Candidate tumor suppressor gene IRF6 is involved in human breast cancer pathogenesis via modulating PI3K-regulatory subunit PIK3R2 expression
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Background/Aims: The tumor-suppressive functions of interferon regulatory factor 6 (IRF6) in some tumors have been preliminarily established, but its pathogenesis and underlying molecular mechanisms in breast cancer, the most common malignancy in women, remains poorly understood.

Methods: Pairs of typical breast cancer cell lines (high- and low-aggressive) in addition to 27 breast cancer tissue samples and 31 non-cancerous breast tissues were used to investigate the expression level of IRF6 and Lentivirus-mediated gain-of-function studies, short hairpin RNA-mediated loss-of-function studies in vivo and in vitro were used to validate the role of IRF6 in breast cancer. Next, we performed RNA-Seq analysis to identify the molecular mechanisms of IRF6 involved in breast cancer progression.

Results: Our findings showed that IRF6 was downregulated in highly invasive breast cancer cell lines but upregulated in poorly aggressive ones. Functional assays revealed that elevated IRF6 expression could suppress cell proliferation and tumorigenicity, and enhanced cellular chemotherapeutic sensitivity. To identify the molecular mechanisms involved, we performed a genome-wide and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis in breast cancer cells using RNA sequencing of gene expression profiles following the overexpression of IRF6. Genome-wide and KEGG analyses showed that IRF6 might mediate the PI3K-regulatory subunit PIK3R2, which in turn modulated the PI3K/AKT pathway to control breast cancer pathogenesis.

Conclusion: We provide the first evidence of the involvement of IRF6 in breast cancer pathogenesis, which was found to modulate the PI3K/AKT pathway via mediating PIK3R2; indicating that IRF6 can be targeted as a potential therapeutic treatment of breast cancer.
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Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy affecting women, with an increasing worldwide incidence, and fatal to ~600,000 women annually.1,2 It is a highly heterogeneous disease, and based on gene expression studies, breast cancer can be divided into several clinically relevant molecular subtypes: luminal A (ER+ and/or PR+, HER2-) and B (ER+ and/or PR+, HER2+), HER2+ (ER+ and/or PR+, HER2+), and basal-like (ER- and/or PR-, HER2-).3,4 Basal-like breast cancer has been defined as triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) where the epithelial-to-mesenchymal...
transition (EMT) can be increased compared to non-TNBC tumors, with a frequent exhibition of P53 mutations (80%) and a loss of retinoblastoma (RB1) and BRCA1. Patients with basal-like breast carcinomas are at an increased risk for metastasis and relapse, which is incurable and the leading cause of female mortality. Numerous studies have shown that the luminal subtypes have better differentiated tumors and the best prognosis compared to other subtypes.

IRF6 is a developmental transcription factor and a member of the IRF family of transcription factors. There are 9 members of the IRF transcription factor family (IRF1-9), but unlike the other members, IRF6 is not involved in interferon (IFN) gene expression. The human IRF6 gene maps to chromosome 1q32.2 and encodes transcriptional factor proteins. IRF6 has been found to regulate embryonic craniofacial development and epidermal maturation, which are related to the lamination, scalding and keratinization of the epidermis during embryonic development. Further, it can initiate a switch between keratinocyte proliferation and differentiation, thereby regulating the balance between the differentiation and proliferation of epidermal stem cells. Gene mutations in IRF6 can lead to popliteal pterygium syndrome and van der Woude syndrome. The evolutionarily conserved phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)-signal transducer, an activator of protein kinase B (AKT) and mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling pathway mediate the cellular responses to cytokines and growth factors. Earlier studies have shown that the PI3K/AKT pathway is crucial for intracellular signaling that are involved in growth control and homeostasis maintenance in various cells and tissues. Dysregulation in the PI3K/AKT signaling is significantly associated with tumorigenesis and altered responses to a variety of breast cancer therapies.

It has been reported that p85β, which is encoded by the gene PIK3R2, is the major isoform of the regulatory subunit of PI3K and the PI3K/AKT pathway can be activated by p85β. Despite these findings, evidence regarding the association between IRF6, p85β and PI3K/AKT in human breast cancers remains inconclusive.

