Relation of personality factors and life events to waist/height ratio and percentage of visceral fat in women and men
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Purpose: The investigation aimed to explore the association between personality traits, stressful life events, quality of life on anthropometric characteristics (waist/height ratio and percentage of visceral fat).

Method: A total of 227 participants took part in this cross-sectional study. Participants completed the Social Readjustment Rating Scale (SRRS), Temperament and Character Inventory-Revised, Type-D Scale (DS-14), EuroQol Group 5-Dimension Self-Report Questionnaire (EQ-5D), and demographic questionnaire. Two anthropometric parameters were measured: Waist/height ratio and Percentage of the visceral fat.

Results: The average age of participants was 39.6±12.9 years, 60.4% women. The 41.8% of participants were overweight or obese. Regression analysis found a significant link between Harm-avoidance and EQ-5D visual analog scale (VAS) with Waist/height ratio in women and Reward dependence and Cooperativeness with Waist/height ratio in men. In regression analysis, the score of life events (SRRS) has statistically significant linked to Percentage of the visceral fat in women. The regression analysis also found a significant link between Novelty seeking, DS14, Negative affectivity, and EQ-5D VAS with Percentage of the visceral fat in women.

Conclusion: Significant associations between live events, personality traits, and body anthropometric measures were recognized. The differences were recognized between women and men. Outcomes propose some promising tools by which personality factors may influence overweight and obesity.
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Introduction
Stressful life events, personality traits, and quality of life could play a role in the development of obesity and metabolic syndrome that are associated with the risk of developing cardiovascular disease (CVD).1–3 It is supposed that higher body weight is associated with clinical CVDs even at moderate degrees as an independent risk factor.4–9 Being overweight (ie, Body mass index <25 kg/m2) or obese (Body mass index >30 kg/m2) are common, and they can be caused by various factors (eg, genetic, biological and psychosocial).10 Overweight and obesity have recently become a serious problem in developed countries.11 Obese individuals have a two-fold risk of heart failure and a 4.1-fold risk of CVD compared to individuals with normal weight.5 Many investigations have discovered a U-shaped association...
between Body mass index and CVD mortality, with the association being detected in all cultural groups and not being dependent on gender. However, Body mass index is a poorer predictor of CVD compared to measures of central adiposities, characterized by the distribution of visceral fat in the abdominal area. The development of abdominal visceral obesity is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular complications.

Body weight and stress induced by life events

Results from a large Danish community sample show more emotional distress among underweight and obese individuals after adjusting for socio-demographic factors. Slepecky et al. compared the psychological, psychophysiological, and anthropometric factors connected with life events, depressivity, and quality of life of the 54 healthy participants and 45 patients with cardiovascular problems. Life events experienced in the last two years were an influential factor connecting with overweight. The experiences of distress were accompanying with increased Body mass index and waist circumference. Stress-related eating could intermediate the link between stress experiences and overweight. Stress activates the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and sympathetic nervous system which leads to hormonal abnormalities including increased cortisol secretion and development of visceral fat. Survilled et al. studied how stressful life events and social support are related to central adiposity in Southern Brazil. Stressful life events predicted a change in waistline two years later in men and a change in both waist-to-hip ratio and waist circumference in women. The second way of how chronic stress could play a part in the expansion of fat is its effect on dietary eating, with the ingestion of high-energy nutrients.

Personality traits and body weight

Obesity tends to be reflected as a chronic disease and therefore possibly interrelates with the person’s most emphasized temperament and character qualities. Personality traits could impact the way of life. Consequently, certain personality qualities could contribute to overweight. Inborn personality traits can affect dietary eating and could be involved in the vulnerability to overweight and in the failure of overweight individuals to reach effective weight loss. Personality traits play a significant part both as hazardous as well as protective factors in the development of overweight and obesity. Whereas “sensitivity to reward”, “impulsivity”, and “neuroticism”, look like as hazard features, “self-control” and “conscientiousness” have been having a protecting function concerning good weight control. Extraversion among males (high scores) and agreeableness among females (low scores) were the personality traits significantly related to the obesity when adjusting for duration of education in sizeable Danish midlife community sample.

