Comparison of the efficacy and safety of remifentanyl versus different pharmacological approaches on prevention of etomidate-induced myoclonus: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal:
Drug Design, Development and Therapy

Bingchen Lang¹
Lingli Zhang¹⁻³
Fengshan Li¹
Yunzhu Lin¹
Wensheng Zhang⁴
Chunsong Yang¹

¹Department of Pharmacy, West China Second University Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, People's Republic of China; ²Evidence-Based Pharmacy Center, West China Second University Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, People's Republic of China; ³Key Laboratory of Birth Defects and Related Diseases of Women and Children, Sichuan University, Ministry of Education, Chengdu, People's Republic of China; ⁴Department of Anesthesiology, Laboratory of Anesthesia and Critical Care Medicine, Translational Neuroscience Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Lingli Zhang
Key Laboratory of Birth Defects and Related Diseases of Women and Children, Ministry of Education, Sichuan University, No 20, Section 3, Renmin Nanlu, Chengdu 610041, Sichuan, People's Republic of China
Tel +86 28 8550 3220
Fax +86 28 8550 3220
Email zhlingli@sina.com

Objective: Myoclonus was considered as one conundrum in etomidate induction, which led to multiple risks during clinical anesthesia. The present study was conducted to compare the efficacy of pretreatment with remifentanyl to different pharmacological approaches on reducing etomidate-induced myoclonus.

Methods: We searched PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure from the inception to October 2018. Randomized controlled trials comparing remifentanyl versus other pharmacological approaches in reducing etomidate-induced myoclonus were eligible to be analyzed.

Results: Overall, 13 trials with 1,392 patients met with the inclusion criteria. 1) Pretreatment with remifentanyl could reduce the incidence of etomidate-induced myoclonus compared to placebo and fentanyl; few differences were found between the use of remifentanyl and the use of midazolam: (incidence of myoclonus: 5.56% with remifentanyl vs 71.65% with saline, RR=0.08, with 95% CI [0.05, 0.12], $P<0.0001$; 3.80% with remifentanyl vs 13.33% with fentanyl, RR with 95% CI [0.11, 0.86], $P=0.02$; 46.00% with remifentanyl vs 55.45% with midazolam, RR=0.82, with 95% CI [0.64, 1.06], $P=0.13$). 2) Compared with placebo, pretreatment with remifentanyl could reduce the incidence of mild, moderate, and severe myoclonus; compared with midazolam, patients receiving remifentanyl experienced lower occurrence of severe myoclonus; compared with fentanyl, pretreatment with remifentanyl associated with significant low occurrence of moderate and severe myoclonus. 3) The outcomes also indicated that pretreatment with remifentanyl could prevent excessive hemodynamic changes after endotracheal intubation compared to fentanyl.

Conclusions: Pretreatment with remifentanyl could be considered as one operative option to reduce both incidence and severity of etomidate-induced myoclonus. Compared with fentanyl, it also provides efficacy in preventing excessive hemodynamic changes after endotracheal intubation. However, the best treatment and the proper prophylactic dosage calls for more high quality evidence with large sample size.

Keywords: remifentanyl, etomidate, myoclonus, meta-analysis, fentanyl, midazolam

Introduction

Etomidate is considered as one of the most suitable hypnotic drugs in elderly patients and hemodynamically unstable patients given that it provides limited effect

on circulation and provides minimal breathing suppression.¹ However, the side effects caused by etomidate, including injection pain, suppression of adrenal function and myoclonus, cannot be overlooked.² Especially, myoclonus, which has been reported in 80% of unpremedicated patients during the induction of anesthesia, may result in the high risks of muscle damage, regurgitation, myalgia, and dislodgement of the vascular access.³ According to recent literature, the first two conundrums, injection pain and adrenal suppression, have been solved via lipid formulation³ and synthesis of rapidly metabolized soft analogs,⁴ however, the reasonable solutions to etomidate-induced myoclonus have not been discovered.

Short acting and negligible effects on hemodynamic parameters should be considered as the ideal characteristics of a prophylactic agent. Although opioids, muscle relaxants, benzodiazepines, and lidocaine have been reported in reducing myoclonic movement,^{5–8} the drug of choice has yet to be identified, and the question remains attractive and calls for the relevant comparative study.

As one commonly used selective μ -receptor agonist, remifentanyl features rapid onset time and metabolization.⁹ Recently, increasing studies have illustrated the efficacy of remifentanyl in reducing the incidence of etomidate-induced myoclonus,^{10–12} however, relevant systematic review about the efficacy of such medication has been not established. Therefore, the present study was performed to evaluate the efficacy of remifentanyl and different pharmacological approaches on preventing the incidence and severity of myoclonus incurred by using etomidate.

Methods

This meta-analysis was performed according to the recommendations in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta Analyses¹³ statement and the guidelines described in the Cochrane Handbook.

Search strategy

Two independent reviewers (BL and FL) performed the literature search. And we searched the databases included PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI). “Remifentanyl,” “Ultiva,” “myoclonus,” “myoclonic movement,” “fasciculation,” “twitching,” “etomidate,” “hypnomidate” were considered as the search terms. Only human studies were involved and there were no restrictions of the publication

language. The last literature search was performed on October 26, 2018.

Selection

The studies meeting the following criteria were selected for further analysis: 1) randomized controlled trials (RCTs) in the adult patients with American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status III who experienced the induction with etomidate; 2) studies comparing remifentanyl vs other pharmacological approaches in reducing etomidate-induced myoclonus.

