New approaches in the management of insomnia: weighing the advantages of prolonged-release melatonin and synthetic melatoninergic agonists
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Abstract: Hypnotic effects of melatonin and melatoninergic drugs are mediated via MT₁ and MT₂ receptors, especially those in the circadian pacemaker, the suprachiasmatic nucleus, which acts on the hypothalamic sleep switch. Therefore, they differ fundamentally from GABAergic hypnotics. Melatoninergic agonists primarily favor sleep initiation and reset the circadian clock to phases allowing persistent sleep, as required in circadian rhythm sleep disorders. A major obstacle for the use of melatonin to support sleep maintenance in primary insomnia results from its short half-life in the circulation. Solutions to this problem have been sought by developing prolonged-release formulations of the natural hormone, or melatoninergic drugs of longer half-life, such as ramelteon, tasimelteon and agomelatine. With all these drugs, improvements of sleep are statistically demonstrable, but remain limited, especially in primary chronic insomnia, so that GABAergic drugs may be indicated. Melatoninergic agonists do not cause next-day hangover and withdrawal effects, or dependence. They do not induce behavioral changes, as sometimes observed with z-drugs. Despite otherwise good tolerability, the use of melatoninergic drugs in children, adolescents, and during pregnancy has been a matter of concern, and should be avoided in autoimmune diseases and Parkinsonism. Problems and limits of melatoninergic hypnotics are compared.
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Introduction

Insomnia is a highly common disorder, which is experienced by almost everybody, at least at advanced age, and becomes chronic in about 10% of the population. Because of the transient nature of its milder forms, its importance is frequently underrated. On the other hand, the treatment of severe sleep disturbances, such as primary chronic insomnia, is challenging and frequently complicated by comorbid symptoms.1–3 The etiology of insomnia is obviously divergent. It is sometimes related to psychiatric or neurologic diseases that may develop already in younger or middle-aged subjects. Moreover, it may be acquired as a consequence of neurodegenerative disorders including Alzheimer’s disease,4 especially when the circadian pacemaker, the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN), or its downstream connections are affected.5–7 Circadian rhythm sleep disorders (CRSDs) may be present or develop independently of neurodegeneration. In particular, familial advanced sleep phase syndrome (FASPS) and delayed sleep phase syndrome (DSPS) are characterized by exceptionally short or long spontaneous circadian period lengths. Other circadian disorders are related to weak coupling with external time cues, eg, in some blind subjects. Typically, CRSDs cause transient or periodically occurring forms of insomnia.8–10 For the circadian system, a possible
mode of intervention is that of favoring synchronization with the environment. Apart from bright light in the morning, ie, enhancement of Zeitgeber strength to reinforce coupling with light onset, melatonin may be administered in the evening to make use of the re-synchronizing, chronobiotic as well as sleep onset-promoting properties of this molecular mediator of the darkness signal. In fact, melatonin was shown to be effective in the treatment of various forms of CRSDs.11–14