The Temperament and Character Inventory (TCI) was established to offer a complete personality assessment, its temperament, and character traits. The TCI measures seven dimensions of personality: (1) Novelty seeking, (2) Reward dependence, (3) Harm-avoidance, (4) Persistence, (5) Self-directedness, (6) Cooperativeness, and (7) Self-transcendence. It is supposed that each temperament domain has a unique genetic variance and is reproducible in general and clinical setting. The high score in Novelty seeking was linked with overeating, whereas a low score was related to a small need to eat and reduced food intake in eating disorders patients. Sullivan et al. tested the hypothesis that personality traits differ among lean and obese persons. Compared with lean individuals, obese individuals scored higher in Novelty seeking, lower in Persistence, and lower in Self-directedness. Patients registered in the Washington University Weight Management Program scored higher than obese ones in the general population in Reward Dependence and Cooperativeness. Patients who scored lower in Novelty seeking were more successful in losing weight after the program of behavioral therapy than patients who scored higher.

The study of Tambelli et al. evaluated psychological profiles of the obese subjects, and tested the influence of psychopathological risk and impulsivity on body mass index and quality of life. The signs of somatization and psychoticism were predictors of a higher body mass index in men. There was no relation among psychopathological symptoms and the quality of life in men. In women, somatization and attentional impulsivity were predictors for a higher body mass index. There was no connexion between the psychopathological risk and quality of life in women. The regression analysis showed that somatization is a “core” psychopathological symptom in obese patients regardless of their sex, which is a potential predictor for a higher body mass index.

There is a connection between internalizing/externalizing behavior in children and overweight. Ceniglia et al. study employed a growth curve modeling over three age
points (2, 5, and 8 years) in community sample to: (1) show body mass index trajectories in two subgroups of children (normal weight and overweight) aged from two to eight years; (2) show the developmental trajectories of children’s depressive and aggressive symptoms. Whereas overweight girls’ body mass index was constantly high, boys’ enlarged at the age of five and eight. The rates for aggressivity at two years of age were the same in both groups, but a substantial difference arose from two years to five years in both samples, in different directions. Depressive symptoms had dissimilar initial points in two groups; the children with normal weight had lower scores than overweight. Overweight girls displayed lower depressive rates than overweight boys at two years age, but they exceeded boys before the age of five, and presented more maladaptive indicators at the age of eight.

Quality of life and body weight

Body mass index contributes to the prediction of decreased quality of life. This relation has been confirmed repetitively for low physical movement. This association can be bidirectional. In a large study in young Swiss men decreased quality of life is associated with deviations from normal weight in both directions. Both overweight and obese men claimed they dropped the physical quality of life in comparison to normal weight persons, while underweight recruits suffered from the compromised mental quality of life. Unexpectedly, obese men stated they enhanced subjective quality of life.

Study aims and hypotheses

This presentation aims to look at the connection between chosen psychological and anthropometric parameters. In this article, we can see the first part results of the large project, the protocol of which we presented in our previous report. “Psychological, psychophysiological, and anthropometric correlates of CVDs”. In previous pilot study, we compared the psychological, psychophysiological, and anthropometric factors connected with life events, depressivity, and quality of life of the healthy participants and patients with cardiovascular problems. In healthy controls, a higher amount of life events significantly negatively correlated with High-frequency total power, a possible marker of parasympathetic tone, and the level of depression correlates with overweight. In patients with cardiovascular problems, a score of life events is not linked with the cardiovascular of anthropometric factors. In the present study, we focused on personality factors measured by Temperament and Character Inventory-Revised (TCI-R) influencing anthropometric factors (Waist/height ratio and Percentage of the visceral fat) in healthy adults. The primary hypotheses of the present study, built from the information as mentioned above, were as follows: The anthropological parameters (Waist/height ratio and Percentage of the visceral fat) are influenced by:

1. Stress-induced life events in the last two years
2. Novelty-seeking
3. Harm-avoidance
4. Reward-dependence
5. Persistence
6. Self-directedness
7. Cooperativeness
8. Negative affectivity
9. Quality of life

Method

Cross-sectional study will collect the data for the evaluation of the relationship between psychological factors and anthropometric parameters.