The incidence of myoclonus emergence (the number of patients who had experienced myoclonus) and the severity of myoclonus (the incidence of etomidate-induced myoclonus at different degrees) would be evaluated as the primary outcomes. The duration of myoclonus and the patients’ great hemodynamic changes after endotracheal intubation were considered as the secondary outcomes. Moreover, the adverse effects reported in the enrolled clinical studies were also reviewed.

Literature screening and data extraction

Literature searching and data extraction were performed independently by two reviewers (BL and FL), and then the two reviewers cross-checked with each other. Full texts were obtained when information from titles and abstracts could not be ascertained. A table was designed to collect the general characteristics of these selected studies (Table 1). Disagreements were resolved by consensus through discussion among all authors.

Quality assessment

According to Cochrane Collaboration tool for assessing risk of bias in randomized trials,¹⁴ two reviewers (BL and FL) independently evaluated the methodological quality which includes seven aspects: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting and other bias.¹⁵

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were done with Review Manager 5.0 software (The Cochrane Collaboration, London, UK). The risk ratio (RR) with 95% CI and the Mantel–Haenszel method (fixed or random models) were used to analyze dichotomous data. The *I*-squared (*I*²) test was applied to weigh the impact of heterogeneity on the results. As stated by the Cochrane review guidelines, the random-effects

Table 1 The general characteristics of the enrolled studies

Study (Reference)	Year	Type of surgery	ASA-physical status	Patients enrolled (Gender: F/M)	Administration of etomidate	Intervention	Comparison	Grade of myoclonus severity	Outcome
Kelsaka et al. ¹⁰	2006	Elective surgery	I or II	60 (28/32)	0.3 mg/kg, intravenously	Remifentanyl 1 µg/kg	Normal saline	Four-point intensity score	①②④
Ri et al. ¹¹	2011	Elective surgery	III	99 (50/49)	0.3 mg/kg, intravenously	Remifentanyl 2 ng/ml, 4 ng/ml (TCI)	Normal saline	Four-point intensity score	①②④
Ko et al. ¹²	2013	Elective surgery	I or II	90 (44/46)	0.2 mg/kg, intravenously	Remifentanyl 1 µg/kg; Fentanyl 1 µg/kg	Normal saline	Four-point intensity score	①②⑤
Lee et al. ¹⁶	2009	Elective plastic surgery	I	90 (45/45)	0.3 mg/kg, intravenously	Remifentanyl 1 µg/kg, 0.5 µg/kg	Normal saline	Four-point intensity score	①②③
Zou et al. ¹⁷	2013	Elective surgery	I or II	120 (62/58)	0.3 mg/kg, intravenously	Remifentanyl 1 ng/ml, 2 ng/ml, 3 ng/ml, 4 ng/ml (TCI)	-	Four-point intensity score	①②④
Zhao et al. ¹⁸	2008	Elective surgery	I or II	80 (40/40)	0.3 mg/kg, intravenously	Remifentanyl 1 µg/kg	Normal saline	Four-point intensity score	①②
Sun et al. ¹⁹	2012	Elective abdominal surgery	I or II	120 (62/58)	0.3 mg/kg, intravenously	Remifentanyl 2 ng/ml, 4 ng/ml, 6 ng/ml (TCI)	Normal saline (NS)	Four-point intensity score	①②
Yuan et al. ²⁰	2012	Elective surgery	I or II	90 (Not reported)	0.3 mg/kg, intravenously	Remifentanyl 1 µg/kg	Normal saline	Four-point intensity score	①②
Hwang et al. ²¹	2008	Elective surgery	I or II	89 (Not reported)	0.3 mg/kg, intravenously	Remifentanyl 1 µg/kg; Midazolam 0.05 mg/kg	Normal saline	Four-point intensity score	①②④
Chen et al. ²²	2009	Elective surgery	I or II	60 (41/19)	0.3 mg/kg, intravenously	Remifentanyl 1 µg/kg; Fentanyl 2 µg/kg; Sufentanyl 0.3 µg/kg	Normal saline	Four-point intensity score	①②
Song et al. ²³	2014	Elective surgery	I or II	120 (59/61)	0.3 mg/kg, intravenously	Remifentanyl 2 µg/kg; Fentanyl 2 µg/kg; Sufentanyl 0.2 µg/kg	Normal saline	Four-point intensity score	①②⑤
Xie et al. ²⁴	2016	Elective surgery	I or II	90 (45/45)	0.2 mg/kg, intravenously	Remifentanyl 2 µg/kg; Fentanyl 3 µg/kg	Normal saline	Four-point intensity score	①②④
Sedighinejad et al. ²⁵	2016	Elective orthopedic surgery	I or II	284 (72/212)	0.3 mg/kg, intravenously	Remifentanyl 1 µg/kg	Midazolam 0.015 mg/kg	Four-point intensity score	①②④

Notes: ① The incidence of myoclonus; ② The severity of myoclonus; ③ The duration of myoclonus; ④ Description of adverse effects observed in the patients; ⑤ The numbers of patients experienced great hemodynamic change.

