HMGB3 silence inhibits breast cancer cell proliferation and tumor growth by interacting with hypoxia-inducible factor 1α
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Background: Breast cancer is the most common malignant tumor that affects women with higher incidence. High-mobility group box 3 (HMGB3) plays critical functions in DNA repair, recombination, transcription and replication. This study aimed to investigate the effects of HMGB3 silence on mammosphere formation and tumor growth of breast cancer.

Methods: LV5-HMGB3 and LV3-siHMGB3 vectors were transfected into MCF10A, MDA-MB-231, HCC1937, ZR-75-1 and MCF7 cells. Cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) assay was used to evaluate cell proliferation. Xenograft tumor mice model was established by injection of MDA-MB-231. qRT-PCR and western blot were used to examine the expression of Nanog, Sox2 and OCT-4. Mammosphere forming assay was employed to evaluate mammosphere formation both in vivo and in vitro. Dual luciferase assay was utilized to verify the interaction between HMGB3 and hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF1α). CD44+/CD24− was assessed with flow cytometry.

Results: HMGB3 expression was higher significantly (p<0.05) in cancer cells compared to normal cells. HMGB3 overexpression significantly (p<0.05) enhanced and HMGB3 silence reduced cell proliferative mice compared to MCF10A and MDA-MB-231, respectively. HMGB3 overexpression enhanced and HMGB3 silence inhibited mammosphere formation. HMGB3 overexpression upregulated and HMGB3 silence downregulated Nanog, SOX2 and OCT-4 genes/proteins in MCF10A and MDA-MB-231 cells, respectively. HMGB3 silence reduced CD44+/CD24− levels in cancer cells. Silence of HMGB3 strengthened reductive effects of PTX on tumor sizes, iPSC biomarkers and mammosphere amounts in xenograft tumor mouse models. HMGB3 silence inhibited mammosphere formation, cell proliferation and CD44+/CD24− by interacting with HIF1α.

Conclusion: HMGB3 silence could inhibit the cell proliferation in vitro and suppress tumor growth in vivo levels. The antitumor effects of HMGB3 silence were mediated by interacting with HIF1α.
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Introduction
Breast cancer is considered to be the most common malignant tumor that affects women with higher incidence worldwide.1,2 The metastasis of breast cancer cells is the most important reason for the death of the breast cancer patients.3 In the recent years, the breast cancer incidence has been increased for 4% in the Chinese females, with more and more urban citizens and more younger population suffering from breast cancer.4 The triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is mainly characterized by the negative expression of HER-2 (ERBB-2), progesterone receptor (PR) and
The TNBC patients always exhibit poor prognosis because of shortage of efficient therapeutic approaches. Meanwhile, breast cancer metastasis and recurrences occur in approximately 35% TNBC patients after several years post cancer diagnosis, and the 5-year survival rate of TNBC is only 62% compared to that of the non-TNBC patients (75%). Therefore, there is also an urgent need for discovering novel therapeutic approaches and specific drugs against breast cancer, especially for TNBC.

The mammosphere formation has been considered as a marker for the proliferation of the tumor cells. The mammosphere cell culture, producing spherical colonies, has been extensively applied to investigate the properties of the progenitor cells by evaluating biomarkers, such as CD44, CD49 and CD24. Ma et al found that CD44(+)/CD24(−) cell population is enriched in TNBC and plays a critical role in aggressive behaviors of breast cancer. Rappa and Lorico discovered that the mammospheres derived from the breast cancer cell MDA-MB-11 exhibited a significantly higher oncogenic capability compared to that of normal cells. Grimshaw et al also reported that 20 of 27 breast cancer patients formed the mammospheres and demonstrated obviously expanded and differential capability. Meanwhile, the majority of mammospheres are phenotypically CD44(+)/CD24(−) cell population.

Therefore, in this study, we evaluated the proliferation of breast cancer by assessing the formation of the mammospheres. Moreover, a previous study reported that breast cancer patients usually exhibited higher expressions of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF1α) and higher levels of HIF1α indicated a poor prognosis for breast cancer patients. Therefore, we also determined the HIF1α expression in this study.

High-mobility group box 3 (HMGB3), also called HMG2a, is a member of HMGB family, which plays critical functions in DNA repair, recombination, transcription and replication. Previous studies also reported that HMGB3 participated in the progression of a few cancers, including gastric, bladder, lung and esophageal cancers. Meanwhile, the HMGB3 is highly expressed in tumor tissues and is involved in the recurrence, metastasis and drug resistance of many cancers. The HMGB3 also enhances the proliferation of cancers and cancer stem cells. Also, a previous study reported that the miRNA-205 could inhibit the breast cancer cell proliferation by regulating HMGB3, but have not studied the effects of HMGB3 directly on breast cancer. Moreover, the effects of HMGB3 on the proliferation, chemoresistance, invasion and migration have not been fully clarified and the mechanism of the above effects is still elusive in breast cancer. Therefore, in this study, we investigated the effects of HMGB3 silence on mammosphere formation and tumor reoccurrence in both breast cancer cells and xenograft tumor models.

