Pharmacological treatment of negative symptoms in schizophrenia: update and proposal of a clinical algorithm

Abstract: The clinical presentation of schizophrenia encompasses symptoms divided into three dimensions: positive, negative, and cognitive. Negative symptoms (NS), in particular, have a major impact on the quality of life of the affected subject, and, differing from positive symptoms, are often associated with a limited response to pharmacotherapy. To date, studies specifically investigating NS in schizophrenia are scant; therefore, proper selection of therapy for NS remains a major unmet medical need. Given the heterogeneity of the clinical presentation of schizophrenia, the treatment of NS, as well as therapy for other associated symptoms, should be largely individualized according to a patient’s specific characteristics. In this paper, we review current knowledge on NS and construct a clinical algorithm for the treatment of schizophrenic conditions with pronounced NS. Overall, data from the literature suggest that second-generation antipsychotics, such as cariprazine and amisulpride, should be preferred over first-generation antipsychotics (FGAs), as they are associated with better functional outcomes and lower cognitive impairment. The combination of antipsychotics and antidepressants may also improve NS while addressing some affective disorders associated with schizophrenia; however, no clear information is available on the effects of this combination on primary NS or on the mechanism of action of the combination. In the proposed clinical algorithm, we suggest that cariprazine should be used as first-line treatment for patients with predominant NS, and that amisulpride should be considered as an alternative in cases of cariprazine failure. Further treatment lines may include the use of olanzapine and quetiapine, and add-on therapy with antidepressants.
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Introduction
The clinical presentation of schizophrenia encompasses symptoms divided into three dimensions: positive, negative, and cognitive. Schizophrenia positive symptoms include psychotic manifestations, such as hallucinations and delusions. Schizophrenia negative symptoms (NS) include loss of thoughts and/or altered behaviors, lack of motivation, blunted affect, severe social withdrawal, and paucity of speech and communication. Lastly, cognitive symptoms are disorders of memory and attention, and executive functioning disorders. In particular, NS have a major impact on the quality of life of the affected subject and, differing from positive symptoms, are often associated with a limited response to pharmacotherapy. To date, studies specifically investigating NS in schizophrenia are scant. Therefore, proper selection of therapy of NS remains
a major unmet medical need, and the importance of studying NS has been advocated by both the European Medicines Agency (EMA) and the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), which have endorsed the specific investigation of NS in the development of antipsychotic drugs. Importantly, given the heterogeneity of the clinical presentation of schizophrenia, the treatment of NS, as well as therapy for other associated symptoms, should be largely individualized according to a patient’s specific characteristics. The objectives of the present paper are to review current knowledge on NS and to construct a clinical algorithm for the treatment of schizophrenic conditions with pronounced NS.

NS in schizophrenia: history of definitions and nosographical relevance

NS have been classically described as the clinical core of schizophrenia, with even greater relevance than positive features of the disorder. Jackson, in 1885, defined NS as “reductions in aspects of higher cognitive and emotional functioning”, and considered positive symptoms as “release phenomena, episodic distortions or exaggerations in normal function”. Kraepelin, in 1919, conceptualized NS as a “weakening of those emotional activities that permanently form the mainsprings of volition, emotional dullness, failure of mental activities, loss of mastery over volition of ability for independent action”, while Bleuler, in 1950, considered “affective blunting” and “emotional withdrawal” as “fundamental” to defining schizophrenia, as opposed to hallucinations, delusions, and catatonia, which only characterize the acute exacerbation. Notwithstanding the importance of NS for the construct of schizophrenia, since the 1950s the introduction of effective pharmacological treatments for positive symptoms has slowly shifted the diagnostic process toward a positive symptom-based paradigm. This change in focus has been evident since the third edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM III), in which the diagnosis of schizophrenia was mainly based on Schneider’s first-rank symptoms. Yet, some research continued to devote interest to NS, albeit in the shade of positive manifestations. During the 1980s, a number of classifications of schizophrenia based on NS were proposed which showed, however, several limitations, including the lack of diagnostic stability over time and the poor prognostic value. Over the past few decades, the effort to define relevant schizophrenic phenotypes based on NS comprised the dissection of deficit schizophrenia, which refers to patients in whom NS are trait-like, persistent, and idiopathic. As several editions of the two main classification systems of mental diseases, the DSM and the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD), allowed the diagnosis of schizophrenia to be made even in the absence of NS, the accumulating evidence concerning the impact of NS on real-life functioning, as well as the urge to develop new treatments targeting these symptoms, renewed interest in NS conceptualization and raised once again the need to offer discrete subtypes of schizophrenia to be used in research.

