Differences in the perception of dental sounds: a preliminary study
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Purpose: The sound of dental treatments can evoke anxiety in some dental patients. While women have shown greater dental anxiety than men, little is known about the gender differences in the perception of dental sounds. The purpose of this preliminary study was to evaluate differences in the perception of dental sounds according to the level of dental fear and gender.

Patients and methods: Based on the level of dental fear, 69 adults (39 women, 30 men; average age, 28.1±8.1 years) were categorized into four groups. Three types of sounds were presented to participants: two sounds associated with dental treatment and a neutral sound. All participants rated their emotional reaction to each sound on a visual analog scale.

Results: Significant differences were observed for ratings of valence and disgust for a dental drilling sound among the four groups (p=0.007 and 0.004, respectively). Female participants in the dental fear group rated the dental drilling sound as more negative and disgusting than did female participants in the control group (p=0.002 for both ratings). However, no significant differences were found in ratings between males in the dental fear and control groups.

Conclusion: Perception of dental sounds appears to differ by level of dental fear and by gender. Considering these differences may contribute to reducing fear in dental patients.

Keywords: dental anxiety, adult, auditory stimuli, sound, visual analog scale

Introduction
Fear of dentistry is a widespread problem as 64% of adults report anxiety regarding dental treatment.1 Epidemiological surveys have indicated that approximately 15% of the population suffer from debilitatingly high levels of dental fear and avoidance.2–4 Previous studies have suggested that one of the most anxiety-provoking experiences in dental treatment is dental drilling.5,6 Oosterink et al reported that past negative experiences of having a tooth drilled were a risk factor for dental fear.7 Thus, the sound of dental drilling may evoke fear or anxiety in patients with dental fears.

Recent epidemiological studies reported that in the general population, levels of dental anxiety were significantly higher in women compared to men.8,9 Moreover, studies with populations of children and youth have found more dental anxiety in girls than in boys.10,11 However, little is known about gender differences in the perception of sounds during dental treatment. This preliminary study aimed to evaluate differences in the perception of dental treatment sounds by level of dental fear and by gender. Based on existing research, we hypothesized that level of fear would be associated with negative feelings toward dental sounds and that women
with high levels of dental fear would report more negative feelings toward dental sounds than other individuals. Our null hypotheses were that: 1) the level of dental fear would have no effect on the perception of dental treatment sounds; and 2) there would be no differences in the perception of dental sounds between men and women.

**Materials and methods**

**Participants**

The protocol of this study was approved by the Ethical Review Boards of the School of Life Dentistry, Nippon Dental University (NDU-T2013-30) and conformed to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. The sample size was estimated using the G*Power 3.1 statistical program (Heinrich Heine Universität Düsseldorf Experimentelle Psychologie, Düsseldorf, Germany). The effect size was estimated as 0.54, based on a pilot study. Power analysis indicated that the required total sample size for the four groups was 44 (11 per group) to detect this effect size with 80% power and a significance level of 5%.

Sixty-nine Japanese adults (39 women, 30 men; average age, 28.1±8.1 years; range, 18–50) were recruited from patients who visited the Nippon Dental University Hospital, Tokyo, Japan, and via an advertisement for this study in the surrounding community. All participants included in this study had previously visited a dental clinic, had received dental treatment, and were above 18 years of age. All participants had normal vision and hearing. None had a history of psychiatric disorder, significant physical illness, neurological disorder, or severe sensory-motor impairment. To avoid selection bias, we excluded participants who were engaged in the dental profession. After the procedures had been fully explained to the participants, written informed consent was obtained.

**Psychological assessment**

The level of dental fear was assessed using the self-report Dental Fear Survey (DFS). We used the Japanese version of the questionnaire in this study, for which the validity and reliability have been verified, and Cronbach’s alpha values have ranged from 0.94 to 0.96. The questionnaire addresses anxiety-provoking situations associated with dental treatment. It consists of 20 questions, rated on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5, summed to give total scores between 20 and 100. The population mean score was estimated at 37.4 (standard deviation [SD] =14.1) for Japanese individuals. Based on a threshold score above 52 on the DFS (Japanese mean score +1 SD), participants were categorized into four groups. Twenty-four participants (13 women, 11 men) scored between 52 and 82 on the questionnaire and were therefore placed in the female dental fear (FF) and male dental fear (MF) groups. The remaining 45 participants (26 women, 19 men), with <52 points, were placed in the female control (FC) and male control (MC) groups.

Level of dental anxiety was evaluated with the Dental Anxiety Scale (DAS). The DAS consists of four items that assess self-reported anxiety to imagined dental situations. Responses are rated on a scale ranging from 1 to 5, with total scores ranging from 4 to 20. High scores indicate high anxiety.

To assess trait and state anxiety levels, participants completed the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-Trait (STAI-T) and STAI-State (STAI-S). Level of depression was evaluated with the Self-Rating Depression Scale (SDS).

