ERG expression in prostate cancer biopsies with and without high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia: a study in Jordanian Arab patients

Najla Aldaoud 1,2
Ashley Graboski-Bauer 3
Nour Abdo 4
Samir Al Bashir 1,2
Ashraf O Oweis 5
Hanadi Ebwaini 1
Yousef Hasen 1,2,6
Rami Alazab 7
Kiril Trpkov 8

1Department of Pathology and Microbiology, King Abdullah University Hospital, Irbid, Jordan; 2Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan; 3Department of Teacher Education, University of Texas, El Paso, TX, USA; 4Department of Public Health, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan; 5Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan; 6Attasami Diagnostic Center, Tripoli, Libya; 7Division of Urology, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Irbid; 8Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada

Background: High-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (HGPIN) is the most likely precursor lesion for prostatic adenocarcinoma (PCa). Recent molecular studies have shown that HGPIN can harbor TMPRSS2-ERG fusion, a genetic marker also associated with PCa, which may provide an additional risk stratification tool for HGPIN, especially when present as an isolated lesion. Our aim was to assess the frequency of HGPIN and ERG expression in a cohort of prostatic needle core biopsies from Jordanian-Arab patients with PCa.

Materials and methods: We studied 109 needle core biopsies from patients with PCa. Clinical data, including age and preoperative prostate specific antigen (PSA) level, were obtained from patients' medical records.

Results: HGPIN was present in 31 (28.4%) of the 109 cases. Of the HGPIN cases, 13 (41.9%) expressed ERG immunostain. ERG expression in HGPIN was independent of patient age at presentation (P=0.4), pre-operative PSA (P=0.9), and the grade, using the novel Grade Groups (P=0.5).

Conclusion: The frequency of HGPIN in our cohort appears similar to the one found in the Western patient populations and demonstrates a comparable frequency of ERG expression in these lesions.
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Introduction

High-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (HGPIN) is considered the most accepted precursor lesion to prostatic adenocarcinoma (PCa). 1,2 Many studies have attempted to identify clinical, pathological, or molecular factors that can increase the predictive value of HGPIN and stratify patients at higher risk of developing subsequent PCa. Some of the published studies failed to find significant utility of these factors in predicting PCa. 3 On the other hand, other studies found that presence of HGPIN, patient age, and serum PSA were significant predictors of cancer, particularly when taken together. 4 Moreover, multifocality of HGPIN and the number of cores involved were found to predict a higher risk of subsequent cancer on follow-up. 5,6

Several genetic biomarkers have been proposed that may aid in the diagnosis and clinical management of PCa. 7 TMPRSS2–ERG fusion is the most common genetic alteration found in PCa, with frequency of 50%–70%. 7–9 The frequency of ERG expression in PCa in the Jordanian-Arab population was found to be lower...
than that documented in North America. We previously 
studied 193 patients with PCa, and 64 (33.2%) cases 
showed ERG expression. HGPIN adjacent to or inter-
mingled with PCa was also found to harbor TMPRSS2–
ERG fusion in 0–50%. Some studies have shown 
higher expression of TMPRSS2–ERG fusion in PCa to 
be associated with more aggressive disease, and thus 
suggested that testing for this genetic alteration may be of 
prognostic utility. Other studies, however, have 
shown no prognostic value for ERG expression in 
PCa. With regard to isolated ERG-positive 
HGPIN, it has been found that subsequent PCa detected 
in these patients was mostly of Gleason Score 6, but there 
was no significant association between ERG expression in 
HGPIN with either the age of the patient at presentation or 
Gleason Score.

To the best of our knowledge, no studies have investi-
gated the frequency of HGPIN in Arab or Jordanian 
patients with PCa nor the frequency of ERG expression 
in these lesions. Therefore, the aims of our study were to 
investigate the frequency of HGPIN in a cohort of patients 
with PCa from our region and to assess the frequency of 
ERG expression in HGPIN lesions in this cohort.

Methods

Patients and tissue samples

We retrieved 109 consecutive prostatic needle-core biop-
sies with a diagnosis of PCa from the archives of King 
Abdullah University Hospital, obtained during 2005–2014. 
All cases were independently reviewed by two urologic 
pathologists (NA and SA) for the presence of HGPIN. 
Accompanying invasive cancers were classified according 
to the guidelines of the 2005 and 2014 International 
Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) consensus 
conferences. Of note, this does not represent a 
typical prostate specific antigen (PSA)-screened cohort, 
as often reported in the West, because we retrieved only 
two cases of HGPIN without PCa (not included in the 
study), and all other cases demonstrated both HGPIN and 
PCa. This reflects potentially a selection bias in this popu-
lation, in which biopsies are performed in patients with 
more advanced disease. One representative section was 
selected from each case, containing PCa together with 
HGPIN. Clinical data, including age and preoperative 
PSA level, were obtained from patients’ medical records. 
Of note, in our practice prostate biopsies are routinely 
submitted in two containers (right and left side), with 
five to six cores from one side submitted in the same 
container.