The downregulation of IRF6 has also been seen in squamous cell carcinomas, nasopharyngeal carcinomas (NPCs), colon and rectal cancer and breast cancer. Notably, elevated IRF6 protein levels can inhibit tumor malignancy and is associated with good prognosis in NPCs, but the role of IRF6 in the oncogenesis of breast cancer has not been fully elucidated.

On the basis of existing medical literature, for this present study, we hypothesized that IRF6 would show tumor-suppressive functions in breast cancer and we thereby attempted to clarify the mechanisms altering the IRF6 expression in breast cancer pathogenesis. We utilized RNA-Seq to assess the differences in the genomic expression profiles in MDA-MB-231 cells between vector control cells and those bearing overexpression of IRF6. Our results provided a comprehensive assessment of the key genetic changes in response to IRF6 overexpression at the cellular level via transcriptomics.

The findings of this study indicate that IRF6 is downregulated in highly malignant human and mouse breast cancer cell lines. Also, the modulation of the expression of IRF6 in breast cancer cell lines demonstrated the potential to alter cell proliferation ability. To confirm these observations, various in vitro and in vivo studies were conducted. RNA-Seq identified several cellular pathways modified by the overexpression of IRF6. In all, this study provides the first comprehensive evidence of the key genetic changes in response to IRF6 overexpression which has been found to regulate the PI3K/AKT pathway via downregulating PIK3R2 in the pathogenesis of human breast cancer.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and cell culture

Human and mice breast cancer cell lines were maintained with 5% CO2 at 37°C in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (GIBCO USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. MCF-10A was grown in DMEM/F12 (GIBCO USA) with 5% horse serum (GIBCO, 26050070), 100 U penicillin/streptomycin (MDbio, P003-10 g, S007-25 g), 20 ng/mL EGF (SIGMA, SRP3027), 20 ng/mL insulin (Beyotime, P3376), 100 ng/mL cholera toxin (MCE, HY-P1446), and 0.5 μg/mL hydrocortisone (MCE, HY-
N0583). All the cells were purchased from ATCC and the culture period did not exceed two months.

Cell proliferation assays and colony-formation assays
Colorimetric MTS assays (Promega; G3582) were performed to determine the growth and viability of the breast cancer cells, as previously reported. Briefly, 800 cells/well were treated in a 96-well culture plate (Corning) in triplicate. After various times post-seeding, then harvested the parallel culture plates, and added 20 μL MTS solution (Promega, G3580) to each well. Then, the solution was incubated for 3 hrs and the optical density value was determined at 490 nm.

For the colony formation experiments, 500–800 cells/well were bred in a 6-well plate (Corning). The culture medium was changed every 3 days. After 10–13 days, the resulting colonies were gently washed with PBS, fixed in paraformaldehyde for 10 mins at room temperature and stained with 1% crystal violet. Only the colonies that included more than 50 cells were considered. All experiments were independently conducted at least thrice.

Quantitative real-time PCR
Gene mRNA levels were determined by real-time PCR. Total RNA of breast cancer cells were extracted using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, 15596018, CA, USA), and were reverse-transcribed using a RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo, K1622). GAPDH was used as the normalization gene. The mRNA levels of the genes were reckoned as two power values of ΔCt (the Ct of the GAPDH minus the Ct of the target gene). The sequences of the PCR primer are listed in Table S1.

Immunoblotting analysis
Western blotting was performed according to the previously described standard method. The primary antibodies used were anti-IRF6 (abcam, ab132057), caspase-3 (CST, 9662), cleaved-caspase3 (CST, 9661), p85β (abcam, ab137815), mTOR (abcam, ab32028), p-mTOR (abcam, ab109268), AKT1 antibody (CST, 9272), p-AKT (CST, 4058), GAPDH (proteintech, 60004–1-lg), anti-rabbit, anti-mouse peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (proteintech).

