Gastric duplication 20 years after a partial distal gastrectomy: a case report and review of literature
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Abstract: Gastric duplications are the least common gastrointestinal duplications, especially in adults. Duplication cyst with an accessory pancreatic lobe is extremely rare and is even more uncommon in the setting of polysplenia. No gastric duplication after partial gastrectomy has been reported. We present a 41-year-old male diagnosed with gastric duplications with an accessory pancreatic lobe and polysplenia. Another characteristic of this case is partial gastrectomy 20 years ago without the discovery of duplication cysts. The gastric duplications, accessory pancreatic lobe and accessory spleen were successfully resected.
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Background
Duplication cysts are rare congenital abnormalities which can affect any part of the alimentary tract. Most of them are identified in childhood. Gastric duplications are the least common gastrointestinal duplications, especially in adults. Duplication cyst with an accessory pancreatic lobe is extremely rare and is even more uncommon in the setting of polysplenia. No gastric duplication after partial gastrectomy has been reported.

Case presentation
The patient was 41-year-old male with a previous medical history of distal partial gastrectomy for treating gastric ulcer in another hospital 20 years before. He came to our hospital with epigastric discomfort and distention for 3 years. The CT scan in our hospital showed there were bilateral cystic lesions in the abdominal cavity. The left lesion was subphrenic and 26.0 cm×14.0 cm×14.0 cm in size. And right lesion was subheptic and 18.0 cm×14.0 cm×13.0 cm in size. These lesions were probably congenital cyst, foregut duplication or mesenteric cyst. Two round structures were anterior and posterior to the left cystic lesion and their densities were similar to spleen. No abnormality was found in pancreas or pancreatic vessel. The CT image is shown in Figure 1. The CT scan demonstrated normal structure of other alimentary organs. Only anastomotic inflammation and post-gastrectomy (Billroth II) were found by gastroscopy (Figure 2).

After the multidisciplinary discussion with the radiologists and pathologists, the patient was diagnosed with benign cystic lesions. The patient underwent laparotomy. The left retroperitoneal lesion was about 25 cm×20 cm, and the right one was about 20 cm×18 cm (Figure 3). A smaller hyaline cystic lesion of 6 cm×6 cm in size was also found in the sigmoid mesocolon. These three cystic lesions were completely resected (Figure 4). The patient had two separate spleens and an...
Figure 1  CT image of the left (S1) and right (S2) gastric duplication cysts. (A) Transversal plane; (B) coronal plane.

Figure 2  Gastroscopy showed anastomotic inflammation (A) and post-gastrectomy (Billroth II) (B) before duplication cysts resection.

Figure 3  (A) The right duplication cyst and the accessory pancreatic lobe during operation; (B) the left duplication cyst and the accessory spleens.
accessory spleen. The blood supply of these two spleens arose from the splenic artery. As these two spleens adhered tightly to the left retroperitoneal lesion, they were both resected. The accessory spleen was left. An accessory pancreatic lobe was also attached to the right duplication cyst but did not communicate with the duplication cyst. During surgical exploration, the liver, gallbladder, small intestine, colon and rectum were normal, without any evidence of carcinomatosis, ascites or metastasis. After the surgery, specimens were sent to the pathological department for further analysis. The final pathological results demonstrated that the right lesion was a 16×11×8-cm cyst with pyloric and fundus epithelium. The left lesion was a 20×19×9.5-cm cyst with pyloric epithelium and smooth muscle (Figure 5). No communication between the duplication cysts and stomach was found, while the aberrant pancreatic lobe communicated with duplication cysts with ductal system. The different level of amylase and characteristics of the liquid also confirmed this communication. The amylase of liquid inside was 438 U/L in the right cyst and 150 U/L in the left cyst. The liquid in the right cyst was clear while the liquid was similar to digestive juice in the other cyst. The cyst in sigmoid mesocolon was mesothelial cyst with crystal liquid inside. The spleen and accessory spleen were confirmed to be splenic tissue. The pathological examination established the diagnosis of
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**Figure 4** Two duplication cysts and the cystic lesion in the sigmoid mesocolon.
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**Figure 5** The pathological report confirmed the existence of gastric mucosa in the inner surface of the cysts.
gastric duplication and polypsplenia. A cinar and ductual elements were found in the accessory pancreatic lobe.

The patient had no surgical complications and was followed up for two years. CT scan showed no evidence of recurrence. The abdominal distention was relieved after the surgery.

This study was approved by the ethics review committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University. Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication prior to this study.

Discussion

Duplication cysts of the alimentary tract are rare embryologic anomalies. The ileum and ileocecum were the most commonly affected, whereas gastric duplication cyst is highly uncommon with an incidence of 4–8% among all alimentary duplication cysts.1–3 Most gastric duplication cysts occur in the greater curvature of the stomach. The diagnosis of gastrointestinal duplications should meet the following criteria: (1) these duplications are spherical structures located in any part of the alimentary tract; (2) their inner surface is lined by typical alimentary mucosa; (3) at least one coat of smooth muscle with muscularis propria surrounds the duplication cysts. The pathological examination in our case confirmed the existence of gastric mucosa and smooth muscle.