Within the broad debate about which dimensions should be included in NS, the US National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), in the framework of the Measurement and Treatment Research to Improve Cognition in schizophrenia (MATRICS) initiative, held a Consensus Development Conference that proposed five domains of NS, organized in a two-factor structure: the expressivity factor, including blunted affect and alogia, and a factor combining avolition, anhedonia, and asociality. The Brief Negative Symptom Scale (BNSS), which grew out of the conference’s recommendations, was shown to reflect the same two domains as part of the construct of NS (Table 1). These dimensions play a particularly important role in differentiating patients with schizophrenia from a clinical viewpoint, but there is no clear evidence that they can define discrete subtypes of illness, rather than a continuous distribution of NS that contributes to the substantial heterogeneity of schizophrenia in terms of multiple dimensions. However, it has been hypothesized that treatment-responsive schizophrenia may be categorically distinct from treatment-resistant schizophrenia, the latter being, in turn, correlated with high levels of NS. Several studies indicate that NS relate to worse symptomatic outcomes, continuous illness course, poorer global functioning, and lower likelihood of achieving recovery and clinical remission in the long term, ultimately suggesting that the unmet therapeutic challenge of NS may be crucial for the long-term outcome of schizophrenia. Importantly, along with recent data, NS represent the best symptomatic predictor of functioning, both cross-sectionally and longitudinally, in subjects with their first episode of psychosis. In keeping with other early psychosis and mixed chronicity studies, a 2019 study...
be more difficult than expected: while concurrent substance abuse or mental retardation can be easily confirmed by gathering additional information, ascertaining the degree to which positive symptoms contribute to NS and ruling out concurrent depression may be challenging. Moreover, secondary NS may persist beyond the treatment of the suspected underlying cause, making it difficult to determine whether they would be better reconsidered as primary, primary with a superimposed secondary component, or treatment resistant.

Starting from the distinction between primary and secondary NS, some researchers hypothesized that schizophrenic patients who have persistent, primary NS may comprise a discrete subtype of schizophrenia, with distinctive clinical characteristics, long-term course, and biological correlates, termed deficit schizophrenia.29,43,44 The defining criteria of deficit schizophrenia include the presence of at least two from a list of five NS, persisting for at least 12 months and not depending on other factors. As long as they represent direct manifestations of schizophrenia, NS that characterize deficit schizophrenia are thought to be trait like, long lasting, and idiopathic.20,21 Despite several studies highlighting the distinctiveness of deficit schizophrenia,44,45 its dissection from other forms of schizophrenia may be difficult in everyday clinical practice and, as long as it depends on the distinction between primary and secondary NS, it may result in poor reliability, as mentioned in the above paragraph.

**Persistent NS**
The definition of persistent NS was scientifically developed to easily and reliably identify a quite homogeneous population of schizophrenic patients to be enrolled in clinical trials.3,46 Persistent NS are defined by the long-term persistence of moderate to severe NS, independent from acute exacerbation of positive symptoms, and deserving clinical attention and therapeutic intervention. Similarly to the deficit schizophrenia subgroup, patients with persistent NS demonstrate low levels of positive, affective, and extrapyramidal symptoms.46 Consistently, premorbid deficits and cognitive impairments are generally greater in deficit schizophrenia and persistent NS subgroups than in the transient subgroup.20,40,47 On the other hand, the group of subjects with persistent NS represents a broader population than those with deficit schizophrenia or primary NS, given that it includes both primary NS and secondary NS, which responded poorly or did not respond to interventions targeting the causes of secondary NS.46 An established definition

**Table 1 Subscales in the Brief Negative Symptom Scale**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subscale</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>AAA factor</th>
<th>EXP factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anhedonia</td>
<td>Intensity of pleasure</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency of pleasurable activities</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intensity of expected pleasure</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of normal distress</td>
<td>Lack of normal distress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asociality</td>
<td>Asociality: behavior</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asociality: internal experience</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avolition</td>
<td>Avolition: behavior</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Avolition: internal experience</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blunted affect</td>
<td>Facial expression</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vocal expression</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expressive gestures</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alogia</td>
<td>Quantity of speech</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spontaneous elaborization</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data from Kirkpatrick et al.28,46 Lack of normal distress usually does not load strongly onto either factor.

