Chloroquine sensitizes MDA-MB-231 cells to osimertinib through autophagy–apoptosis crosstalk pathway
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Background: Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is a breast cancer that tests negative for estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptors, and human epidermal growth factor receptors 2 (HER2). It is aggressive and invasive in nature and lacks targeted therapy.

Purpose: The EGFR is frequently overexpressed in TNBC, and the EGFR-overexpressing TNBC presumably escapes EGFR inhibitor therapy by upregulating autophagy and inhibiting apoptosis.

Methods: To parse the autophagy–apoptosis crosstalk pathway as a potential targeted therapy in TNBC, the activity of an EGFR inhibitor, osimertinib, alone and in combination with an autophagy inhibitor, chloroquine, was examined in EGFR-overexpressing TNBC cell line, MDA-MB-231. The nature of interaction between both drugs at various concentrations was determined by calculating combination indexes (CI) using CompuSyn software. Temporal changes in the expression of the autophagy marker, LC3B-II, and several apoptosis signaling molecules were measured using Western blot and luminex assay with MAGPIX® after exposure to drugs. A synergistic interaction (CI <1) was identified with combinations of 4–6.5 μM osimertinib with 30–75 μM chloroquine.

Results: A combination of osimertinib (6 μM) with chloroquine (30 μM) resulted in a 6-fold increase of LC3B-II relative to control compared to 2.5-fold increase for either drug alone. The caspase-3 expression increased 2-fold compared to a 0.5-fold decrease with chloroquine and 1.5-fold increase with osimertinib.

Conclusion: Our results indicate that inhibition of the autophagic flux via chloroquine improves the effectiveness of osimertinib in TNBC cancer cells, warranting further investigations of this combination in vivo.
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Introduction
Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is a breast cancer (BC) subtype that is distinct by the absence of three hallmark receptors on the surface of BC cells: estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)) receptors.1 The therapeutic armamentarium for treating TNBC is limited due to the absence of these three pharmacological targets, and as a result, is managed with conventional chemotherapy. The latter tends to be aggressive2,3 and leads to therapy resistance, relapse, and ultimately metastases with drug-induced life-threatening toxicities.4 Hence, treatment failure in TNBC is a significant clinical problem, motivating the search for biomarkers as targets for new novel therapeutics.5 Among the potential candidates are therapies that target the EGFR,
since EGFR overexpression occurs in the majority of TNBC cases and has been recognized as a factor of poor prognosis for this disease.\textsuperscript{6–9} In these TNBC patients, EGFR overexpression equates to an increased risk in treatment failure with traditional chemotherapeutics\textsuperscript{10} and a decrease in overall survival rate.\textsuperscript{11} Because a small portion of TNBC responds to anti-EGFR therapy,\textsuperscript{12} EGFR-targeting tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) may be considered a rational therapeutic strategy for this population.

Despite evidence for their use in BC, EGFR inhibitors have not demonstrated an adequate benefit-to-risk ratio, presumably due to development of resistance.\textsuperscript{12} EGFR inhibitors have shown to induce the evolutionary conserved survival mechanism of macroautophagy (autophagy) in a variety of cancer cell lines.\textsuperscript{13–16} During autophagic flux, the cellular membrane expands (phagophore) to sequester cellular organelles in an autophagosome. The latter fuses with the lysosome to form the autophagolysosome, whose role is to degrade and/or recycle unwanted cytoplasmic material that can be substrates for energy production in cancer cells.\textsuperscript{17,18} A commonly used marker for assessing the status of autophagic flux is LC3B-II,\textsuperscript{19} the expression levels of which increase during the processes of formation of the autophagosome (ie, initiation of autophagy) and inhibition of the autophagolysosome. Therefore, to confirm the pharmacological induction of autophagic flux, LC3B-II expression levels must be greater after a dual autophagy initiation/inhibition than by either process acting alone. The LC3B-II expression levels were greater in preclinical models of TNBC overexpressing EGFR after simultaneous exposure to EGFR inhibitors such as gefitinib with autophagy inhibitors such as 3-methyladenine or bafilomycin A1.\textsuperscript{14,20} While this finding suggests that inhibition of the EGFR pathway is effective at initiating autophagy, stimulation of autophagy may also contribute to the tumor promotion of TNBC by countering the initiation of apoptosis.\textsuperscript{14,21,22} To date, mechanisms controlling the crosstalk between autophagy and apoptosis are not fully elucidated. Regulation circuitries involving prosurvival proteins within the PI3K/AKT, pJNK, and p53 signaling pathways are suggested; however, the mechanisms implicated are cell specific.\textsuperscript{21–26} Therefore, exploring how the molecular players are regulated within the autophagy–apoptosis crosstalk pathway may shed light on the fundamental mechanisms contributing to anti-EGFR treatment failure or success and provide insights into selecting combination agents that enhance the antitumor activity of EGFR inhibitors in TNBC overexpressing EGFR.

