Current perspective on the role of insulin and glucagon in the pathogenesis and treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus
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Abstract: According to the World Health Organization, 422 million adults worldwide live with diabetes mellitus (DM), a significant portion of whom have type 2 diabetes. The discovery of insulin as a key regulator of glucose metabolism has revolutionized our understanding of DM and provided several therapeutic avenues. Most studies have so far predominantly focused on the role of insulin in type 2 diabetes. However, the balance between insulin and glucagon is essential in ensuring glucose homeostasis. In this review, we begin by evaluating the principal differences between insulin and glucagon with regard to their mechanism and control of their secretion. Next, we discuss their mode of action and effects on metabolism. We further explore how the two hormones impact the natural history of type 2 diabetes. Finally, we outline how current and emerging pharmacological agents attempt to exploit the properties of insulin and glucagon to benefit patients with type 2 diabetes.
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Introduction
The discovery of insulin and glucagon as regulators of glucose metabolism has revolutionized our understanding of diabetes. Insulin is a 51-amino-acid peptide processed from proinsulin and secreted in pulses by the β-cells in the pancreatic islet of Langerhans.1 Insulin’s hypoglycaemic effect was first demonstrated by Banting and Best after successfully lowering blood glucose levels in a dog, following removal of the pancreas. Shortly after insulin’s discovery, Murlin et al2 suggested the effect of glucagon as a hyperglycaemic agent. In 1948, Sutherland and de Duve3 defined the α-cells of the islet of Langerhans as a source of this hormone. Glucagon is a 29-amino-acid peptide cleaved from proglucagon. These hormones respond to several nutritional,4 hormonal and neural5 factors. Their coordination is essential for glucose homeostasis.6

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a metabolic disorder characterized by hyperglycemia. The global prevalence of DM has increased dramatically over the years, with 422 million affected adults7 in 2014, a figure that will almost double by 2040.8 Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) accounts for more than 90%9 of diabetes and typically features insulin resistance or insulin secretory defects.10 Understanding the role of insulin and glucagon in human physiology can provide novel therapeutic targets for the treatment of T2DM. This review will discuss the fundamental differences between
insulin and glucagon and evaluate evidence supporting their impact on the natural history of T2DM.

The primary stimulant for insulin secretion from the pancreatic β-cell is hyperglycemia.\textsuperscript{11} Glucose is transported into the β-cell by the protein Glucose Transporter 2 (GLUT2).\textsuperscript{12} Following its entry, glucose is phosphorylated to glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) by the enzyme glucokinase. Further metabolism of G6P generates ATP.\textsuperscript{11} Studies\textsuperscript{13,14} show that K\textsuperscript{+} channels located on β-cells are sensitive to ATP and are responsible for the depolarization of the cell membrane. Closure of these K\textsuperscript{+} channels increases the influx of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ions through L-type voltage-dependent calcium channels (L-VDCC). This entry raises cytosolic-free Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration which is considered to stimulate insulin exocytosis.\textsuperscript{15} This mechanism of insulin release is referred to as the “K\textsubscript{ATP} channel-dependent” pathway (Figure 1). Evidence also indicates a different mechanism which is independent of the K\textsubscript{ATP} channels.\textsuperscript{16,17} In these studies, closure of the K\textsuperscript{+} channel was prevented using K\textsuperscript{+} channel antagonists. The studies reported that glucose continued to augment Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx resulting in insulin release. Taken together, the findings suggest that glucose controls insulin via two mechanisms.

Lang et al\textsuperscript{1} proposed that control of insulin secretion by glucose occurred in a pulsatile manner. The group measured plasma glucose and insulin concentration every minute for 2 hrs in ten subjects. The frequent sampling interval increases the accuracy of their results allowing identification of any anomalies. In five subjects, there was a regular cycle of basal plasma insulin concentration. A concurrent plasma glucose cycle was also demonstrated which began 2 mins in advance of the plasma insulin.