While the use of the chronobiotic melatonin in CRSD is plausible for mechanistic reasons, its application in other types of insomnia does not warrant immediate success, but has been worthy of exploration. In neurobiological terms, the actions of melatonin on sleep are largely of a chronobiological nature. High densities of the membrane-bound, G protein-coupled melatonin receptors MT₁ and MT₂ are found in the SCN, where the pineal hormone acts in a dual way, by resetting the clock – mainly via MT₁ – and by suppressing neuronal firing – mainly via MT₂.15–19 Leaving aside some complexities of the signaling mechanisms,18 the MT₁-mediated effects of melatonin on the SCN favor sleep initiation especially, but perhaps not exclusively via the hypothalamic sleep switch. This structure exhibits on-off responses20–22 and suppresses, under the influence of melatonin, the wake-related neuronal downstream pathways (“off”) and promotes the sleep-related ones (“on”).23,24 However, sleep is a complex phenomenon that involves numerous brain regions. Melatonin receptors have been detected in various parts of the brain, but receptor densities are considerably lower than in the SCN.25–28 The thalamus has been assumed to be also involved in soporific actions of melatonin.29,30 Melatonin receptors are expressed in this region, and spindle formation is promoted by the indoleamine.29–31 Spindles are characteristics of non-REM (rapid eye movement) sleep, and are involved in the transition from stage 2 sleep to deeper sleep stages. However, a major problem for judging the relative importance compared to the primary SCN-mediated effects results from the complexity of the neuronal connections. Apart from the thalamocortical interplay, which is necessary for spindle formation, the thalamus also influences the SCN. Inputs to the SCN are known from various other brain areas, too, especially from the intergeniculate leaflet,30 which is connected to many parts of the brain and also receives a photic input.32 At the present state of our knowledge, the problem remains as to what extent the thalamus and other brain areas may assist the SCN by transmitting melatonin-dependent responses, and whether SCN-independent actions of melatonin are sufficient for sleep promotion. In individuals with severe SCN dysfunction and melatonin deficiency, exogenous melatonin was found to be insufficient for substantially mitigating sleep difficulties.33 However, SCN destruction, which causes sleep fragmentation and losses of circadian rhythmicity, still allows spindle formation.34 Another source of complexity results from the necessary integration of primarily chronobiotic and homeostatic components of sleep regulation. The homeostatic mechanism also comprises a circadian component,24,34,35 and the existence of a separate homeostatic oscillator has been proposed.36 The extent of melatonin’s influences on homeostatic sleep may deserve further attention. At least, melatonin has been reported to be useful under conditions of an insufficient homeostatic drive to sleep.37 Despite the highly complex interplay of brain areas during sleep, and the existence of presumably multiple inputs from melatonin, primary and secondary actions have to be distinguished. The phase-resetting effects are relatively well understood and a participation of the SCN in sleep initiation cannot be denied. Melatoninergic actions in other brain areas and their contribution to sleep require further elucidation. With regard to the high receptor density and the knowledge of SCN-mediated actions, the influence of melatonin on the circadian pacemaker will be the focus of our considerations.

Melatonin differs in its mode of action from other hypnotics such as benzodiazepines and z-drugs (zolpidem, zaleplon, zopiclone, eszopiclone), which lead to a more generalized central nervous depression via GABA_A receptors. Melatonin is capable of indirectly influencing GABAergic mechanisms involved in sleep-related routes downstream of the SCN.20–22 Indirect GABAergic effects in other brain areas may, possibly, play an additional role. Only at strongly elevated pharmacological concentrations can melatonin exert more generalized sedative or even narcotic effects, which are, however, mediated by other mechanisms, such as antieccitatory suppression of calcium signaling and inhibition of neuronal NO synthase.38 Moreover, melatonin contrasts with benzodiazepines and z-drugs with regard to sleep architecture, ie, the relative duration of sleep stages (stages 1–4), which differ in sleep depth and undergo an ultradian REM/nonREM cycle of about 90 minutes duration. While sleep architecture can be considerably changed by GABAergic drugs, the ultradian cycle is usually poorly influenced by melatonin, perhaps because this periodicity is generated by another, the pontine sleep switch,39,40 which does not seem to be a major target of melatonin. However, melatonin was reported to increase REM sleep duration in a subgroup of patients with reduced REM sleep.41 In this context, the SCN is, again, not independent of inputs from other brain areas, since certain SCN neurons were found to fire more rapidly during REM than
nonREM phases, notwithstanding the primarily suppressive MT₁ signaling. Therefore, these changes within the REM/nonREM cycle do not reflect direct melatoninergic actions, although they are relevant to sleep and may be indirectly influenced by the hormone.

In CRSDs, a melatonin surge of relatively short duration can be sufficient for resetting the circadian clock, at least when applied in a suitable phase of the phase-response curve. However, in primary chronic insomnia, the major obstacle for the use of melatonin as a clinically efficient hypnotic drug was assumed to result from its extremely short half-life in the circulation, which is mostly in the range of 20 to 30 minutes, sometimes even less, but maximally about 45 min. Although a short-acting compound may promote sleep initiation, it can improve sleep maintenance only marginally. Theoretically, this problem has two solutions. One is a prolonged-release formulation of melatonin, the other the development of long-acting melatoninergic agonists. Both possibilities have been studied and given rise to the production of approved or investigative drugs. Their relative advantages will be discussed and, where appropriate, also compared to the nonmelatoninergic, primarily GABAergic, hypnotics that are currently in use.

