Antioxidative nanofullerol inhibits macrophage activation and development of osteoarthritis in rats
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Background: There is emerging evidence which suggests that cellular ROS including nitric oxide (NO) are important mediators for inflammation and osteoarthritis (OA). Water-soluble polyhydroxylated fullerene C60 (fullerol) nanoparticle has been demonstrated to have an outstanding ability to scavenge ROS.

Purpose: The objective of this study is to assess the effects of fullerol on inflammation and OA by in vitro and in vivo studies.

Methods: For in vitro experiments, primary mouse peritoneal macrophages and a macrophage cell line RAW264.7 were stimulated to inflammatory phenotypes by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in the presence of fullerol. For the animal study, OA model was created by intra-articular injection of monoiodoacetate into the knee joints of rats and fullerol was intravenously injected immediately after OA induction.

Results: NO production and pro-inflammatory gene expression induced by LPS was significantly diminished by fullerol in both macrophage cell types. Meanwhile, fullerol could remarkably reduce phosphorylation of p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase, and protein level of transcription factors nuclear factor-kappaB and forkhead box transcription factor 1 within the nucleus. The animal study delineated that systematic administration of fullerol prevented OA, inhibiting inflammation of synovial membranes and the damage toward the cartilage chondrocytes in the OA joints.

Conclusion: Antioxidative fullerol may have a potential therapeutic application for OA.
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Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a debilitating disease characterized by degenerative changes in articular cartilage, bone, and other surrounding tissues. The classical definition of OA as a wear-and-tear, noninflammatory disease that has recently transitioned to an inflammatory disease based upon a spectrum between normal control and rheumatoid arthritis (RA), an inflammatory joint disease often studied and characterized in comparison with OA.1 Recent research has established that the components of both the innate and adaptive immune systems, including multiple cell types, cytokines, chemokines, and complements, play crucial roles in OA pathogenesis.2 These components act in concert in the early stage of OA. In this regard, activated macrophages are major cells and key players among these early events and therefore have become a promising target for treatment of OA.2
Fullerene (C60) and its derivatives have a unique structure, which is composed of 60 carbon atoms, that form a hollow sphere about 1 nm in diameter. A variety of their effects have been investigated, such as enzyme inhibition, photo-induced damage toward DNA molecules, cell membranes and cells, microbial and viral inactivation and anti-oxidation. Among these effects, free radical-scavenging and nitric oxide-quenching properties have shown applications in the biomedical field. Capable of being reversibly reduced by up to 6 electrons and with as many as 34 methyl radicals added to a C60 sphere, fullerenes have been characterized as “radical sponges”. The fullerene derivatives that have been investigated for antioxidative properties and cell protective abilities include fullerol (polyhydroxylated fullerene), carboxyfullerene, fullerene-containing amino acids and peptides.

Fullerol is a water-soluble fullerene derivative which has been demonstrated to function as a powerful antioxidant in different cell types and tissues. We hypothesized that it could reduce the oxidative level and the inflammatory phenotypes of stimulated macrophages, and therefore it could alleviate the OA symptoms. To test this hypothesis, we herein determined the biological activities of fullerol on mouse primary peritoneal macrophages and RAW264.7 macrophage cell line, as well as its effects on inflammation of synovial membranes and damage of cartilage chondrocytes in an experimental OA model of rat knee joint.

The inflammatory response has been extensively studied by lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-stimulated RAW 264.7 macrophage cells. It has been reported that LPS activates the multiple intracellular signaling pathways involving reactive oxygen species (ROS). Through binding to the toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) on the surface of macrophages, LPS induces ROS production, leading to stimulation of mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) and pro-inflammatory transcription factors such as nuclear factor-kappaB (NFkB) and forkhead transcription factor 1 (FoxO1). The activation of NFkB and FoxO1 subsequently results in elevated expression of the inflammatory markers including tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNFα), IL-1β, IL-6, induced nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) and nitric oxide (NO) production, that are closely associated with initiation and progression of OA.