Abbreviations: AAA, anhedonia/asociality/avolition; EXP, expressivity.

conducted among 638 children with early-onset psychosis in their first episode showed a significant association between NS and multiple antipsychotic treatment failure over a period of 5 years, after controlling for a number of confounders.38 Based on the relative fluctuation of NS in the first episode of psychosis and their increasing stability after the first year,39 targeting the negative dimension in this period may be even more important to prevent disability and maximize functional outcome.35,40

**Current classification of NS**

**Primary and secondary NS**

Most literature has classically distinguished between primary and secondary NS. While primary NS are considered to be intrinsic to schizophrenia, secondary NS are thought to depend on other factors, such as suspicious withdrawal or other positive psychotic symptoms, extrapyramidal side effects, depression, substance abuse, and mental retardation.41 The distinction between primary and secondary causation of NS has the potential to inform clinicians and to enable them to find the most adequate treatment for NS.42 However, from a clinical viewpoint, it may prove to
of persistent negative type of schizophrenia is characterized by 1) a clinically stable and well-determined negative syndrome for 3 months prior to the psychiatric evaluation; 2) a score $\geq 4$ on the negative syndrome subscale of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS); and 3) a score $\leq 4$ on the following PANSS items: excitement, hyperactivity, hostility, suspiciousness, uncooperativeness, and poor impulse control. Although it has been proposed that the definition of persistent NS, despite not distinguishing between primary and secondary NS, may be profitably used in clinical trials where sources of secondary NS are controlled for, only a few trials have used this definition as an inclusion criterion. 49

**Prominent and predominant NS**

Despite the need for consensus on how to consistently define NS in clinical trials having been stressed, 3, 46 inclusion criteria are substantially different among studies. Stauffer et al 50 classified patients with prominent NS as those having a PANSS negative score of $\geq 4$ on at least three items, or $\geq 5$ on at least two items; while patients with predominant NS were defined as a subset of subjects with prominent NS but with a lesser contribution of positive symptoms to overall disease severity, namely a PANSS positive score of $b_{19}$, a Barnes Akathisia score of $b_{2}$, a Simpson–Angus score of $b_{4}$, and a Calgary Depressive Scale score of $b_{9}$. In another trial, Alphs et al 51 classified patients with predominant primary NS as those who did not reach a score $\geq 4$ on two or more items of the PANSS positive subscale.

The differences in the definition of prominent and predominant NS reflect, at least in part, the lack of agreement between regulatory agencies on the definition of NS for its use in pharmacological trials. The FDA requires that NS are “prominent”, which means that patients only have a “high level” of NS, while the EMA includes the additional criterion of “no-to-little positive symptoms”, which comprises the definition of “predominant” NS. 52 In 2018, Krause et al published the first systematic review and meta-analysis regarding the effects of antipsychotics in people with schizophrenia and NS. 53 Given the lack of a shared criterion defining NS, the main findings were presented separated on the basis of the distinction between prominent and predominant NS. Importantly, the authors pointed out that in several studies with prominent NS the effect sizes for positive symptoms were either identical to or higher than those for NS, rendering the possibility of confounding by secondary effects more likely. Consistently, possible confounding due to parallel effects on depression, positive symptoms, or extrapyramidal symptoms occurred more frequently in the studies conducted in patients with prominent NS, suggesting that the inclusion criterion of predominant NS probably provides a better safeguard against secondary effects than the criterion of prominent NS. Notably, besides reducing the risk of confounding secondary effects, the definition of predominant NS also provides further advantages: 1) it is clinically reliable, given that it does not imply a causative distinction between primary and secondary NS, but only requires that NS are pivotal and long-lasting; 2) although often overlapping with primary NS, it may actually include subtle secondary NS that persisted beyond the treatment of potential secondary causes and that may contribute to the clinical picture (eg, NS induced by antipsychotic and concurrent depression), providing a framework closer to real-world clinical practice; and 3) it broadly overlaps with the definition of persistent NS, recommended by the NIMH-MATRICS Consensus Statement on Negative Symptoms. 3

For the reasons mentioned in the previous paragraph, we mainly focus on studies reporting on the pharmacological treatment of predominant NS. However, significant data from studies using a less stringent definition of NS were also included. Moreover, given the greater robustness of their findings, data provided by meta-analyses were preferred, when available. A summary of the main findings of each pharmacological treatment is presented in Table 2.