Immunohistochemistry for ERG

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed on 4-µm 
sections using a Dako autostainer-Plus (Dako, Denmark) 
and following a standard protocol. A monoclonal mouse 
antihuman ERG antibody (clone 9FY; Biocare Medical, 
Concord, CA, USA) at a dilution of 1:100 was used in this 
study. After the tissue had been dewaxed, antigen retrieval 
was carried out in a PT-Link (Dako, Denmark) using 
a high-pH buffer for 20 minutes. The slides were first 
washed with PBS and then blocked by 2.5% hydrogen 
peroxide. Then, sections were incubated with ERG 
(CM421C) for 30 minutes at room temperature. Signal 
detection was carried out using Flex dual link–detection 
kit (secondary antibody and DAB system K;8000; Dako, 
Denmark).

IHC staining for ERG was assessed in comparison to 
stromal endothelial cell reactivity, which was considered 
a positive internal control. Adjacent benign prostatic 
glands served as an internal negative control. A result 
was considered positive if any lesional cells showed 
nuclear positivity and negative if no lesional cells were 
reactive (Figure 1).

Statistical analysis

All analyses for dependent and independent variables were 
done using SAS version 9.2; SAS institute, Cary, 
NC, U.S.A.). Frequencies and percentages were used for 
categorical variables (such as Gleason scores) and sum-
mary descriptive measures (means, ranges, and medians) 
were used for continuous variables (such as age). 
Statistical associations between expression of ERG (as 
a categorical variable) and continuous clinicopathological 
parameters (age and PSA at the time of diagnosis) were 
tested using Student’s t-test, and with categorical par-
parameters (Gleason sum) using Pearson’s χ². A P<0.05 was 
considered significant.

Results

Patient characteristics

Clinical and pathological characteristics of the entire cohort 
are summarized in Table 1. The mean number of sampled 
cores per biopsy was ten (range three to 20). The mean tumor 
volume was 46% (range 2%–95%). The mean age of patients 
included in this cohort was 77.4 years (range 49–99 years).
Preoperative PSA was available in 96 patients, with mean 276.2 ng/mL and median 32.0 ng/mL. Almost 75% of patients had prostate cancer of Grade Group ≥ 3 (Gleason Score) ≥ 4+3.

HGPIN was present together with PCa in 31 (28.4%) cases. Clinicopathological characteristics of HGPIN cases, shown by ERG-expression status, are summarized in Table 2.

Of note, only one case showed features of intraductal carcinoma along with HGPIN. The mean age of patients with HGPIN was 74.3 years (range 64–89 years). Preoperative PSA was available in 25 patients, with a median value of 23.2 ng/mL. The frequency of the new PCa Grade Groups in the 31 cases was: Group 1, two (6.5%); Group 2, seven (22.6%); Group 3, four (12.9%); Group 4, ten (32.3%); and Group 5, eight (25.8%).

**ERG expression**

Associations of ERG immunoreactivity and the clinicopathological characteristics are shown in Table 2. In all cases, endothelial cells showed an appropriate positive internal control, and normal prostatic glands were negative in all cases. Invasive PCa was positive for ERG in 36 (33%) patients and negative in 73 (67%) patients. Of the 31 HGPIN cases, 13 (41.9%) were ERG-positive, while 18 (58.1%) were ERG-negative. In all 13 cases with ERG-positive HGPIN, the accompanying PCa was ERG-positive as well. Within the ERG-negative HGPIN group (18 cases), accompanying tumors were ERG-negative in 14 (77.8%) cases, and only four (22.2%) cases were ERG-