The aim of this study was to determine if the autophagy–apoptosis crosstalk pathway is likely a therapeutic target in the treatment of TNBC overexpressing EGFR. The highly aggressive EGFR-overexpressing TNBC cell line, MDA-MB-231, was used as a model cell line.\textsuperscript{27} The EGFR-targeting TKI, osimertinib, and chloroquine served as pharmacological probes to parse apoptosis/autophagy crosstalk pathway. Changes in cellular and molecular responses after exposure to single and combination agents were monitored. Our study is first to report a synergistic interaction of these two agents in TNBC. The results of this study suggest that inhibiting autophagy is a rational choice for improving the effectiveness of EGFR-inhibiting TKIs in EGFR-overexpressing TNBC.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and reagents

MDA-MB-231 cell line was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA) and maintained in DMEM containing 10% sterile filtered FBS (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. The cells were cultured at 37°C in a 5% CO\textsubscript{2} incubator. Osimertinib and chloroquine were purchased from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX, USA). Stock solutions of 30 mM (osimertinib) and 100 mM (chloroquine) were prepared in 100% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and Millipore water, respectively, and stored as 30 μL aliquots at −80°C.

In vitro cellular viability assay

Cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 2×10\textsuperscript{4} cells/well in three replicates. Cells were allowed to adhere overnight and then treated with drug. Cells were exposed for 48 hrs to a range of concentrations of either osimertinib (1–12 μM) with a final DMSO concentration of 0.1% DMSO (v/v) or chloroquine (1–100 μM). Relative cell density was measured using cell counting kit-8 assay (CCK-8; Sigma-Aldrich) where, after the desired length of drug exposure, cells were incubated with CCK-8 (10% dilution in 10% FBS DMEM) for 1 hr at 37°C, and absorbance was measured at 450 nm using the Epoch microplate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA). Percent cell viability was calculated by dividing the absorbance of each treatment by the absorbance of cells grown in drug-free media. The observed data are presented as mean ± SEM using GraphPad Prism Version 5.
Analysis of concentration–effect relationships for single agents

A sigmoidal hill function such as \( R = R_0 \left( \frac{I_{\text{max}}}{C + C_\gamma} \right) \) was used to capture the trend of the measured percent cell viability versus drug concentration. \( R \) represents the percentage of viable cells in the drug-treated samples relative to cells grown in media, \( R_0 \) is the baseline level of cell viability at time equal to 0 of percent cell viability, \( I_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum drug inhibitory effect fixed to 1 since at large concentrations both agents led to \( \sim 100\% \) killing of cancer cells, \( IC_{50} \) is drug concentration that produces half the \( I_{\text{max}} \), \( \gamma \) is the Hill coefficient, and \( C \) is the drug concentration. Mathematical modeling was performed using the software Monolix2018R1. The \( IC_{50} \) and \( \gamma \) were estimated as means ± percent of relative standard error (%RSE). The model code is provided as supplementary material (Code S1).

Analysis of concentration-effect relationships for combination therapy

Cells were seeded in quadruplicate using 96-well plates at a density of \( 5 \times 10^3 \) cells/well. Concentrations used in drugs’ combination studies fell within the model fittings of concentration–response relationships for each agent (Figure 1) that maintained cell viability count when given alone. Cells were allowed to adhere overnight, and then were exposed for 48 hrs to various treatment arms: i) media devoid of drug (control), ii) osimertinib (2.5, 4, and 6.5 µM), iii) chloroquine (10, 30, and 75 µM), and iv) nine different combinations of various concentrations from each drug. The percent cell viability was determined using CCK-8 assay as previously mentioned in "In vitro cellular viability assay" section. In order to determine the overall nature of drug–drug interaction (synergy, additivity, or antagonism) for all nine various combinations, CompuSyn software was used to calculate combination indexes (CIs). Fractional inhibition \( (Fa = (1 - \text{cell viability})) \) for concentrations alone and in combination served as inputs for CompuSyn as mentioned elsewhere. 28, 29