Participants and study setting

The study target was the recruitment of about two hundreds of healthy persons with no medication influencing the autonomic nervous system or body weight in the age in the range 18–65 years with the same number of participants in every decade.

Study settings

The probands were assessed in standard settings from 8.00 a.m. to 1 p.m. in the cardiology outpatient clinic. The measurements included an administration of questionnaires, the physical examination, the measurement of the blood pressure, and anthropological analyses. During the first 15 mins, participants were informed about the procedure and sign up the informed consent. This interval was also used for the participant’s adaptation for the setting and calm down. Subsequently, the cardiological, psychological, psychophysiological, and anthropometric measurements were delivered.

Measurements

The following questionnaires have been used in the cross-sectional study:

(a) Social Readjustment Rating Scale (SRRS) – the life events questionnaire has 43 items, most of which are taken from Holmes and Rahe’s Schedule of
Recent events. At a 2-year assessment, the occurrence of stress-induced adverse life events was evaluated.

(b) **Temperament and Character Inventory-Revised (TCI-R)** – The revised version of the Inventory of Temperament and Character consists of 240 items. The questionnaire evaluates four temperamental and three character personality traits. Features of temperament include Novelty Seeking (NS), Harm Avoidance (HA), Reward Dependence (RD), and Persistence (PS). Characteristic features include Self-directedness (SD), Cooperativeness (CO), and Self-transcendence (ST). The questionnaire is composed of a series of true/false questions about the test taker’s likes and dislikes, emotional reactions, interests, attitudes, goals, and values, which is then scored to assess the different dimensions of personality (Example of the item: “People involved with me have to learn how to do things my way”). Each of the seven aspects is uniquely heritable and associated with specific neurotransmitter genes and regional brain activity. Czech percentile standards were created by Preiss and Klose. Psychometric properties of the Slovak version were evaluated by Heretik and Hajduk. Temperaments are traits of emotional reactions and are constant through time, while characters are traits of psychological self-governance and are developed and matured during life.

(c) **Type-D Scale (DS-14)**. D-type personality is defined as an increased tendency to experience negative emotions (negative affectivity) in connection to non-express feelings (social inhibition). Type-D scale consists of the NA (Negative affectivity) and SI (Social inhibition) subscales. Each subscale contains seven items. Negative affectivity is connected with the tendency to experience negative emotions like anxiety, anger, hostility, irritability, and dysphoria (example of the item: “I often feel unhappy”). Social inhibition refers to the difficulties in emotion expression and discomfort in social situations. (example of the item: “I often feel inhibited in social interactions”). If a person rates ≥10 on both domains, the person is classified as having a Type-D-personality. A score <10 designates the nonappearance of Type-D-personality. Type D is considered to be a relatively stable personal characteristic.

Slovakian adaptation of the inventory was prepared by Durka and Ruch with excellent psychometric properties. (d) **EuroQol Group 5-Dimension Self-Report Questionnaire (EQ-5D)** – is used for the assessment of the quality of life. The questionnaire contains five broad domains: mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression. (Example of the item: USUAL ACTIVITIES (eg, work, study, housework, family or leisure activities): I have no problems doing my usual activities □; I have slight problems doing my usual activities □; I have moderate problems doing my usual activities □; I have severe problems doing my usual activities □; I am unable to do my usual activities □). The additional measure is the EQ-5D visual analog scale (VAS). EQ-5D is extensively used in various countries in different clinical areas with good validity and reliability.

(e) **Demographic questionnaire (DD)** checked the necessary information such as proband age, marital and partnership status, employment status, education, pension status, subjective overall psychological well-being, and average physical activity during a week.