**Signaling and pharmacology of melatoninergic agonists**

At therapeutic doses, the hypnotic actions of melatonin and synthetic melatoninergic drugs are mediated by the membrane receptors MT₁ and MT₂, as outlined above. In addition to the first-discovered agonist-dependent decreases in cAMP, a more complex system of signaling routes has been identified that contributes to the cellular effects. These include phospholipase C activation, in the case of MT₂, and control of inward rectifier K⁺ (Kir) channels, with secondary effects on voltage-gated Ca²⁺ channels, by MT₁. These last actions may be particularly relevant to the suppression of neuronal firing and, thereby, contribute to sleep induction via the hypothalamic sleep switch.

While phase shifting and neuronal suppression in the SCN represent a basis of hypnotic actions of all melatoninergic drugs, sleep research literature frequently ignores the fact that the membrane-bound melatonin receptors are not restricted to the SCN. Even though receptor density may be lower in other target tissues or cells, any melatoninergic agonist has to be expected to exert additional effects via these receptors, eg, in the immune system, the gastrointestinal tract, the vasculature, other central nervous structures and various hormonal subsystems. Therefore, by contrast with other hypnotics, any of the melatoninergic drugs is, for fundamental reasons, not only a soporific agent, but also a regulator of other physiological functions. These additional effects, which are frequently disregarded, may not always be beneficial, especially in patients suffering from autoimmune diseases or Parkinson’s disease (see following sections).

While signaling and distribution of MT₁ and MT₂ receptors discriminate melatoninergic agonists from GABAergic hypnotics, melatonin also differs from its synthetic analogs in the spectrum of binding sites. Several other melatonin-binding sites beyond the G protein-coupled MT₁ and MT₂ receptors have been identified, which either display negligible affinity to the synthetic analogs, or have not yet been tested. These additional binding sites include quinone reductase 2 (formerly believed to represent a third membrane receptor), nuclear receptors belonging to the retinoic acid receptor superfamily, in particular, RORα1, RORα2, RZRα and RZRβ, calcium-binding proteins such as calmodulin (presumably requiring pharmacological levels because of low affinity), calreticulin, nuclear calreticulin analogs, and two mitochondrial binding sites, one of which is located at the amphipathic ramp of complex 1 and displays high affinity to the indoleamine. The majority of synthetic agonists has not been tested for these binding sites, with the exception of ramelteon, which has a low affinity to quinone reductase 2, and does not seem to act via calmodulin.

In addition to its direct actions, melatonin is metabolized to various bioactive compounds, including indolic (eg, 5-methoxytryptamine, N-acetylserotonin) and kynuric [N'-acetyl-N'-formyl-5-methoxykynuramine (AFMK) and N'-acetyl-5-methoxykynuramine (AMK)] substances and their derivatives (Figure 1). For reasons of chemical dissimilarity, no homologs of these melatonin metabolites can be formed from nonindolic drugs. Among the hypnotics tested, only the investigative drug β-methyl-6-chloromelatonin might lead to some homologous derivatives (Figure 1). In conclusion, the full spectrum of actions known from melatonin, which also comprises various beneficial effects, cannot be expected to be found with nonindolic hypnotics. On the other hand, those drugs showing selectivity towards MT₁ and MT₂ receptors also exert effects beyond sleep promotion.

The various melatoninergic agonists tested for soporific effects exhibit substantial differences in receptor affinity, half-life, metabolism, and contribution of metabolites to sleep promotion. Melatonin itself has different affinities to human MT₁ and MT₂ receptors (Kᵢ = 80.7 and 383 PM, respectively). Its physiological half-life in the circulation is, as mentioned, usually less than half an hour, mainly
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because of rapid hepatic 6-hydroxylation by cytochrome P<sub>450</sub> monoxygenase subforms, in particular, CYP1A2, but also CYP1A1 and CYP1B1. 6-Hydroxymelatonin is conjugated and excreted. In other tissues, especially the brain, melatonin can be metabolized differently. No soporific effects are known from any of melatonin’s natural metabolites, except for 5-methoxytryptophol. The sleep-related effects of this compound are presumably without physiological significance. However, the indolic, partially serotoninergic metabolites, 5-methoxytryptamine and N-acetylserotonin, should be tested in more detail for possible interferences with sleep or wakefulness.