Plasmid transfection assays
Plasmid construction was performed according to previously described standard method. In brief, human full-length IRF6 cDNAs were cloned and linked into pcDNA3.1. MDA-MB-231 cells were treated at 1×10⁵ cells/well in a 6-well plate, 0.5–2 μg plasmid DNA and the empty vector plasmid were transfected using X-tremeGENE HP DNA transfection reagent (Roche, 6365779001) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Lentiviral transduction studies
Lentivirus-expressing IRF6 shRNA were produced to structure stable IRF6 knockdown cell lines. Two more effective shRNA sequences (shRNA plasmid DNAs purchased from Obio Technology; the TRC numbers for the IRF6 sh1 and sh2 plasmids are Y3667 and Y3668, respectively) were selected for the following research. Lentiviruses were generated by 293T cells with the shRNA using the X-tremeGENE HP DNA transfection reagent (Roche). Forty-eight hours after transfection, the harvested infectious lentiviruses were filtered through a 0.45 μm filter (Millipore, GLQ025), transduced with lentiviruses IRF6 shRNA and control shRNA, and selected with 2 μg/mL puromycin (SIGMA, A1113803) for 5–7 days. The efficiency of the IRF6 knockdown was analyzed using real-time PCR and immunoblotting.

Lentiviral plasmids were co-transfected with Lenti-pac HIV mix (Genecopoeia, LT003) and EndoFection mix (Genecopoeia, LT003) into 293T cells to establish the IRF6 stable overexpression MDA-MB-231 cell line. A homologous vector carrying a Flag sequence was used as the control. Cells were transfected with lentiviruses IRF6 or vector. Stable cell lines were selected in 2 μg/mL puromycin for 5–7 days and the IRF6 overexpression efficiency was confirmed by RT-qPCR and immunoblotting.

Sphere culture
Sphere culture was performed as previously described. The cells were seeded on a 6-well ultra-low attachment plates (800 cells/well). Serum-free DMEM-F12 medium with epidermal growth factor (20 ng/mL) was added in addition to basic fibroblast growth factor (20 ng/mL, GIBCO, PHG0264) and 2% B27 (GIBCO, 17504044). The cells were then cultured for 7 days. The experiments were performed at least thrice.

Animal assays
Female BALB/c athymic mice, age 3–4 weeks, were purchased from Beijing Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Co., Ltd. For the tumorgenicity experiment,
BALB/c nude mice were randomly separated into three groups, 5 per group. Cells were suspended in 100 µL DMEM, in 50% Matrigel (BD Biosciences, 356230), then injected subcutaneously into the right or left axillary area of each mice. Their tumor diameter was measured twice every week after apparent tumor formation. The animal experiment was terminated after 1 month. All animal experiments were conducted based on the regulation of The Research Animal Resource Center of Sun Yat-Sen University.

RNA extraction, library construction, and sequencing
Total RNA from cultured cell lines was extracted using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen). RNA samples with an OD 260/280 nm ratio more than 1.8 were chosen to use for deep sequencing.

The purity, concentration, and integrity of total RNA were verified using Nanodrop, Qubit 3.0, and Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. The rRNA was removed, and mRNA was enriched using mRNA Capture Beads (Thermo fish, 61012). Using VAHTSTM DNA Clean Beads to select and purify the cDNA library products, the RNA sequencing analysis was performed using Illumina HiSeqXTen.

Sequencing data analysis
Clean RNA-Seq data were analyzed based on the following standard protocol. The clean reads were acquired by removing reads including adapter and reads including unrecognized-ploy-N and poor-quality reads from the raw data. We then calculated the duplication, GC-content and Q30 level of the clean reads. Clean reads with high-quality were mapped to the NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information), UCSC, Ensembl reference genome sequence using Hisat2. Only with a perfect match or just one mismatch reads were further analyzed. Known genes expression were evaluated by fragments per kilobase of transcript per million fragments mapped (FPKM) during the different samples.

Differentially expressed genes (DEG) and KEGG analysis
DESeq R package was used to analyze the DEG. The DEG cutoff values were selected as the fold change value ≥1.5 and the corrected p-value≤0.05. T Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways enrichment was implemented using the KOBAS server.

Statistical analysis
In this study, all data analysis were represented as mean ±SD. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 17) software under the student’s t-test (two-tailed) between any two groups of data. The Pearson correlation was used to evaluate the relationship between RNA-Seq and RT-qPCR value. p<0.05 was considered significant difference.

