115 However, the major hurdle behind oncolytic virus treatment is limited in cell delivery system.116 Although intratumoral injection is the primary method for delivering oncolytic viruses, a considerable amount is lost due to backflow of the solution during intratumorally injected. Furthermore, the intravenous injection of oncolytic virus is not preferred since the immune system hinders the delivery of oncolytic virus to target sites.117 Thus, most of the oncolytic virus systematically administered is either removed by macrophage phagocytosis or stored in the spleen and liver by mononuclear phagocytes. Therefore, internalization of oncolytic viruses into MSCs only allows for intravenous injection and safe migration toward tumor cells (Figure 5).118 Due to the rising interests in the internalization of oncolytic virus, various studies have explored the efficacies of oncolytic virus-loaded MSCs.118–119 With regards to brain tumor, oncolytic virus-loaded MSCs showed antitumor efficacy in U87MG xenograft models.118 Similar to internalizing oncolytic virus into MSCs, loading or conjugating nanoparticles to MSCs can help in the accumulation of nanoparticles at tumor sites and will be discussed in the next section.

Antitumor efficacy of non-genetically engineered MSCs conjugated with nanodrug
Genetic alternations of MSCs were extensively investigated for their potential therapeutic effects.120–122 For instance, TRAIL-secreting MSCs can effectively inhibit brain tumor by directly binding to the TRAIL-death receptor (DR4 and DR5) on the membrane of tumor cells.123,124 Genetically engineered MSCs can not only induce apoptotic signals of brain tumor cells but also can secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-18 and IL-12) to promote cytotoxic T cell activity.120,125 MSCs can also transduce with prodrugs or enzymes as an alternative method for inducing cytokines secreted from MSCs. One of the most popular enzymes or prodrugs is herpes simplex virus type I thymidine kinase (HSV-TK). MSCs-expressing HSV-TK can induce apoptosis of brain tumor cells through the activation of the caspase pathway.126 Despite their promising results in brain tumor inhibition, potential tumorigenesis of genetically modified MSCs is a major hurdle to clinical application, so antitumor efficacy without genetic alternation draws attention as an alternative strategy.120

Despite the advances in nanoparticle delivery system, using nanoparticles in animal models has been challenging
due to their inefficient accumulation in tumor sites. One of the most promising approaches in resolving this problem is to use MSCs as carriers.\textsuperscript{113,127} Internalization or conjugation of therapeutics-loaded nanoparticles to MSCs can increase therapeutic efficacy by actively delivering them to the tumor microenvironment.\textsuperscript{22,32,113} For example,
promising antitumor efficacy was achieved when a brain tumor is treated with paclitaxel-poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid) nanoparticle-loaded MSCs due to the sustained release of the encapsulated paclitaxel to the tumor microenvironment. Another study demonstrated that silica nanorattle-doxorubicin conjugated MSC when intra-tumorally administered exhibited delivery of doxorubicin with greater tumor-dispersed distribution and extended the retention time than that of silica nanorattle-doxorubicin in U251 xenograft model but reduced migration ability was observed on MSC. However, nanodrug-conjugated MSCs exhibited strong lung tumor tropism compared to that of MSCs and succeeded in deep lung tumor model without ruining migration ability (Figure 6A–C). The tumor homing ability of MSCs is accompanied by conjugated CDs, surface proteins, since conjugation of specific CD to MSCs greatly reduced homing ability such as CD73 while negligible difference in homing ability was observed when conjugation of CD90 to MSCs. Thus, types of CD that conjugated to MSCs need to be selected carefully to sustain homing ability to cancer cells.

The concept of nanoparticles-loaded MSCs includes pH-sensitive gold nanoparticles internalized by MSCs for the treatment of photothermal therapy. Internalizing phototherapeutic agents into MSCs did not reduce tumor tropism feature of MSCs and photothermal conversion efficiency.

