Long non-coding RNA CASC2 serves as a ceRNA of microRNA-21 to promote PDCD4 expression in oral squamous cell carcinoma

Background: Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is a common oral disease with high morbidity and mortality. Recently, long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) were identified as critical regulators in OSCC tumorigenesis. The present study aimed to work out the functions and the possible molecular mechanisms of lncRNA CASC2 in human OSCC.

Methods: The expression levels of CASC2 in clinical OSCC tissue samples and cultured OSCC cell lines were detected by RT-qPCR analysis. MTT assay was performed to detect the proliferation ability of OSCC cells, whereas the apoptosis rate and cell cycle distribution of OSCC cells were determined by flow cytometric analysis. The expression levels of relevant proteins were detected by Western blot assay. Dual-luciferase reporter assay was performed to validate the predicted relationship between CASC2, miR-21 and PDCD4. The role of CASC2 in OSCC tumorigenesis in vivo was evaluated using a nude mouse tumor model.

Results: The results demonstrated that CASC2 was significantly downregulated in clinical OSCC tissue samples and cultured OSCC cell lines. Low CASC2 expression was closely correlated with adverse clinicopathological characteristics of OSCC patients. Functionally, overexpression of CASC2 remarkably inhibited cell proliferation partly through inducing cell cycle arrest and cell apoptosis. Furthermore, bioinformatics analysis and dual-luciferase reporter assay showed that CASC2 might act as a competing endogenous RNA of miR-21 to promote the expression of PDCD4. Rescue experiments also showed that miR-21 blocked the tumor-suppressive role that CASC2 exerted in OSCC cells. Finally, in vivo study indicated that overexpression of CASC2 restrained OSCC tumor growth in volume and weight.

Conclusion: In conclusion, these findings indicate that CASC2/miR-21/PDCD4 axis might be a potential regulator of OSCC tumorigenesis, and shed new light on lncRNA-directed diagnostics and therapeutics in OSCC.
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Introduction
Oral cancer is one of the 10 most common cancers worldwide, and oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is the predominant histological type of oral cancer.1 Despite the improved diagnostic and therapeutic methods in recent years, the 5-year survival rate of OSCC patients remains approximately 50%.2 Consequently, there is a compelling need for better understanding of the potential molecular mechanisms underlying OSCC progression.
Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) belong to a subgroup of non-coding RNAs, whose length is more than 200 nucleotides. LncRNAs are deeply implicated in diverse biological courses, including cellular differentiation, cell lineage choice, organogenesis and tissue homeostasis, and recently, many lncRNAs are also known to play a key regulatory role in cancer biology.

The lncRNA Cancer Susceptibility Candidate 2 (CASC2), that maps to human chromosome 10q26, has been frequently featured as a tumor suppressor in a wide variety of human cancers, such as bladder cancer, papillary thyroid cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma. However, the expression and function of CASC2 in OSCC remain to be further elucidated.

In the present study, we aimed to investigate the expression levels of CASC2 in human OSCC tissues and cell lines. Further experiments were performed to explore the biological functions of CASC2 with respect to OSCC cell phenotypes in vitro and in vivo.

**Materials and methods**

**Patients and tissue samples**

Paired tumor specimens and their adjacent non-tumor tissues were derived from 69 OSCC patients who underwent surgical resection at Jinan Stomatological Hospital (Jinan, China) between Jan 2016 and May 2018. None of the patients recruited in this study received any pre-operative chemotherapy or radiotherapy. All these tissue samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until further use. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and was approved by the Ethics Committees of Jinan Stomatological Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Cell culture**

OSCC cell lines (Tca8113, SCC-9, TSCCa, CAL-27) and normal oral keratinocyte (NOK) cell line, obtained from the Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China), were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM; Invitrogen; Carlsbad, CA, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Biowest, Loire, France), 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin in culture flasks at 37 °C with 5% CO₂.

**Cell transfection**

miR-21 mimics and its negative control were purchased from RiboBio Co., Ltd. (Guangzhou, China). The full-length CASC2 cDNA was amplified and cloned into the pcDNA3.1 vector (Invitrogen). Cells were seeded in 24-well plates and transfected with the vectors or oligonucleotides using Lipofectamine 2000 Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen). Transfection efficiency was validated at 48 h after transfection.