Results
IRF6 expression is elevated in breast cancer tissues and breast cell lines
In previous studies, MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 were shown to have higher invasive and self-renewal abilities, whereas MCF7 had poorly aggressive abilities and MCF10A was a normal breast epithelial cells. To investigate the role of IRF6 in breast cancer, the expression of IRF6 was assessed in different breast cells lines using RT-qPCR, agarose gel electrophoresis, and immunoblotting analyses. Decreased IRF6 mRNA and protein levels were observed in MDA-MB-231 cells compared with the levels seen in immortalized normal breast epithelial cells, MCF10A, and poorly aggressive cells MCF7 (Figure 1A–C). Also, IRF6 mRNA expression was detectable by RT-qPCR in 27 breast cancer and 31 non-cancerous breast tissues. The expression levels of IRF6 were relatively lower in the breast cancer tissues than in the non-cancerous breast tissues (Figure 1D). Therefore, we hypothesized that IRF6 could play an important role in breast cancer progression.

Regulation of IRF6 expression affects growth in breast cancer cells in vitro and in vivo
To explore the role of IRF6 in breast cancer cell proliferation, we generated transiently transfected MDA-MB-231, then IRF6 mRNA and protein expression levels were confirmed by RT-qPCR and immunoblotting, respectively (Figure 2A). We observed that the transient overexpression of IRF6 in MDA-MB-231 cells resulted in decreased cell proliferation (Figure 2B) and cell colony formation (Figure 2C).

We then transfected MCF7 with shRNA (IRF6 sh1 and sh2) and negative control shRNA (scramble) and the IRF6 expression levels were confirmed in it using RT-qPCR and immunoblotting, respectively (Figure 2D). We observed
that the IRF6 suppression resulted in increased breast cancer cell growth (Figure 2E) and cell colony formation (Figure 2F).

The tumorigenesis ability of MDA-MB-231 cells in vivo was reduced when IRF6 was overexpressed (Figure 2G). When 1×10^6 cells in 100 μL DMEM containing 50% Matrigel were injected into the left and right axillary areas of nude mice, the MDA-MB-231-IRF6 cells group demonstrated a slower growth rate as compared to the vector control cells (Figure 2H and I). From these data, we can conclude that in vitro and in vivo IRF6 overexpression has an inhibitory effect on breast cancer cell proliferation.

**IRF6 enhances dose-dependent sensitivity to cisplatin (CDDP) and suppresses the tumorigenicity**

Cisplatin is the first-line chemotherapeutic drug for breast cancer patients in clinical practice. When comparing the colony formation assays of MDA-MB-231 cell colonies with that of vector control cell colonies, we found that the transient overexpression of IRF6 could substantially reduce the number of cisplatin-treated (0.5, 1, 2 μg/mL) (Figure 3A). Moreover, IRF6-overexpressing cells showed lower cleaved caspase-3 levels upon cisplatin treatment but higher caspase-3 levels than the vector cells in a time-dependent manner; further confirming that IRF6 confers sensitivity to cisplatin (Figure 3B). However, opposing effects can be found in IRF6-knockdown cell lines (Figure S1A and B). These data highlight the important role of IRF6 in causing cisplatin resistance in MDA-MB-231 and MCF7 cell lines. Additionally, we found that the number of mammospheres generated by IRF6-overexpressing cell lines was significantly lower than that generated by the vector control cells (Figure 3C). To further explore the role of IRF6 in breast cancer growth, in vivo serial dilutions of MDA-MB-231 vector cells or IRF6 overexpression cells were subcutaneously injected into nude mice, all the mice were observed twice every week until the formation of palpable tumors. When 1×10^6 cells were injected into the nude mice, both the IRF6 overexpression cells and the vector control cells developed tumors at a similar rate (5/5). When the injected cell numbers were reduced to 5×10^5, 5 mice inoculated with...
vector cells demonstrated tumor growth while only 3 mice in the IRF6-overexpressing group exhibited tumor growth (3/5) (Figure 3D), suggesting that IRF6 expression is associated with tumorigenicity or tumor-initiating capacity in vivo.

RNA-Seq and KEGG analysis reveals IRF6 downregulates the expression of the PIK3R2 gene, a PI3K regulatory subunit that is comprehensively involved in many cancer-related signaling pathways