Despite studies exploring brain homing effects and antitumor efficacies of MSCs, antitumor efficacy of nanoparticles-loaded MSCs on brain tumor model, unfortunately, has not been studied, but only on brain tumor xenograft model. This platform may establish the potential clinical use of MSCs as a nanodrug carrier by observing enhanced antitumor efficiencies of nanodrug-loaded MSCs. The recent development of nanomedicine has led to the emergence of a new drug delivery system, which enables to load versatile types of therapeutic agents onto appropriable nanocarriers.

Correlation of central nerve regeneration and inflammation reduction by MSCs
Axonal damage is commonly observed in the central nervous system injury. Axon degeneration is stimulated by several factors: energy depletion for neuron, calcium-mediated apoptosis, myelin-associated inhibitors. However, unlike regeneration of the peripheral nervous system, regeneration of the central nervous system is inhibited by two main sources: glial scar and myelin. In the central nervous system, glial cells are essential for immune function in responses to inflammation, and when damaged, glial scars are formed. Glial scars consist of reactive astrocytes, extracellular matrix (ECM) molecules, chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans, and macrophages and are responsible for protecting damaged neurons and reconstructing the blood–brain barrier. Despite its benefits, the glial scar prevents axon growth by creating a mechanical barrier and inhibiting molecules. Similar to glial scar, myelin also inhibits axon regeneration by producing myelin-associated inhibitors such as Nogo and MAG (myelin-associated glycoprotein).

Interestingly, recent studies found that secretomes such as growth factors, cytokines, and antioxidants released from MSCs recruited at inflammatory sites can not only provide analgesic effects in neuropathic models but also promote central nerve regeneration of damaged nerve cells.

Nevertheless, caution is required in activating M2 macrophage for nerve regenerative and anti-inflammatory effect after the tumor eradication since activation of M2 macrophage can not only trigger nerve regeneration but also stimulate tumor growth through the release of IL-4 and IL-13. Phenotypes of M2 macrophage can be sub-divided into M2a, M2b, M2c, and M2d phenotypes, and unlike other phenotypes, M2d phenotype is classified as tumor-associated macrophage (TAM). Importantly, all these phenotypes participate in pro-tumorigenesis. All phenotypes of M2 macrophage except M2d phenotype promote tumorigenesis by secreting anti-inflammatory cytokines including IL-10 and IL-1RA to inhibit cytotoxic T cell activity. Similar to other phenotypes of M2 macrophage, M2d phenotype, TAM, enhances tumor growth by promoting the activity of regulatory T cells and inhibiting dendritic cell maturation through the secretion of IL-10 and TGF-β1. TAMs also express PD-L1 on the surface to further suppress immune responses of T cells. Understanding of M2 polarization and tumor microenvironment is essential when developing MSCs secretomes for clinical translation.

Anti-inflammation by MSCs can promote regeneration of central nerve system
Although applications of MSCs were originally focused on the regeneration of damaged tissues, recent studies have discovered the analgesic effects accompanied by inflammation response from MSCs. Among various methods in suppressing inflammatory sites, MSCs...
mainly regulate the inflammatory process by releasing anti-inflammatory cytokines to trigger appropriate macrophage polarization. In this aspect, macrophages are an essential component in immune responses and their fates controlled by MSCs. Specifically, classically activated (M1) macrophage is widely known as a pro-inflammatory macrophage that can inhibit tumor cells and pathogens while alternatively activated (M2) macrophage is an anti-inflammatory macrophage which is associated with tumor growth as well as tissue repair. Due to their plasticity in monocyte-macrophage polarization, macrophages can switch between activation states in response to pathological conditions, and when monocytes for M2 macrophage differentiation are promoted, the process of monocyte polarization to M1 macrophage is inhibited. Importantly, MSCs can control monocytes polarization into either M1 macrophages or M2 macrophages by secreting appropriate cytokines in response to pathophysiological condition (Figure 7).