Basic anthropometric parameters were measured: weight, height, waist circumference, Waist/Height ratio, blood pressure, heart rate at rest. The probands were measured on the standard conditions in the outpatient cardiology clinic from 8 a.m. to 1 p.m. in fasting state. During the first 15 mins, participants were informed about the procedure and sign up the informed consent. This interval was also used for the participant’s adaptation for the setting and calm down. Subsequently, the cardiological, psychological, psychophysiological, and anthropometric measurements were delivered. The measurements included an administration of questionnaires by clinical psychologists (co-authors of the article: AK, MK, MZ, MC); the physical examination, and anthropological measurements done by physician with specialization on internal medicine (co-author: IM). A trained research nurse was responsible for weight, height, blood pressure, and heart rate at the rest measurements from all subjects. All subjects were weighed in light clothing; jackets, coats, and shoes were removed. The weighing measures were calibrated monthly. WHR (Waist-to-height ratio) is defined as the waist perimeter divided by height, both measured in the same units. Body composition measurements were taken using...
bioimpedance body composition analyses frequency segmental bioimpedance (InBody 230 produced by Biospace Co., Ltd.). For the purpose of the research, WHR and Percentage of the visceral fat were selected.

**Statistics**

Statistics were calculated by using statistical software SPSS 24.0 for Windows. Demographic, anthropomorphic, and psychological data were analyzed using column statistics. The Shapiro–Wilk W test determined the normal distribution of the demographic and clinical variables. Partial correlations analyzed relationships between outcome factors and other factor correlations. Differences between scores or measures were computed by unpaired t-tests or Mann–Whitney tests in dichotomous subgroups of data. To evaluate the correlation coefficient, the Cohen model of the correlation force developed for psychological testing (1988) was used, with a very weak correlation (0.00–0.09), weak correlation (0.09–0.29), a moderate relationship (0.30–0.49), and a strong relationship (0.50–1.00). For reduction of the multicollinearity, the regression analyses were made. Differences were reflected as significant when p-values were less than 0.05.

**Ethical issues**

The investigation was performed in agreement with the latest version of the Helsinki Declaration and the Guideline for Good Clinical Practice. The local ethical committee of the Faculty of Social Science and Health Care, Constantine the Philosopher University in Nitra approved the study (date: 2.12.2016, no: 2016/12). Written informed consent was picked up from participants after the procedures were fully explained.

**Results**

**Sample description**

This investigation was a cross-sectional study of the Slovak general adult population. Two hundred twenty-seven probands take part in the study. The average age was 39.6±12.9 years. There were more women (n=137; 60.4%) than men (n=90; 39.6%) and higher amount of secondary educated persons (54.6%). Most of the contributors lived with a spouse (60.4%) and were in employment (80.1%) or students (13.7%). The 41.8% of contributors had an overweight or obesity (n=95). There were 14.9% contributors, who were underweight (n=34), and 43.3% contributors had a normal weight (n=98). The contributor’s characteristics are summarised in Table 1.

All primary outcome measures (Waist/Height ratio and Percentage of the visceral fat correlate in both sexes significantly positively with the age (in women: Waist/height ratio r=0.41 p<0.001; Percentage of the visceral fat r=0.38, p<0.001; in men: Waist/height ratio r=0.43 p<0.001; Percentage of the visceral fat r=0.37, p<0.001).

**Psychological factors and anthropometric measurements**

The main concern of the study was to evaluate the relationship between psychological characteristics and anthropometric features. The first step was correlation analysis, the second one regression analysis. All analyses were weighted by age.

**Correlation analysis**

To detect relationships between stress-induced adverse life events, personality traits, dissociation, quality of life and anthropometric measures, partial correlation controlling for age was calculated. The age control is needed because both anthropometric features getting worse with the age. The subjects were divided according to the sex because of different anthropometric characteristics (Table 1).

**Social Readjustment Rating Scale (SRRS)**

Both in women and in men, the life events in the last two years measured by SRRS do not correlate statistically significantly with used anthropometric measures (Table 2).

**Temperament and Character Inventory-Revised (TCI-R)**

When looking on temperament and character traits in women Novelty-seeking weakly negative correlates with Percentage of the visceral fat. It means that women with higher Novelty-seeking have less percentage of fat in the abdominal part of the body (Table 2). The other temperament trait Harm-avoidance weakly positively correlates with Waist/height ratio and Percentage of the visceral fat. It means that women with higher tendency to avoidance are more overweight and vice versa. No other personality trait measured by TCI-R (Reward-dependence, Persistence, Self-directedness, Cooperativeness, and Self-transcendence) did correlate with any of anthropometric measurements.