We assessed the expression and evaluated the significance of DEGs between vector control and IRF6-overexpressing cells. A total of 642 DEGs were identified between vector and IRF6-overexpressing cell line using non-supervised clustering analysis; as illustrated by the expression patterns in Figure 4A, and 26 were found to be significantly dysregulated genes with log2 ratios >1 and q-values<0.05 were identified (Figure 4B). The descriptions of these genes are shown in Table S2. Notably, the red outline indicates the gene PIK3R2 (p85β), which is a PI3K regulatory subunit. The PI3K pathway can regulate various biological activities, including cellular proliferation and survival. PI3K-mediated production can trigger a signaling pathway cascade that leads to the activation of AKT and several of its downstream targets. To calculate the RNA-Seq data reliability as well as further validate the DEG patterns, 5 genes were randomly selected and assessed using RT-qPCR (Figure 4C). Pearson’s correlation analysis demonstrated that the RNA-Seq data were
positively correlated with RT-qPCR data (Pearson’s $r^2=0.9774$, $P=0.0015$), suggesting the high reliability of the RNA-Seq analysis. At the same time, we also found that in the top 20 KEGG pathways of the DEGs that were significantly enriched, PIK3R2 participated in most of the cancer-related and biological activity signaling pathways, such as regulation of actin cytoskeleton, cholinergic synapse, toll-like receptor signaling pathway, pancreatic cancer, insulin signaling pathway, and so on. Especially the phosphatidylinositol signaling system, which also contains the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway. (Figure 4D). These results are similar to those of previous study, implying that PIK3R2 is a probable checkpoint for the activation of various malignancy signaling pathways. Hence, here, we mainly analyzed the potential contributions of the IRF6-mediated PIK3R2 in breast cancer.

**IRF6-mediated PIK3R2 expression modulates PI3K/AKT pathway activity in breast cancer pathogenesis**

PIK3R2 mRNA expression was detected in 31 non-cancerous and 27 breast cancer patient tissue samples by RT-qPCR. The expression level of PIK3R2 was remarkably higher in the breast cancer tissues than in the non-cancerous breast tissue samples (Figure 5A), and there was an inverse relationship between IRF6...
and PIK3R2 levels in the primary breast cancer tissues ($r = -0.4228$) (Figure 5B). At the same time, we also demonstrated that the MDA-MB-231 cell line had higher endogenous expression levels of p-AKT and CD44 proteins than the MCF7 cell line (Figure 5C), further showing that the AKT signaling pathway was activated and in MDA-MB-231 cell lines compared to the counterpart cell line. Subsequently, we measured the protein expression levels of $p85\beta$, p-AKT, and p-mTOR using Western blot analysis of cell lines with the transient overexpression and suppression of IRF6 (Figure 5D). MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with IRF6 plasmids at different concentrations showed substantially decreased expression of $p85\beta$, p-AKT, and p-mTOR in a dose-dependent manner. Meanwhile, we found that the protein expression levels of $p85\beta$, p-AKT, and p-mTOR were increased in IRF6 knockdown cells. These data collectively demonstrate an inverse relationship between IRF6 and $p85\beta$, p-AKT, and p-mTOR. Therefore, we hypothesized that IRF6 might mediate PIK3R2 expression to regulate the PI3K/AKT pathway, subsequently triggering a signaling cascade leading to the activation of downstream targets. Thus, we used increasing doses of an AKT inhibitor (LY294002) that is a cell-permeable, potent and a specific PI3K inhibitor to act on the ATP-binding site of the enzyme (Figure 5E). LY294002 was found to also inhibit non-homologous end-joining repair through the inhibition of DNA-PK catalytic subunits. MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with LY294002, and we found that the number
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**Figure 4** RNA-Seq and KEGG analysis revealed IRF6 downregulates the expression of the PIK3R2 gene, a PI3K regulatory subunit that is comprehensively involved in many cancer-related signaling pathways. (A) Non-supervised clustering of all DEGs. The heatmap represents the mRNA K-means clustering of log10-transformed expression value differences between IRF6-overexpressing and vector cells. Red represents increased expression, and blue represents reduced expression. (B) Gene expression profiling was performed on IRF6-overexpressing and vector cells lines. Here presentation of part of the distinctly upregulated and down-regulated genes in IRF6-overexpressing cell lines relative to the vector cell lines. Red, the gene has increased expression in IRF6-overexpressing cell lines compared to the vector cell lines. Blue, the gene has decreased expression. The red box indicates the gene PIK3R2 ($p85\beta$). (C) A scatter diagram including RNA-Seq and RT-qPCR data from correlation analysis including 5 genes: IRF6, PIK3R2, NR3C2, CST2, FOXO2. Pearson’s analysis, $r^2 = -0.9774$, $p = 0.0015$. (D) Pathway analysis showed that 20 pathways were dramatically enriched; the numbers for each pathway were based on Fisher’s exact test, indicating the fold enrichment. The red outline box indicates that the gene PIK3R2 ($p85\beta$) participated in the corresponding pathway, such as regulation of actin cytoskeleton, cholinergeric synapse, toll-like receptor signaling pathway, and phosphatidylinositol signaling system. The blue outline box indicates the phosphatidylinositol signaling system, which contains the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway.
of the mammospheres generated were lower than those of the control group (Figure 5F and G), implying that the suppression of endogenous AKT activity can block tumor self-renewal.