**Table 1** Clinicopathological characteristics and biopsy scores of Jordanian Arab prostate cancer patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinicopathologic variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean (range)</td>
<td>77.4 (49-99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median (IQR)</td>
<td>74.0 (69.5-81.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline PSA ng/ml</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean (range)</td>
<td>276.2 (1.5-6758)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median (IQR)</td>
<td>32.1 (15 - 150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 10 ng/ml, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean (range)</td>
<td>15 (13.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median (IQR)</td>
<td>5.6 (1.5-9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 to 20 ng/ml, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean (range)</td>
<td>22 (20.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median (IQR)</td>
<td>15.8 (10.8-20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 20 ng/ml, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean (range)</td>
<td>59 (54.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median (IQR)</td>
<td>442.2 (20.8-6758)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown, n (%)</td>
<td>13 (11.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gleason Score (ISUP 2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+3 (Grade Group 1)</td>
<td>16 (14.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+4 (Grade Group 2)</td>
<td>14 (12.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+3 (Grade Group 3)</td>
<td>11 (10.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+4 (Grade Group 4)</td>
<td>34 (31.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+5/5+5 (Grade Group 5)</td>
<td>34 (31.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1** H&E and corresponding ERG immunostaining in high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (HGPIN) with adjacent invasive adenocarcinoma.

Notes: (A) HGPIN lesion at the lower left with accompanying invasive adenocarcinoma at the upper right (H&E, 200×). (B) ERG immunostain for the same case, showing positive staining in both HGPIN (lower right) and the invasive component (upper left) (200×). (C) Another case with HGPIN (upper right) and adjacent small malignant glands (lower left) (H&E, 200×). (D) ERG immunostain for the same case, showing negative staining in HGPIN (lower right), while the accompanying invasive component was positive (upper left) (200×).

**Abbreviation:** ISUP, International Society of Urological Pathology.
positive (PCA found in the same core as HGPIN). In the ERG-positive cases, there was no significant heterogeneity for ERG expression in HGPIN and paired PCA. ERG expression was independent of patient age at presentation (P=0.4), preoperative PSA (P=0.9), and PCa Grade Groups (P=0.5), as shown in Table 2.

**Discussion**

The incidence of isolated HGPIN in needle-core biopsies ranges from <1% to 24%.28–32 However, reported prevalence of HGPIN in cases with concurrent invasive PCa, evaluated mostly in radical prostatectomy specimens, is much higher (85%–100%).33 Most studies to have investigated ERG expression in HGPIN in needle-core biopsies focused on HGPIN as an isolated lesion or in conjunction with PCa. For example, Yaskiv et al34 found HGPIN in 17 of 77 (22%) cases of limited PCa, and 29% (five of 17) of HGPIN foci were ERG-positive. van Leenders et al35 reported HGPIN in 21 of 95 (22%) cases of consecutive patients diagnosed with PCa, of which 52% (eleven of 21) HGPIN were ERG-positive. In our cohort, HGPIN was found in 31 of 109 (28.4%) PCa cases, with a rate of ERG positivity of 13 of 31 (41.9%) foci, which is comparable to these two studies.

Both HGPIN and PCa share several genetic and molecular alterations, eg, similarities include telomere shortening,35 chromosomal copy-number alterations involving chromosome 8 and c-myc amplification,36 and overexpression of p16.37 Probably the most compelling molecular alteration in both HGPIN and PCa involves TMPRSS2–ERG fusion, the most common genetic alteration found in PCa. Its frequency ranges 50%–70%.7–9 TMPRSS2–ERG fusion has also been found in HGPIN, ranging 0–50%.11–20 These differences in incidence of TMPRSS2–ERG fusion in HGPIN might be due to different detection methods (fluorescence in situ hybridization, reverse-transcription PCR, IHC) or the type of samples used in these studies (radical prostatectomy versus needle-core biopsy). Much of the data on genetic and molecular similarities between HGPIN and PCa stems from studies of HGPIN found adjacent to invasive PCa.11–16,19,20,25,38 Generally, studies of cancer-adjacent specimens have shown higher rates of ERG expression: 13%–52%.11–14,16,17 Studies performed on isolated HGPIN have found ERG expression that was lower or even absent: 0–5%.15,38

Although the great majority of HGPIN foci in this study were seen adjacent to PCa in the same tissue core, in a few cases HGPIN foci were seen in cores free of tumors (while cancer was present in the other cores sampled from the same side). HGPIN demonstrated ERG expression in 13 of 31 (41.9%) patients. In all 13 cases with ERG-positive HGPIN, accompanying PCa was ERG-positive as well. In the 18 ERG-negative cases with HGPIN, accompanying tumors were ERG-negative in 14 (77.8%) cases, and only four (22.2%) cases were ERG-positive. Mosquera et al20 reported similar results: all 22 HGPIN cases with TMPRSS2–ERG gene fusion in their study, and accompanying PCa shared the same fusion status. On the other hand, of the 120 TMPRSS2–ERG