Sample collection and intracellular protein extraction

Cells were seeded in triplicate using 6-well plates grown at a density of \( 3 \times 10^5 \) cells/well. Cells were exposed to either osimertinib (6 µM), chloroquine (30 µM), both drugs for 24–48 h, rs or cell culture medium devoid of drug serving as control. Cells were washed with ice-cold PBS, and adhered cells were trypsinized, pooled, centrifuged at 900 rpm for 4 mins, and then washed with PBS. Cells were resuspended in 75 µL ice-cold Milliplex Map lysis buffer supplemented with protease/phosphatase inhibitor, shaken in 4°C for 10 mins, incubated on ice for 10 mins, and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 1 min to form a pellet. The supernatant was collected, aliquoted, and stored at \( \sim 80^\circ \text{C} \). Total cellular protein content was measured using BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Rockford, IL, USA). Experiments were conducted in triplicate.

Measurement of signaling proteins in the autophagy–apoptosis crosstalk pathway

The change in the expression of the autphagic flux marker LC3B-II was measured using a Western blot technique. Briefly, the primary antibodies used were anti-rabbit LC3B (2775S) and GAPDH (2118S). The secondary antibody used was the anti-rabbit HRP-linked IgG (7074S; Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA). Both primary and secondary antibodies were diluted 1000-fold in PBS with tween (PBST). Approximately 20 µg of total proteins was loaded per lane of 12% MP TGX Stain-Free Gel and transferred onto trans-blot

Figure 1: Model fittings of the concentration–effect relationships for single agents. Symbols represent the means of triplicates of observed data and bars represent the SEMs. Lines are model predictions.
Turbo Mini polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The PVDF membrane was blocked using 5% nonfat dry milk in 1× PBST (9809S; Cell Signaling) for 60 mins at room temperature, incubated with primary antibodies overnight with gentle agitation at 4°C, washed with PBST, and incubated with secondary antibody for 1 hr at room temperature. Blots were imaged using chemiluminescence (ECL; Bio-Rad), and densitometric analysis was carried out with ImageLab to quantitate the levels of LC3B-II normalized with GAPDH. LC3B-II/GAPDH of treated cells was further normalized to LC3B-II/GAPDH of control.

The changes in the expression of intracellular proteins in the intrinsic and extrinsic apoptotic signaling pathways were quantified per the manufacturer’s protocols, using the MAGPIX® (Luminex, Austin, TX) multiplexing instrument. GAPDH was measured as a housekeeping protein. The 7-Plex Early Apoptosis Magnetic Bead Kit (EMD Millipore, Chicago, IL, USA) was used. It measures pAkt (pS473), pBad (Ser112), pBcl2 (Ser70), p53 (Ser46), pJNK (Thr183/Tyr185), active caspase-8 (Asp384), active caspase-9 (Asp315) complexed with total GAPDH Magnetic Bead MAPmate, and active caspase-3 Magnetic Bead MAPmate.

Statistical analysis

The GraphPad Prism Version 5 software was used to assess the statistical difference between mean values of various treatment arms controls. The one-way analysis of variance test was used, followed by the Tukey test. The difference between groups was considered statistically significant when p-values were <0.05.

Results

Concentration–effect relationships for single agents and combination

The model fittings of the cell viability response versus osimertinib or chloroquine concentrations are represented in Figure 1. The model fitted parameters for osimertinib and chloroquine concentration–effect relationships are summarized in Table 1. Our findings indicate that osimertinib is a more potent inhibitor of MDA-MB-231 cell viability than chloroquine, as indicated by its lower IC50 value (6.3 vs 26.3 µM). The cell viability responses measured 48 hrs post simultaneous combination of various concentrations of osimertinib and chloroquine are depicted in Figure 2. Our results reveal a more pronounced decrease in cell viability at higher drugs concentrations, indicating a concentration-dependent cell killing for both agents.

Figure 1 depicts the concentration–effect relationships for single agents and combination on autophagy

Compusyn analysis revealed that when chloroquine concentration was fixed to 30 µM, osimertinib yields synergism at