Abbreviations: ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists; TCI, target controlled infusion.

model was chosen when severe heterogeneity was present at $I^2 > 50\%$ (or the value of I^2 was closed to 50%); otherwise, the fixed-effects model was applied. Additionally, we performed the sensitivity analysis by deleting each study individually to assess the quality and consistency of the results. Publication bias was evaluated by using Begg's test and Egger's test when at least ten studies were included in the meta-analysis. A P value less than 0.05 was judged statistically significant.

Results

Literature search results

Of 46 articles identified, 20 were excluded after duplicate removal, and 11 were excluded after title and abstract review. In these 11 excluded items, 9 RCTs were not related to the evaluation of effectiveness in reducing etomidate-induced myoclonus (eg, the evaluation of sedation and the effects on cardiovascular system), the remaining two were an uncorrelated review and a meeting. After full-text review, two items were excluded (one was due to the uncorrelated comparison: rocuronium versus placebo, the full-text of the other one cannot be obtained after contacting the authors). Finally, 13 RCTs were enrolled in the further meta-analysis.^{10–12,16–25} The identification procedure of these eligible articles is described in Figure 1. The enrolled studies were published from 2006 to 2016.

Basic characteristics of enrolled studies

All enrolled studies reported the incidence of etomidate-induced myoclonus and the severity of myoclonus, and only one study mentioned the duration of myoclonus.¹⁶ Normal saline was used as the control in 11 studies,^{10–12,16,18–24} midazolam was used as the comparison in two studies,^{21,25} and fentanyl was used as the comparison in four studies.^{12,22–24} The numbers of patients suffered excessive hemodynamic changes were reported in two studies,^{12,23} and adverse effects, including apnea, cough, sedation, chest rigidity, bradycardia, hypotension, and post-operative respiratory discomfort, were described in five studies.^{10,11,17,21,25} In all enrolled studies, a 4-point intensity score was used to grade the severity of etomidate-induced myoclonus.²⁶ The general characteristics of the enrolled studies are shown in Table 1.

Quality assessment

Seven items mentioned above were evaluated in accordance with the Cochrane Collaboration tool for assessing risk of

bias. A total of 23% (3/13) of the studies performed an adequate method of random sequence generation,^{12,21,22} and two studies reported allocation concealment with detailed descriptions (using opaque, sealed envelopes).^{11,12} Five studies mentioned the blinding procedure of participants and personnel,^{10,16,21,22,25} and seven studies mentioned the blinding procedure of outcome assessment.^{10–12,16,21,22,25} The details of risk of bias assessment are shown in Figure 2.

Incidence of etomidate-induced myoclonus

Remifentanyl vs placebo

Eleven studies involving 778 patients were included in the study, and 450 of them were given remifentanyl to alleviate the etomidate-induced myoclonus. Considering no statistical heterogeneity exists among the study results ($I^2 = 38\%$), the fixed-effects model was chosen. According to the result, pretreatment of remifentanyl unquestionably reduced the incidence of etomidate-induced myoclonus (incidence of myoclonus: 5.56% with remifentanyl vs 71.65% with saline, $RR = 0.08$, with 95% CI [0.05, 0.12], $P < 0.0001$, $I^2 = 38\%$). The results indicated that pretreatment with remifentanyl exhibited a significantly lower incidence of etomidate-induced myoclonus (Figure 3A). A publication bias was detected in this analysis by use of both Begg's ($P = 0.029$) and Egger's ($P = 0.001$) tests.

Remifentanyl vs midazolam

Two RCTs involving a total of 201 patients were enrolled in the study, and 100 of them were given the remifentanyl. The I^2 of 0% indicated that substantial heterogeneity did not exist, thus the fixed effect model was used. The result indicated that there were no significant differences between the using of remifentanyl and midazolam (incidence of myoclonus: 46.00% with remifentanyl vs 55.45% with midazolam, $RR = 0.82$, with 95% CI [0.64, 1.06], $P = 0.13$, $I^2 = 0\%$). The result is shown in Figure 3B.

Remifentanyl vs fentanyl

Four RCTs involving 210 patients were included in the study, and 105 of them were pretreated with remifentanyl to reduce the incidence of myoclonus. The I^2 of 0% indicated that substantial heterogeneity did not exist, thus the fixed effect model was used. Compared with the fentanyl, the pretreatment of remifentanyl presented a definite advantage in reducing the incidence of myoclonus caused by etomidate (incidence of myoclonus: 3.80% with remifentanyl

Figure 1 Flow chart of literature screening and the selection process.

Abbreviations: CNKI, China National Knowledge Infrastructure; RCT, randomized controlled trial.

vs 13.33% with fentanyl, RR with 95% 0.31 [0.11, 0.86], $P=0.02$, $I^2=0\%$). The result is shown in Figure 3C.

Remifentanyl 2 ng/ml vs remifentanyl 4 ng/ml (TCI)

The effect-site concentration of remifentanyl was set by the target controlled infusion (TCI) system. This system was designed for the administration of various opioids and other anesthetics by TCI. By using computer simulation of known infusion protocol, the pharmacokinetic parameters were selected. And the system with the computer-compatible infusion pump provided appropriate target concentrations of anesthetic drugs in many clinical trials.²⁷ Three RCTs involving 186 patients were included, and half of them were pretreatment with 2 ng/ml of remifentanyl. The I^2 of 0% revealed that substantial heterogeneity did not exist;

therefore, the fixed effect model was chosen. The results indicated that pretreatment of remifentanyl with a concentration of 4 ng/ml was associated with significantly decreased incidence of myoclonus compared to remifentanyl with a concentration of 2 ng/ml (incidence of myoclonus: 16.13% with remifentanyl 2 ng/ml vs 0% with remifentanyl 4 ng/ml, RR with 95% 16.00 [2.19, 117.07], $P=0.006$, $I^2=0\%$). The result is shown in Figure 3D.