Furthermore, the incretin hormone Glucagon-like-peptide 1 (GLP-1) is considered to be an important regulator of insulin secretion. The GLP-1 receptor (GLP-1R) has been identified on β-cells.\textsuperscript{18} Gromada et al\textsuperscript{19} demonstrated GLP-1 mediates Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-induced insulin secretion.
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**Figure 1** Mechanism of insulin secretion from β-cells in response to glucose (left) and GLP-1 (right).
Glucose and fatty acids are known to stimulate GLP-1 release from the distant ileum and colon. The enzyme dipeptidyl peptidase-4 is responsible for its degradation.20

The mechanism by which pancreatic α-cells release glucagon during hypoglycemia is widely debated. Several studies19,21,22 support the intrinsic model of glycemic control by α-cells. This model suggests activation of voltage-gated Na⁺ channels23 and VDCC drives glucagon exocytosis. Quesada and colleagues24 investigated the effects of glucose concentration on intracellular Ca²⁺ levels in both α- and β-cells of five human subjects. The group measured the intensity of intracellular Ca²⁺ signalling at increasing glucose concentration using the Ca²⁺-sensitive dye Fluo-3. However, Fluo-3 is a non-ratiometric probe which is susceptible to external artifacts. This limitation could have been addressed by using a ratiometric probe for a more reliable measurement of Ca²⁺ signals. The study also conducted confocal imaging microscopy of cytosolic Ca²⁺ concentration. Confocal microscopes have a narrower depth of field than fluorescent and light microscopes and also eliminate background artifacts. This technique allows the authors to evaluate intracellular Ca²⁺ signals in individual cells and compare cell-to-cell characteristics. The results indicated that low glucose concentration electrical activity initiates pulsatile Ca²⁺ signals in α-cells. Conversely, at higher glucose levels, Ca²⁺ signaling was more potent stimulated in β-cells. Together, these results imply that α- and β-cells have opposite Ca²⁺ signaling patterns in response to glucose.

The intrinsic model also proposes that α-cell secretion of glucagon is mediated by the Kₐtp-dependent pathway. MacDonald et al25 proposed that low glucose levels activate Kₐtp channel creating a membrane potential around ~60 mV. At this voltage, T- and N-type VDCC and VGNC on α-cells are open. Subsequent influx of Ca²⁺ via VDCC results in glucagon secretion. High influx of glucose via GLUT 1 in α-cells increases intracellular ATP which blocks Kₐtp channel activity. As a result, the membrane potential of the α-cells falls within a range where the voltage-dependent channels are closed. Consequently, Ca²⁺ influx and glucagon secretion are inhibited. This study was conducted on intact islet cells in both rodents and humans. The results were replicated in both species. However, the authors neither specify their sample size nor provide a power calculation.

Alternatively, many studies suggest glucagon secretion is controlled by paracrine factors released by neighboring β- and δ-cells in response to glucose levels. Recent observation in isolated rat α-cells26 highlighted increasing glucose concentration continues to stimulate rather than inhibit glucagon release. This effect reverses following administration of somatostatin,26 GABA,27 insulin and Zn²⁺.28 Study by Franklin and colleagues28 demonstrated that Zn²⁺ and insulin secretion from β-cells suppress glucagon during hyperglycemia exocytosis by acting on α-cells. These findings are argued by Cheng-Xue et al26, who revealed that glucose can inhibit glucagon secretion independently of Zn²⁺.

Mode of action and effect on metabolism

The effects of insulin are mediated by binding to its specific tyrosine kinase transmembrane receptor.29 The insulin receptor (IR) is a tetrameric protein with two extracellular α-subunits and two intracellular β-subunits. IR is present in both peripheral and central tissues. In peripheral tissues, insulin mediates metabolism via downstream activation of phosphatidylinositol 3 kinase (P13K) and insulin receptor substrate (IRS). The IRS-P13K pathway results in activation of different kinases. Recent evidence suggests that insulin’s action on hepatocytes stimulates glycolysis and lipogenesis via the protein complex mTORC2.30 Insulin is also considered to reduce gluconeogenesis by downregulating the Forkhead box class O transcription factors.31 Further effects on metabolism also include glycogen and protein synthesis.