Materials and methods

Cells and culture

The normal breast cell line, MCF10A, and the human breast cancer cell lines, MDA-MB-231, HCC1937, ZR-75-1 and MCF7, were purchased from Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Science (Shanghai, China). MCF10A cells were cultured in mammary epithelial cell growth (MEGM) (Gibco BRL. Co. Ltd., Grand Island, NY, USA), MDA-MB-231 cells were cultured in L-15 medium (Gibco BRL. Co. Ltd.), HCC1937 and ZR-75-1 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Gibco BRL. Co. Ltd.), MCF7 cells were cultured in minimum eagle medium (MEM) (Gibco BRL. Co. Ltd.), supplemented with FBS (Gibco BRL. Co. Ltd.) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin (Beyotime Biotech. Shanghai, China) in a humidified incubator at 37 °C with 5% CO2.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Jinshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China.

Plasmid construction, lentivirus packaging and transfection into cells

pG-LV5 and pG-LV3 lentiviral vector (LV5 and LV3 vector, purchased from GenePhama Co. Ltd, Shanghai, China) were utilized to establish the LV5-HMGB3 and LV3-siHMGB3, respectively. Oligonucleotides for HMGB3 mimic gene and HMGB3 siRNA gene were synthesized by GenePhama Co. Ltd. The sense and antisense sequences for HMGB3 siRNA were listed as follows: sense 5'-GATCC-(GN18)-(TTCA AGAGA)-(N18C)-TTTTTG-3', antisense 3'-G(CN18)-(AAG TTCTCT)-N18G)-AAAAACTTAA-5'. The targeting sequence for HMGB3 siRNA is as follows: TGAGAA GGATGTGCTGACTATA. The sense and antisense sequences for HMGB3 mimic were listed as follows: sense 5'-AGGGTTCCAAGCTTAAGCGGCCGCGCCACCATGG CTAAGGT GACCCCAAGAAAC-3', antisense 5'- GAT CCATCCCTAGGTAGCATT TA TTATCCTCCTCT CTTCTCCTCCTCT-3'. The DNA double-chains were artificially synthesized by GenePhama Co. Ltd. Eventually, the synthesized double-chain sequences for both HMGB3 mimic
and HMGB3 siRNA were subcloned into pG-LV5 and pG-LV3 plasmid to establish the LV5-HMGB3 and LV3-siHMGB3 plasmid, respectively.

LV5-HMGB3/LV3-siHMGB3 plasmid and the packing plasmids (PG-p1-VSVG, PG-P2-REV, PG-P3-RRE) were transfected with the RNAi-mate (GenePhama Co., Ltd), based on manufacturer' instruction. The processes of viral packaging were performed as previously described.29

The breast cancer cell lines were infected with LV5-HMGB3 and LV3-siHMGB3 plasmid, at the final multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 15,2 supplemented with 5 μg/mL polybrene (GenePhama Co. Ltd) treatment. Finally, viral vector infective efficiency was evaluated with microscopic analysis for green fluorescent protein (GFP) fluorescence.

**Cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) assay**

Cell proliferative rates of MCF10A, MDA-MB-231, HCC1937, ZR-75-1 and MCF7 were evaluated with the CCK-8 (Cat. No. 96992, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) according to the instruction of the manufacturer. The above breast cancer cells were seeded onto 96-well plates (Corning-Costar, Corning, NY, USA) at a density of 1×10^4 cells/mL for 4 hrs at 37°C. At last, cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) regent at a final density of 5,000 cells/mL was introduced for 3 h and 72 hrs and incubated with CCK-8 regent at a final concentration of 10 μL/mL for 4 hrs at 37°C. At last, cell proliferative rates of breast cancer cells were evaluated using a microplate reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Hudson, NH, USA) at 450 nm wavelength.