Severity of etomidate-induced myoclonus

Visual judgement was used to determine the degree of myoclonus. A transient movement of a body segment (eg, a finger or shoulder) was defined as mild myoclonus. Moderate myoclonus was described as slight movement of

	Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)	Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Other bias
Chen 2009	+	?	+	+	+	+	?
Hwang 2008	+	?	+	+	+	+	?
Kelsaka 2004	?	?	+	+	+	+	?
Ko 2013	+	+	?	+	+	+	?
Lee 2009	?	?	+	+	+	+	?
Ri 2011	?	+	?	+	+	+	?
Sedighinejad 2016	?	?	+	+	+	+	?
Song 2014	?	?	?	?	+	+	?
Sun 2012	-	?	?	?	+	+	?
Xie 2016	?	?	?	?	+	+	?
Yuan 2008	?	?	?	?	+	+	?
Zhao 2008	?	?	?	?	+	+	?
Zou 2013	?	?	?	?	+	+	?

Figure 2 Risk of bias assessment of included studies. Green + dot, low risk of bias; yellow ? dot, unclear risk of bias; red - dot, high risk of bias.

two different muscle groups (eg, face and leg). Intense clonic movement of two or more muscle groups was defined as severe myoclonus (eg, fast abduction of a limb).² The severity of myoclonus was graded according to the 4-point intensity score: 0, no myoclonus; 1, mild myoclonus; 2, moderate myoclonus; or 3, severe myoclonus.

Remifentanil vs placebo

Eleven RCTs involving 778 patients were included, and 450 of them were pretreated with remifentanil.

① Mild myoclonus

Owing to statistical heterogeneity ($I^2=46\%$), the random-effects model was used. The results indicated that the intervention of remifentanil reduced the incidence of etomidate-induced mild myoclonus (the incidence of etomidate-induced mild myoclonus: 4.00% with remifentanil vs 27.13% with saline, $RR=0.19$ with 95% CI [0.09, 0.40], $P<0.0001$, $I^2=46\%$). The I^2 of 46% indicated existing heterogeneity, attributable to the Lee study (Lee et al, 2009). The heterogeneity was resolved after removing this study ($I^2=16\%$), and the summary estimate was unchanged in essence (the incidence of etomidate-induced mild myoclonus: 2.56% with remifentanil vs 28.19% with saline, $RR=0.16$, 95% CI [0.08, 0.32], $P<0.00001$). The result is shown in Figure 4A. By using both Begg's ($P=0.062$) and Egger's ($P=0.002$) tests, publication bias was found in the analysis.

② Moderate myoclonus

The I^2 of 0% demonstrated that substantial heterogeneity does not exist; thus, a fixed-effects model was chosen to perform the analysis. The results (Figure 4B) indicated that pretreatment with remifentanil produced a significantly lower incidence of moderate myoclonus (incidence of etomidate-induced moderate myoclonus: 1.11% with remifentanil vs 25.00% with saline, $RR=0.08$ with 95% CI [0.04, 0.16], $P<0.0001$, $I^2=0\%$). The results of Begg's ($P=0.484$) and Egger's ($P=0.022$) tests indicated that a publication bias existed in this analysis.

③ Severe myoclonus

No statistical heterogeneity was detected among the study results ($I^2=0\%$) and the fixed-effects model was used. The results revealed that pretreatment with remifentanil reduced the incidence of etomidate-induced severe myoclonus (the incidence of etomidate-induced severe myoclonus: 0.02% with remifentanil vs 19.51% with saline, $RR=0.07$ with 95% CI [0.03, 0.14], $P<0.0001$, $I^2=0\%$). The result is shown in Figure 4C. Publication bias was not significant ($P=0.312$ for Begg's test and $P=0.941$ for Egger's test).

A

B

C

D

Figure 3 Forest plot of incidence of etomidate-induced myoclonus.

Notes: (A) remifentanyl vs saline; (B) remifentanyl versus midazolam; (C) remifentanyl vs fentanyl; (D) remifentanyl 2 ng/ml (TCI) vs remifentanyl 4 ng/ml (TCI).

Abbreviations: RR, risk ratio.; TCI, target controlled infusion.

A

B

C

Figure 4 Forest plot of severity of etomidate-induced myoclonus: remifentanil vs saline.

Notes: (A) incidence of etomidate-induced mild myoclonus; (B) incidence of etomidate-induced moderate myoclonus; (C) incidence of etomidate-induced severe myoclonus.

Remifentanyl vs midazolam

Two RCTs involving a total of 201 patients were enrolled in the study, and 100 of them were given the remifentanyl.

① Mild myoclonus

The random-effects model was used for the existing statistical heterogeneity. The data from those studies implied that there was no significant difference between the remifentanyl group and the midazolam group in the incidence of etomidate-induced mild myoclonus (incidence of etomidate-induced mild myoclonus: 15% with remifentanyl vs 7.92% with midazolam, RR=1.61 with 95% CI [0.26, 10.09], $P=0.13$,

$I^2=76\%$). The I^2 of 76% again indicated substantial heterogeneity but the source could not be clearly attributed to a single study (Figure 5A).