To further confirm whether the effect of PI3K/AKT on cancer progression is through the IRF6-mediated pathway, we treated MCF7 cells with suppression of IRF6 and negative control shRNA (scramble) with increasing doses of LY294002. Specifically, we found that the percentage of spheroid formations was inhibited more obviously in the IRF6-suppression group compared with negative control counterparts (Figure 5H and I). These results further demonstrated that the activation of the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway via the upregulation of p85β by the inhibition of IRF6 expression could enhance a dose-dependent sensitivity to LY294002.

**Discussion**

The transcription functions of IRFs family are activated by the phosphorylation of regulatory serine/threonine residues and acts to promote protein–protein interactions involving in the IAD and CTD. It is well known that phosphorylation mainly occurs in serine-rich region of the C-terminus, which plays an auto-inhibitory effect in a non-phosphorylated state by blocking the protein–protein interactions. These
phosphorylation events trigger the re-organization of the CTD such that it no longer serves as an autoinhibitory function.\textsuperscript{46} The precise study has demonstrated the IRF6 C-terminal serine-rich region can cause a similar autoinhibitory effect, and the IRF6 IAD is both necessary and sufficient for an interaction with maspin and that the inclusion of the C-terminal serine-rich region abrogates this interaction.\textsuperscript{33} Additionally, M.Q. Kwa et al have also shown that the phosphorylation of Ser413 and Ser424 induces IRF6 activation through RIPK4.\textsuperscript{47} There was one study which reported that nonsense mutations (eg, p. Ser424X) may abolish RIPK4-mediated IRF6 activation in Van der Woude syndrome.\textsuperscript{48} Furthermore, these nonsense mutations not only promote IRF6 degradation but also prevent the activation of IRF6 by RIPK4.\textsuperscript{47} However, the transactivator functions of IRF6 and the initiating signal for IRF6 activation still need to be further investigated.

In this study, we confirmed that IRF6 is downregulated in highly invasive breast cancer cells. Conversely, IRF6 is upregulated in poorly aggressive breast cancer cells. Functional studies revealed that elevated IRF6 expression suppressed cell proliferation and the tumorigenicity, enhanced cell chemotherapeutic sensitivity. Therefore, our findings suggest that IRF6 is a viable therapeutic target in breast cancer.

The PI3K/AKT pathway has been described as having an important effect on tumor development.\textsuperscript{49} PIK3R2 is a PI3K regulatory subunit, and PIK3R2 genes encode p85\(\beta\) regulatory subunits. PI3K consists of p110\(\alpha/p85\beta\), and p85\(\beta\) mediates p110 translocation to receptors at the cell membrane;\textsuperscript{50} augmented p110\(\alpha/p85\beta\) levels lead to maximal PI3K activation, showing that p85\(\beta\) possibly modulates p110\(\alpha\) activation in a distinct manner.\textsuperscript{50,51} p85\(\beta\) expression augments PIP3 levels and activates the PI3K effector PKB1/2 in the absence of a stimulus, triggering downstream AKT signaling cascades. Nonetheless, in the absence of a stimulus, p85\(\beta\) alone and p110\(\alpha/p85\beta\) together induce moderate PI3K activation, suggesting that p85\(\beta\) regulates malignancy progression.\textsuperscript{50}

In the present study, the suppression of IRF6 resulted in the upregulation of PIK3R2, thus enhance the PI3K/AKT signaling activation, which was always accompanied by increased levels of mTOR. However, the results from the overexpression of IRF6 showed that these changes were reversible. More importantly, using RNA-Seq analysis, we found that PIK3R2 participated in most of the cancer-related and biological activity signaling pathways, such as the phosphatidylinositol signaling pathway and mTOR signaling pathway, strongly implying that PIK3R2 is a checkpoint in the activation of various malignancy signaling pathways. Additionally, the ability of these cells to form spheres was notably decreased when IRF6-knockdown cells were treated with the PI3K/AKT-specific inhibitor LY294002, further demonstrating that the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway plays a key role in the process of tumor self-renewal. However, until now there has been no study conducted that illuminates the mechanisms of IRF6 involved in breast cancer development.