**qRT-PCR**

RNAs of the breast cancer cells were extracted using Trizol reagents, which were purchased from Beyotime Biotech (Shanghai, China). The purity and concentration of the isolated RNA were evaluated by examining OD260/OD280 values with micro-spectrophotometer method. The integrity of isolated RNA was determined using capillary electrophoresis method. The commercial reverse transcription reagents purchasing from Western Biotech (Chongqing, China) were applied to synthesize the complementary DNAs (cDNAs) based on the instruction of the manufacturer. The qRT-PCR assay was performed using the commercial Sybgreen I kit (Western Biotech) based on the instruction of manufacturer and conducted using a real-time PCR system (Mode: FTC-3000P, Funglyn Biotech, Toronto, Canada). Amplification conditions for the qRT-PCR were performed as follows: 4 mins at 94°C, 20 s at 94°C, 30 s at 60°C, 30 s at 72°C, for 35 cycles. The qRT-PCR primers for Nanog, Sox2, OCT-4 and β-actin are listed in Table 1. The present qRT-PCR is the one-step RT-PCR. Finally, the amplified products of the above genes were loaded onto the 1.5% agarose gels (Beyotime Biotech) and the images were analyzed using the GDS8000 UVP image scanning system (Sacramento, CA, USA). The melting curve was drawn and the efficiency of qRT-PCR was assessed (with higher efficiency). The relative gene levels were normalized to β-actin gene by employing the previously introduced comparative threshold cycle (2^-△△CT) method.30

**Mammosphere forming assay**

The mammosphere forming assay was conducted according to the previously established protocol.31 Briefly, the breast cancer cells were cultured to a density of 50–60% confluence and detached using the StemPro Accutase (Invitrogen/Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The breast cancer cells were then cultured on the 6-well plates (Corning-Costar, Corning, NY, USA) at a density of 10,000 cell/mL and maintained in the medium supplemented with heparin and hydrocortisone. The primary mammospheres were collected and enzymatically dissolved using the StemPro Accutase. Then, the breast cancer cells were re-plated for the subsequent passages at a final density of 5,000 cells/mL. The images of the mammospheres were taken and the mammosphere formation was calculated by comparing with the accounts of MCF10A or MDA-MB-231 cells according to the previously published study.13

**Dual luciferase assay**

In order to evaluate the interaction between HMGB3 expression and HIF1α expression, the dual luciferase assay was performed in the 293T cells (Shanghai Cell Bank of China Academia Sinica, Shanghai, China). About 48 hrs after the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>Sequences</th>
<th>Length (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HMGB3</td>
<td>ACAGTGAAAGCGAGGCCTTATCATCCTGCCACATTGAGGAC</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanog</td>
<td>ATGGATCTGCTATTTACGAGACGCCAGCTATCTGCGAAAAGG</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sox2</td>
<td>GCTGGTCTTCTGAGGTCGAGTTCAGGTTGACGAGCTCGAGG</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT-4</td>
<td>GCAGCGGTTCGGAGTTCACGAGGCAGGAGGAGGGATGACGAG</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-actin</td>
<td>CTGCAAGGTTGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGG</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1 Sequences for the RT-PCR assay**

Abbreviation: HMGB3, high-mobility group box 3.
pGL3-HIF1α-promoter and pcDNA3.1-HMGB3, luciferase activity was assessed with a Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Cat. No. E1910, Promega, Madison, MI, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Then, the fluorescence intensity of the dual luciferase assay was determined with a microplate reader (Mode: MCC/340, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Finally, the luciferase activities were calculated by comparing with the values of the Renilla luciferase plasmid.

Western blot assay

The breast cancer cells were digested and lysed with the RIPA solution (Biyotime Biotech). The digested proteins were centrifuged at 4°C for 5 mins at 10,000 r/min to obtain the proteins. The proteins were separated using the 15% SDS-PAGE (Beyotime Biotech) and electrotransferred onto the polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA) by utilizing a Trans-Blot Semi-Dry Electrophoretic Transfer (Mode: 170–3940, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). The rabbit anti-human Nanog monoclonal antibody (1: 3,000; Cat. No. ab109250, Abcam Biotech), rabbit anti-human Sox2 polyclonal antibody (1:2,000, Cat No. ab137385, Abcam Biotech), rabbit anti-human OCT-4 polyclonal antibody (1:2,000, Cat No. ab137427, Abcam Biotech), rabbit anti-human HIF-1α polyclonal antibody (1:3,000, Cat No. ab179483, Abcam Biotech) and rabbit anti-human β-actin polyclonal antibody (1: 2,000; Cat. No. ab228001, Abcam Biotech) were utilized to treat the protein transferred PVDF membranes at 4°C overnight. The PVDF membranes were washed using the PBS (Hyclone, Logan, UT, USA) for 5 mins and 3 times per minute and incubated using the horse radish peroxidase (HRP)-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG (1: 2,000, Cat. No. AQ132P, Sigma-Aldrich) at room temperature for 2 hrs. Then, PVDF membranes were treated using BeyoECL Star kit (Cat. No. P0018AFT, Beyotime Biotech) in dark for 2 mins at room temperature. Eventually, the western blot bands were captured using Gel Analysis System (Mode: Tannon-4200, Tanon Sci. Tech. Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China) and analyzed using a 4.0 Labworks™ Analysis Software (Labworks, Upland, CA, USA).