Moreover, activation of the IRS-P13K enzyme system has been shown to increase glucose uptake in peripheral tissues. Recent studies32–34 suggest insulin also signals via the IRS-P13K system in the hypothalamus to reduce hepatic glucose output. Obici et al33 assessed hepatic glucose production by administering intracerebroventricular (ICV) injections of insulin in male rats and comparing with
vehicle-treated controls. The group also evaluated hepatic insulin sensitivity through systemic pancreatic-insulin clamp studies. The authors found glucose production significantly reduced during ICV infusion of insulin compared to the vehicle-treated mice. The study concluded that ICV infusion of insulin reduces hepatic glucose production by increasing hepatic insulin sensitivity. However, the authors do not specify whether the mice were pair-fed over the course of the experiment. As a result, it is not certain whether varying food intake amongst the mice impacted glucose production. Further study in mice by Pocai et al\textsuperscript{34} shows that ICV insulin infusion inhibits hepatic glucogenogenesis by activating \( K_{\text{ATP}} \) channels in the mediobasal hypothalamus. The group also found that ICV administration of diazoxide downregulates mRNA expression of hepatic glucose-6-phosphatase (G6Pase) and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK). These enzymes are drivers of gluconeogenesis in the liver. Moreover, hepatic vagotomy seemed to nullify this effect. Taken as a whole, these rodent studies suggest that insulin activity in the hypothalamus may signal to the liver via the vagus nerve to inhibit gluconeogenesis. Due to a difference between hypothalamic structure, it is not conclusive if insulin also mediates glucose metabolism in humans along this neuronal pathway.

Glucagon’s action is also initiated following binding to its receptor. Unlike IR, the glucagon receptor (GR) is a seven transmembrane protein and member of class B G-protein-coupled family receptor.\textsuperscript{35} The distribution pattern of these receptors also differs from IR. Dot blot analysis and RNase protection assays revealed that GR is most abundantly expressed in liver and kidneys.\textsuperscript{36} GR activation is coupled to a GTP-binding G protein, which leads to subsequent activation of cAMP and PKA. GR stimulation can also stimulate the phospholipase C-inositol phosphate pathway via Gq proteins resulting in intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} store secretion.\textsuperscript{35} Glucagon increases hepatic glucose output by potentiating glycolysis and gluconeogenesis. Evidence shows\textsuperscript{37} glucagon upregulates transcription of the G6Pase and PEPCK gene through stimulation of the cAMP response element-binding protein and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor \( \gamma \)-coactivator-1. Stimulation of these enzymes drives both gluconeogenesis and glycogenolysis. Studies\textsuperscript{38,39} have also suggested that glucagon stimulates breakdown of fatty acids. In a recent investigation, Arafat et al\textsuperscript{40} proposed glucagon potentiates lipolysis by acting on fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF21). FGF21 has been previously shown to regulate lipolysis.\textsuperscript{40} This study reported that exogenous glucagon administration in isolated adipocytes induced lipolysis in both humans and rodents. The study also claimed glucagon increased circulating FGF21 in both species. However, the authors report these results were not replicated in vivo. The group also administered a relatively high dose of exogenous glucagon. Therefore, it is not conclusive if glucagon levels in humans will increase FGF21 and induce lipolysis. In addition to its role in metabolism, glucagon also plays a role in energy expenditure. Davidson et al\textsuperscript{41} demonstrated that infusing glucagon in rats increases their oxygen consumption. Further studies in rats\textsuperscript{42,43} have shown that glucagon administration leads to an increase in whole-body oxygen consumption, core body temperature, flood flow and mass of brown adipose tissue. This observation was also seen when conducted in human volunteers, in whom energy expenditure was increased following infusion of glucagon.\textsuperscript{44}