**Subjective ratings**

Two sounds associated with dental treatment and a neutral sound were presented to participants. From among various dental treatments, we chose cavity preparation as the anxiety-evoking stimulus. As cavity preparation consists of dental drilling with a high-speed dental handpiece and vacuum suction, we employed dental drilling sounds using a high-speed dental handpiece (D1) and a vacuum suction sound (D2) as the dental treatment sounds, and a 2000 Hz pure tone (N) as a neutral sound. These sounds (all 80 dB) were randomly presented to participants for 5 seconds via noise-canceling headphone. Participants were able to listen to these sounds repeatedly as needed. Then, all participants rated their affective reaction regarding each sound on a 0–100 mm visual analog scale (VAS) for the emotional dimensions of valence (0 [very negative], 50 [neutral], 100 [very positive]) and disgust (from 0 [not at all] to 100 [extremely]). For these two ratings, the participants specified their level of agreement to each statement (ie, valence/disgust) by selecting a position along a 100 mm continuous line between two end-points. One examiner (K-AN) measured subjective ratings of participants on the 0–100 mm VAS.

**Intra- and inter-examiner reliability**

To test the intra- and inter-examiner reliability of the subjective ratings, we randomly selected 30 subjective ratings from five individuals. To evaluate the intra-examiner reliability, the measurements of subjective ratings were repeated
Results

Psychological assessment

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the participants. Age, STAI-T, STAI-S, and SDS scores were not significantly different between the groups. Significant differences were observed in DFS and DAS scores between the groups, reflecting the level of dental fear.

Subjective ratings among groups

Table 2 shows the subjective ratings of dental and neutral sounds in each group. There were significant differences in valence ratings for D1 and D2 and disgust ratings for D1 among the four groups. Participants in the FF group rated D1 more negatively than did those in the FC group (p=0.002), and rated D2 more negatively than did those in the FC and MC groups (p<0.001 and p=0.001, respectively). Participants in the FF group rated D1 as more disgusting than did those in the FC and MC groups (p=0.002 and 0.006, respectively). However, no significant differences were found in ratings between the MF and FC groups or the MF and MC groups. Further, no significant differences were observed for the emotional ratings of the N stimulus among the groups.

Subjective ratings among sounds

Figure 1 presents the differences in the subjective ratings of the three sounds for each group. In the FF and MF groups, significant differences in ratings of valence and disgust were found among the three types of sounds (p=0.002 for valence in FF group, p<0.001 for valence in MF group, and p=0.001 for disgust in both groups). Participants in the FF and MF groups rated D1 as more disgusting than did D2 and N (p=0.016 for D2 and p<0.001 for N in the FF group, p=0.004 for D2 and

### Table 1 Characteristics of the participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FF group (n=13)</th>
<th>MF group (n=11)</th>
<th>FC group (n=26)</th>
<th>MC group (n=19)</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>31.4±11.1</td>
<td>25.6±6.1</td>
<td>28.1±6.9</td>
<td>27.5±8.1</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFS score</td>
<td>66.9±9.3</td>
<td>62.0±8.7</td>
<td>35.9±10.7</td>
<td>33.5±8.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS score</td>
<td>11.9±1.6</td>
<td>12.4±2.9</td>
<td>8.8±2.7</td>
<td>8.1±2.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAI-T score</td>
<td>39.2±10.4</td>
<td>39.5±6.6</td>
<td>42.5±12.6</td>
<td>39.2±7.5</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAI-S score</td>
<td>37.8±7.6</td>
<td>41.0±6.0</td>
<td>38.9±7.5</td>
<td>37.3±8.4</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS score</td>
<td>36.5±9.0</td>
<td>38.2±5.1</td>
<td>38.9±8.2</td>
<td>35.4±7.8</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data are shown as mean ± SD. *One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s post-hoc test. Superscript letters correspond to differences among the four groups within rows. Values with the same superscript letters indicate that the groups were not significantly different, and those with different superscript letters indicate the presence of a significant difference in the group means at the p<0.05 using the post-hoc comparison (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test).

Abbreviations: FF, female dental fear; MF, male dental fear; FC, female control; MC, male control; DFS, Dental Fear Survey; DAS, Dental Anxiety Scale; STAI-T, State Trait Anxiety Inventory-Trait; STAI-S, STAI-State; SDS, Self-Rating Depression Scale.
Discussion

We presented the sounds of dental cavity preparation to participants and examined affective ratings of these sounds by level of dental fear and gender. Our results confirmed that female participants with dental fear rated the sound of dental drilling more negatively and as being more disgusting than did those women without dental fear. However, no significant differences were found in the ratings of the male participants with dental fear and those men without dental fear.

A recent study reported that people with high levels of trait anxiety have an increased likelihood of engaging in oral behaviors.19 Further, gender differences in the presence of oral behaviors have been identified and found to be more common in women. Trait anxiety was also found to be positively correlated with somatosensory amplification, which is related to bodily hypervigilance.19 However, in our study, the results of psychological assessments of participants in the four groups were similar except for those related to the level of dental fear. The mean values of STAI-T and STAI-S in a Japanese population were 38.8 ±9.7 and 36.6±9.0, respectively, and the mean values of SDS in a Japanese population were 35.7±14.8 in females and 35.1±8.0 in males, respectively.20,21 Thus, the levels of trait and state anxiety and depression in the four groups were within normal limits.