Flow cytometry assay for evaluating CD44+/CD24−

The CD44+/CD24− cells were analyzed and identified according to the previous study.32 In brief, the breast cancer cells were washed and then trypsinized into the single-cell suspensions. The PE-conjugated anti-human CD44 monoclonal antibody (Cat. No. #12-0441-82, eBioscience, Santiago, CA, USA) and APC conjugated anti-human CD24 monoclonal antibody (Cat No. #17-0247-42, eBioscience, Santiago, CA, USA) were added into the breast cancer cell suspension in dark and at 4°C for 30 mins, according to the instruction of manufacturer. In order to eliminate the unbound antibodies, the labeled cells were washed using PBS. Finally, the cells were analyzed on a FACSCanto II Flow Cytometry (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) within 1 hr poststaining.

Xenograft tumor assay

The BALB/C nude mice (6–8 weeks, weighing from 20 g to 25 g) were purchased from Tengxin Biotech. Co. Ltd. (Chongqing, China). The MDA-MB-231 cells were adjusted to the density of 1×10⁷ cells/mL in L-15 medium (Gibco BRL. Co. Ltd.) to generate the MDA-MB-231 cell suspension. Then, 1 mL of cell suspension was injected subcutaneously into the flanks of mice. The tumor sizes in single MDA-MB-231 group, paclitaxel (PTX) group, PTX +siRNA-NC group and PTX+siHMGB3 group were measured and calculated with a formula of (length×width²)/2. The isolated tumor tissues were used to evaluate Nanog, Sox2 and OCT-4 expression using immunofluorescence assay. Meanwhile, the tumor tissues were also employed to determine the mammosphere formation using H&E staining according to the previously published study.33

The mice experiments in the present study were conducted according to Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of National institute of Health. The animal experiments or tests were also approved by the Ethics Committee of Jinshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China.

HIF1α mimic and HIF1α siRNA plasmid synthesis and transfection

The HIF1α-siRNA sequence was designed using the BLOCK-iT RNAi Designer. The sequence was listed as follows: GAGGAAACUUCUGGAUGCU GGUGAUTT. The sequence was annealed using their complementary sequence, subcloned into the pcDNA3.1 (+) vector (Cat. No. V79020, Invitrogen/Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and then transfected into the MCF10A cells and MDA-MB-231 cells. The full-length HIF1α codon sequence was then subcloned into the pcDNA3.1 vector and then transfected into the MCF10A cells and MDA-MB-231 cells.
Immunofluorescent assay
The cancer tissues were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS, cryoprotected in 30% sucrose in PBS for 48 hrs and then frozen. Then, the cancer tissues were cut into section at thickness of 10 μm and blocked using 1% BSA (Beyotime Biotech) containing 0.05% Triton X-100 for 60 mins. The sections were treated with rabbit antihuman Nanog monoclonal antibody (1: 3,000; Cat. No. ab109250), rabbit antihuman Sox2 polyclonal antibody (1:2,000, Cat No. ab137385), rabbit antihuman OCT-4 polyclonal antibody (1:2,000, Cat No. ab137427) at 4°C overnight. The sections were then incubated with goat antirabbit Alexa Fluor fluorescein (FITC)-labeled IgG (1:1,000, Cat. No.ab6717) at room temperature for 2 hrs. All of the above first antibodies and second antibody were purchased from Abcam Biotech. The nuclei were stained with DAPI (Beyotime Biotech). The stained images were captured using fluorescent microscope (Mode: BX51; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Statistical analysis
Data in this study were represented as the definition of mean ± SD and analyzed with SPSS software 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Differences between two groups were analyzed with Student’s t test, and the differences among multiple groups were analyzed using Tukey’s post-hoc test validated ANOVA analysis. All of the experiments or tests were conducted at least 6 repeats. The p-values <0.05 were assigned as significant difference.

Results
HMGB3 highly expressed in breast cancer cell lines
In order to identify and compare the levels of HMGB3 in the breast cancer cells and normal cells, the HMGB3 expressions were examined using qRT-PCR assay. The results showed that HMGB3 expression in basal-like cell lines (MDA-MB-231, HCC1937) was significantly higher compared to that in MCF10A cells (Figure 1A, p<0.05). The HMGB3 expression in luminal cell lines (ZR-75-1, MCF7) was also significantly higher compared to that in MCF10A cells (Figure 1B, p<0.05). Moreover, the HMGB3 expression in basal-like cell lines (MDA-MB-231, HCC1937) was also significantly higher compared to that in luminal cell lines (ZR-75–1, MCF7) (Figure 1C, p<0.05).