Novelty-seeking, Harm-avoidance, Persistence, Self-directedness, Cooperativeness, and Self-transcendence did not correlate with any of anthropometric measurements (Table 2).
Table 1 Participants’ characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Whole sample (n=227)</th>
<th>Women (n=137)</th>
<th>Men (n=90)</th>
<th>Difference between sexes: p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>39.57±12.9</td>
<td>39.47±11.98</td>
<td>39.72±14.23</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>172.54±8.92</td>
<td>167.35±5.84</td>
<td>180.52±6.66</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist/height ratio</td>
<td>0.48±0.08</td>
<td>0.46±0.08</td>
<td>0.52±0.06</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of visceral fat (%)</td>
<td>26.75±9.28</td>
<td>29.31±9.12</td>
<td>22.80±8.12</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRRS</td>
<td>122.29±89.85</td>
<td>134.49±99.21</td>
<td>103.39±69.45</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperament and Character Inventory-Revised</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novelty-seeking</td>
<td>99.58±12.21</td>
<td>99.99±12.29</td>
<td>98.94±12.13</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harm-avoidance</td>
<td>88.42±19.21</td>
<td>91.61±19.90</td>
<td>83.51±17.07</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reward-dependence</td>
<td>96.88±12.07</td>
<td>100.02±11.66</td>
<td>92.05±11.12</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistence</td>
<td>120.14±19.36</td>
<td>118.15±18.00</td>
<td>123.20±21.04</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Directedness</td>
<td>139.76±15.38</td>
<td>139.58±14.64</td>
<td>140.04±16.29</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperativeness</td>
<td>129.52±15.32</td>
<td>133.34±14.13</td>
<td>123.65±15.29</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Transcendence</td>
<td>72.45±15.23</td>
<td>74.44±15.56</td>
<td>69.39±14.25</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type-D Scale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative affectivity</td>
<td>8.92±5.78</td>
<td>9.12±5.80</td>
<td>8.59±5.76</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social inhibition</td>
<td>9.60±5.10</td>
<td>9.42±5.03</td>
<td>9.87±5.21</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EuroQol Group 5-Dimension Self-Report Questionnaire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>5.56±0.96</td>
<td>5.63±1.01</td>
<td>5.45±0.89</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual analog scale</td>
<td>84.81±12.39</td>
<td>84.15±14.00</td>
<td>85.84±9.37</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective psychological well-being</td>
<td>81.05±15.08</td>
<td>79.37±14.62</td>
<td>83.65±15.50</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity (hours per week)</td>
<td>6.81±9.61</td>
<td>5.88±8.96</td>
<td>8.27±10.44</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SRRS, the Social Readjustment Rating Scale; ns, not significant.

Table 2 Partial correlations – anthropometric and psychological factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waist/height ratio</td>
<td>Percent visceral fat</td>
<td>Waist/height ratio</td>
<td>Percent visceral fat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRRS</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novelty seeking</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.25***</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harm avoidance</td>
<td>0.21*</td>
<td>0.24***</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reward dependence</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistence</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-directedness</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperativeness</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-transcendence</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS14 Negative affectivity</td>
<td>0.35***</td>
<td>0.32****</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS14 Social inhibition</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.18*</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ5D total score</td>
<td>0.22*</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ5D visual analog scale</td>
<td>-0.37***</td>
<td>-0.18*</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective overall well-being</td>
<td>-0.20*</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *P<0.050, **P<0.010, ***P<0.001

Abbreviations: SRRS, Social Readjustment Rating Scale; EQ5D TOTAL, EuroQol Group 5-Dimension Self-Report Questionnaire-total score; EQ5D SCALE, EuroQol Group 5-Dimension Self-Report Questionnaire – visual analog scale.
Type-D Scale (DS-14)
Both components of D-type personality correlate with anthropometric measures in women. Negative affectivity (NA) moderately positively correlates with Waist/height ratio and Percentage of the visceral fat. It means that women with higher tendency to experience negative emotions, like dysphoria, anger, anxiety, or irritability have higher tendency to be overweight and vice versa. Similarly, the factor of D-type personality Social inhibition (SI) weakly positively correlates with Percentage of the visceral fat in women. It means that women with problems with higher expression of emotion, who experienced discomfort in social situations, are slightly more probably overweight.