Furthermore, p38 MAPK signaling plays an essential role in regulating inflammatory responses. Therefore, the effect of fullerol on the p38 MAPK kinase and transcription factors NFkB and FoxO1, as well as TLR4, was assessed in the RAW264 macrophage cell line.

### Materials and methods

#### Cell culture and treatment

Primary peritoneal macrophages were isolated from C57/BL6 mouse peritoneum, and cultured in DMEM (Gibco BRL, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS (Hyclone Laboratories, Logan, UT, USA) and 100 IU/mL penicillin G and 100 µg/mL streptomycin, in a humidified atmosphere of 5% carbon dioxide at 37°C. Mouse RAW264.7 macrophage cell line (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) was maintained in the same culture medium. For cell treatment, fullerol (also named as polyhydroxyfullerene or fullerol with the formulation of C60 (OH)₄; MER Corporation, Tucson, AZ, USA) was added to the culture 0.5 hr prior to addition of 100 ng/mL LPS (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA) and then cells were co-treated with LPS for 4 hrs for primary macrophages or 24 hrs for RAW264.7 macrophages.

#### Gene expression analysis

Total RNA was extracted and purified using an RNeasy kit (QIAGEN Sciences, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer. The RNase-free DNase is used to digest DNA during RNA purification. The purified RNA was stored at −80°C. The yield of RNA was determined by measuring absorbance at 260 nm. Synthesis of cDNA from total RNA and the quantitative PCR was carried out by using the iscript™ cDNA synthesis kit and the iQ™ SYBR Green Supermix kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA), respectively. The target genes included iNOS, TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-6. Gene of 18s ribosomal RNA was used as an internal control. The threshold cycle (CT) value was calculated from amplification plots. Data were analyzed using the 2⁻ΔΔCT method with 18s rRNA serving as the reference. Gene expression was normalized to the control group in each experiment and represented as fold of change. The primer sequences are listed as follows: 5’-GTGACCAGTCTACTCTGGT-3’ (forward), 5’-CATTGGAGTGAAAGCAGTTCTG-3’ (reverse) for 18s rRNA, 5’-GAGGGATGCGCTCTCCGACTG-3’ (forward), 5’-GAATGCAGACCCCTGATTCCC-3’ (reverse) for iNOS, 5’-CATCTTTCTCAATAATTCGAGTGACAA-3’ (forward), 5’-TGGAGTAGACAAGGTACACCTG-3’ (reverse) for TNFα, 5’-CAACCAACAAGGTATATCCCACTG-3’ (forward), 5’-GAATCCACTCTCCGACTG-3’ (reverse) for IL-1β and 5’-GAGTCTTCAGAGAGATAAG-3’ (forward), 5’-TGGTCTTTGGTCTTAGCC-3’ (reverse) for IL-6.
Western blot analysis

The cytoplasmic and nuclear proteins were prepared from cells growing on a 6-well plate (approximately 500,000/well) using the Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Then, the protein concentration was determined using the Bradford protein assay kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The samples containing 100 µg proteins were run on 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels at a constant current of 80 V and electro-transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Thermo Scientific) at a constant voltage of 10 V overnight. Membranes were blocked with 5% fatty acid free BSA fraction V (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, USA) in TBST solution (50 mM Tris, pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween 20) for 1 hr at room temperature (RT), washed, and incubated overnight at 4°C in 5% BSA in TBST solution containing each of the specific primary antibodies against TLR4, p-p38, NFkB P65 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA), FoxO1 (Cell Signaling), and GAPDH (Sigma-Aldrich Co.). The membranes were then incubated with the corresponding horse-radish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody (Cell Signaling) for 1 hr at RT followed by a chemiluminescent substrate for HRP antibody and enhancer solution (Thermo Scientific) mixed in a 1:1 ratio. The membranes were incubated in the dark with autoradiography films (Genese Scientific, San Diego, CA, USA), and the films were developed to visualize the bands. Then, the grayscale images (300–400 dpi) on films were scanned using a flat-bed scanner. Densitometry was carried out in Photoshop. Using the magic wand tool from the tool palette, the area of each band was selected, and the mean histogram was recorded and then charted. All Western blots were conducted in triplicates.