② Moderate myoclonus

The fixed-effects model was selected due to no statistical heterogeneity ($I^2=0\%$). And the results revealed pretreatment with remifentanyl did not significantly decrease the incidence of moderate myoclonus compared to midazolam (incidence of etomidate-induced moderate myoclonus: 16% with remifentanyl vs 17.82% with midazolam, RR=0.89 with 95% CI [0.50, 1.61], $P=0.71$, $I^2=0\%$). The result is shown in Figure 5B.

Figure 5 Forest plot of severity of etomidate-induced myoclonus: remifentanyl vs midazolam.

Notes: (A) incidence of etomidate-induced mild myoclonus; (B) incidence of etomidate-induced moderate myoclonus; (C) incidence of etomidate-induced severe myoclonus.

③ Severe myoclonus

The fixed-effects model was chosen because statistical heterogeneity did not exist ($I^2=26\%$). Compared with the midazolam, the intervention of remifentanyl reduced the incidence of etomidate-induced severe myoclonus, which was described as the occurrence of myoclonus (incidence of etomidate-induced severe myoclonus: 15.00% with remifentanyl vs 29.70% with midazolam, $RR=0.51$, with 95% CI [0.30, 0.86], $P=0.01$, $I^2=26\%$). The result is shown in Figure 5C.

Remifentanyl vs fentanyl

Four RCTs involving 210 patients were selected in the study, and 105 of them were pretreated with remifentanyl to reduce the incidence of myoclonus.

① Mild myoclonus

No statistical heterogeneity was found among the study results ($I^2=0\%$) and the fixed-effects model was chosen. There was no significant difference between the remifentanyl group and the fentanyl group in the incidence of etomidate-induced mild myoclonus (incidence of etomidate-induced mild myoclonus: 3.81% with remifentanyl vs 8.57% with fentanyl, $RR=0.47$, with 95% CI [0.16, 1.41], $P=0.18$, $I^2=0\%$). The result is shown in Figure 6A.

② Moderate myoclonus

The fixed-effects model was used due to the absence of statistical heterogeneity ($I^2=0\%$). No significant differences were observed between the two groups in the incidence of etomidate-induced moderate myoclonus; And no patients experienced moderate myoclonus in remifentanyl group (incidence of etomidate-induced moderate myoclonus: 0% with remifentanyl vs 4.76% with midazolam, $RR=0.23$, with 95% CI [0.04, 1.32], $P=0.10$, $I^2=0\%$). The result is shown in Figure 6B.

③ Severe myoclonus

No patients experienced etomidate-induced severe myoclonus in either the remifentanyl or the fentanyl group, therefore, the relevant analysis was not performed.

Remifentanyl 2 ng/ml vs remifentanyl 4 ng/ml (TCI)

Three RCTs involving 186 patients were included, and half of them were pretreatment with 2 ng/ml of remifentanyl.

① Mild myoclonus

No statistical heterogeneity was found among the study results ($I^2=0\%$) and the fixed-effects model was chosen. The results indicated that pretreatment with 4 ng/ml of remifentanyl produced a significantly lower incidence of mild myoclonus (incidence of etomidate-induced mild myoclonus: 11.83% with a concentration of 2 ng/ml remifentanyl vs 0% with a concentration of 4 ng/ml remifentanyl, $RR=12.00$, with 95% CI [1.61, 89.58], $P=0.02$, $I^2=0\%$). No patients experienced mild myoclonus in 4 ng/ml of remifentanyl group. The result is shown in Figure 7A.

② Moderate myoclonus

The fixed-effects model was chosen due to the absence of statistical heterogeneity ($I^2=0\%$). No significant differences were observed between the two groups in the incidence of etomidate-induced moderate myoclonus; And no patients experienced moderate myoclonus in 4 ng/ml of remifentanyl group (incidence of etomidate-induced moderate myoclonus: 3.17% with a concentration of 2 ng/ml remifentanyl vs 0% with a concentration of 4 ng/ml remifentanyl, $RR=3.00$, with 95% CI [0.32, 28.09], $P=0.34$, $I^2=0\%$). The result is shown in Figure 7B.

③ Severe myoclonus

Two patients in the 2 ng/ml of remifentanyl group in only one study experienced etomidate-induced severe myoclonus,¹⁷ thus, the relevant analysis was not performed.

Duration of etomidate-induced myoclonus

Only one study,¹⁶ involving 90 patients, described the duration of etomidate-induced myoclonus. Therefore, the relevant analysis was not performed. However, based on the existing data from this study, pretreatment with remifentanyl significantly decreased the duration of myoclonus compared to placebo (duration of myoclonus: 36.0 ± 27.0 sec with remifentanyl 1 $\mu\text{g/kg}$ vs 93.8 ± 59.5 sec with saline).

The numbers of patients experienced great hemodynamic changes

Due to etomidate's insufficient suppression on laryngopharyngeal reflexes during endotracheal intubation,

A

B

Figure 6 Forest plot of severity of etomidate-induced myoclonus: remifentanyl vs fentanyl.

Notes: (A) incidence of etomidate-induced mild myoclonus; (B) incidence of etomidate-induced moderate myoclonus.

hypertension and tachycardia occurred frequently after intubation. Thus, the occurrence of great hemodynamic changes was evaluated and it was defined as an increase or decrease in systolic blood pressure (SBP) or heart rate (HR) of more than 30% of the baseline level.¹²

Remifentanyl vs fentanyl

Two RCTs involving 120 patients were evaluated in the study, and 60 of them were given remifentanyl.