Gastrointestinal duplication can be divided into two types according to communication with the alimentary tract. The noncommunicating type accounts for about 80% of duplication cyst, while the communicating type having one communication is usually tubular. Those with proximal and distal communications are complete duplications.1

Many theories suggested that embryonic anomaly leads to duplication cyst. The most widely held explanations are Bremer’s errors of recanalization and McLetchie’s neuroenteric band theory.4,5 Bremer suggested that the recanalization and fusion of longitudinal folds allowing the passage of a bridge of submucosa and muscle is the origination of duplication cysts, which may happen at the early stage of intrauterine life. McLetchie suggested that notochordal and embryonic endodermal adhesion gives rise to neuroenteric band, which may not elongate as quickly as its surrounding structures, causing traction diverticulum leading to duplication cyst formation. But there is still no theory satisfactory for explaining the development of gastrointestinal duplication.

The clinical symptoms of gastric duplication are usually nonspecific, such as nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, palpable abdominal mass, weight loss and failure to thrive.4,5 Occasionally, some symptoms including gastrointestinal bleeding, peritonitis or even malignancy may be seen. Some cases had carcinomas arising from gastric duplication cysts, especially in middle-aged adults.6–12 According to these cases, no primary tumor was found. Adenocarcinoma is the most common pathological type of cancer arising from gastric duplication. Other types include epithelial or squamous cell carcinoma and neuroendocrine carcinoma. If the cyst ruptured during surgery, it may cause incomplete removal leading to peritoneal metastasis adenocarcinoma. Therefore, gastric duplication cysts should be resected completely even though their symptoms are similar to those of benign cysts.13 In our case, no abdominal malignancy was found. The patient was instructed to follow up for two years and no recurrence or cancer was found. No positive result was found in stool routine and fecal occult blood test. Therefore, complete resection is the recommended treatment for gastric duplication.

As the symptoms were not specific, abdominal CT or MRI seems to be necessary in the diagnosis of duplication cyst. But misdiagnosis rate may reach up to 70%,14 The variable content in these cysts mainly caused the misdiagnosis. In recent years, new techniques, such as endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration showed security and better accuracy in the diagnosis of duplication cysts.15

About 50% of patients with gastric duplication had other congenital abnormalities, such as duplication or atresia in other parts of the alimentary canal, esophageal or duodenal diverticula. But coexistence of accessory pancreatic lobe was rare. Since the first case reported in 1959, only 29 cases have been reported until now.2,16–38 In these cases, about 82.7% of patients had recurrent abdominal pain caused by pancreatitis. The majority of these cases were females (68.9%) with the average age of 16 years, but pancreatitis was not associated with gender or age. Recurrent pancreatitis occurred if gastric duplication was contiguous with the stomach.31,32 The reasons of pancreatitis were ulcer bleeding into the pancreatic duct caused by parietal cells and pancreatic duct retarding flow and obstruction caused by secretions of the ectopic mucosa. In our case, the accessory pancreas contiguous with right duplication cyst containing amylase-rich liquid, but no symptom of pancreatitis was found because no contiguity was found between stomach and duplication cysts. The amylase of serum and drainage liquid of abdominal cavity examined after operation were higher than normal values. But this also can be caused by the operation. If we had examined the serum amylase before surgery, it can be
more reliable. McLetchie’s theory fits the gastric duplication with accessory pancreatic lobe because the traction on the pancreatic duct by a neuroenteric band can produce both gastric and pancreatic abnormalities.

As polypsplenia was also found in this case, another explanation for causes of accessory pancreatic lobe is polypsplenia. Polypsplenia is an anomaly formed by two or more splenuncules. Compared with less cases of coexistence of polypsplenia and gastric duplication, concurrent anomalies of the spleen and pancreas were often reported. These may be caused by the same embryological origin of both organs from the dorsal mesogastrium. The most typical example of this concurrent anomaly is polypsplenia with a short pancreas.

Gastric duplication cysts, polypsplenia and accessory pancreatic lobe are uncommon congenital anomalies. To the best of our knowledge, there are no published reports describing this anomaly.

Another characteristic of this case is distal gastrectomy history 20 years ago, which was confirmed by gastroscopy before surgery (Figure 2). Most of the gastric duplications are diagnosed during the early years of life. But these cysts were neither found nor resected by doctors in previous gastrectomy. Since gastric duplication is a congenital disease, these lesions may exist at that time. But they may be too small to attract the surgeon’s attention. Their size increased with age. Another explanation is that the duplication cysts were not congenital and developed after surgery. Gastric duplication can cause gastrointestinal bleeding because ectopic gastric mucosa can secret acid to cause ulceration. As this patient had gastric ulcer 20 years ago and had melena this time, the possibility of congenital duplication cyst was more reliable. These duplication cysts were congenital and ignored in the first operation. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first post-gastrectomy duplication of stomach case ever reported.

The recommended treatment for gastric duplication is complete resection. The gastric duplication without symptom is also considered to be excised to avoid malignant transformation. Resection of the accessory pancreas and closure of the pancreatic duct are also needed for a gastric duplication contiguous to the accessory pancreas in order to avoid pancreatitis.

**Conclusion**

In summary, we report this case of gastric duplication with an accessory pancreatic lobe and polypsplenia after distal gastrectomy 20 years ago in an adult man. No similar cases have been reported. Gastrointestinal duplication and other coexistent congenital abnormalities should be paid attention to. More accurate diagnostic techniques are needed and complete surgical resection is the recommended treatment.
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CT, Computed tomography; MRI, Magnetic resonance imaging; EUS-FNA, endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration.
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