**RNA extraction and RT-qPCR analysis**

Total RNA was extracted from tissues/cells using Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen). 1–3 µg RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using the PrimeScript RT reagent Kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) or commercial miRNA reverse transcription PCR kit (RiboBio). qPCR assay was then performed using the SYBR Premix Ex Taq™ II kit (TaKaRa), primers and cDNA templates on the ABI PRISM 7900 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The 2−ΔΔCt method was used for data quantification, and GAPDH or U6 was used as the internal control.

**MTT assay**

Cell proliferation was measured using 3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. 48 h after transfection, the cells were seeded at a density of 3×10³ cells/well in 96-well plates. At indicated time points, 20 µl MTT regents (5 mg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was supplemented into each well, and the plates were incubated for another 4 h. Then the supernatant was removed before the addition of 150 µl DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich). A microplate reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) was used to measure the absorbance at 570 nm.

**Cell apoptosis analysis**

Cell apoptosis was evaluated using the Annexin-V-FITC Apoptosis Detection Kit (BD Biosciences, Franklin lakes, NJ, USA). 48 h after transfection, the cells were collected, washed with PBS, resuspended in binding buffer and stained with 5 µl of Annexin V-FITC and 2 µl of propidium iodide (PI) in dark at room temperature for 30 min. The samples were then analyzed by FACScan flow cytometer (BD Biosciences).
Cell cycle analysis

48 h after transfection, the cells were harvested, washed with PBS and fixed with 70% ethanol for 4 h. The fixed cells were resuspended in PBS containing RNase A (10 mg/ml; BD Biosciences) and stained with PI in dark at room temperature for 30 min. Cell cycle distribution was detected and analyzed by FACSscan flow cytometer.

Western blot analysis

Total protein was extracted from tissues/cells using radioimmunoprecipitation-assay buffer (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) and quantified using a BCA kit (Beyotime). Identical quantities of proteins were separated on SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, and then transferred onto the polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). The membranes were blocked in 5% non-fat milk at room temperature for 2 h, and then incubated with specific primary antibodies against PDCD4 (1:1000; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA), Bax (1:1000; Abcam), Bcl-2 (1:1000; Abcam) and GAPDH (1:1000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Dallas, TX, USA) at 4 °C for one night. The membranes were then incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody at room temperature for 2 h. The signals were visualized by the enhanced chemiluminescence detection kit (Millipore). GAPDH was used as the internal control.

Dual-luciferase reporter assay

Partial CASC2 sequences or PDCD4 3′-UTR fragments containing WT or MUT miR-21 binding site were amplified by PCR and inserted into psiCHECK-2 luciferase reporter vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). HEK293T cells were seeded in 24-well plates and co-transfected with the luciferase report vectors and miR-21 mimics or its negative control using Lipofectamine 2000 Transfection Reagent. After 48 h of transfection, cells were harvested, and the luciferase activities were measured by using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega).

Xenografts in nude mice

The male athymic BALB/c nude mice (4–5 weeks of age) were obtained from Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal, Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) and housed under SPF condition. Tca8113 cells (5×10⁶ cells) were suspended in 150 μl PBS and then subcutaneously injected into the left side of the posterior flank of nude mice. The width (W) and length (L) of the tumors were measured using a caliper every 3 days, and the volume (V) was calculated using the formula: \( V = \frac{1}{2} \times W^2 \times L \). After 21 days, the mice euthanized, and the tumors were excised and weighted. All experimental procedures involving the use of animals were approved by the Ethics Committees of Jinan Stomatological Hospital, and great efforts were given to reduce animal suffering, according to the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 6.0 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA) and SPSS 23.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). The differences between groups were analyzed using Student’s t-test or one-way ANOVA test. The associations between CASC2 expression and the clinicopathological characteristics of OSCC patients were examined using the \( \chi^2 \) test. A value of \( P<0.05 \) was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

CASC2 is underexpressed in OSCC

Firstly, RT-qPCR analysis was performed to detect the CASC2 expression in OSCC cell lines, and the result indicated that CASC2 was underexpressed in four OSCC cell lines (Tca8113, SCC-9, TSCCa, CAL-27) compared with that in NOK cells (Figure 1A). In addition, we found that the expression levels of CASC2 were also significantly decreased in human OSCC tissues compared with the adjacent non-tumor tissues (Figure 1B). The patients were then divided into two groups: CASC2 high expression group (n=30) and CASC2 low expression group (n=39), according to the median CASC2 expression in OSCC tissues. As shown in Table 1, the expression level of CASC2 in OSCC patients was significantly correlated with lymph node metastasis (\( P=0.024 \)) and TNM stage (\( P=0.039 \)).