Detection of TNFα level

The amount of TNFα was measured by a commercial ELISA kit (Sigma-Aldrich Co.), following the instructions provided by the manufacturer. Briefly, cells were seeded on a 96-well plate and various treatments were performed. The culture medium was collected and mixed with different assay reagents step by step. The resultant solutions were read at 450 nm on a microplate reader.

Detection of nitrite production

The Griess reagent system is based on the chemical reaction which uses sulfanilamide and N-1-naphthylethylenediamine dihydrochloride (NED) under acidic (phosphoric acid) conditions. This system detects nitrite production. In the present experiments, nitric oxide detection was performed by a commercial kit (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA), according to the manufacturer’s manual. Briefly, all experimental samples and standards containing the dilution series for the nitrite standard reference curve were mixed with 50 µL of the sulfanilamide solution and incubated 10 mins at RT without light in a 96-well plate. Then, 50 µL of the NED Solution were added to each well and incubated 10 mins at RT without light. The OD was determined at 530 nm on a microplate reader within 30 mins.

Cytotoxicity assay

Macrophages in the control group and the treatment groups were growing on a 96-well plate and the cell number was counted by using the WST-1 kit (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). After removal of the supernatant, 150 µL new medium was added into each cell. Then, 15 µL Cell Proliferation Reagent WST-1 was added and incubated with cells for 3 hrs in dark with a humidified atmosphere of 5% carbon dioxide at 37°C. Each sample was determined at 450 nm on a microplate reader.

Fluorescent staining of microfilament

Cells growing on glass coverslips were washed with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at RT for 10 mins. Fluorescence of microfilament was probed with FITC-phalloidin (Sigma-Aldrich Co.) and observed under a Zeiss LSM 880 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany).

Animal experiment

Female/male 12–14 weeks old Sprague-Dawley rats were used for the animal experiment (n=4/group) with approval of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at University of Virginia, following the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, 8th edition by the U.S. Public Health Service (2010). A well-established OA model of the rat knee joint was induced by intra-articular injection of monooiodoacetate (MIA) (2 mg/50 µL PBS). In the treatment group, aqueous nano-fullerol (2 mg/kg weight) was administered intravenously immediately after MIA injection. Animals were euthanized at the designated time-points after treatment. The synovial membranes/joint condyles form both control and injured knees were harvested for histology.
Statistical analysis

Data were expressed as mean ± SD. Statistical evaluation was performed by the ANOVA using the SPSS 15.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A P-value of 0.05 or less was considered as significant difference. A student t-test (two-tailed and equal variances) was performed to compare the difference between the two groups, and P<0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Mouse primary peritoneal macrophages

The WST-1 test showed that fullerol had no effect on cell number at the tested doses (0, 0.1, 0.3, 1, 3 µM) in Figure 1A, indicating no toxicity against the primary macrophages when applied at any dose below 3 µM. The dose of 1 µM was selected for other biological assays, including NO production, mRNA level and production of inflammatory cytokines determined by the Griess reagent, RT-PCR and ELISA, respectively. The LPS group (denoted as LPS) has a higher yield of NO than either the no treatment group (denoted as NT) or the group of LPS combined with fullerol (denoted as LPS + FUL) (Figure 1B). The same pattern has been revealed in the expression of inflammatory-related genes iNOS (Figure 1C), TNF-α (Figure 1E), IL-1β and IL-6 (Figure 1F), as well as in the production of TNF-α (Figure 1D).