All these considerations are relevant to immediate and prolonged-release melatonin as well, with a main difference in bioavailability. Among the various formulations used in different studies, the brand Circadin<sup>®</sup> will be particularly considered, because of its approval by the European Medicines Agency (EMEA). Circadin<sup>®</sup> has been developed by Neurim, Israel and UK (marketing authorization holder) and is now also provided by Lundbeck and by Nycomed. In April 2007, it received marketing authorization by EMEA for the treatment of insomnia in patients aged 55 years and over. It was licensed for the combination of improvement of sleep quality and next-day feeling. The pharmacokinetics of Circadin<sup>®</sup>, which requires more detailed future investigation, has been tested in 8 healthy male subjects receiving 1, 2, 4 or 8 mg of the prolonged-release formulation at 10 AM, either in conjunction with fasting or with a standard meal. The unusual time of administration was obviously chosen to better demonstrate the efficacy of the slow-release formulation and to avoid interference with the endogenous melatonin peak. Normally, melatonin is given shortly (30 minutes) before bedtime, according to the time profile of the natural hormone, which exhibits, at least, in healthy nonelderly subjects a pronounced nocturnal peak. However, the pharmacokinetics of melatonin may differ between daytime and nighttime hours, according to data from rats which received continuous infusions of melatonin. This possibility should be considered in humans, too. Under the conditions tested in humans, 2 mg led to a shift of T<sub>max</sub> from about 4 PM to about 11.30 AM (without meal) or 12.30 PM (with meal). These values should not be overinterpreted, since peaks resulting from the drug should not be compared with the physiological nocturnal maximum. C<sub>max</sub> values, as presented, have to be regarded as preliminary, since they showed considerable variation among the relatively few volunteers [without drug: range 30 to 126 pg/mL (median 51 pg/mL); drug and fasting: range 180 to 855 pg/mL (median 393 pg/mL); drug with meal: 205 to 1020 pg/mL (median: 390 pg/mL)]. In the 24 h-AUC values, a considerable interindividual variation was, again, observed [basal: range 150 to 1017 pg × h/mg (median 375 pg × h/mg); drug and fasting: range 823 to 4478 pg × h/mg (median 2257 pg × h/mg); drug with meal: range 618 to 5252 pg × h/mg (median 2010 pg × h/mg)]. These data merely show that this melatonin formulation causes increases in blood levels, what had to be expected, but the improvements in duration of elevated bioavailability, compared to immediate-release melatonin, are not sufficiently evident from published data. T<sub>max</sub> and C<sub>max</sub> values are not suitable for judging the advantage of prolonged release. Elimination time has been inferred to be the same (t<sub>1/2</sub> about 40 to 50 minutes) as with conventional melatonin preparations, although this may be dose-dependent. In another study on healthy volunteers of both genders, aged 55 to 69 years, the effect of food on AUC after 2 mg Circadin<sup>®</sup> revealed only minor changes. A major difficulty in interpreting the pharmacokinetic data results from the very high interindividual variability. This is not uncommon with melatonin in general and is usually explained by differences in the rapid first-path hepatic metabolism of the hormone. Whether this is really so, especially when authors are claiming a more than 80% elimination via 6-hydroxylation and conjugation, may be debated. Additional variation may result from the gut, which is both a source and sink of melatonin, allows enterohepatic cycling of this compound, contains by two orders of magnitude more melatonin than the pineal gland, and can release melatonin in terms of a postprandial response. Gastrointestinal release of melatonin in response to tryptophan was associated with profound sleep promoting effects. Because of these complexities and difficulties concerning pharmacokinetics, the advantages of prolonged-release melatonin should be judged rather from the effects on sleep.