It looks that there is no relation between negative affectivity and social inhibition and overweight in men. Component of D-type personality Negative affectivity does not correlate with any of the anthropometric measures (Table 2). Similarly, component of D-type personality Social inhibition does not correlate with any of anthropometric measures.

EuroQol Group 5-Dimension Self-Report Questionnaire (EQ-5D)
Total score of EQ5D weakly positively correlated with Waist/height ratio in women. EQ5D3L SCALE moderately negatively correlates with Waist/height ratio, and weakly negatively with Percentage of the visceral fat in women (Table 2).

In men, total score EQ5D TOTAL did not correlate with any of anthropometric measures and also EQ5D SCALE did not correlate with any of anthropometric measures (Table 2).

Physical activity
In women, the subjective declared hours of weekly physical activity do not correlate with any of the anthropometric measures (Table 2).

In men, the subjective declared hours of weekly physical activity do not correlate with any of the anthropometric measures (Table 2).

Subjective overall well-being
In women, the overall subjective well-being statistically significantly weakly negatively correlates with Waist/height ratio (Table 2).

In men, overall subjective well-being does not correlate with any of the anthropometric measures (Table 2).

Regression analysis

Regression analysis – waist/height ratio
To detect the most significant variables connected with Waist/height ratio, a multiple regression analysis (backward stepwise regression) weighted by age was calculated. As a dependent variable, the Waist/height ratio was used. Independent variables were SRRS, Novelty-seeking, Harm-avoidance, Reward-dependence, Persistence, Self-directedness, Cooperativeness, Self-transcendence, DS-14 NA, DS-14 SI, EQ5D TOTAL, EQ5D SCALE, declared physical activity, and subjective overall psychical well-being. In women, during ten steps of the backward stepwise regression, two factors sustained with statistical significance – Harm-avoidance and EQ5D SCALE – both of them explain 19.8 5% of Waist/height ratio (Table 3).

In men, during eleven steps two factors remained significant – there are Reward-dependence, Cooperativeness, explaining 8.0% of Waist/height ratio (Table 4).

Regression analysis – percentage of the visceral fat
Backward stepwise regression was performed to identify the most important factors linked to the Percentage of the visceral fat as the dependent variable. Independent variables were SRRS, Novelty-seeking, Harm-avoidance, Reward-dependence, Persistence, Self-directedness, Cooperativeness,

Table 3 Multiple regression analysis of the Waist/height ratio as the dependent variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Regressors</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Harm-avoidance</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Persistence</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EQ-5D visual analogue scale</td>
<td>−0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>−0.35</td>
<td>−3.96</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA: F=9.548 df=104; p&lt;0.001 Adjusted r squared =0.198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Reward dependence</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cooperativeness</td>
<td>−0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>−0.32</td>
<td>−2.18</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA: F=3.863 df=66; p&lt;0.01 Adjusted r squared =0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: SE, standard error.
Self-transcendence, DS-14 NA, DS-14 SI, EQ5D TOTAL, EQ5D SCALE, declared physical activity, and subjective overall psychical well-being. The most influential factors connected with the Percentage of the visceral fat are SRRS, Novelty-seeking, DS-14 NA, and EQ5D scale in women (explaining 21% of Percentage of the visceral fat).

### Discussion

The primary objective was to establish a connection between psychosocial characteristics and body anthropometric parameters of body proportions known as a risk factor for CVDs. Built on the background facts described in the part of Introduction, several hypotheses were designated.