HMGB3 overexpression enhanced cell proliferation of MCF10A cells and HMGB3 silence reduced cell proliferation of MDA-MB-231 cells
To clarify the effects of overexpression of HMGB3 on normal breast cells and effects of HMGB3 silencing of HMGB3 on breast cancer MDA-MB-231 cells, the qRT-PCR assay was conducted. The results indicated that HMGB3 overexpression significantly enhanced (Figure 2A) and HMGB3 silence significantly reduced (Figure 2B) HMGB3 levels compared to MCF10A+LV5 cells and MDA-MB-231-LV3 cells, respectively (p<0.05).

Our results also demonstrated that the HMGB3 overexpression significantly enhanced cell proliferation of MCF10A cells compared to that in MDA-MB-231+LV3 cells without LV5-HMGB3 transfection at 72 hrs post-treatment (Figure 2C, p<0.05). Meanwhile, HMGB3 silence significantly reduced cell proliferation of MDA-MB-231 cells compared to that in MDA-MB-231-LV3 cells without LV3-siHMGB3 transfection at 72 hrs post-treatment (Figure 2D, p<0.05).

HMGB3 overexpression enhanced and HMGB3 silence inhibited mammosphere formation
Because the mammosphere forming reflects the tumor cell proliferation,25 the mammosphere formation assay was performed. The results indicated that HMGB3 overexpression significantly increased the amounts of mammospheres in MCF10A cells compared to that in MCF10A+LV5 cells without LV5-HMGB3 treatment (Figure 3A, p<0.05). Meanwhile, HMGB3 silence significantly decreased the amounts of mammospheres in MDA-MB-231 cells compared to that in MDA-MB-231-LV3 cells without LV3-siHMGB3 treatment (Figure 3B, p<0.05).

HMGB3 overexpression upregulated Nanog, SOX2 and OCT-4 in MCF10A cells
The biomarkers for the induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs), such as Nanog, SOX2 and OCT-4, were examined using qRT-PCR assay and western blot assay. The qRT-PCR assay results showed that expression of Nanog, SOX2 and OCT-4 genes was significantly increased in MCF10A+LV5-HMGB3 group compared to that in MCF10A-LV5 group (Figure 4A, p<0.05). Meanwhile, the western blot assay also indicated that expression of
Figure 1 Determination of mRNA expression of HMGB3 in different breast cancer cells using qRT-PCR assay. (A). Statistical analysis for HMGB3 mRNA in MCF10A, MDA-MB-231 and HCC1937 cells. (B). Statistical analysis for HMGB3 mRNA in MCF10A, ZR-75-1 and MCF7 cells. (C). Statistical analysis for HMGB3 in all of the normal cells and breast cancer cells. *p<0.05, **p<0.01 vs MCF10A cells.

Abbreviation: HMGB3, High-mobility group box 3.

Figure 2 Effects of HMGB3 overexpression on MCF10A proliferation and HMGB3 silence on MDA-MB-231 proliferation. (A). Statistical analysis for HMGB3 mRNA in HMGB3-treated MCF10A cells. (B). Statistical analysis for HMGB3 mRNA in siHMGB3-treated MDA-MB-231 cells. (C). Effects of HMGB3 overexpression on MCF10A proliferation at 24 hrs, 48 hrs and 72 hrs. (D) Effects of HMGB3 silence on MDA-MB-231 proliferation at 24 hrs, 48 hrs and 72 hrs. *p<0.05 vs MCF10A-LV5 cells or MDA-MB-231-LV3 cells.

Abbreviation: HMGB3, High-mobility group box 3.
Nanog, SOX2 and OCT-4 was significantly enhanced in MCF10A+LV5-HMGB3 group compared to that in MCF10A-LV5 group (Figure 4B, \( p < 0.05 \)).

**HMGB3 silence downregulated Nanog, SOX2 and OCT-4 in MDA-MB-231 cells**

The qRT-PCR assay results showed that expression of Nanog, SOX2 and OCT-4 was significantly decreased in MDA-MB-231-LV3-siHMGB3 group compared to that in MDA-MB-231-LV3 group (Figure 4A, \( p < 0.05 \)). Meanwhile, the western blot assay also indicated that expression of Nanog, SOX2 and OCT-4 was significantly reduced in MDA-MB-231-LV3-siHMGB3 group compared to that in MDA-MB-231-LV3 group (Figure 4B, \( p < 0.05 \)).