**Pharmacological treatment of NS**

**Antipsychotics**

Antipsychotic agents are currently the mainstay treatment for schizophrenia. 54 According to several reviews, FGAs may affect functional outcomes by inducing neuromotor disorders, as well as by worsening NS, social withdrawal, and cognitive dysfunction. 55 Even though the greater efficacy on NS, claimed to be a core characteristic of second-generation antipsychotics (SGAs), has not always been confirmed, there is a common notion that SGAs should be preferred over FGAs when NS are prominent. 56 A meta-analysis published in 2009 56 analyzed a total of 150 double-blind studies comparing FGAs and SGAs with 21,533 participants overall. Four SGAs (amisulpride, clozapine, olanzapine, and risperidone) were more efficacious than FGAs for the treatment of positive symptoms and NS. The other five SGAs (aripiprazole, quetiapine, sertindol, ziprasidone, and zotepine) were only as efficacious as FGAs, even in terms of NS. It is worth noting that the most efficacious drugs were better in terms of both positive symptoms and NS, casting doubts on the primary efficacy on...
NS, and suggesting that the improvement in NS may actually depend on the improvement in positive symptoms. However, the effect sizes for NS were often larger than those for positive symptoms, which may corroborate a direct effect of SGAs on NS. In addition, the efficacy of each SGA on depression was not consistent with that on NS (e.g., risperidone did not seem to be better than FGAs, whereas aripiprazole and quetiapine were), providing a safeguard against the overlap between the effect on NS and that on depression. On the other hand, the majority of studies lasted only up to 12 weeks and were heterogeneous with regard to the phase of the disorder (acute versus chronic phase). Still, the use of SGAs appears to be associated with lower cognitive impairment than that of FGAs and with better functional outcomes. For these reasons, SGAs should be generally preferred in the treatment of schizophrenia with prominent NS. Likewise, when a treatment with FGAs is already in place, switching to a SGA may be a reasonable choice.

Amisulpride has been extensively investigated for the treatment of NS. Findings from a meta-analysis of placebo-controlled trials testing the efficacy of antipsychotics and involving a total of 590 participants treated with amisulpride, showed that amisulpride is significantly more efficacious than placebo in patients with predominant NS. The efficacy of amisulpride may be explained in the light of previous studies suggesting that hypodopaminergic tone in the prefrontal cortex may underlie the pathophysiology of NS and considering that amisulpride at low doses (the target dose of studies included in the meta-analysis ranged from 50 to 300 mg/day) binds to presynaptic receptors in the frontal cortex, thus enhancing dopamine transmission in this area. On the other hand, the results of the meta-analysis also showed a significant effect of amisulpride on depressive symptoms. From this perspective, the effect of amisulpride could be also interpreted in the light of the known antidepressant effect of the drug, which may act on NS through an effect on secondary (depressive) NS rather than primary NS.

Cariprazine is an antipsychotic drug approved by both the EMA and the FDA for the treatment of schizophrenia. It is a partial agonist of the dopamine receptors D2/D3, with a 10-fold affinity for the D3 receptor, and also presents partial agonism for the serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine) 5HT1A receptor, as well as antagonism at 5HT2B and 5HT2A receptors and for the histamine H1 receptor. These properties may suggest the efficacy of cariprazine in the treatment of NS.

### Table 2 Summary of the main characteristics of medications on schizophrenia NS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug class</th>
<th>Main characteristics in NS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antipsychotics FGA</td>
<td>• May affect patients’ functional outcomes by inducing neuromotor disorders, worsening NS, social withdrawal, and cognitive dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA</td>
<td>• More efficacious (amisulpride, clozapine, olanzapine, and risperidone) than or as efficacious (aripiprazole, quetiapine, sertrindol, ziprasidone, and zotepine) as FGA in the treatment of PS and NS, with often larger effect size on NS than PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Associated with lower cognitive impairment and better functional outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Effective on predominant NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Combined antidepressant effect that may act on secondary NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• More effective than risperidone in reducing specifically NS (no effect on depression and PS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Superior results compared with risperidone in real-world outcomes (personal and social performance and quality-adjusted life-years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• More effective than haloperidol in reducing NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• More effective than risperidone in reducing NS, but not PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressants (add-on)</td>
<td>• May improve NS and some affective disorders associated with schizophrenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The mechanism of action is still to be clarified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Effective in reducing NS in patients with predominant NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lower effect size on depressive symptoms, possible independent action on NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Effective in reducing NS in patients with main NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: FGA, first-generation antipsychotic; SGA, second-generation antipsychotic; NS, negative symptoms; PS, positive symptoms.
Cariprazine has demonstrated promising effectiveness in the treatment of NS, as it was shown to lead to greater reduction in NS than risperidone in a large trial enrolling 460 subjects with predominant NS.\(^7\) In addition, cariprazine induced greater improvements in personal and social performance than risperidone. The findings were especially sound giving that there was virtually no effect on either depressive symptoms or positive symptoms, and that no differential change in extrapyramidal side effects was shown between the two antipsychotics. Importantly, a subsequent analysis on the same data demonstrated that cariprazine provides additional quality-adjusted life-years over 54 weeks compared with risperidone.\(^64\) These findings are important to demonstrate that the observed clinical changes on NS could be translated into meaningful improvements in the real world, also suggesting that cariprazine could be a good option for the treatment of predominant NS schizophrenia in the long term.