Mouse RAW264.7 macrophage cell line

RAW 264.7 cell line was derived from peritoneal macrophages and established from an ascites of a tumor induced in a male mouse by intraperitoneal injection of Abselon Leukemia Virus (A-MuLV). It has been widely used for inflammation research because it is very easy to grow and maintain in the lab. As expected, the present study showed that the biological activity of fullerol in the macrophage cell line was similar to that in the primary macrophage (Figure 2). First, fullerol at doses of up to 3 µM was not cytotoxic towards RAW267.4 cells determined by the WST-1 assay (Figure 2A). Second, it was found by the Griess reagent test that fullerol could counteract against LPS-stimulated production of NO (Figure 2B). Third, the quantitative RT-PCR analysis revealed that fullerol could significantly suppress LPS-induced increase in the cellular mRNA levels of iNOS, TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 (Figure 2C, E, and F). Last, it was shown by ELISA test that fullerol could inhibit TNF-α secretion from cells treated by LPS, with an inhibitory activity comparable to the anti-inflammation drug dexamethasone (Figure 2D). LPS was previously demonstrated to induce RAW264.7 cells to form the multinuclear cells through cell fusion and microfilament re-organization. Furthermore, these multinuclear cells were found to have increased phagocytosis activity and could be viewed by a high-affinity filamentous actin
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**Figure 1** Fullerol could reduce TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 and iNOS expression and nitrite production in primary mouse peritoneal macrophages.

**Notes:** Fullerol was not toxic at doses of up to 3 µM (A, WST-1 assay). Fullerol suppressed LPS-induced nitrite production (B, Griess reagent test) and iNOS gene expression (C, quantitative RT-PCR analysis). It also reversed LPS-stimulated production of TNF-α (D, ELISA assay; E, quantitative RT-PCR analysis) and expression of inflammatory cytokines IL-1β and IL-6 (F, quantitative RT-PCR analysis). LPS: LPS (100 ng/mL) treatment; LPS + FUL: treatment of LPS (100 ng/mL) combined with fullerol (1 µM).

**Abbreviations:** FUL, fullerol; NT, no treatment; iNOS, induced nitric oxide synthase; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.
(F-actin) probe phalloidin conjugated to FITC with green fluorescence. Therefore, we use this technique to provide additional evidence that fullerol could inhibit macrophage activation by LPS. Under a fluorescent microscope, it was obvious that fullerol reversed the cell fusion and microfilament re-organization (white arrows) in LPS-treated cells (Figure 3A). By counting 50 nuclei in a random area, the number of multinuclear cells (cells with 3 or more nuclei) was 1, 6 and 3 in NT group, LPS group and LPS + FUL group, respectively (Figure 3B). To explore the mechanisms of fullerol action, the protein level of both cytoplasmic TLR4 and nuclear NFkB was checked by western blot. It was found that addition of fullerol could reduce the production of both molecules stimulated by LPS (Figure 4A and B). Furthermore, two important
proteins p38 MAPK and FoxO1 were checked by western blot. The results showed that the group of LPS combined with fullerol had a significantly reduced cytoplasmic level of phosphorylated p38 MAPK (p-p38 MAPK) and nuclear amount of FoxO1, compared to the LPS alone group (Figure 4C and D). Since it has been well established that these proteins are key inflammation-promoting molecules, the current findings indicate that fullerol probably displayed its inhibition on inflammation through the signal pathways involving them.

Animal experiment

Since the synovium is a main inflammatory site after OA induction, we checked if fullerol can cause histological changes in inflammatory synovium, such as neovascularization stained by H&E staining. It was reported that fullerol did not show toxicity after intravenous administration of up to 10 mg/kg body weight to the Sprague-Dawley rats. In the present pilot animal experiments, fullerol at the dose of 2 mg/kg weight was administered intravenously immediately after OA induction by MIA injection. As shown in Figure 5, histological analysis demonstrated that treatment of fullerol for 5 days effectively reduced resident fibroblast-like cells and incidence of blood vessels seen in the up/left image of the MIA/NT group, indicating a relief of the synovial inflammation. It can be seen in Figure 6 that the structures of the joint and articular cartilage were destroyed more seriously at day 21 than at day 11 after MIA injection, and treatment of fullerol for 21 days could counteract loss of matrix, chondrocytes (black arrows) and cartilage thickness (black lines and the bar chart) in the femoral articular cartilage of the injured joint. Particularly, the thicknesses of articular layers randomly selected in PBS group, MIA group and MIA + FUL group were 144 μm, 62 μm and 86 μm, respectively.