In the ten hypotheses, there was expected that there is a relationship between body anthropological parameters and:

**Stressful life events in the last two years**

According to the correlation analysis, there are no correlations between life events in the previous two years and any of used body anthropometric measures both in women and in men. Nevertheless, in backward regression analysis, which take into account interrelation between all entering psychological factors, the score of life events has a statistically significant link to Percentage of the Percentage of visceral fat in women, but not in men. This confirms the relationship between life events and particular anthropometric measures. In our previous study, Slepecky et al., showed that life events in the last two years are connected with overweight, but the population was different (half of the probands had treated CVDs). It is difficult to say if the increased percentage of visceral fat is the consequence of the higher amount of the life events in last two years or the direction is opposite, because of the cross-sectional design of the study. Only a longitudinal study might answer this question.

### Novelty seeking

Novelty seeking in correlation analysis weakly negatively correlates with Percentage of the visceral fat in women, but not in men. It means that lower Novelty-seeking scores connect with higher percentage of abdominal fat in women. Novelty seeking is the personality trait combined with exploratory activity in reaction to new stimulus, impulsivity, spontaneous decision-making, and extravagance. Novelty seeking decreases with time. Several studies described that higher scores of Novelty seeking are connected with overeating in patients with eating disorders, and obese individuals scored higher in Novelty seeking. The results of our study suggest the opposite direction. We had no patients with the eating disorder or with BDI lower than 17.

### Harm avoidance

In correlation analysis, Harm avoidance (HA) weakly positively correlates with Waist/height ratio and Percentage of the visceral fat in women but not in men. Harm avoidance is a personality trait characterized by pessimism; fear of uncertainty, excessive worrying; shyness; and being doubtful, fearful, and easily fatigued. In several investigations, a higher Harm avoidance correlated with overweight or obesity. In the regression analysis, there is a significant link between Harm avoidance and Waist/height ratio in women.

### Reward dependence

In the correlation analysis, Reward dependence does not correlate with any of the body anthropometric measures in women or in men. The regression analysis confirms these results in women. In men, in regression analysis, there is a significant relation between Reward dependence and

| Table 4 Multiple regression analysis of the Percentage of the visceral fat as the dependent variable |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Regressors</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>SRRS</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
<td>−20.19</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Novelty seeking</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>−0.23</td>
<td>−20.60</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DS14 Negative affectivity</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>20.45</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EQ-5D visual analogue scale</td>
<td>−0.23</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>−0.28</td>
<td>−30.05</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANOVA: F=7.685 df=103; p<0.001 Adjusted r squared =0.206

| Men | 11 | Self-transcendence | −0.14 | 0.07 | −0.23 | −10.97 | 0.054 |
| | | EQ-5D visual analogue scale | −0.21 | 0.10 | −0.24 | −10.98 | 0.052 |

ANOVA: F=3.973 df=65; p<0.05 Adjusted r squared =0.084

Abbreviations: SE, standard error; SRRS, Social Readjustment Rating Scale.
Waist/height ratio and Percentage of the visceral fat. It means that men with higher Reward dependence are more prone to be overweight. The “sensitivity to reward”, was found as a risk factor in relative to control of weight.\textsuperscript{39,82,83} Reward dependence is considered as an inclination to react remarkably to the signals of reward, predominantly to verbal keys of social agreement, social care, and sentiment.\textsuperscript{84} Cloninger suggested that a single monoamine neurotransmitter system influences Reward dependence: the noradrenergic system and Reward dependence are correlated with low basal noradrenergic activity.\textsuperscript{84–86} Persons in high scores of Reward dependence are ambitious, pleasant, sentimental, warm, and sociable; nevertheless, these individuals are marketable socially dependent.\textsuperscript{87} Reward dependence is viewed as a moderately heritable feature which is relatively constant during the life. Individuals low in reward dependence and high in norepinephrine levels are then hypothesized to be typically independent, non-conformist, practical, tough-minded, cynical, unwilling to share their intimate feelings with others, socially detached, irresolute, insensitive to social cues and pressures, and content to be alone. They are also minimally motivated to please others and act for immediate gratification. The attrition in weight management programs is linked to the neural mechanisms associated with reward-seeking.\textsuperscript{88,89} A poor reward-related decision-making is associated with weight cycling.\textsuperscript{90}