CASC2 inhibits cell proliferation and induces cell apoptosis in OSCC

To explore the functions of CASC2 in OSCC tumorigenesis, CASC2 overexpression plasmid pcDNA3.1-CASC2 was transfected into Tca8113 and TSCCa cells, which expressed lowest CASC2 levels among four OSCC cell lines. 48 h after transfection, RT-qPCR analysis confirmed that CASC2 was overexpressed in Tca8113 and TSCCa cells (Figure 2A). MTT assay showed that overexpression of CASC2 notably inhibited the proliferative abilities of
Tca8113 and TSCCa cells (Figure 2B). Next, we determined the effects of CASC2 on the cell cycle and apoptosis of OSCC cells by flow cytometric analysis. We found that CASC2 overexpression significantly increased the apoptosis levels of Tca8113 and TSCCa cells (Figure 2C). We also observed that CASC2 overexpression induced a cell cycle arrest at G0/G1 phase, and the cells distributed at S and G2/M phases in both Tca8113 and TSCCa cells were deceased (Figure 2D).

**CASC2 serves as a ceRNA of miR-21 in OSCC**

Through the online database (www.microRNA.org), we found that CASC2 has a putative binding site for miR-21. Relative CASC2 expression was significantly increased in cancer tissues compared to normal tissues (Figure 1A, B).

**Table 1** Correlation between the CASC2 expression and clinicopathological characteristics of OSCC patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Total number</th>
<th>High expression (n=30)</th>
<th>Low expression (n=39)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;60</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately/Poorly</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-II</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-IV</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma.
(Figure 3A). Furthermore, we performed dual-luciferase reporter assay to validate the interaction, and the results showed that transfection with miR-21 mimics could decrease the luciferase activity of CASC2-WT, but it had no obvious effect on CASC2-MUT (Figure 3B). Besides, miR-21 expression levels were significantly decreased in Tca8113 and TSCCa cells with CASC2 overexpression (Figure 3C). Also, miR-21 was upregulated in OSCC tissues than the adjacent non-tumor tissues (Figure 3D), and a remarkably negative correlation was found between CASC2 and miR-21 expression levels in OSCC tissues (Figure 3E).

MiR-21 targets PDCD4 in OSCC

We also predicted the direct interaction between miR-21 and PDCD4 3’-UTR through TargetScan website (http://www.targetscan.org) (Figure 4A). In addition, as shown in Figure 4B, miR-21 mimics significantly inhibited the luciferase activity of PDCD4-WT, but it had no obvious effect on PDCD4-MUT. Furthermore, we found that PDCD4 mRNA expression was notably decreased, inversely correlated with miR-21 expression, and positively correlated with CASC2 expression in OSCC tissues (Figure 4C-E).

**MiR-21 blocks the tumor suppressive role of CASC2 in OSCC**

Next, we aimed to investigate whether miR-21/PDCD4 axis was partly implicated in the CASC2-induced OSCC apoptosis. We found that CASC2 overexpression significantly increased the PDCD4 protein expression levels in Tca8113 and TSCCa cells, and these effects were blocked by co-transfection with miR-21 mimics (Figure 5A). In addition, as demonstrated in Figure 5B, the apoptosis levels of CASC2-overexpressing Tca8113 and TSCCa cells were significantly decreased by miR-21 mimics. Besides, we also found that miR-21 mimics rescued the impaired proliferative abilities of CASC2-overexpressing Tca8113 and TSCCa cells (Figure 5C).

**CASC2 inhibits OSCC tumor growth in vivo**

To further analyze the effect of CASC2 on OSCC tumor growth in vivo, we established xenograft tumors in nude mice using Tca8113 cells. The animals were closely monitored for three weeks, and we found that CASC2 overexpression notably suppressed the growth of OSCC xenografts in nude mice (Figure 6A). At the end of the assay, all of the tumors were excised and weighed, and
Figure 3 CASC2 serves as a ceRNA of miR-21 in OSCC. (A) The predicted binding sites of miR-21 on CASC2. (B) The luciferase activities in HEK293T cells co-transfected with CASC2-WT/CASC2-MUT and miR-21 mimics/mimics control. (C) RT-qPCR analysis showed the expression levels of miR-21 in Tca8113 and TSCCa cells after transfection. *P<0.05 vs mimics control or empty vector-transfected cells. (D) RT-qPCR analysis showed the expression levels of miR-21 in OSCC tissues and adjacent non-tumor tissues. (E) The relationship between CASC2 expression and miR-21 expression in OSCC tissues.

