Communication gaps about OFF periods between physicians and patients with Parkinson’s disease: a patient–physician dialogue analysis
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Background: As Parkinson’s disease (PD) progresses, patients may experience the return of PD symptoms (OFF periods) despite treatment. Recognizing and treating OFF periods requires effective communication between physicians and patients.

Methods: A linguistic analysis investigated communication gaps between patients and physicians using a database containing dialogues recorded during regular office visits. Follow-up interviews were conducted with selected physicians, patients, and caregivers.

Results: Four areas were identified showing communication gaps between patients and physicians: differences in language; patient knowledge about OFF periods; differences in approach to describing OFF periods; and attitude toward management of OFF periods.

Conclusion: Closing communication gaps may improve overall PD management.
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Plain language summary

A language analysis study was done to evaluate the quality of communication between patients with Parkinson’s disease (PD) and their doctors about times when PD medication works less well. These times are called OFF periods. OFF periods are upsetting to patients and difficult to cope with because patients become less able to manage ordinary daily activities. This study was conducted to identify areas where communication gaps about OFF periods between patients and their doctors exist. These gaps may prevent patients with PD from receiving the necessary help from their doctors for treatment of OFF periods. Researchers recorded office-visit conversations between patients with PD and their doctors over several months (with permission) to evaluate language and conversation patterns to determine if and where patient-physician communication gaps existed. Four areas were identified showing communication gaps between patients and physicians: differences in language; patient knowledge about OFF periods; differences in approach to describing OFF periods; and attitude toward management of OFF periods. These gaps are likely to interfere with ability of patients to receive the most suitable treatment.

Introduction

Dopaminergic drugs are the cornerstone of managing symptoms associated with Parkinson’s disease (PD).¹ Despite treatment, many patients begin to experience motor fluctuations as the disease progresses. Motor fluctuations are alternating periods during the day when symptoms are controlled (known as ON periods) and times when symptoms return (OFF periods).² As PD progresses, the proportion of patients who
experience OFF periods increases. OFF periods can include an array of motor and nonmotor symptoms, and a number of treatment strategies exist for reducing the symptoms experienced during OFF periods. There is evidence suggesting, however, that identification of OFF periods is impeded by lack of patient education, awareness, patient–physician miscommunication, and limited time for assessment. Optimizing patient–physician communication is important because identification and management of OFF periods can improve patient functioning.

In this study, linguistic and thematic analysis of collected information was performed to identify communication gaps that occurred between patients with PD and their physicians when discussing OFF symptoms and their management during office visits.

**Methods**

This was a 2-part study involving linguistic analysis of pre-recorded dialogues from an existing database, followed by telephone interviews with a subset of participants identified as having spoken specifically about OFF periods. Recorded exam-room conversations were selected from the research database (Verilogue, Inc., Horsham, PA, USA; Data supporting reported results available at: http://www.verilogue.com/) containing over 900 dialogues recorded during regular office visits between patients with PD, caregivers, and physicians. All recordings were made with the participants’ written consent. Of these 900 recordings (165 in the prior 12 months), 250 dialogues containing language specific to “wearing OFF” or OFF periods were identified. Criteria for selection of the dialogues included: treating physician is a general neurologist or movement disorder specialist, patient diagnosed with PD for at least 2 years and whose current medication regimen includes levodopa, and patient who does not have cognitive impairment. Thirty of the most common terms (ie, motor fluctuations, ON/OFF phenomenon, OFF episodes, freezing, low state) that physicians and patients use to refer to OFF periods, as identified in the previous research (a separate project involving qualitative interviews with patients and physicians focused on the lexicon of PD) were used to identify appropriate dialogues. Of these dialogues, 30 contained language that represented a spectrum of disease severity with substantial discussion of motor fluctuations, frequency, or management of OFF periods. These dialogues were then subjected to detailed linguistic analysis by Verilogue researchers. Preference was given to the dialogues that were recorded in the past 12 months to facilitate re-contacting participants for the follow-up telephone interviews.

6–12 months after the initial recorded office visits, follow-up telephone interviews by Verilogue staff were conducted with physicians, patients, and caregivers. These follow-up interviews focused on perceptions of “typical” OFF period discussions and contained probes specific to the actual recorded office dialogue. To facilitate this process, respondents were provided with a transcript of their office-visit dialogue in advance of the follow-up interview (Figure 1). Probes to physicians focused on the main challenges in managing a specific patient’s PD as well as general attitudinal questions about physicians’ typical probing into OFF periods, experience of letting patients adjust their own medication regimen, patients’ general knowledge about PD and OFF periods specifically, and their information-seeking behavior. Probes to patients focused on how well they are able to understand their physician’s questions and instructions, how they feel during OFF periods, and what they wish other people understood about living with PD.
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**Figure 1** Selection of office dialogues.