Discussion

In this study, we evaluated the biological activities of fullerol in LPS-stimulated mouse peritoneal macrophages and RAW264.7 macrophage cells by measuring production of free radical product nitrite, expression of pro-inflammatory genes and activation of specific intracellular signal molecules. Nitrite test, real-time PCR, ELISA and western blot assays have revealed that LPS can induce macrophage inflammatory phenotypes, and fullerol can remarkably counteract the effects of LPS (Figures 1–4). In addition, we found that fullerol could reduce the synovial inflammation and cartilage degeneration of MIA-induced OA (Figures 5 and 6).

Since the first synthesis of fullerol a quarter century ago, there have been numerous reports about its application in biology and medicine due to its simple preparation and excellent water-soluble property. In relevance to the RAW264.7 macrophage cell line, a previous study demonstrated that fullerol at doses of 10–50 μM could dose-dependently inhibit ROS production, cell injury and a decrease in mitochondrial membrane potential induced by either sodium nitroprusside or hydrogen peroxide. Consistently, the current study unveiled that even at lower doses (1 μM or less) fullerol was able to prevent NO production after LPS treatment. Accordingly, fullerol suppressed LPS-stimulated expression of inflammation-related genes iNOS, TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-6 determined by RT-PCR and ELISA assays.
Figure 2, as well as the formation of multi-nucleated macrophages by fluorescent staining of cellular micro-filaments using FITC-labeled phalloidin (Figure 3). More importantly, in primary peritoneal macrophages, the anti-inflammatory activity was further confirmed by the experimental data about inhibition of fullerol on the production of both NO and pro-inflammatory cytokines in the presence of LPS (Figure 1).

It has been established that intracellular ROS is pivotal mediators of signal transduction after LPS stimulation in...
RAW264.7 cells. Binding of LPS to its specific receptor TLR4 triggers a series of biochemical cascades within cells, including: 1) overloaded cellular ROS, 2) activation of various MAPKs, 3) phosphorylation of different transcription factors, and 4) regulation of target gene expression (Figure 7). In the present study, for the first time we found that fullerol effectively inhibited phosphorylation of p38 MAPK, nuclear localization of transcription factors NFKB and FoxO1 by western blot and TLR4 mRNA level by RT-PCR (Figures 3 and 4). Based on these data, we proposed a putative mechanism underlying the anti-inflammatory effects of fullerol (Figure 7).

Interestingly, a decrease in iNOS mRNA level implied that fullerene derivatives probably suppressed NO production not only through regulation of the enzymatic activity, but also the biosynthesis of the iNOS which is a key enzyme to NO production. In addition, the result related to regulation of FoxO1 and TLR4 is supported by a recent report, which suggested that TLR4 was a target gene of FoxO1 in RAW264.7 cells stimulated by LPS. Furthermore, the proposed antioxidant mechanism in the anti-inflammatory effect of fullerol is consistent with the previous findings on other oxidants such as N-acetyl cysteine, resveratrol and malvidin. However, the complexity of the involved signaling networks made it hard to distinguish between cause and consequence and to identify upstream and downstream events. In this regard, the detailed mechanism is currently far from being fully clarified and apparently a lot of research is required in the future.