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (units)</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Value (%RSE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I_{max} (%)</td>
<td>Maximum drug inhibitory effect drug concentration for 50% of I_{max}</td>
<td>1 fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC_{50} (µM)</td>
<td>6.3 (2.41)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>14.4 (17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{0} (%)</td>
<td>100 fixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
concentrations >4 µM. To ensure an adequate concentration of analyte for analysis on Western blot and Magpix, 6 µM osimertinib was used alone and in combination with 30 µM chloroquine. Autophagy response was determined by examining the changes in LC3B-II/GAPDH upon exposure of MDA-MB-231 cells to an autophagy stimulator, osimertinib, in the presence and absence of an autophagy inhibitor, chloroquine. When the autophagic flux is occurring, LC3B-II expression levels are larger after co-administration of both agents – an autophagy stimulator and autophagy inhibitor – when compared to either agent alone. The relative expression of LC3B-II to control is approximately 2-fold greater post osimertinib treatment than chloroquine and 6-fold higher in the combination than either agent alone or control as shown in Figure 3. The increased levels of LC3B-II after exposure to combination suggest that both drugs act oppositely on autophagy. While osimertinib induces the formation of the autophagosome, chloroquine inhibits its elimination. These mechanisms are yet to be fully elucidated.

Effects of single agents and combination on the autophagy–apoptosis crosstalk pathway

To assess the effects of single agents osimertinib and chloroquine, and their combinations on apoptosis in MDA-MB-231 cells, we measured the levels of active caspase-3, the predominant apoptotic signaling biomarker. The measured fold changes from control in the expression of intracellular proteins within the apoptosis/autophagy crosstalk signaling pathway, including pAKT, pBCL2, pJNK, pBad, caspase-3, and p53, are depicted in Figure 4. The protein pAKT decreased by approximately 0.25-fold relative to control after treatment with chloroquine alone or in combination with osimertinib, but no statistically significant change was observed after exposure to osimertinib alone. No changes from control were observed in the expression of the protein pBad following exposure to chloroquine; however, it increased by approximately 1.75- and 2.5-fold after exposure to osimertinib and combination. The protein pBCL2 decreased by approximately 0.25-fold relative to control after exposure with chloroquine alone or in combination with osimertinib, but no statistically significant change was observed after exposure to osimertinib alone. No changes from control were observed in the expression of the protein pBCL2 protein were observed after
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**Figure 2** Cell viability response of MDA-MB-231 cells normalized to control after 48 hrs exposure to chloroquine (CQ) and osimertinib (Osim) combinations, and to cell culture medium devoid of drug (control). Each bar represents the mean of four replicates of observed data ± SEM.
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**Figure 3** Fold change from control (no treatment) of LC3B-II in MDA-MB-231 after exposure to chloroquine (CQ), osimertinib (Osim), and combination (O+CQ). MDA-MB-231 cells exposed to control (Con) as drug-free cell culture medium, CQ (30 µM), Osim (6 µM), or the combination over 24 hrs. LC3B-II and GAPDH were measured using Western blot. Each bar represents a mean from duplicate observed data ± SEM. **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

### Table 2 Combination indexes (CIs) calculated for the various concentrations of osimertinib/chloroquine association therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Osimertinib (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Combination indexes (CIs) calculated for the various concentrations of osimertinib/chloroquine association therapy.
exposure to the combination. Changes in expression of active caspase-3 compared to control were approximately 1.5-fold higher after exposure to osimertinib, and approximately 0.5-fold lower after exposure to chloroquine. Active caspase-3 was approximately 2-fold higher than control after exposure to the combination, with a statistically significant difference observed between chloroquine and combination groups. There were no statistically significant changes in pJNK and p53 after exposure to chloroquine, osimertinib, or combination. Changes were observed in the levels of neither active caspase-9, a marker of the extrinsic apoptosis pathway, nor active caspase-8 protein, a marker of the intrinsic apoptosis pathway.

**Discussion**

TKIs represent a rapidly expanding group of oncology drugs due to their targeted anticancer mechanism of action and favorable safety profile compared to conventional
Osimertinib was awarded a breakthrough therapy designation by the US Food and Drug Administration in 2017 for its use as a first-line treatment in metastatic NSCLC with EGFR mutations. Like NSCLC, EGFR+ mutations have also been observed in a small subgroup of TNBC. Additionally, osimertinib demonstrates binding to wild-type EGFR. For aggressive TNBC overexpressing EGFR, EGFR inhibitors such as osimertinib represent a promising therapeutic option, but acquired resistance mechanisms to anti-EGFR therapy must first be overcome before their application in TNBC can be considered clinically.

A recent study published by Liu et al examining gefitinib anticaner activity in combination with autophagy inhibitors was conducted on two EGFR-expressing TNBC cell lines, MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468. The addition of the autophagy inhibitors to gefitinib significantly improved gefitinib killing in both cancer cell lines. These cell lines report having different sensitivities to cancer therapy, with MDA-MB-231 being much less sensitive to traditional chemotherapy than MDA-MB-468. Considering the inherent risk of TNBC becoming resistant to traditional chemotherapy and the highly aggressive and invasive features of MDA-MB-231 cells, the latter cell line represents a unique preclinical model of metastatic TNBC.