Sustained-release formulations different from Circadin<sup>®</sup> have been also tested with regard to their pharmacokinetics, including coated sugar spheres and solid lipid nanoparticles. However, their clinical use is not sufficiently established, so that they will not be considered here in detail. Among the synthetic analogs that have been clinically tested, the investigative drug β-methyl-6-chloromelatonin (LY 156735) is that one most related to melatonin. This agonist developed by Eli Lilly also displays a high affinity towards MT<sub>1</sub> and MT<sub>2</sub> receptors (K<sub>a</sub> = 81 pM for MT<sub>1</sub>, 42 pM for MT<sub>2</sub>). The preferential binding to MT<sub>2</sub> is typical for the 6-chlorinated melatonin derivatives, and also seen with 6-chloromelatonin. Because of the substitution at
C-atom 6, this drug cannot be converted by the respective CYP isoforms to 6-hydroxymelatonin and its half-life in the circulation is, therefore, extended.\textsuperscript{47,62} Although β-methyl-6-chloromelatonin was effective in phase-shifting the circadian rhythm and showed sleep latency-reducing properties similar to those of melatonin, its effects on sleep maintenance remained marginal, even at doses of 20 or 100 mg.\textsuperscript{47,62,63} so that this drug will not be further considered in this review.

Much more detailed information is available on a structurally dissimilar melatoninergic agonist, ramelteon (= Rozerem\textsuperscript{b} = TAK-375 = (S)-N-[2-(1,6,7,8-tetrahydro-2H-inden-5,4-b)furan-8-yl]ethyl}propionamide}, produced by Takeda Pharmaceuticals Inc. This compound has been approved in 2005 by the FDA for treatment of insomnia in the USA. After a negative recommendation by EMEA,\textsuperscript{64} Takeda has withdrawn its European marketing authorization application in September 2008.\textsuperscript{65} The affinities of ramelteon to MT\textsubscript{1} and MT\textsubscript{2} receptors (K\textsubscript{i} = 14 pM and 112 pM, respectively) are higher than those of melatonin (cf K\textsubscript{i} = 80,7 and 383 pM).\textsuperscript{66,67} Contrary to melatonin and other indolic analogs, such as N-acetylsertotonin, it does not bind to quinone reductase 2, at therapeutic doses (K\textsubscript{i} = 2.65 µM; cf melatonin: K\textsubscript{i} = 24 pM).\textsuperscript{46} It displays very moderate binding to the serotonin receptor 5-HT\textsubscript{1A} (K\textsubscript{i} = 5.6 µM), but virtually none to other 5-HT receptor subtypes. Numerous other receptors, eg, for neurotransmitters, neuuropeptides including endorphins have been tested and reported to have no substantial affinity.\textsuperscript{67} However, other melatonin binding sites, as mentioned above, have not been investigated.

Pharmacokinetics and metabolism of ramelteon have been studied in detail. It is rapidly taken up, reaching T\textsubscript{max} between 0.75 and 0.94 h, over a considerable dose range.\textsuperscript{68} Its half-life in the circulation amounts to about 1 to 2 h and is, therefore, considerably longer than that of melatonin.\textsuperscript{68} After escalating doses of 4, 8, 16, 32, and 64 mg, C\textsubscript{max} values of 1.15, 5.73, 6.92, 17.4, and 25.9 ng/mL, and AUC values of 1.71, 6.95, 9.88, 22.5, and 36.1 ng × h/mL were obtained.\textsuperscript{68} Because of its structural dissimilarity, the metabolism of ramelteon fundamentally deviates from that of melatonin.\textsuperscript{21,43,47,68} Although it is substrate to cytochrome P\textsubscript{450} enzymes, including the melatonin-hydroxylating CYP1A2, the products are substantially different. Four metabolites formed by CYP1A2, CYP2C and CYP3A are usually referred to as M-I, M-II, M-III und M-IV. Apart from cleavage of the tetrahydrofuran ring in M-I, hydroxylations and oxidations take place in positions not accessible in melatonin, either at a C-atom corresponding to the nitrogen in melatonin’s pyrrole ring (M-III, M-IV) or at C-atom 2 of the propionyl residue of the aliphatic side chain (M-II, M-IV) (Figure 1).\textsuperscript{43,47} Because of the absence of a pyrrole ring, no kynurenic metabolism is possible in the case of ramelteon.\textsuperscript{43,47}