| Table 2 ERG status and clinicopathologic characteristics of Jordanian-Arab prostate cancer patients with HGPIN |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Variable**    | **ERG expression** | **Positive (n=13)** | **P-value** |
| Age at diagnosis, years | **Mean (range)** | **Median (IQR)** | **Mean (range)** | **Median (IQR)** | **P-value** |
| Mean (range) | 74.28 (64–89) | 72 (68–81) | 76.85 (68–90) | 75 (71–81) | 0.354 |
| Median (IQR) | 72.59 (81–93) | 72 (68–81) | 76.85 (68–90) | 75 (71–81) | 0.354 |
| Pre-operative PSA, ng/ml | **Mean (range)** | **Median (IQR)** | **Mean (range)** | **Median (IQR)** | **P-value** |
| Mean (range) | 248.9 (0.1–6758) | 23.2 (8.5–119.1) | 78.5 (1.1–755.7) | 18.5 (7.0–59.6) | 0.889 |
| Median (IQR) | 23.5 (8.8–119.1) | 23.2 (8.5–119.1) | 78.5 (1.1–755.7) | 18.5 (7.0–59.6) | 0.889 |
| Gleason Score (ISUP 2014) (n=31) | **P-value** |
| 3+3 (Grade Group 1) | 1 (50%) | 1 (50%) | 0.517 |
| 3+4 (Grade Group 2) | 2 (28.6%) | 5 (71.4%) |
| 3+5 (Grade Group 3) | 3 (75%) | 1 (25%) |
| 4+3 (Grade Group 4) | 6 (60%) | 4 (40%) |
| 4+5/5+5 (Grade Group 5) | 6 (75%) | 2 (25%) |

Abbreviation: ISUP, International Society of Urological Pathology.
fusion-negative HGPIN cases, 32% (33/102) had TMPRSS2–ERG gene fusion in paired PCa, while the remaining 85% (102 of 120) cases were negative for the fusion gene. Importantly, we found no association between ERG expression in HGPIN and patient age ($P=0.4$), preoperative PSA ($P=0.9$), or PCa Grade Group ($P=0.5$).

The utility of ERG expression in isolated HGPIN has been evaluated in some studies in an attempt to stratify the cancer risk associated with HGPIN. He et al\textsuperscript{15} showed that ERG expression was uncommon in isolated HGPIN (5.3%) and its expression not associated with increased cancer detection in follow-up biopsies. Gao et al\textsuperscript{14} suggested that presence of ERG-positive isolated HGPIN in needle-core biopsy can predict subsequent cancer diagnosis, because 95% of patients with ERG-positive HGPIN were diagnosed with PCa on subsequent biopsies. Park et al\textsuperscript{59} found that ERG expression was identified in 11.1% of 461 patients with isolated HGPIN: 53% of ERG-positive HGPIN in their study were diagnosed with PCa on follow-up biopsies, in contrast to 35% of patients with ERG-negative HGPIN. Unfortunately, because our cohort consisted of patients with more advanced disease, reflective of our regional practice environment, we could not evaluate the significance of ERG expression in isolated HGPIN, which is a study limitation.

The high frequency of ERG expression in HGPIN in our study has also been shown in other studies.\textsuperscript{13,14,19} A possible explanation for the high frequency of ERG in these studies and ours is that the HGPIN lesions examined were adjacent to or admixed with PCa. Yaskiv et al\textsuperscript{34} showed in a cohort of limited PCa in prostate biopsies that ERG was expressed in 29% of HGPIN immediately adjacent to invasive carcinoma, while it was negative in HGPIN glands away from the PCa. Regarding our patient population in Jordan, there is no national screening program and PSA is not routinely performed, in contrast to PSA-screened, population-based studies from North America and Europe. Most of our patients were older, with >70% demonstrating PCa Grade Group 3 or higher, as well as very high PSA values at presentation. Another possibility is that some of the HGPIN lesions could have represented late-stage retrograde spread of adjacent invasive PCa, rather than all of them representing a precursor lesion, as suggested by De Marzo et al.\textsuperscript{40} All of the aforementioned factors possibly influenced the results of ERG expression in our cohort.

Larger studies are certainly needed regarding establishment of the overall frequency of HGPIN and ERG expression in local and regional Arab populations. These studies should include not only cases with PCa but also those with benign, atypical findings, and particularly cases with isolated HGPIN, to estimate more specifically the incidence of HGPIN and prevalence of ERG expression in this population.

**Conclusion**

In the current study, we showed that the frequency of HGPIN in Jordanian Arab men with mostly advanced PCa on biopsy was 28.4%. The frequency of ERG expression in HGPIN was 41.9%, which appears similar to some studies conducted in the Western patient population. In the current study, ERG expression was independent of patient age at presentation, preoperative PSA, and PCa Grade Group.
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