### Insulin, glucagon and the natural history of type 2 diabetes

Studies have widely examined the role insulin plays in developing T2DM. Martin et al\textsuperscript{45} followed 155 subjects from 86 families over 25 years. Although the sample size is relatively small, the length of the follow-up strengthens the study’s protocol. The group used intravenous glucose tolerance test with the minimum model assessment to estimate insulin sensitivity (S\textsubscript{t}). The authors reported subjects who developed T2DM had lower values of Si. This indicates that insulin resistance is an important factor in the pathophysiology of T2DM. Moreover, Godsland et al\textsuperscript{46} investigated \( \beta \)-cell insulin secretion at increasing fasting plasma glucose (FPG) concentrations. The study measured acute insulin response to glucose (AIRg) levels in 466 nondiabetic men. The authors reported a steep decline in AIRg levels after FPG levels of 5mmol/L. Taken together, these studies show that both insulin resistance and loss of \( \beta \)-cell function are implicated in the natural history of T2DM.

The impact of glucagon has also been recognized in diabetic patients.\textsuperscript{47} Normal response to a carbohydrate meal involves a rise in insulin levels and a reciprocal decrease in glucagon concentrations.\textsuperscript{48} In contrast, in patients with T2DM, postprandial insulin secretion is depressed, whereas glucagon levels are increased. Shah et al\textsuperscript{49} hypothesized that lack of \( \alpha \)-cell suppression due to impaired insulin secretion in
T2DM resulted in hyperglycemia. Their study evaluated the effect of glucagon suppression on glucose concentration in humans. The authors infused insulin and glucose into the systemic circulation at a rate which mimicked postprandial profiles in diabetic patients. The study reported that lack of glucagon suppression resulted in a significantly increased peak plasma glucose concentration (P<0.002) compared to glucagon-suppressed diabetic profile. From these results, the authors concluded failure of glucagon suppression in diabetic patients causes hyperglycemia. However, the study only assessed one postprandial insulin profile. In T2DM, insulin secretion varies greatly; therefore, the results of this study are limited only to this study. A recent rodent study has also suggested that β-cells dedifferentiate under stress to progenitor pluripotent cells which secrete glucagon. Whilst this study may highlight a further mechanism of increased glucagon secretion, further studies must investigate whether this process occurs in human β-cells and its involvement in the pathogenesis of T2DM.

**Targeting insulin in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus**

The majority of current pharmacological treatments for type 2 diabetes target either increasing insulin secretion or potentiating its effect.

**Metformin**

Metformin is a drug in the biguanide class usually given as first-line pharmacological therapy to patients with T2DM. It is proposed that metformin may reduce serum glucose level by several different mechanisms. It potentiates the effects of insulin and is commonly thought of as an “insulin sensitizer”. Rodent and human studies have shown that metformin may act on IR and increase the activity of the IRS-PI3K pathway through the enzyme adenosine monophosphate kinase, thus resulting in the inhibition of key enzymes involved in gluconeogenesis and glycogen synthesis in the liver whilst stimulating insulin signaling and glucose transport in muscles. Furthermore, metformin increases the peripheral glucose disposal that arises largely through increased nonoxidative glucose disposal into skeletal muscle. In more recent years, there have been further studies to suggest that one of the main sites of actions of metformin may be the intestines.

Significant adverse effects of metformin are yet to be reported. However, it can lead to lactic acidosis through inhibition of the cori cycle resulting in nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain and palpitations. Consequently, the drug is usually not advised in patients with end-stage renal failure.

**Sulfonylurea**

Some drugs also aim to increase insulin secretion from β-cells. Sulfonylureas are a class of drug which binds to KATP channels on β-cells reducing K+ inflow. This initiates Ca2+-induced insulin release. However, their mechanism of action requires the presence of residual pancreatic β-cells. Currently, sulfonylureas are used to control hyperglycemia in T2DM-affected patients who cannot achieve appropriate control with changes in diet alone. Known side effects of sulfonylureas include hypoglycemia, weight gain, photosensitivity and in rare cases sulfonylureas can cause hypnatremia by increasing the effect of anti-diuretic hormone on the collecting ducts. It can further cause skin reactions like erythema multiforme and exfoliative dermatitis.