The properties of metabolite M-II are rather unusual and substantially contribute to the pharmacological activity of the parent compound. This is not particularly surprising, since M-II differs from ramelteon only by the hydroxyl group in the aliphatic side chain. On the one hand, this change reduces the affinities to MT\textsubscript{1} and MT\textsubscript{2} receptors by a factor of about 10, but, on the other hand, M-II itself is much less metabolized, has a half-life 2 to 5 h longer than the parent compound and can attain concentrations by 20- to 100-fold higher than ramelteon.\textsuperscript{68} Therefore, any pharmacokinetic consideration of ramelteon cannot be made without considering the long-lasting contribution of M-II. Consequently, judgments on the time course of action should not be restricted to T\textsubscript{max}, C\textsubscript{max} and AUC values of ramelteon alone.

Another newly introduced synthetic melatoninergic agonist is tasimelteon (= N-[(1R,2R)-2-(2,3-dihydro-1-benzofuran-4-yl)cyclopropyl]methyl]propanamide = VEC-162; earlier research codes: BMS-214778 and MA-1). This investigative drug is produced and being further developed by Vanda Pharmaceuticals, under license from Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. Binding and pharmacokinetic properties in humans have been disclosed only in part, although the company may possess more detailed information. According to unpublished information cited elsewhere,\textsuperscript{69} tasimelteon is selective for MT\textsubscript{1} and MT\textsubscript{2} receptors. In a web appendix, the following affinity data were presented: pKi = 9.45 ± 0.04 (0.35 nM) for MT\textsubscript{1}, and pKi = 9.8 ± 0.07 (0.17 nM) for MT\textsubscript{2},\textsuperscript{70} without experimental details. After single oral doses between 10 and 100 mg, mean T\textsubscript{max} values varied from 1.9 to 3.0 h, mean C\textsubscript{max} from 59.1 to 417.1 ng/mL, and AUC from 171.1 to 1916.1 ng × h/mL, however, with considerable interindividual deviations.\textsuperscript{70} More detailed pharmacokinetic data have been presented for rats and monkeys (Macaca fascicularis).\textsuperscript{71} These data indicate rapid uptake (monkeys, at moderate dose, T\textsubscript{max} in the range of 1 h) and longer half-life than melatonin (t\textsubscript{1/2} about 2 to 3 h). A longer half-life mentioned elsewhere, without precise values,\textsuperscript{72} may have referred to the human. The metabolism, studied in rat, monkey and human liver, showed degradation by CYP1A1, CYP1A2, CYP2D6, and CYP2C9, and also some conjugation with glucuronic acid.\textsuperscript{71,72} Most of the metabolites have only been partially characterized and are, again, nonhomologous to those of melatonin (Figure 1), for fundamental reasons. Properties and kinetics of the tasimelteon metabolites are either unknown or not disclosed.

Agomelatine (= Valdoxan\textsuperscript{e} = N-[2-(7-methoxynaphth-1-yl)ethyl]acetamide = S20098}, developed by Servier, is
also an agonist at MT<sub>1</sub> and MT<sub>2</sub> receptors (K<sub>i</sub> = 61.5 pM and 268 pM, respectively), but additionally acts as a 5-HT<sub>2C</sub> receptor antagonist (IC<sub>50</sub> = 270 nM), with low affinities to other 5-HT receptor subforms. The metabolism involving CYP1A1, CYP1A2, and CYP2C9 is partially different from that of melatonin (Figure 1). One main metabolite (S22153) is hydroxylated at the second ring carrying the long side chain, another one demethylated, corresponding to the formation of N-acetylserotonin from melatonin. The demethylated compound (S21517) resembles serotonin with regard to the presence of the hydroxyl group and, in fact, displays affinity to 5-HT<sub>2C</sub>. Since agomelatine is not exclusively a melatoninergic drug, it should not be regarded simply as a sleep-promoting compound suitable for treating an average insomniac, but may be of specific value in depressed patients. In February 2009, Valdoxan® was approved by EMEA for the treatment of major depressive episodes (MDE) in adults, but not generally as a hypnotic agent. Therefore, it will not be discussed here in any detail, despite its undoubtedly existing soporific actions.