Two further small studies, reviewed in a single paper,\(^53\) may be mentioned since they showed a significant efficacy on NS that seems to be independent from secondary effects. The first study (n=35) showed greater efficacy of olanzapine compared with haloperidol in reducing NS, while no differences were found with regard to depressive or positive symptoms.\(^65\) The second (n=44) showed thatquetiapine is more effective than risperidone on NS, but not on positive symptoms.\(^66\)

Antidepressants

Several clinical trials have suggested that the combined administration of an antipsychotic drug and an “add-on” antidepressant can improve NS and some affective disorders associated with schizophrenia, without exacerbating extrapyramidal side effects, in patients in whom such problems have proved persistent and who have been unresponsive to antipsychotic monotherapy.\(^67\)–\(^72\)

In 2016, Helfer and colleagues published a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) evaluating the efficacy of antidepressants added to antipsychotics.\(^73\) Despite the study inclusion criteria being broad, the authors conducted several subgroup analyses, including a meta-analysis of 10 trials providing data on the effects of antidepressants (fluoxetine, paroxetine, sertraline, citalopram, reboxetine, fluvoxamine, and mirtazapine) in schizophrenic patients with predominant NS. This subsample comprised 406 subjects overall and the meta-analysis showed that antidepressants were efficacious in reducing NS, with one of the larger effect sizes (−0.53) among all subgroup analyses.\(^73\) Notably, eight of the 10 studies reviewed (256 patients overall) also analyzed the effect of antidepressants on depressive symptoms, showing a lower effect size (−0.20), thus suggesting that the reduction in NS may be at least partly independent from the antidepressant effect.\(^73\)

A previous meta-analysis of five RCTs testing the combination of an antidepressant (amitriptyline, mianserin hydrochloride, trazodone hydrochloride, paroxetine, fluoxetine, or fluvoxamine) added to antipsychotic medication against an antipsychotic alone for the treatment of NS in schizophrenia and/or schizophrenia-like psychoses included subjects suffering mainly from NS (meaning that studies enrolling patients with mainly positive symptoms and with a combination of negative and positive or negative and depressive symptoms were excluded).\(^74\) The results showed significant differences in favor of the combination therapy in core NS, with a medium to high effect size (−0.71).\(^74\)

Further meta-analyses evaluated the use of antidepressants among subjects with schizophrenia.\(^75,76\) Despite generally reporting an effective response to antidepressive agents in treating NS with small to medium effect sizes, such studies often did not use a stringent definition of NS (eg, prominent/predominant NS) or control for potential antidepressant effects. Thus, effects on secondary NS, again, could not be ruled out.\(^75,76\)

The mechanism by which adding an antidepressant to antipsychotic medication may cause a reduction in NS is still unclear. If a non-specific antidepressant effect cannot be excluded, it is also possible that the antidepressants’ effectiveness may be influenced by the pharmacological action of the concurrent antipsychotic medication.

A clinical algorithm for the treatment of NS

According to the evidence discussed in the preceding sections and our clinical experience, we propose here a clinical algorithm for the management of NS in schizophrenic subjects (Figure 1).