- ① The numbers of patients experiencing great systolic blood pressure changes

Due to the absence of statistical heterogeneity ($I^2=0\%$), the fixed-effects model was applied. The results indicated that pretreatment with remifentanyl was associated with significantly low incidence of great changes in SBP compared to fentanyl (incidence of experiencing great changes in SBP: 0% with remifentanyl vs 61.67% with fentanyl, $RR=0.03$, with 95% CI [0.00, 0.19], $P=0.0003$, $I^2=0\%$). The result is shown in Figure 8A.

- ② The numbers of patients experiencing great heart rate changes

The fixed-effects model was used due to the absence of statistical heterogeneity ($I^2=0\%$). Compared with the fentanyl, the using of remifentanyl associated with the minor effects on the changes in HR (incidence of experienced great changes in HR: 0.00% with remifentanyl vs 73.33% with fentanyl, $RR=0.14$, with 95% CI [0.06, 0.30], $P<0.00001$, $I^2=0\%$). The result is shown in Figure 8B.

The side effects

A total of five studies^{10,11,17,21,25} mentioned the side effects related to the drugs used as premedication. According to the records, side effects appeared frequently in the patients who received remifentanyl 4 ng/ml by the TCI system, the details were as follows: 13 of them experienced cough, 15 of them suffered chest wall rigidity, four of them experienced bradycardia, and apnea developed in seven patients. Additionally, two patients who received remifentanyl 1 $\mu\text{g/kg}$ pretreatment

A

B

Figure 7 Forest plot of severity of etomidate-induced myoclonus: remifentanil 2 ng/ml (TCI) vs remifentanil 4 ng/ml (TCI).

Notes: (A) incidence of etomidate-induced mild myoclonus; (B) incidence of etomidate-induced moderate myoclonus.

Abbreviation: TCI, target controlled infusion.

A

B

Figure 8 Forest plot of the numbers of patients that experienced great hemodynamic changes: remifentanil vs fentanyl.

Notes: (A) numbers of patients that experienced great systolic blood pressure changes; (B) numbers of patients that experienced great heart rate changes.

experienced bradycardia. Except for the above-mentioned cases, none of the patients experienced any side effects after injection of either drugs.

Publication bias

Publication bias was explored by using Begg's test and Egger's test to indicate the possible presence of bias. The result (Figure 9) showed that publication bias existed in the analysis of the efficacy of remifentanyl in alleviating etomidate-induced myoclonus compared to saline. For evaluating severe myoclonus between the remifentanyl and placebo groups, publication bias was not significant.

Discussion

Myoclonus was considered as one serious problem in patients who experienced etomidate induction. As previously stated, it may raise the risk to muscles and may

disturb the assessment of the depth of anesthesia. Therefore, such adverse effects during anesthesia induction should be prevented by any means necessary. The present study was a meta-analysis of the published RCTs to compare the efficacy of remifentanyl vs different pharmacological approaches on reducing the etomidate-induced myoclonus. In our study, lower incidence of myoclonus at different grades was exhibited in the remifentanyl group compared to the saline group based on the analysis of existing data from 13 RCTs. It demonstrated that pretreatment of remifentanyl could reduce both the incidence and the severity of etomidate-induced myoclonus.

The results from searching in several databases showed that the existing comparative studies involved remifentanyl vs midazolam, remifentanyl vs fentanyl, and remifentanyl 2 ng/ml vs remifentanyl 4 ng/ml administered by the TCI system.

Figure 9 Funnel plots of effect estimates for various clinical outcomes.

Notes: (A) incidence of etomidate-induced myoclonus: remifentanyl vs saline (Begg's test, $P=0.029$; Egger's test, $P=0.001$); (B) incidence of etomidate-induced mild myoclonus: remifentanyl vs saline (Begg's test, $P=0.062$; Egger's test, $P=0.002$); (C) incidence of etomidate-induced moderate myoclonus: remifentanyl vs saline (Begg's test, $P=0.484$; Egger's test, $P=0.022$); (D) incidence of etomidate-induced severe myoclonus: remifentanyl vs saline (Begg's test, $P=0.312$; Egger's test, $P=0.941$).

Abbreviations: RR, risk ratio; SE, standard error.

As one of the short acting benzodiazepines, midazolam acts selectively through the GABA A receptor. And it has been investigated as one approach to preventing etomidate-induced myoclonus. According to our analysis, there was no significant difference between the use of remifentanyl and midazolam in reducing etomidate-induced myoclonus, but the patients who received remifentanyl experienced lower incidence of etomidate-induced severe myoclonus. However, we found that the conclusions from two included studies were opposite. The difference of effects on reducing myoclonus between midazolam 0.5 mg/kg with remifentanyl 1 µg/kg were compared by Hwang et al²¹ and the report indicated that no significant difference was found in the incidence of myoclonus between the two groups. Sedighinejad et al²⁵ reported the superiority of remifentanyl to midazolam. Based on the current evidences, the difference might result from the large gap of the dosage of midazolam (0.05 mg/kg vs 0.015 mg/kg). Particularly, there are currently too few data (only two relevant studies) to draw a satisfactory conclusion that remifentanyl was a preferable option compared to midazolam.