In particular, MSCs can induce anti-inflammatory effects by programming monocytes to polarize into M2 macrophage by releasing cytokines. In adequate pro-inflammatory states, MSCs produce immunosuppressive factors such as IL-4, IL-6, IL-10, IDO, PGE-2, and TGF-β1, in a manner similar to the complex cascades of immunomodulation-related mechanism. These anti-inflammatory cytokines direct monocytes to differentiate into M2 macrophage, which further suppresses immune response by subsequently triggering regulatory T cell proliferation (Figure 7).
Among these anti-inflammatory cytokines, TGF-β1 also plays a crucial role in regulating proliferation of neural and glial cells and regulating analgesic effect.\textsuperscript{151,152} TGF-β1 can not only mediate neuropathic pain through pleiotropic effects but also suppress neuropathic pain upon intrathecal injection of MSCs.\textsuperscript{136} Apart from anti-inflammation, these anti-inflammatory cytokines including TGF-β1, IL-4, and IL-10 can also promote neurite outgrowth in the central nervous system, and, regulatory T cells promoted by M2 macrophages can support myelin regeneration in the central nervous system.\textsuperscript{153,154} As such, MSCs can not only secrete anti-inflammatory cytokines but also secrete neurotrophic factors to support nerve regeneration.

In addition, various studies have shown that administration of MSCs derived from bone marrow, adipose tissues, and umbilical cord can regenerate peripheral nerve tissues.\textsuperscript{155,156} Intravenously administered MSCs lead to downregulation of inflammation and upregulation of axonal regeneration, while local injection of MSCs can regenerate peripheral nerve tissue. However, the potential therapeutic use of MSCs in central nerve regeneration is still controversial. Although MSCs can differentiate into neuron-like cells with neuronal markers under specific conditions, MSCs-derived neuron cells cannot communicate with each other.\textsuperscript{157–161} However, various studies have reported the positive results of MSCs-promoted neurogenesis.\textsuperscript{162–164} The study of the improved neurological state in a brain hypoxic-ischemic injury model after intravenous administration of adipose-derived MSC-derived conditioned media suggests that neural differentiation of MSCs does not contribute to neurological improvement, rather secretomes released from non-genetically modified MSCs result in improvement after neurological damage.\textsuperscript{163} When MSCs reach the inflammatory sites, they secrete pro-survival factors, which include brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), vascular endothelial growth factor, fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2), and nerve growth factor (NGF) in order to increase survival rates and for axonal regeneration of damaged neurons (Figure 8).\textsuperscript{162–164} Likewise, viral transfection of MSCs through intrastriatal, intracerebral, and intrathecal injections further enhances the delivery of neurotrophic factors that can support axonal growth and decrease apoptosis of damaged neurons.\textsuperscript{165–167}
Enhanced nerve regeneration by nanodrug-assisted MSC

Neurogenesis is particularly important to compensate for neurodegenerative disease, but natural neurogenesis in the central nervous system is limited due to growth inhibition by glial scar and adult myelin. As of today, various growth factors, extracellular matrix degrading enzymes, myelin neutralization have been reported to increase neural growth, but their usages are limited due to their low BBB perviousness.

Therefore, various studies have explored bioactive molecules-loaded nanoparticles for improving neurogenesis in neurodegenerative models. For instance, curcumin-loaded poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) nanoparticles induce proliferation of NSCs in vitro through the activation of Wnt/β-Catenin signal pathways. BDNF-loaded silica nanoparticles support the survival of spiral ganglion neurons in vitro. Likewise, iron oxide nanoparticles-conjugated NGF, glial cell-derived neurotrophic factor, and basic FGF-2 are not only stable but also show improved nerve regeneration compared to free neurotrophic factors on organotypic dorsal root ganglion. In in vivo studies, bilaterally injected silica-DNA complex successfully triggered neurogenesis of neuronal stem/progenitor cells via stimulation of FGF Receptor 1 signaling pathway. However, again, the primary method of nanoparticle delivery is via local injection due to low BBB permeability. The major drawback of local injection is loss of nanoparticles due to backflow of the solution.

Based on previous studies, it is anticipated that utilizing MSCs as cargo molecule can pave a way for safe delivery of neurotrophic factors loaded nanoparticles to target sites for nerve regeneration. In addition, the combined efficacy of MSCs and neurotrophic factors including myelin neutralization and degrading ECM enzyme can synergistically improve axon growth.