The standard pharmacological treatment of schizophrenia is currently based on antipsychotics, on which most of the available literature is also focused.\(^54\) Among antipsychotics, amisulpride has been extensively evaluated and has demonstrated significant efficacy for predominant NS compared with placebo.\(^57\) However,
given the pharmacological profile of amisulpride, its effect on NS is difficult to disentangle from that on depression. A single, though large, trial demonstrated a superiority of cariprazine compared with risperidone. Importantly, the study included patients with predominant NS, and effects on depressive symptoms, positive symptoms, and extrapyramidal side effects were controlled, providing a larger guarantee against the confounding effect on secondary NS. Thus, we can suggest the use of cariprazine as a first-line pharmacological treatment in schizophrenia with predominant NS. According to the product label, the daily dose of cariprazine should range between 3 and 6 mg. In the study conducted on patients with predominant NS, the target dose was 4.5 mg per day. Importantly, given the long half-life of cariprazine (1–3 weeks), many weeks are needed to achieve a steady state after each dosage increment and prior to evaluating treatment effectiveness. When cariprazine fails owing to poor efficacy or side effects (the most common adverse events being insomnia, akathisia, headache, and anxiety), amisulpride should be considered. Clinical trials evaluating the efficacy of amisulpride on NS used daily doses ranging from 50 to 300 mg (with most of the included patients being administered 100 mg per day). Therefore, while amisulpride can be increased up to 1,200 mg per day in individual cases, a low dosage (50–300 mg) is recommended for schizophrenic patients characterized by predominant NS. While there is general agreement that SGAs should be preferred over FGAs, few data are available for specific SGAs aside from the two studies mentioned above. When both cariprazine and amisulpride have been shown to fail, olanzapine and quetiapine could be used. This suggestion is based on the results of the meta-analysis by Krause et al., in which olanzapine and quetiapine proved to be the most effective drugs on schizophrenia NS after amisulpride and cariprazine. In detail, olanzapine was similar to amisulpride and superior to alloperidol, whereas quetiapine was similar to olanzapine and superior to risperidone.

Furthermore, although the available information is currently too limited to allow any firm conclusions to be drawn, the add-on therapy with antidepressants to antipsychotics could be reasonably considered as a further choice for NS in schizophrenia.

---

**Figure 1** Clinical management of negative symptoms in schizophrenia.

**Abbreviations:** PNS, predominant negative symptoms; FGA, first-generation antipsychotic; SGA, second-generation antipsychotic.
Challenges and strategies in the treatment of schizophrenia with persistent NS

Despite our growing understanding of schizophrenia, there is a lack of treatments targeting its whole multifaceted phenotype, involving thought, perception, cognition, and emotion. All currently approved medications for schizophrenia act via dopamine modulation, mostly targeting positive symptoms, agitation, and aggression, while the functionally important domains of NS and cognitive dysfunction remain relatively unaddressed.

This prompted the search for extra-dopaminergic neurotransmitter modulation to address not only positive symptoms, but also other domains of schizophrenia. However, most of the agents developed in the past few decades still have a limited efficacy on NS, suggesting an urgent need for specific treatments for this neglected domain. On one hand, NS have been found to improve in psychosocial and pharmacological interventions for psychosis that were not aimed primarily at NS, on the other hand, RCTs that focused primarily on NS using intensive treatments in preselected samples have not produced strong effects. This may indicate that the effects emerging from other groups of patients cannot be readily generalized to low-functioning subjects with moderate to severe NS and that more effective interventions await to be developed for this population. This also illustrates the heterogeneity in treatment response. Another challenging aspect of NS treatment is that changes in NS may be difficult to detect in a clinical setting. This is due not only to measurement issues, but also to the fact that once brain functioning has been ameliorated, it may take time for this to translate into behavioral change.

A further issue is that approved antipsychotics have been tested in patients diagnosed on the basis of the unitary concept of schizophrenia, as opposed to the hypothesis of distinct subtypes of the disease, each one requiring specific drug profiles beyond D2 receptor antagonism. A related question, which could impact on the future pharmacotherapy of schizophrenia, is whether we should aim to use only one treatment addressing all dimensions of schizophrenia, or rely on drug combinations to target multiple phenotypes and symptom domains. The latter approach is already applied in other complex and chronic diseases (ie, in oncology, cardiology and psychiatry), but is should be approached carefully considering the increased risks of side effects associated with polypharmacy.

Conclusion

So far, only a few treatments for schizophrenia NS have been tested, showing only modest results. The lack of a shared definition of NS among pharmaceutical regulatory agencies, as well as among researchers, contributes to the inconsistency in inclusion criteria of the existing clinical trials, reducing the chance of drawing solid conclusions. Cariprazine was shown to be effective in preclinical models of anhedonia, and more effective than risperidone in schizophrenic subjects with predominant NS, opening a new, promising avenue of intervention for NS. Still, further trials are urgently needed to update current knowledge on the treatment of NS, along with stronger efforts in drug discovery and development.
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