**Abbreviation:** PD, Parkinson’s disease.
New England Independent Review Board (Needham Heights, MA, USA) reviewed all study materials for both parts of the study and granted protocol exemptions in June 2016. The linguistic analysis of recorded in-office patient–physician conversations was exempted as it was research involving the collection or study of existing data, documents, records, pathological specimens, or diagnostic specimens, and the investigator recorded the information in such a manner that subjects could not be identified, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects. For the follow-up telephone interviews with patients and physicians, it was exempted as it was research involving the use of educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement), survey procedures, interview procedures or observation of public behavior, and the information obtained was not recorded such that subjects could be identified, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects; and any disclosure of the human subjects’ responses outside the research could not reasonably place the subjects at risk of criminal or civil liability or be damaging to the subjects’ financial standing, employability, or reputation.

Results

The 30 analyzed dialogues consisted of conversations between 14 unique physicians (9 neurologists and 5 movement disorder specialists) and 29 unique patients with PD. For 17 of these dialogues, a caregiver was also present. One patient was recorded in two office visits with the same physician. In this case, the patient’s caregiver was not present at the first office visit, but was present at the second visit, and the conversations were different in a meaningful way due to the caregiver’s participation. Patient characteristics are shown in Table 1.

There were 25 follow-up telephone interviews with 15 physicians (10 neurologists, 5 movement disorder specialists) and 11 people impacted by PD: 7 patients, 2 caregivers, and 1 patient–caregiver pair (counted as 2) interviewed together. For the follow-up telephone interviews, most of those interviewed had been recorded in the 30 previously analyzed dialogues (13 of 15 physicians and 6 of 11 patients and caregivers).

Dialogue analysis and telephone interviews identified four main areas where patients and physicians were not aligned as to perception and understanding of OFF periods: 1) differences in language; 2) patient knowledge about OFF periods; 3) differences in approach to describing OFF periods; and 4) attitude toward management of OFF periods.

### Differences in language

The lack of specific terminology to describe OFF periods was a barrier to effective communication. Physicians used a lexicon that focused on the timing and pattern of OFF symptoms, whereas patients used anecdotal life narratives to describe the unpredictability of OFF symptoms and the impact of symptoms on their lives. For example, physicians used episodic language using “time,” “days,” “morning,” “commonly,” “frequently,” and “sometimes” in queries to focus on timing and pattern of OFF symptoms. They also used motion metaphors to describe motor fluctuations such as: “up/down,” “freeze/frozen,” “hold,” “stop/go,” and “on/off.” Patients and caregivers reported they lack a term for OFF periods and used words and expressions such as “leak,” or “having a weird feeling.” Only 3 of 29 patients, and no caregivers, used the term “wearing OFF.”

### Patient knowledge about OFF periods

Patients had different levels of understanding of disease symptoms and progression, and physicians did not ask questions in a way that connected patients’ experiences with OFF periods. Typically, physicians’ questions about symptom fluctuations were asked in the context of the patient’s medication regimen, such as how often patients took their medication (“What medication and dose are you taking?”) and when during the day they experienced more symptoms (“How often and at what time?”). Many patients did not understand why physicians were asking these questions, and some patients completely misunderstood the questions as relating to whether they were compliant with their medication instructions. Physicians also reported that patients are often unaware of PD symptom

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Patient characteristics for the recorded dialogues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*<em>Patient Characteristics (n=30)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex, n</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, y</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration of Disease, y</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: "One patient was recorded during two visits with the same physician."
progression and did not have appropriate expectations (efficacy and time to effect) for their medication. Most physicians believe that patients need to be educated about OFF periods before they can recognize them, and most said they encourage patients to research outside of the office. Although most physicians said they provide clear education to patients about OFF periods in the interviews, patients did not report this, and only 2 out of 30 dialogues actually included any conversation about why OFF periods occur and how to manage them. Most patients were open to further education (specifically support groups), community awareness (“a lot of people don’t understand it [PD]”), and information about the long-term impact of the disease.