**HMGB3 played critical role in regulating CD44+/CD24- cells**

In order to evaluate the effects of HMGB3 on the tumor cells initiation, the levels of CD44+/CD24- cells were analyzed using flow cytometry assay. The results indicated that HMGB3 overexpression significantly up-regulated the CD44+/CD24- cells levels in MCF10A cells compared to the MCF10A-LV5 cells without LV5-HMGB3 treatment (Figure 5A, \( p < 0.05 \)). Moreover, the HMGB3 silence significantly down-regulated the CD44+/CD24- cells levels in MDA-MB-231-LV3 cells compared to the cells untreated with LV3-siHMGB3 (Figure 5B, \( p < 0.05 \)).

**Silence of HMGB3 strengthened the reductive effects of PTX on tumor sizes in xenograft tumor mouse models**

The natural antitumor drug, PTX, and the siHMGB3 were administrated to the MDA-MB-231-induced xenograft tumor mouse models to observe the effects on tumor sizes (Figure 6A). The results showed that PTX significantly decreased the tumor sizes of tumor models compared to that in xenograft tumor model without siHMGB3 treatment (Figure 6B, \( p < 0.05 \)). What’s most important, siHMGB3 combining PTX illustrated even more significant effects compared to the PTX
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Figure 4 Evaluation of Nanog, Sox2 and OCT-4 mRNA and protein expression using qRT-PCR and western blot assay. (A). Statistical analysis for the Nanog, Sox2 and OCT-4 mRNA expression in HMGB3-treated MCF10A and siHMGB3-treated MDA-MB-231 cells using qRT-PCR assay. (B). Statistical analysis for the Nanog, Sox2 and OCT-4 expression in HMGB3-treated MCF10A and siHMGB3-treated MDA-MB-231 cells using western blot assay. *p<0.05 vs MCF10A-LV5 cells or MDA-MB-231-LV3 cells. The number 1–6 represent the MCF10A, MCF10A+LV5, MCF10A+LV5+HMGB3, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-231+LV3 and MDA-MB-231+LV3-siHMGB3, respectively.

Abbreviation: HMGB3, High-mobility group box 3.

Figure 5 CD44+/CD24- levels detection in MCF10A and MDA-MB-231 cells with flow cytometry assay. (A). CD44+/CD24- evaluation in HMGB3-treated MCF10A cells. (B). CD44+/CD24- evaluation in siHMGB3-treated MDA-MB-231 cells. *p<0.05 vs MCF10A-LV5 cells or MDA-MB-231-LV3 cells.

Abbreviation: HMGB3, High-mobility group box 3.
treatment on the tumor size inhibition (Figure 6B, p<0.05).

Silence of HMGB3 strengthened downregulatory effects of PTX on iPSCs biomarkers and mammosphere amounts

Our data showed that PTX significantly decreased the CD44, Nanog, Sox2 and OCT-4 levels and mammosphere amounts in tumor tissues of mouse models (p<0.05). However, the CD44, Nanog, Sox2 and OCT-4 expression was significantly lower in PTX+siHMGB3 group (or PTX group) compared to that in PTX+siRNA-NC group (Figure 7A, p<0.05). Meanwhile, the mammosphere amounts were significantly decreased in PTX+siHMGB3 group (or PTX group) compared to that in PTX+siRNA-NC group (Figure 7B, p<0.05).

HMGB3 silence inhibited HIF1α expression in MDA-MB-231 cells

It is well known that HIF1α plays critical roles in the tumor cell growth; therefore, we evaluated the expression of HIF1α in the MDA-MB-231 cells by both qRT-PCR assay and western blot assay. The results showed that HMGB3 overexpression significantly increased mRNA (Figure 8A) and protein (Figure 8B) levels of HIF1α compared to that in MCF10A-LV5 and MCF10A groups (p<0.05). Moreover, the silence of HMGB3 significantly reduced the mRNA (Figure 8A) and protein (Figure 8B) expressions of HIF1α compared to that in MDA-MB-231-LV3 group and MDA-MB-231 groups (p<0.05).

HIF1α overexpression triggered mammosphere formation and enhanced CD44+/CD24− levels in MDA-MB-231 cells

The results illustrated that HIF1α overexpression significantly triggered the mammosphere formation in MDA-MB-231-LV-3-siHMGB3 cells compared to that in cells without HIF1α treatment (Figure 9C, p<0.05). Also, HIF1α overexpression significantly enhanced the CD44+/CD24− levels in MDA-MB-231-LV-3-siHMGB3 cells compared to that without HIF1α treatment (Figure 10B, p<0.05).