In this study, we demonstrated for the first time that IRF6 may play an important role in breast cancer pathogenesis via the mediation of PIK3R2. The novel findings are summarized in Figure 6, which unveil a possible relationship between IRF6 and PIK3R2.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure6.png}
\caption{Schematic depiction. Schematic representation of IRF6-mediated PIK3R2 expression modulation of the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K)/protein kinase B (AKT)/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway.}
\end{figure}
and PIK3R2. PIK3R2 function as an important role in the activation of PI3K/AKT pathway and once upregulated the IRF6 expression releases its inhibition of the PIK3R2 element and consequently decreases the PIK3R2 levels, and subsequently regulate the activation of the PI3K/AKT pathway as involvement in breast cancer pathogenesis, such as tumor proliferation, chemoresistance, and so on. However, whether IRF6 directly binds to PIK3R2 remains to be elucidated, and the mechanisms of how IRF6-mediates the inverse regulation of PIK3R2 requires further investigation.

Conclusion
We have shown that the re-expression of IRF6 can restrain PIK3R2 expression and regulate the PI3K/AKT pathway to involve breast cancer pathogenesis. Collectively, these experiments on IRF6 levels revealed an important role of IRF6 in the suppressing of the progression of breast cancer and could, therefore, serve as a potential therapeutic target for breast cancer treatment.
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Figure S1 Knockdown of IRF6 reduces the dose-dependent sensitivity to cisplatin in breast cancer. (A) IRF6-knockdown cells and scramble cells were seeded with different doses of cisplatin. Representative photos and the survival index of the formed colonies were presented. Student’s t-test, *p<0.05. (B) The cells were treated with 0.2 μg/mL cisplatin followed by Western blotting analysis of caspase-3 and cleaved caspase-3 expression.

Table S1 The sequences of the PCR primer are listed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GENE</th>
<th>Primers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IRF6</td>
<td>F:5’-AGAGAAGCAGCCACCGTTTGAG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R:5’-GATCATCCGAGCCTACTGGA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIK3R2</td>
<td>F:5’-ATGGCACCTTCCTAGTCCCGAGA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R:5’-CTCTGAGAAGCCATAGTGCCCAA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR3C2</td>
<td>F:5’-AAATCACACGCGGACTGTGGGCT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R:5’-ATGGGCATCCTGAAGCCTCATCC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST2</td>
<td>F:5’-TGTGCCTTCATGAACAGCGAG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R:5’-TAGGAGTGCTGACGATGATGC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOXP2</td>
<td>F:5’-TGAGTAGAACGAGACTGCTCA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R:5’-TGAGAGTGATGGTTGCTCAGTC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>F:5’-AAGGTCATCCCTGAGGCTGAAA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R:5’-TGACAAAGTGTCATTGAGG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeneID</td>
<td>GeneName</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000243709</td>
<td>LEFTY1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG0000014893</td>
<td>MED12L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG0000019056</td>
<td>RELN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000151006</td>
<td>PRSS53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000156218</td>
<td>ADAMTSL3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000213420</td>
<td>GPC2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000108786</td>
<td>HSD17B1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000105647</td>
<td>PIK3R2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000100167</td>
<td>SEPT3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000142279</td>
<td>WTIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000154165</td>
<td>GPR15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000101353</td>
<td>MROH8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000266074</td>
<td>BAHCC1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000128573</td>
<td>FOXP2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000176092</td>
<td>AIM1L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeneID</td>
<td>GeneName</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000170369</td>
<td>CST2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000185046</td>
<td>ANKS1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000117983</td>
<td>MUC5B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000255104</td>
<td>TBC1D26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000117595</td>
<td>IRF6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000138669</td>
<td>PRKG2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000233493</td>
<td>TMEM238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000151623</td>
<td>NR3C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000133019</td>
<td>CHRM3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000165949</td>
<td>IFI27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSG00000143341</td>
<td>HMCN1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>