**Efficacy of melatonergic hypnotics**

All drugs mentioned in the previous section have been tested for their soporific potential. First of all, one has to distinguish between sleep-promoting effects in patients with CRSDs and others suffering from primary chronic insomnia. Any of the melatoninergic drugs is effective in phase-shifting circadian rhythms and, thus, seems suitable for treating jet lag and CRSDs, at least from the hypnotic point of view, but not necessarily under aspects of long-term safety.

Since acute phase shifting and facilitation of sleep onset are also achieved by immediate-release melatonin, advantages of prolonged-release melatonin or longer-acting synthetic analogs should rather be sought in the treatment of primary chronic insomnia. β-Methyl-6-chloromelatonin was only marginally efficient in sleep maintenance and, in terms of published evidence, tasimelteon has been only tested after artificial light-dark shifts. For reasons mentioned, the use of agomelatine should be only considered in conjunction with depression. Therefore, it seems primarily important to compare prolonged-release melatonin, such as Circadin®, and its synthetic analog, ramelteon (Rozerem®), with a focus on primary chronic insomnia.

Studies on prolonged/extended-release melatonin have been conducted in the past using different preparations, sometimes referred to as controlled, sustained or slow release. Different doses had been used and some formulations contained combinations fast (1 mg) and controlled-release components (4 mg). The different studies are highly diverse, frequently of exploratory nature, or related to various disorders. Trials on larger numbers of subjects were only based on subjective measures. As compared to study design and detailed information on other hypnotics, the evidence is often circumstantial and sometimes contradictory. A conceptual diversity is even apparent in the material summarized by EMEA on Circadin®. This material includes various exploratory and extended studies, including a phase III trial. The larger clinical studies on Circadin®, conducted on several hundred elderly patients (55 years and older) with primary insomnia are randomized, placebo-controlled and double-blind, but not generally with crossover design, and mainly based on questionnaires only (Leeds Sleep Evaluation Questionnaire = LSEQ; sometimes also Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index = PSQI, WHO-5 well being index, and Clinical Global Improvement scale = CGI). Taken together with exploratory studies also using polysomnography (PSG) or wrist actigraphy, the data collectively show that prolonged-release melatonin/Circadin® significantly reduces sleep onset latency (SOL), whereas direct evidence for the support of sleep maintenance and total sleep time is poor. Changes in awakenings from sleep are sometimes not statistically demonstrable, but may be deduced from patients’ reports on improvements of sleep quality. More direct support, based on objective measures, for this important aspect of primary insomnia would be welcome. It should be also mentioned that the percentage of nonresponders to melatonin was substantial. In this context, the improvements obtained by the prolonged-release formulation should be decisive. Reductions in sleep latency are well known for fast-release melatonin, and have to be also expected for prolonged-release pills, especially as the amounts required for promoting sleep initiation are relatively low. Since the development of prolonged-release melatonin was aiming to support sleep maintenance, especially in patients with primary chronic insomnia, the most relevant parameters should be reductions in number or duration of awakenings from sleep and improvements of total sleep time. To convincingly demonstrate efficacy in sleep maintenance, more data on objective measures are required. However, there is a good reason for assuming that improvements in sleep quality and efficiency will be also demonstrable according to hard criteria, insofar as the subjective improvements were particularly evident in patients with severe or very severe forms of primary insomnia as well as in a subpopulation of poor melatonin secretors, as identified by low urinary 6-sulfatoxymelatonin levels.
Therefore, Circadin® or other prolonged-release formulations of melatonin may be suitable for replacement therapy, eg, in patients with age-related decreases in nocturnal melatonin secretion.

Additional information on prolonged-/controlled-release melatonin is available from studies on treatment jet lag, shift work and various disorders, sometimes including comparisons with fast-release melatonin. In jet lag,60–82 not unexpectedly, either formulation proved to be effective. A meta-analysis of 10 studies revealed, however, a superiority of fast-release melatonin.81 A study on aircrews on transatlantic flights, based on both subjective measures and wrist actigraphy, reported a relatively good efficacy of 2 mg sustained-release melatonin.82 In addition to reductions in sleep latency, improvements concerning number and duration of awakenings after sleep onset, quality of sleep and facilitation of returning to sleep were demonstrated.