Fentanyl, another opioid, also acting as one intervention to reduce myoclonus, was evaluated in the present study. And the results from four RCTs comparing remifentanyl with fentanyl indicated that the former one was associated with significantly lower occurrences of myoclonus and low incidence of moderate and severe myoclonus. It is common knowledge that etomidate provides the stable hemodynamic parameters during anesthesia induction for its negligible effects on myocardial contractility. However, it does not reduce the sympathetic response to endotracheal intubation due to its lack of analgesic efficacy,^{28,29} which was reflected in the tendency for an increase in HR and SBP in patients after the endotracheal intubation. We also analyzed the occurrence of excessive hemodynamic changes (an increase in SBP) or HR of more than 30% of the baseline level) in patients who receiving remifentanyl and fentanyl. Compared with fentanyl, excessive hemodynamic changes after endotracheal intubation could be prevented effectively by using remifentanyl, which showed low numbers of patients who experienced great systolic blood pressure changes and HR changes.

The comparison between remifentanyl 2 ng/ml and remifentanyl 4 ng/ml administered by the TCI system was reported in three studies. The results of our analysis demonstrated that pretreatment with remifentanyl with a concentration of 4 ng/ml leads to a lower occurrence rate of myoclonus at different grades. However, the vast majority of side effects in the present study such as apnea, cough, sedation, chest rigidity,

and bradycardia was described in the patients receiving remifentanyl with a concentration of 4 ng/ml. Therefore, given the paucity of existing evidence, the pros and cons of each approach should be discussed in future studies.

As far as we know, the present study is the first meta-analysis to review the efficacy and safety of pretreatment with remifentanyl to alleviate etomidate-induced myoclonus. However, there are some limitations which should be taken into account. First, the results of Begg's test and Egger's test suggests that publication bias is present in the analysis of remifentanyl vs saline in reducing incidence of etomidate-induced myoclonus. This phenomenon might be incurred by the absence of trials with negative results, because the comparison was performed between the pharmacological intervention group and the saline control group. Even so, we cannot rule out that publication bias might lead to an overestimation of the true treatment effect. Second, sample sizes, quality, and design of the enrolled trials in the present study incurred limitations. Although we performed a thorough search including not only several international but also a Chinese database to make the existing evidence as comprehensive as possible, the evidence about pretreatment with remifentanyl to prevent etomidate-induced myoclonus was limited. Unfortunately, the full-text of one relevant study³⁰ could not be obtained after contacting the authors.

Conclusion

Pretreatment with remifentanyl could be considered as one effective way to reduce both incidence and severity of etomidate-induced myoclonus. Compared with using fentanyl and midazolam, remifentanyl might be considered as the preferable option for its efficacy in preventing both the myoclonus and excessive hemodynamic changes after endotracheal intubation. Nevertheless, more high-quality evidence with a large sample size is required. The best treatment and most suitable dosage to resolve such clinical practical problems remains to be established.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. Forman SA. Clinical and molecular pharmacology of etomidate. *Anesthesiology*. 2011;114(3):695–707. doi: 10.1097/ALN.0b013e3181ff72b5.
2. Holdcroft A, Morgan M, Whitwam JG, Lumley J. Effect of dose and premedication on induction complications with etomidate. *Br J Anaesth*. 1976;48:199–205. doi:10.1093/bja/48.3.199