Table 2 Subjective ratings of dental and neutral sounds

|                     | FF group (n=13) | MF group (n=11) | FC group (n=26) | MC group (n=19) | p-value*
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------
| Valence             |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| D1                  | 6.3 (9.5)^a     | 3.0             | 11.7 (7.7)^ab   | 10.0            | 24.1 (19.6)^b   | 19.5            | 20.1 (18.6)^ab  | 15.0            | 0.007           | 0.002           | 0.30            |
| D2                  | 12.2 (12.3)^a   | 9.0             | 24.3 (11.5)^ab  | 29.0            | 33.9 (17.8)^b   | 33.0            | 34.5 (19.8)^b   | 36.0            | 0.94            |
| N                   | 27.0 (17.8)     | 23.0            | 36.3 (11.8)     | 32.0            | 33.9 (19.1)     | 28.0            | 38.1 (18.9)     | 47.0            |
| Disgust             |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| D1                  | 89.8 (18.4)^a   | 95.0            | 84.0 (21.7)^ab  | 92.0            | 64.7 (31.9)^b   | 74.0            | 67.7 (28.0)^b   | 76.0            | 0.004           |
| D2                  | 68.9 (31.2)     | 75.0            | 58.6 (28.2)     | 68.0            | 54.0 (32.8)     | 64.5            | 56.0 (28.7)     | 64.0            | 0.46            |
| N                   | 50.2 (32.2)     | 61.0            | 45.7 (22.6)     | 51.0            | 42.1 (33.9)     | 37.0            | 45.5 (31.1)     | 45.0            |

Notes: *Kruskal-Wallis test. Superscript letters correspond to differences among the four groups within rows. Values with the same superscript letters indicate no significant differences in the group means, and those with different superscript letters indicate significant differences in the group means at p<0.0083 using the post-hoc comparison (Mann-Whitney U-test).

Abbreviations: FF, female dental fear; MF, male dental fear; FC, female control; MC, male control; D1, dental drilling sound; D2, vacuum suction sound; N, pure tone; SD, standard deviation; Med., Median.

Figure 1 Differences in the subjective ratings of the three sounds; *p<0.0167, **p<0.001.

Abbreviations: FF, female dental fear; MF, male dental fear; FC, female control; MC, male control; D1, dental drilling sound; D2, vacuum suction sound; N, pure tone; VAS, visual analog scale.
Köchel et al evaluated auditory symptom provocation in 25 female patients with dental phobia and 25 women without phobia, using the sound of a dental drill and pleasant and neutral sounds.22 They reported that patients rated the phobia-relevant sounds as more negative, more arousing, and more fear-eliciting than did the controls. Additionally, valence, arousal, and fear ratings did not differ between the female dental patients with phobia and those without phobia for neutral and pleasant sounds. From our results, we found that female participants with dental fear had greater disgust regarding the sound of dental drilling, and greater negative valence for the sound of vacuum suction than did control participants. However, affective ratings did not differ significantly among the groups for the neutral sound. These results are consistent with those of Köchel.

In our study, male participants with dental fear reacted to dental sounds similar to the control participants. To the best of our knowledge, few studies have evaluated gender differences in affective ratings of auditory stimulation related to dental procedures. Schienle et al conducted a functional magnetic resonance imaging experiment using pictures depicting dental treatment as visual symptom provocation and provided the first evidence of sex-specific brain activation and structure in patients with dental phobia.23 A future study using neuroimaging is needed to clarify gender differences in affective ratings of dental sounds as auditory symptom provocation.

We also compared subjective ratings of sounds in each group. These findings indicated that all participants with dental fear had more negative and disgust-related feelings regarding the sound of dental drilling compared to the sound of vacuum suction or the neutral sound. These findings are consistent with past studies.2,7,17 However, ratings of disgust did not differ significantly among sounds in control groups. Similarly, using standardized affective sounds in a functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) study in healthy individuals, Plichta et al reported that unpleasant stimuli evoked more pronounced auditory cortex activation as compared to neutral stimuli.24 Although Plichta et al did not use dental sounds as unpleasant stimuli in their fNIRS study, enhanced sensory processing of emotional stimuli may objectively indicate levels of dental fear.

Despite our findings, this study does have some limitations. First, because convenience sampling was used, we did not select participants randomly, making it difficult to generalize our findings to the general adult population of Japan. Second, data were based on the subjective report of the participants. Thus, it is difficult to objectively confirm whether the sound of dental drilling evoked strong aversion in participants. Future studies could include methods, such as neuroimaging to confirm the presence and level of emotional modulation during auditory stimulation. In addition, a larger cohort of participants with dental fear, together with a cohort of control participants is required to validate these results.

**Conclusion**
This study suggests that affective ratings of dental treatment sounds differ by level of dental fear and gender. In particular, women with dental fear may have a greater susceptibility to the sounds of dental drilling and vacuum suction. To reduce their dental fear, an effective sound masking technique such as using headphones/earphones or the application of dental instruments without sounds such as a spoon excavator could be recommended. Consideration of susceptibility to dental sounds may contribute to lowering dental fear in patients.
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