**Thiazolidinediones**

This class of drugs works via the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR-γ) pathway. The PPAR-γ are nuclear receptors whose endogenous ligands are free fatty acids. Upon stimulation, the receptor binds DNA with the retinoid X receptor resulting in the transcription of specific genes. The up-regulation of these genes results in numerous responses including increase in insulin sensitivity, increase in adiponectin levels, decrease in leptin levels and decrease in certain interleukins such as IL-6. Rosiglitazone was one of the first classes of these drugs, marketed in 1999. Whilst the drug proved to be effective at reducing blood glucose levels, there were several reports of its adverse effects leading to heart failure, heart attacks, strokes, bone fractures and death. Currently, pioglitazone, is the only form of this drug available for medical use in the UK. It is recommended that the drug is started in combination with metformin when metformin alone has failed to achieve adequate blood glucose levels. Alternatively, pioglitazone may be given first line in circumstances where metformin is contraindicated. The blood glucose-lowering effects of thiazolidinedione can take time to be seen, with pioglitazone taking up to 4 months to achieve its maximal effects.

**Insulin analogs**

Whilst insulin analogs are commonly used as therapeutic options for patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM), the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence advocates the use of insulin in those who are not responding to
a combination of the other pharmacological agents. Many small-scale studies have also suggested that commencing insulin in patients with T2DM can potentially induce remission for up to 2 years. One of the major adverse effects of insulin therapy is the risk of developing hypoglycemia, especially nocturnally. Therefore, patients must be reminded and educated on how to recognize the symptoms associated with hypoglycemia. Moreover, due to insulin’s lipogenic properties, patients often also complain of weight gain as a side effect. There are many models of insulin therapy, including basal, prandial and biphasic insulin. The American Diabetes Association and European Association for the Study of Diabetes advise starting on basal insulin, like glargine and detemir based on its relative efficacy and safety.

Targeting glucagon in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus

Targeting the incretin pathway has provided therapeutic benefits for patients with T2DM in reducing postprandial glucagon level.

GLP-1 analogs

GLP-1 analogs were first marketed in 2005. Exenatide, which shares approximately a 50% homology to the GLP-1 receptor, was derived from the saliva of the Glia monster and demonstrated considerable promise at reducing blood glucose levels. This drug works as an incretin effect and reduces blood glucose by stimulating insulin release and preventing glucagon secretion. The levels of GLP-1 seem to increase postprandially. Therefore, it has been suggested that GLP-1 is a key regulator in inducing satiety. This is further supported by the observation that patients have an increase in GLP-1 secretion following gastric bypass. This property of GLP-1 has sparked further interest in targeting the GLP-1 receptor to manufacture effective antiobesity drugs which may prevent progression to T2DM. Currently, GLP-1 analogs such as exenatide and liraglutide are recommended when maximum oral hypoglycaemic therapy with metformin and sulfonylurea have failed to achieve the desired blood glucose levels. Indeed, due to their effect of satiety, they are also recommended for patients to lower their body mass index.

DPP4 inhibitors

DPP-4 inhibitors are used to reduce GLP-1 degradation. Subsequently, raised levels of endogenous GLP-1 potentiate insulin secretion, inhibits glucagon secretion whilst also inducing satiety. Currently, it is recommended that DPP-4s such as the “gliptins” (e.g. sitagliptins) are added when metformin alone has not achieved optimal glycemic control. Moreover, they are also recommended as first line in patients with T2DM in whom metformin is contraindicated as 1st line.

Reported side effects of these classes of drugs include nasopharyngitis, headache, nausea and skin reactions. In addition, it may also cause hypoglycemia in those taking sulfonylurea alongside DPP-4 inhibitors.