3. Nyman Y, Von Hofsten K, Palm C, Eksborg S, Lönnqvist PA. Etomidate-lipuro is associated with considerably less injection pain in children compared with propofol with added lidocaine. *Br J Anaesth*. 2006;97(4):536–539. doi:10.1093/bja/ael187
4. Cotten JF, Husain SS, Forman SA, et al. Methoxycarbonyl-etomidate: a novel rapidly metabolized and ultra-short-acting etomidate analogue that does not produce prolonged adrenocortical suppression. *Anesthesiology*. 2009;111(2):240–249. doi:10.1097/ALN.0b013e3181ae63d1
5. Hueter L, Schwarzkopf K, Simon M, Bredle D, Fritz H. Pretreatment with sufentanil reduces myoclonus after etomidate. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2003;47(4):482–484. doi:10.1034/j.1399-6576.2003.00081.x
6. Hüter L, Schreiber T, Gugel M, Schwarzkopf K. Low-dose intravenous midazolam reduces etomidate-induced myoclonus: a prospective, randomized study in patients undergoing elective cardioversion. *Anesth Analg*. 2007;105(5):1298–1302. doi:10.1213/01.ane.0000287248.25610.c0
7. Choi JM, Choi IC, Jeong YB, Kim TH, Hahm KD. Pretreatment of rocuronium reduces the frequency and severity of etomidate-induced myoclonus. *J Clin Anesth*. 2008;20(8):601–604. doi:10.1016/j.jclinane.2008.06.010
8. Gultop F, Akkaya T, Bedirli N, Gumus H. Lidocaine pretreatment reduces the frequency and severity of myoclonus induced by etomidate. *J Anesth*. 2010;24(2):300–302. doi:10.1007/s00540-010-0869-6
9. Glass PS, Hardman D, Kamiyama Y, et al. Preliminary pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of an ultra-short-acting opioid: remifentanyl (GI87084B). *Anesth Analg*. 1993;77:1031–1040. doi:10.1213/0000539-199311000-00028
10. Kelsaka E, Karakaya D, Sarihasan B, Baris S. Remifentanyl pretreatment reduces myoclonus after etomidate. *J Clin Anesth*. 2006;18(2):83–86. doi:10.1016/j.jclinane.2005.05.004
11. Ri HS, Shin SW, Kim TK, Baik SW, Yoon JU, Byeon GJ. The proper effect site concentration of remifentanyl for prevention of myoclonus after etomidate injection. *Korean J Anesthesiol*. 2011;61(2):127–132. doi:10.4097/kjae.2011.61.2.127
12. Ko BJ, Oh JN, Lee JH, Choi SR, Lee SC, Chung CJ. Comparison of effects of fentanyl and remifentanyl on hemodynamic response to endotracheal intubation and myoclonus in elderly patients with etomidate induction. *Korean J Anesthesiol*. 2013;64(1):12–18.
13. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG; PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *BMJ*. 2009;339:b2535.
14. Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, et al.; Cochrane Statistical Methods Group. The Cochrane collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. 343;2011:d5928. doi:10.1136/bmj.d5928
15. Higgins JP, Altman DG, Sterne JA, editors. Chapter 8: Assessing risk of bias in included studies. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*. London: The Cochrane Collaboration; 2008:187–241.
16. Lee SW, Gill HJ, Park SC, et al. The effect of remifentanyl for reducing myoclonus during induction of anesthesia with etomidate. *Korean J Anesthesiol*. 2009;57(4):438–443. doi:10.4097/kjae.2009.57.4.438
17. Zou L, Yuan H, Wang HY, Geng ZY, Xu L, Sun L. [Role of target controlled infusion of remifentanyl for the prevention of etomidate induced myoclonus during general anesthesia]. *Acta Acad Med Sin*. 2013;35(1):112–115. Chinese.
18. Zhao XH, Li JB, Deng XM. [Remifentanyl pretreatment reduces myoclonus after etomidate]. *J Clin Anesthesiol*. 2008;24:482–483. Chinese.
19. Sun ZC, Huang XL, Zhang RJ, Qi C, Gao L. [Reducing etomidate injection induced myoclonus symptom by remifentanyl target control infusion]. *J Trop Med*. 2012;12(11):1367–1369. Chinese.
20. Yuan LL, Wen XB, Zhou W, Xu DP. [Effects of remifentanyl on myoclonus induced by etomidate]. *Clin J Med Offic*. 2012;40(2):298–299. Chinese.
21. Hwang JY, Kim JH, Oh AY, Do SH, Jeon YT, Han SH. A comparison of midazolam with remifentanyl for the prevention of myoclonic movements following etomidate injection. *J Int Med Res*. 2008;36(1):17–22. doi:10.1177/147323000803600103
22. Chen Y, Wang GL, Yu YH. [Effects of different opioids on myoclonus and bispectral index during etomidate induction]. *Tianjin Med J*. 2009;37(5):368–370. Chinese.
23. Song ZG, Zhang ZP, Fang NN, Li X, Sun GH. [Comparison of effects of fentanyl, remifentanyl and sufentanil on hemodynamic response to endotracheal intubation and myoclonus in elderly patients with etomidate induction]. *Pract Pharm Clin Remedies*. 2014;17(10):1262–1265. Chinese.
24. Xie YF, Song DD, Zhou J, Liu GL. [Preventive effect of fentanyl and remifentanyl on myoclonus induced by etomidate in elderly patients]. *Pract Pharm Clin Remedies*. 2016;19(2):187–189. Chinese.
25. Sedighinejad A, Naderi Nabi B, Haghighi M, et al. Comparison of the effects of low-dose midazolam, magnesium sulfate, remifentanyl and low-dose etomidate on prevention of etomidate-induced myoclonus in orthopedic surgeries. *Anesth Pain Med*. 2016;6(2):e35333. doi:10.5812/aapm.35333
26. Doenicke AW, Roizen MF, Kugler J, Kroll H, Foss J, Ostwald P. Reducing myoclonus after etomidate. *Anesthesiology*. 1999;90(1):113–119. doi:10.1097/0000542-199901000-00017
27. Guarracino F, Lapolla F, Cariello C, et al. Target controlled infusion: TCI. *Minerva Anesthesiol*. 2005;71(6):335–337.
28. Nauta J, Stanley TH, de Lange S, Koopman D, Spierdijk J, van Kleef J. Anaesthetic induction with alfentanil: comparison with thiopental, midazolam, and etomidate. *Can Anaesth Soc J*. 1983;30:53–60. doi:10.1007/BF03007717
29. Giese JL, Stockham RJ, Stanley TH, Pace NL, Nelissen RH. Etomidate versus thiopental for induction of anesthesia. *Anesth Analg*. 1985;64:871–876. doi:10.1213/0000539-198509000-00006
30. Safavi M, Honarmand A, Zolfaghari S. Comparing the effect of sodium thiopental, magnesium sulfate, midazolam, prime etomidate, and remifentanyl on myoclonus incidence and pain induced by anesthesia with etomidate. *J Isfahan Med Sch*. 2018;35(453):1515–1520.

Drug Design, Development and Therapy

Publish your work in this journal

Drug Design, Development and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that spans the spectrum of drug design and development through to clinical applications. Clinical outcomes, patient safety, and programs for the development and effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines are a feature of the journal, which has also

been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/drug-design-development-and-therapy-journal>

Dovepress