HIF1α silence inhibited mammosphere formation and decreased CD44+/CD24− levels in MCF10A cells

The mammosphere formation assay (Figure 9A) was conducted in MCF10A cells undergoing siHIF1α and MDA-MB-231 cells undergoing HIF1α treatment. Our results exhibited that siHIF1α transfection significantly decreased the mammosphere amounts in MCF10A-LV5-HMGB3 cells compared to that in the cells without HMGB3 treatment (Figure 9B, p<0.05). Also, HIF1α silence significantly decreased the CD44+/CD24− levels in MCF10A+LV5-HMGB3 cells compared to that without siHIF1α treatment (Figure 10A, p<0.05).
HMGB3 interacted with HIF1α in MDA-MB-231 cells

The dual luciferase assay results indicated that when co-transfected with both pGL3-HIF1α-promoter and pcDNA3.1-HMGB3, there were no significant differences for luciferase activity between MCF10A cells and MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 11, p<0.05). However, when transfected with only pGL3-HIF1α-promoter, the luciferase activity was significantly higher in MDA-MB-231 cells compared to that in MCF10A cells (Figure 11, p<0.05). The luciferase activities in pGL3-HIF1α-promoter and pcDNA3.1-HMGB3 co-transfection group (both MCF10A and MDA-MB-231 cells) were higher significantly compared to that in the normal control cells (without transfection, Figure 11, p<0.05). The luciferase activities in pGL3-HIF1α-promoter transfection group (both MCF10A and MDA-MB-231 cells) were also higher significantly compared to that in normal control cells (without transfection, Figure 11, p<0.05). Moreover, the luciferase activities in co-transfected group were also higher significantly compared to that in single transfected group (Figure 11, p<0.05).

Discussion

Breast cancer is a major health problem as it constitutes the first leading cause for the cancer-associated deaths of females.1,35 Similar to the other cancers, the pathological mechanism for the breast cancer progression is also elusive and unclear. The previous study reported that the HMGB3 participated in carcinogenesis and progression, such as colorectal cancer, leukemia and gastric cancer.23,27,36 However, there is little knowledge for the functions of HMGB3 in the progression of breast cancer. Meanwhile, Li et al.37 also found that the downregulation of HMGB3 expression obviously inhibited the urinary bladder cancer cell proliferation and
migration. In the present study, we examined the HMGB3 expression and functions in breast cancer cells and clarified the specific mechanism both in vivo and in vitro levels.

In our study, we found that HMGB3 highly expressed in breast cancer cell lines compared to the normal breast cells. Moreover, the HMGB3 expression was also higher significantly in basal-like cell lines (MDA-MB-231, HCC1937) compared to that in luminal cell lines (ZR-75-1, MCF7). Therefore, in the following experiments, we employed the basal-like cell line, MDA-MB-231, to evaluate the functions of HMGB3. The CCK-8 assay showed that HMGB3 overexpression obviously enhanced cell proliferation of MCF10A cells, and HMGB3 silence obviously reduced cell proliferation of MDA-MB-231 cells. These findings suggest that the HMGB3 expression triggers the cell proliferation and HMGB3 inhibition suppresses tumor cell proliferation, which is consistent with a previous study. \(^{38}\) Meanwhile, the HMGB3 overexpression in MCF10A normal breast cells significantly increased the amounts of mammospheres, and HMGB3 silence in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells significantly decreased the amounts of mammospheres. These results suggest that HMGB3 could regulate the mammosphere formation, which is closely associated with tumor migration and proliferation. \(^{39}\)

A previous study \(^{40}\) reported that the iPSCs could induce tumor formation. The biomarkers of iPSCs, including Nanog, SOX2 and OCT-4, also participate in tumorigenesis \(^{34}\) and highly express in highly malignant breast cancer cells. \(^{41}\) In this study, we found that HMGB3 overexpression significantly increased and HMGB3 silence obviously decreased Nanog, SOX2 and OCT-4 levels, which hint that HMGB3 might be associated with tumor cell proliferation by regulating iPSC biomarker expression. Moreover, former studies \(^{12,13,42}\) also showed that higher CD44\(^{+}\)CD24\(^-\) levels could reflect the tumor cell metastases and mammosphere formation; therefore, the CD44\(^{+}\)CD24\(^-\) levels were examined using flow cytometry. In our study, HMGB3 overexpression enhanced and HMGB3 silence reduced the CD44\(^{+}\)CD24\(^-\) levels, which suggests that HMGB3 modulates the CD44\(^{+}\)CD24\(^-\) expression in breast cancer cells.

**Figure 8** HIF1\(\alpha\) examination in the HMGB3-treated MCF10A cells and siHMGB3-treated MDA-MB-231 cells. (A) Statistical analysis for HMGB3 mRNA expression. (B) Statistical analysis for the HMGB3 expression. *p<0.05 vs MCF10A cells or MDA-MB-231 cells.