Further developments in targeting glucagon in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus

In recent years, there have been several more attempts to develop drugs that blunt glucagon secretion or impair its action. A variety of compounds have been identified as a potential target to achieve these results including glucagon-derived peptides, non-peptidic small molecules, monoclonal antibodies and antisense oligonucleotides. Early results in various animal models of diabetes were encouraging, and several pharmaceutical companies have since initiated phase I and phase II clinical trials.

The first drug which targeted the glucagon receptor was Bay 27-9955 in 2001. Healthy male volunteers were given exogenous glucagon which elevated plasma glucose concentration. During this hyperglucagonemic period, oral administration of Bay 27-9955 reduced glucose production in a dose-dependent manner. Although continued development of the drug was not pursued, it was the first demonstration that an effective competitive glucagon antagonist could work in humans.

Over 10 years later, another GRA, MK-0893, was developed by Merck and reached phase II clinical trials. Over a 12-week period, type 2 diabetes patients were given a daily, oral dose (2080 mg) of MK-0893. Their results showed a dose-dependent decrease in both fasting and postprandial plasma glucose levels. However, in some patients, there was also significant elevation in plasma LDL, plasma cholesterol and liver transaminase levels, as well as increases in body weight and blood pressure, leading to MK-0983 being discontinued.

Recently, another GRA entered phase II clinical trials; 10–60 mg of Eli Lilly’s LY-2409021 was given to type 2 diabetes patients once daily for 24 weeks. It reduced fasting and postprandial plasma glucose and glycosylated HbA1c levels, with good tolerability and the incidence of
hypoglycaemic episodes was not statistically significant from placebo. However, there was also a dose-dependent increase in mean serum aminotransferase levels. Several patients had reversible increases in alanine and aspartate (over three times normal) which exceeded the US Food and Drug Administration limits for drug-induced liver injury. Consequently, the overall risk-benefit profile did not support the clinical development of LY2409021.

Eli Lilly also had a monoclonal antibody drug in phase I/II clinical trials, LY-2786890, a humanized IgG4. It significantly reduced fasting plasma glucose in a dose-dependent manner, with infrequent, mild hypoglycaemic episodes. However, similar to LY-2409021, there were dose-dependent elevations in liver aminotransferase levels and further investigation was halted.

Finally, Ionis Pharmaceuticals have an antisense oligonucleotide drug currently in phase II trials. ISIS-GCGRRx antagonizes glucagon by reducing glucagon receptor mRNA expression. A preliminary study in T2DM patients showed tighter glucose control (assessed by fasting plasma glucose and HbA1c), with no hypoglycaemia but again mild increases in aminotransferases (similar to other GRAs). A further study gave T2DM patients once-weekly 50 and 75 mg IONIS-GCGRRx. A substantial number of these patients showed robust and sustained reductions in HbA1c after 26 weeks, with no clinically meaningful increases in liver enzymes. With this favorable safety and efficacy profile, IONIS are advancing this drug to phase II clinical trials. Furthermore, the high specificity of antisense technology causes no drug–drug interaction concerns, and thus, this class of drugs could be given in combination with other antidiabetic agents.

Thus, it appears that most GRAs are not close to being approved for use in clinical practice. Although the efficacy profile of these small molecules is promising, the side effects do not outweigh the beneficial glucose reduction. These include weight gain, increases in blood pressure, increases in LDL and cholesterol, increase in fatty liver and changes in liver enzymes which could further aggravate the cardiovascular risk in T2DM patients.

Conclusions
There have been significant efforts to understand the influence and actions of insulin and glucagon in controlling glucose metabolism. As a result, many different pharmacological sites have been identified for treating T2DM. Despite these advances, the global prevalence of diabetes is sharply increasing. The impact of insulin in the development of T2DM has been widely studied. However, there is still relatively limited knowledge about the dysregulation of α-cells in T2DM. Whilst rodent studies continue to provide insights into the mechanism by which glucagon regulates glucose homeostasis, further research in human subjects is required to establish the role of glucagon in T2DM and how its role may be exploited therapeutically.
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