**Self-directedness**

In both sexes, Self-directedness does not correlate with Waist/height ratio or Percentage of visceral fat in the correlation analysis. According to the multiple regression analysis, there is no significant relation between Self-directedness anthropometric measures in both sexes. There are several opposite results in the literature in specific groups of patients. Participants with food addiction presented less Self-directedness that participants without food addiction among patients with severe obesity awaiting bariatric surgery.\textsuperscript{91} In Sarisoy et al,\textsuperscript{79} study low self-directedness may be an important personality characteristic in a process leading to morbid obesity. Personality traits of obese individuals included significantly lower self-directedness also in another study.\textsuperscript{81} In the survey of Garcia et al,\textsuperscript{92} obese and overweight patients scored in Self-directedness even lower.

**D-type personality**

Components of D-type personality include negative affectivity (NA) and social inhibition (SI). Negative affectivity denotes the inclination to experience distress, unrelatedly on place or time.\textsuperscript{93} Social inhibition refers to the suppression of negative emotions in social communications.\textsuperscript{94} In the present study, negative affectivity moderately positively correlates with both used anthropometric measures (Waist/height ratio, Percentage of visceral fat) in women, but not in men. It means that women with higher negative affectivity are more overweight or obese. In women, also the Social inhibition subscale is weakly positively related to Percentage of visceral fat. International studies have recently associated D-type personality with CVDs including hypertension, coronary artery disease, and heart failure.\textsuperscript{95,96} The significant association between Negative affectivity and Percentage of the visceral fat was confirmed also in multiple regression analyses in women.

**Quality of life**

In women EQ5D TOTAL positively correlated with Waist/height ratio. It means that women with overweight have a lower quality of life. There is also confirm in EQ5D VAS. For Waist/height ration and Percentage of visceral fat. The link between EQ5D VAS and Waist/height ratio and Percentage of the visceral fat was confirmed also in regression analysis.

In men, there is no correlation between the quality of life and body anthropomorphic measurement. The same result was confirmed in regression analysis.

**Limitations of the study**

The current study has several shortcomings. The main limitation is the number of participants. The relatively small sample size, mostly in men, is a limitation for the used statistical approaches, mainly regression analysis.

Secondarily, participants completed the self-report questionnaires, which may be influenced by the present state of the person, willingness to collaborate, and the capability of self-reflection. The questionnaires were relatively extensive so that participants may have been drained. Also, few probands did not fill in the forms fully, so they had to be excluded from some examines.

Another limit of the investigation is the project method because a cross-sectional exploration could not explain the causatives of the correlations, which can be manifold. Identified connections may be difficult to interpret. One should be cautious while trying to indicate causal mechanisms based on the data collected at one point in time. To decrease this, limitation regression analysis was done to omitted collinear factors. Nevertheless, such statistical evaluation with a quite small quantity of patients has the limits.
However, the most outcomes are by the results of other researches in an associated area. Some results, however, are exclusive and comparison with the results of other studies was not possible.

Despite these limitations, the psychosocial factors prove to be important aspects connecting with body anthropometric factors connecting with body weight.

Implications for further research
Furthermore, the TCI and Type-D Scale were able to recognize specific personality traits that were related to a quick and continued reaction to cognitive behavioral therapy for bulimia and obesity. The future investigation should continue with the effort to find the pathways of the psychological factors impact on overweight and obesity, their prevention and therapy.

Conclusion
Outcomes propose some promising tools by which personality factors may influence overweight and obesity. Monitoring these factors could be a significant contribution to preventive obesitology. The results have a substantial impact on thinking about the detection of psychological factors which are in a relationship with a higher risk of overweight and consequently on the CVDs and could help with the plan the psychoeducational and lifestyle changes for the individuals who experience a high probability to develop overweight and obesity. Studies on the outcome benefits of cognitive behavioral therapy, reasonable dietology, and exercise training, have been convincing.

The main findings of the present study were two: first, there is a relationship between body anthropometric parameters connecting with overweight and particular personality factors, like Novelty seeking, Harm Avoidance, Reward Dependence, and Negative affectivity in the female. Secondly, the personality traits correlated significantly also with the quality of life, which correlates with anthropometric parameters. Clinical implications include the importance of interventions against overweight and obesity.
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