Abbreviation: OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma.

Figure 4 miR-21 targets PDCD4 in OSCC. (A) The predicted binding sites of miR-21 on PDCD4 3'-UTR. (B) The luciferase activities in HEK293T cells co-transfected with PDCD4-WT/PDCD4-MUT and miR-21 mimics/mimics control. *P<0.05 vs mimics control-transfected cells. (C) RT-qPCR analysis showed the expression levels of PDCD4 mRNA in OSCC tissues and adjacent non-tumor tissues. (D) The relationship between miR-21 expression and PDCD4 mRNA expression in OSCC tissues. (E) The relationship between CASC2 expression and PDCD4 mRNA expression in OSCC tissues.

Abbreviation: OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma.
Figure 5 miR-21 blocks the tumor suppressive role of CASC2 in OSCC. (A) Western blot analysis showed the expression levels of PDCD4 protein in Tca8113 and TSCCa cells after transfection. (B) Cell apoptosis was detected by flow cytometric analysis in Tca8113 and TSCCa cells after transfection. (C) Cell proliferation was detected by MTT assay in Tca8113 and TSCCa cells after transfection. *P<0.05 vs empty vector+mimics control-transfected cells; #P<0.05 vs CASC2+mimics control-transfected cells.

Abbreviation: OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma.
we noticed that the average tumor weight of CASC2 group was significantly lower than NC group (Figure 6B). RT-qPCR and western blot analysis further validated that CASC2, PDCD4 and Bax expression levels were markedly increased, whereas miR-21 and Bcl-2 were underexpressed in the tumors of CASC2 group (Figure 6C-E).

**Discussion**

OSCC development is a multistep process driven by the accumulation of genetic and epigenetic changes. Among these risk factors, plentiful lncRNAs have been universally investigated and acknowledged as oncogenes or tumor suppressors in OSCC. For example, Lu et al reported that lncRNA C5orf66-AS1 may prevent OSCC progression by inhibiting cell growth and metastasis. Therefore, identification of OSCC-related lncRNAs may provide valuable therapeutic targets for OSCC patients.

In this study, we took lncRNA CASC2 as a study target. We found that CASC2 expression was significantly decreased in OSCC tissues compared with adjacent normal tissues and was negatively associated with adverse
Clinicopathological characteristics of OSCC patients. To further elucidate the biological function of CASC2 in OSCC, we performed gain-of-function experiments and showed that the proliferation and cell cycle progression of OSCC cells were inhibited, whereas OSCC cell apoptosis was enhanced by CASC2 overexpression. In vivo study also indicated that CASC2 overexpression restrained the volume and weight of OSCC xenografts in nude mice. All these findings suggested that CASC2 may have a tumor suppressive role in OSCC.

Among the working mechanisms of lncRNAs, competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) theory hypothesizes that lncRNAs can serve as ceRNAs of miRNAs to prevent miRNAs from negatively regulating their target genes. We then focused on the role of CASC2 as a ceRNA by bioinformatics analysis, and found that miR-21, one of the most studied oncogenic miRNAs, contains the binding site of CASC2. In OSCC tissues, upregulation of miR-21 was inversely correlated with downregulation of CASC2, and rescue experiments further confirmed that miR-21 partly mediated the tumor suppressive role of CASC2 in OSCC. PDCD4 is a famous tumor suppressor protein involved in programmed cell death. Many study confirmed that PDCD4 is a target of miR-21, and the regulatory role of miR-21/PDCD4 axis has been well documented in OSCC. Our findings illustrated the potential role of CASC2 as a ceRNA of miR-21 to increase the expression of PDCD4 mRNA in OSCC.

In short, our study showed for the first time that CASC2 is downregulated in OSCC tissues and cell lines, and functional experiments confirmed that CASC2 inhibits the malignant phenotypes of OSCC cells through the regulation of miR-21/PDCD4 axis. Our findings suggested that CASC2 might potentially act as an effective therapeutic target for OSCC patients in the future.
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