Compusyn was used to calculate CI because it utilizes well-established algorithms to provide automated quantitative indices of interaction. Innumerable studies have utilized this approach for drug combination studies. Our study in MDA-MB-231 cells showed that the combination of osimertinib with chloroquine is synergistic and that the intensity of synergism increases with increasing drug concentrations in the TNBC cell line, MDA-MB-231. Because reductions in the viability of cancer cells were observed at all concentrations tested for osimertinib (Figure 1), the synergy observed from the addition of chloroquine may emanate from chloroquine increasing the sensitivity of MDA-MB-231 cells to osimertinib. One explanation for the sensitizing effect of chloroquine on cancer cells is through its inhibitory action on autophagy. EGFR inhibitors are reported to increase autophagic flux in a variety of cells, leading to energy conservation and autophagy induction. Inhibiting autophagy has shown to overcome resistance to TKI in cancer such as NSCLC, non-TNBC breast cancer, and cervical cancer. Considering that inhibition of autophagy is proven to improve the effectiveness of TKIs in TNBC, we proposed this mechanism for chloroquine at enhancing the efficaciousness of osimertinib.

To demonstrate that autophagic flux is induced by osimertinib, we examined the changes in the expression of an autophagy marker, LC3B-II, after exposure of MDA-MB-231 cells to osimertinib (autophagy stimulator) with and without chloroquine (autophagy inhibitor). During autophagic flux, changes in the expression of LC3B-II are expected to be greater compared to the use of either an autophagy stimulator or an inhibitor. Chloroquine alkalizes the autophagolysosome, thereby inhibiting the terminal phase of autophagic flux and decreasing the degradation of LC3B-II. MDA-MB-231 has a high level of tumor-derived LC3B-II which provides a strong baseline signal. The increase from control in the expression of LC3B-II observed in our combinatorial study of osimertinib with chloroquine is consistent with previous autophagy studies. Liu et al reported this increase in MDA-MB-231 cells following the combination of the first generation of EGFR inhibitors, gefitinib, with the autophagy inhibitor Baf.A. Comparable to our results, LC3B-II expression levels were higher in the combination than either agent. Similarly, Tang et al exposed NSCLC cells to osimertinib and chloroquine. Their results showed a greater accumulation of LC3B-II after exposure to the combination as compared to single agents. Taken together, osimertinib and chloroquine act oppositely on autophagy in MDA-MB-231 cells.

The combination of autophagy inhibitors with TKIs is proven efficacious at killing cancer cells. One explanation is that autophagy and apoptosis are two parallel and antagonistic processes occurring in a cell where autophagy inhibition leads to a rise in apoptosis and vice versa. We observed an increase in active caspase-3 after treatment with single-agent osimertinib as shown in Figure 1, suggesting an increase in apoptosis. This result is expected, given that EGFR inhibition will ultimately result in cell death. Conversely, we noticed a slight decrease in active caspase-3 after treatment with single-agent chloroquine; however, this is not statistically significant compared to control (P>0.05). The greatest increase from control in the expression of active caspase-3 occurred after combination of osimertinib with chloroquine, confirming our conclusion that increased apoptosis occurs when autophagy is inhibited.

The role of autophagy in oncogenic survival or killing may be context dependent. To further elaborate on the mechanism by which synergy between osimertinib and chloroquine may occur, we evaluated intracellular proteins within our proposed autophagy–apoptosis crosstalk.
signaling pathway. Magpix® utilizes fluorescent-coded magnetic beads coated with specific capture antibodies allowing for multiplexing of analyte-specific capture antibodies. Utilizing Magpix® allowed for faster and simultaneous quantification for multiple targets as compared to Western blot. Of the proposed players within the crosstalk pathway, pAKT protein falls downstream of EGFR and plays a role in the cell-cycle progression. Inducible pAKT activity promotes TNBC resistance to chemotherapy, and pharmacological inactivation of pAKT promotes synergistic cancer cell killing with TKIs. Because changes from control of pAKT increased after exposure to chloroquine, and that they decreased after exposure to chloroquine or to combination therapy, the inhibition of pAKT by chloroquine may sensitize TNBC to EGFR therapy. Downstream of pAKT, pBad functions as an antiapoptotic protein. pBad increased after exposure to osimertinib alone and with combination, suggesting the increase of a prosurvival pathway. Inhibition of the pAkt pathway and stimulation of pBad appear to be two complementary mechanisms regulated upstream in the apoptosis pathway.