Therapeutic usage of MSC-derived secretomes

MSCs can promote nerve regeneration by releasing specific cytokines or secretomes to the damaged nerve environment. The types of secretomes released from MSCs differ from the MSC lineages and pre-conditioning of MSCs with factors including hypoxia, inflammatory cytokines, and 3D culture. For instance, adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells induced higher expressions of IGF-1 and IL-8, but bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells triggered significant expressions of IL-6, IL-8, IL-1α, and IL-1β without any pre-conditioning treatment. In induced hypoxia condition, MSCs can secrete growth factors and pro-angiogenic cytokines such as VEGF and IGF-1. Likewise, conditioned medium of bone marrow-derived stem cell inhibits apoptosis and promotes VEGF-involving pro-angiogenic activity of neurons to support neuronal survival in in vitro study. Utilizing MSCs secretomes can provide many advantages in terms of storage, economical cost, and modification, but the clinical application of only-secretome treatment for therapeutic use remains...
challenging due to poor protein stability, pharmacokinetics, and tissue transport.\textsuperscript{179,180}

\section*{Discussion and perspective}

Despite technological developments in antitumor therapeutics, the majority of chemotherapeutics is precluded from entering the brain due to the presence of the BBB. Moreover, the BBB can efflux undesired molecules from the brain even if they enter the brain. Thus, GBM is widely known as one of the most devastating diseases without well-defined solutions. Conventional chemotherapies for GBM are accompanied with adverse side effects, worsening the quality of patients’ lives. Treatment for GBM is problematic since its heterogeneous structure and overexpression of its corresponding genes makes it resistant to not only chemotherapy but also radiotherapy. Therefore, studies developing new chemotherapies have been extensively investigating methods to improve tumor-migration and BBB-perviousness of a drug.

Although genetic alternation of MSCs showed the promising results, intact MSCs can hold similar therapeutic efficiency by loading various therapeutic agents and can reduce potential tumorigenesis from genetic alternation. This review introduces non-genetically modified MSCs as a new strategy in overcoming this hurdle given the unique features of MSCs in tumor inhibition and tropism, and highlights that MSCs loaded with chemotherapeutics can efficiently deliver the loaded chemotherapeutic agents to tumor sites. Furthermore, as the chemotherapeutic agent is delivered to tumor sites, MSCs can induce apoptosis and inhibit angiogenesis of tumor cells, synergistically eradicating glioma cells.

The nervous system of patients after either completion of surgical or chemotherapeutic treatment is severely damaged, and neurogenesis is rarely induced naturally. The ability to artificially promote nerve regeneration through the anti-inflammation effect achieved via release of anti-inflammatory cytokines from MSCs and nerve regeneration-promoting nanodrug, nanoparticle-loaded MSCs is a promising treatment that can regenerate damaged nerve system. Although secretomes or cytokines released from MSCs can alone induce pro-survival of neurons, poor stabilities and pharmacokinetics of secretomes in physiological conditions limit the usage of secretomes. On the other hand, MSCs can efficiently deliver adjuvant drug-loaded nanoparticles to inflammation sites for anti-inflammation and neuroregeneration after complete removal of tumor cells. Furthermore, MSCs at inflammation sites can mediate inflammations by secreting desired secretomes to activate M2 macrophages.

In conclusion, this review discusses the potential clinical application of nanocarrier-assisted MSCs as not only antitumor agents through improved tumor specificity and apoptosis but also regenerative and anti-inflammatory agents through neurogenesis factor delivery and MSC-released secretomes. Since some pathways inducing neurogenesis promote tumor progression as well, great care should be taken to ensure that treatment of damaged neural tissue is done after the complete eradication of tumor. The distinctive abilities of MSCs with the assist of nanotechnology introduced in this review can pave the way for new guidelines on antitumor and nerve regenerative therapy with promising results in the near future and overcome the limitation of genetically engineered MSCs.
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