**Abbreviation:** HMGB3, High-mobility group box 3.
In the present study, due to the highest HMGB3 expression in the breast cancer cell line, MDA-MB-231 cells, we established the xenograft tumor mouse models by injecting MDA-MB-231 cells. The xenograft tumor mouse models also demonstrated the results that silence of HMGB3 strengthened the reductive effects of PTX on tumor sizes and enhanced the downregulatory effects of PTX on iPSC biomarkers and mammosphere amounts. Therefore, the in vivo experiments suggest that HMGB silence obviously inhibited the growth of the MDA-MB-231 cell-initiated tumors. Actually, the PTX has been proven to be an effective drug for suppressing the tumor cell proliferation according to the previous study. Therefore, in the following study, the investigations for exploring antitumor effects would be further conducted, even in clinical trials.

The above in vivo and in vitro assays demonstrated the effects of HMGB3 silence on tumor growth (proliferation) and levels of tumor-associated biomarkers; however, the actual mechanism was not clarified. Actually, HMGB3 may not regulate the above biomarker directly. The previous study reported that HIF-1α mediated the increased Nanog, Sox2 and OCT-4 expression in the tumor cells in response to the hypoxia. Thus, in the following experiments, we evaluated the effects of HMGB3 silence on the HIF-1α and regulatory effects of siHIF-1α on breast cancer cell proliferation.

Our results indicated that HMGB3 overexpression in MCF10A cells significantly upregulated HIF-1α expression, and HMGB3 silence in MDA-MB-231 cells significantly downregulated HIF-1α expression. Moreover, the findings also showed that the siHIF-1α remarkably eliminated the HMGB3 overexpression causing enhanced amounts of mammospheres and increased levels of CD44+CD24− in the normal breast cell, MCF10A. Also, the siHIF-1α overexpression significantly enhanced the HMGB3 silence induced decreased amounts of mammospheres and increased levels of CD44+CD24− in the breast cancer cell, MDA-MB-231 cells. These findings suggest that siHIF-1α plays critical roles in the tumor growth or
tumor cell proliferation and overexpression of siHIF-1α could obviously enhance tumor cell proliferation, which are consistent with the previous studies.45,46 Our results also proved that the HMGB3 interacted with HIF1α in MDA-MB-231 cells, which could explain the effects of HMGB3 on the tumor cell proliferation and mammosphere formation.

Although this study received some interesting results, there were also a few limitations. First, the present study demonstrated the antitumor effects of HMGB3 silence on tumor growth via inhibiting HIF1α, but without mechanism investigation. In the following study, we would investigate the mechanism of HMGB3 silence (or HMGB3 overexpression)-triggered anti-tumor effects, by exploring the signaling pathways, such as AKT/PI3K, MAPK, EMT or JAK2/Stat3 signaling pathways. Second, this study only investigated the effects of HMGB3 silence on cancer cell proliferation and mammosphere formation, but not on cancer cell apoptosis. We would evaluate the apoptosis of cancer cells using caspase 3 analysis and TUNEL analysis. Third, the length of β-actin was relatively long (200 bp) for qRT-PCR assay, which might affect the quantity of results. In a future study, we would

**Figure 10** Determination for CD44+/CD24− levels in HMGB3/siHIF1α-treated MCF10A cells and siHMGB3/HIF1α-treated MDA-MB-231 cells using flow cytometry assay. (A). CD44+/CD24− evaluation in HMGB3/siHIF1α-treated MCF10A cells. (B). CD44+/CD24− evaluation in siHMGB3/HIF1α-treated MDA-MB-231 cells. *p<0.05 vs MCF10A cells or MDA-MB-231 cells.

Abbreviation: HMGB3, High-mobility group box 3.

**Figure 11** Interaction analysis between HIF1α and HMGB3 expression in MCF10A and MDA-MB-231 cells. *p<0.05 vs MCF10A cells. #p<0.05 vs Normal control group for both MCF10A and MDA-MB-231 cells. &p<0.05 vs single transfected MCF10A or MDA-MB-231 cells.

Abbreviation: HMGB3, High-mobility group box 3.
conduct western blotting assay to confirm qRT-PCR results. Fourth, this study has not explained the comparison between basal-like cell lines (MDA-MB-231 and HCC1937) and luminal cell lines (ZR-75-1 and MCF7). Fifth, we only detected the gene and protein expression of HIF1α in the xenografts. In the following study, we would also examine the other associated markers in xenografts. Sixth, this study has not compared the antitumor effects between siHMGB3 treatment and PTX treatment directly, but only clarified the synergistic effects of siHMGB3 on PTX for antitumor effects.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, HMGB3 is a critical regulator for tumor growth in breast cancer cells. We found that HMGB3 silence inhibited the mammosphere formation, cell proliferation and CD44 ‘CD24’ levels in breast cancer cells in both in vivo and in vitro levels. The HMGB3 silence played the inhibitive effects on tumor cells by interacting with HIF1α expression in tumor cells.
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