Downstream of pBad, the BCL2 functions as an antiapoptotic protein. Phosphorylation of BCL2 is required for the antiapoptotic activity of BCL2. A decrease in pBCL2, although not significant, occurred after exposure to chloroquine and may be related to chloroquine inhibitory action on autophagy. Wei et al demonstrated that breast cancer cells undergoing autophagy expressed elevated levels of pBCL2; therefore, inhibition of autophagy may also explain the decline in pBCL2 after chloroquine exposure. pBCL2 also decreased after exposure to osimertinib, which may be explained by a negative feedback initiated via an increase in active caspase-3. However, further examination into the role of pBCL2 in the apoptosis/autophagy crosstalk is warranted.

Co-formulating EGFR inhibitors with autophagy inhibitors appears to be a promising method for improving anticancer response to treatment. Chloroquine has a well-established pharmacokinetic and safety profiles. Its potential as a repurposed oncology therapeutic is feasible. Our study suggests that chloroquine sensitizes MDA-MB-231 to osimertinib exposure. This combination induced synergy in a concentration-dependent manner. Because osimertinib stimulates autophagy and chloroquine inhibits autophagy, we conclude that the enhanced antitumor effect of their combination is due to chloroquine sensitizing MDA-MB-231 cells to osimertinib by inhibiting the autophagic survival mechanisms induced by osimertinib. Finally, of all the examined intracellular proteins in the autophagy–apoptosis crosstalk pathway, pAKT and pBad signaling appears to play a major role. Inhibition of pAKT may help explain the synergism resulting from the combination of osimertinib with chloroquine.

The current study was performed in a static manner with MDA-MB231 cell treated with single and combination drugs for 48 hrs. Further time-kinetic studies examining various molecular players over a time course are warranted. The latter may provide a better insight into the temporal dynamics of autophagy–apoptosis crosstalk signaling pathway. Furthermore, considering the heterogeneity of TNBC with many subtypes classified following various molecular aberrations, additional studies on other TNBC cell lines are desired to evaluate and potentially confirm the observed results across TNBC subtypes.

Conclusion

In this work, we report that the combination osimertinib with chloroquine is synergistic and involves a crosstalk between autophagy and apoptosis, in MDA-MB-231. Our findings support the rationale for combining EGFR inhibitors with autophagy inhibitors in TNBC.
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Supplementary materials

**Figure S1** Values used by Compusyn to calculate synergy between Osim and CQ. Fa was calculated for Osim (2.5, 4, and 6.5 µM) and CQ (10, 30, and 75 µM) alone and combination. For each drug combination DRI was calculated where DRI=1, >1, and <1 indicated no dose reduction, a favorable dose reduction, and no favorable dose reduction, respectively. Mean ± SEM was plotted using GraphPad Prism Version 5. Bars represent the mean on quadruplets observed data with SEM.

**Abbreviations:** Osim, osimertinib; CQ, chloroquine; Fa, Fractional inhibition; DRI, dose-reduction index; SEM, standard error of mean.

**Figure S2** Effects of CQ, Osim, and combination on apoptosis players in MDA-MB-231. MDA-MB-231 cells were exposed to media devoid of drug (control), 30 µM CQ, 6 µM Osim, or combination over 48 hrs. Active caspase-9/GAPDH measured using Western blot, and signal was taken with respect to control. Mean ± SEM was plotted using GraphPad Prism Version 5. Bars represent the mean on quadruplets observed data with SEM.

**Abbreviations:** Osim, osimertinib; CQ, chloroquine; SEM, standard error of mean.

Code S1. Concentration effect of chloroquine or osimertinib coded in Monolix2018R as sigmoidal Hill function. Drug concentration (C) and percent cell viability (E) served as drug-specific input. The maximum amount of inhibition from the drug concentration (Imax) and percent cell viability with no drug present (R0) were fixed at 100 and 1, respectively. Drug concentration that produces half the maximal response from the drug (IC_{50}) and Hill coefficient of the drug (gamma).

**[LON**T**G**U**T**I**D**U**N**A**L]**

input = {Imax, IC_{50}, S0, gamma}

**E**QUATION:

E = R0-(Imax*C^gamma/((C^gamma)+(IC_{50}^gamma)))

**OUT**PU**T**:

output = E