The MIA model is a fast-progressing biochemically induced model of OA. MIA induces chondrocyte death in rodent and non-rodent species and causes loss of articular cartilage with subchondral bone lesions that mimic human OA. The MIA model is simple to induce, with good reproducibility, therefore is frequently used for the “proof of concept” study of OA. In particular, macrophage activation and increased levels of NO and pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1β and IL-6 within the knee joints have been described using this model. The encouraging outcomes from our in vitro experiments suggest that fullerol is an effective inflammation inhibitor (Figures 1 and 2) and enabled us to conduct a pilot study to assess the efficacy of fullerol on protecting the joints from OA inflammation and injuries with the MIA model. Indeed, the present histological analysis indicated that a single injection of fullerol, systemically administered to rats, effectively decreased the synovial inflammation and the cartilage degeneration in the rat OA knee joint (Figures 5 and 6). The result is in accordance with the reports of Yudoh et al, describing the inhibitory effects of a water-soluble fullerene (polyvinylpyrrolidone-entrapped C60) on the surgically induced OA of the rabbit knee joints and adjuvant-induced RA of the rat knee joints. It is worth noting that the fullerol (polyhydroxylated C60) used in our experiments is different from the pristine C60, and preparation of fullerol is much easier than the pristine C60 for biomedical application. In addition, the animal model in our study and theirs are different, therefore, a comparative study between the two drugs will be more meaningful using the same animal model.

Various cellular ROS including NO are particularly damaging to the articular cartilage matrix components through direct attack, reduced synthesis, or activation of matrix-metalloproteinases. Furthermore, ROS may contribute to cartilage degradation, joint inflammation, chondrocyte apoptosis, and alteration of redox-sensitive...
in intracellular pathways. Injurious compression of articular cartilage was found sufficient to produce chondrocyte apoptosis, which was partially mediated by ROS production. ROS cause damage to mitochondrial membranes, which leads to release of caspases and other pro-apoptotic molecules. The finding that fullerol is able to decrease ROS produced by active macrophages (Figures 1 and 2), along with our published data on the protection of fullerol against cell death and matrix degeneration of chondrocytes induced by hydrogen peroxide and IL-1, suggests that fullerol is more likely to improve the OA symptom through its activities on both macrophages and chondrocytes in the joint tissues. This is further supported by the experiments of Yudoh et al, revealing that the tested water-soluble fullerene could prevent stress-induced downregulation of matrix production, and apoptosis and premature senescence in human chondrocytes.

There are several limitations in the current study. First, although the rodent peritoneal macrophage has been frequently applied for the research on joint inflammatory diseases, it is not the exact cell type which resides in the joint tissues. Considering the rodent joint macrophages are difficult to obtain, inclusion of the macrophages from the synovial tissues of human OA patients may be a good choice. Secondly, as mentioned above, the MIA model is good for the “proof of concept” study. However, its limitation is obvious since the OA progression of this model is much faster than the surgically injured model which is closer to mimic the OA disease in human. Therefore, evaluation of fullerol is under investigation in our lab, using the OA model induced by the anterior cruciate ligament transection. In addition, in the present experiments fullerol was administered simultaneously with the induction of OA, and only the preventive activity of fullerol was studied. In this sense, the current findings are very preliminary and additional experiments should be undertaken in which fullerol treatment will be performed at different time-points of OA according to the similar research previously published. Furthermore, local injection is considered as the way preferentially chosen for pharmaceutical treatment of the knee OA in the clinic. Intraarticular administration should be a better choice than the intravenous injection to assess the efficacy of fullerol in the therapy of OA. Lastly, more detailed investigation and analysis of the pathway and animal experiments are further required to strengthen the conclusion obtained from the current study.

Conclusion

The current study reveals for the first time that fullerol can inhibit the inflammatory response by reducing ROS production and down-regulating expression of inflammatory genes possibly via ROS/p38 MAPK/NFkB and ROS/p38 MAPK/FoxO1 pathways. Fullerol can inhibit progression of experimental OA in rats and thus might be potentially used as a new anti-inflammatory drug for treatment of OA.
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