**Differences in approach to describing OFF periods**

When physicians asked how patients were doing, many patients replied by citing periods when symptoms were worse compared with other times, and these were usually described in the context of activities they could not do. Examples include: “Getting up sometimes is unreal...I mean just to stand up, takes effort...” “[I] can’t even shave,” “I’m walking slower,” and “I can’t get out of my chair.” Patients reported OFF symptoms through anecdotal life narratives to describe the impact of symptoms on their lives, without describing specific symptoms, using such phrases as, “I like to walk, but I’m afraid to get too far from the house...I won’t get back...” or personal narratives, “I was standing at the kitchen sink doing something. All of a sudden, [I feel] very, very weak.” Physicians used terms such as “stiffness,” “weakness,” “tremor,” and “falling,” and concentrated only on whether OFF periods occurred, but did not probe regarding the intensity or impact of the OFF periods on their patients’ activities. Most patients described nonmotor symptoms, such as “not remembering how to do anything complicated,” confusion, anxiety, drooling, and “brain fog” in their interviews, whereas less than half of the interviewed physicians mentioned nonmotor symptoms at all.

**Attitude toward management of OFF periods**

Patients tended to perceive that OFF periods were an inescapable part of disease progression or did not realize the importance of communicating OFF periods. An interviewed physician stated, “[Patients] don’t want to think that the disease is getting the better of them, so they don’t mention the problems they’re having.” Many of the physicians commented during the office clinical encounter that a change in medication might help with OFF periods, but rarely explained why a treatment change might help, or why OFF periods occur. Typically, physicians discussed only short-term expectations for treatment, and they tended to plan visit-to-visit. Although most physicians said they ask patients about their symptoms and relate them to the dosing schedule, dialogue analysis indicated that these exchanges were often incomplete. In the dialogues, for example, many physicians asked patients about both symptoms and dosing times but did not make a conversational connection between the two.

The telephone interviews also widely reported that poor patient cognition and impaired speaking ability due to the disease were other factors that compromised communication. Caregivers play an important role in this regard, by acting as interpreters between patients and physicians during office visits, by reporting symptoms that patients may be unable to recognize or report, and by reinforcing the legitimacy of patients’ concerns.

**Discussion**

In this qualitative dialogue analysis, patients and physicians had different perspectives of OFF periods, leading to gaps in communication during a typical office visit. Physicians, patients, and caregivers were often not aligned in their perceptions of OFF periods. Physicians believed they provided education about OFF periods during office visits, but the dialogues and interviews showed that any education they provided was incomplete, poorly detailed, and often absent.

In a survey of 47 matched pairs of patients and caregivers in the UK (OFF-PARK Survey), 87% of patients and 74% of caregivers reported that they understood when their physician talked about “wearing OFF,” but when asked what it meant, 53% of patients and 36% of caregivers did not answer, and 17% and 47% of patients and caregivers, respectively, gave an incorrect answer. Our study suggests that part of the problem is that patients and physicians have different lexicons and that many patients are not well informed about OFF periods. Given that physicians appear not to discuss “wearing OFF” and OFF periods with patients and their caregivers in ways that they understand, it is perhaps not surprising that often patients and caregivers are not able to satisfactorily define these terms.

Another interesting point raised by the OFF-PARK survey is that about 85% of patients reported that they
discussed their quality of life with their physician. In contrast, in our study, while patients and caregivers generally explained the impact of their symptoms on their daily life to the physician, physicians seemed to concentrate on the symptoms alone, and were not, in the patients’ opinion, absorbing what they had to say about the impact on their daily lives, which reinforces our conclusion that the two parties may have different priorities.

Other studies have suggested that physicians may need to ask more probing questions to determine if patients are experiencing OFF periods, and that patients may not be aware that troubling symptoms could be due to OFF periods. In our study, the manner in which physicians asked questions to assess “wearing OFF” often seemed to cause patient misunderstanding, suggesting that physicians need to be clearer. With an average consultation from this study lasting less than 10 mins, time for patient–physician interaction is limited, and it is not surprising that there are few substantial discussions of OFF periods, given what else needs to be covered during a visit.

Limitations

This study used a small, self-selecting sample population in the United States that may not be representative of physicians and patients as a whole. However, we have no reason to believe that the communication skills of this sample of physicians and patients, who were willing to be recorded, are worse than average. Also, the follow-up interviews were completed 6–12 months after the actual encounter, and participants’ recall likely relied heavily on reading of the transcripts rather than their memory of the in-office conversation.

Conclusion

To summarize, this study provides important insights into issues in physician–patient–caregiver communication about OFF periods in PD. Effective patient–physician communication and improved patient education are expected to help improve OFF-period treatment and overall PD management, so it is important for these gaps to be closed.
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