Studies on simulated shift work63,84 were affected by the problem of melatonin administration in unfavorable circadian phases and are, thus, difficult to compare. The efficacy of sustained-release melatonin was also studied in children and young adults with CRSDs76,85 and with neurodevelopmental disabilities.76,86–94 Improvements were reported, but data on sleep initiation were either not provided or, in part, insufficient. The clearest results were obtained in the most recent study using 5 mg controlled-release melatonin tablets.86 With this higher dosage, reductions in sleep latency and rises in night-time sleep duration were demonstrated by both subjective measures and wrist actigraphy. In children with autism, an open-label study, based on the Children’s Sleep Habits Questionnaire and diary, improvements were obtained with controlled-release melatonin.95 Some circumstantial evidence for sleep improvements were reported for depressed patients,86,97 but without changes in the Hamilton Rating Score for Depression.97 Positive results were also obtained in intensive-care patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or pneumonia.98 In a subpopulation of schizophrenics, improvements of sleep were reported,99 but not after sleep disturbance by the so-called first night effect in a sleep laboratory.100 Although some smaller studies indicated sleep improvements by melatonin in patients with Alzheimer’s disease, as previously summarized,6 neither 2.5 mg1 nor 6 mg100 sustained-release nor 10 mg immediate-release melatonin resulted in statistically significant improvements, perhaps an indication for the heterogeneity of these populations.

Compared to prolonged-release melatonin, the outcome of trials on ramelteon is much more uniform, as becomes evident from recent summaries.20–22,102,103 Collectively, all the data unanimously show that ramelteon, at doses of 4 or 8 mg, not only reduces sleep onset latency, but also improves total sleep time and sleep efficiency/sleep quality. This has also been demonstrated in several double-blind, placebo-controlled studies on a total of more than a thousand adult or elderly subjects with primary chronic insomnia.104–107 All the effects were statistically significant, but the improvements of sleep maintenance remained moderate. In accordance with the higher receptor affinities of ramelteon compared to melatonin, no further improvements were obtained with 16 or 32 mg daily.105,107 Moreover, ramelteon did not worsen sleep apnea,108 in accordance with the lack of generalized central nervous suppression, as would occur with GABAergic agonists. According to the available data for recommended doses (usually 8 mg), ramelteon seems to be somewhat more effective than prolonged-release melatonin in the treatment of primary chronic insomnia, as far as sleep maintenance is concerned. Several factors should contribute to this finding: (i) higher receptor affinities to both melatonin receptors, especially to MT1; (ii) higher bioavailability because of longer half-life; (iii) a long-lasting contribution of the metabolite M-II; and (iv) the higher recommended doses of 4 or 8 mg ramelteon vs 2 mg Circadin®. Nevertheless, EMEA found the efficacy of ramelteon in improving sleep maintenance insufficient for a marketing authorization.64

Safety, tolerability, withdrawal

In full agreement with numerous findings on immediate-release melatonin, all studies on the prolonged-release formulation unanimously show that the recommended dose does not cause next-day hangover, but rather favors morning alertness – although some exceptions have been described in other investigations using different doses. It does not lead to dependence, early or late withdrawal effects after discontinuation.51,77–79 The development of tolerance is usually absent with melatonin, although a few exceptions have been reported, especially in some children with neurological disorders.91–94 Should the development of tolerance turn out to be a consequence of altered metabolism, which remains to be demonstrated, other melatoninergic agonists might be tested. A recent randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study on prolonged-release melatonin confirmed the absence of next-day impairments of psychomotor functions, driving skills and memory recall, in contrast to 10 mg zolpidem.109 Controlled-release melatonin (2 mg) was successfully used even for facilitating benzodiazepine discontinuation.110
Like melatonin, ramelteon did not cause next-day hangover (as revealed by subjective feeling, psychomotor and cognitive tests, and ability to concentrate), rebound insomnia or other withdrawal effects, or development of tolerance or addiction. Under these conditions, both prolonged-release melatonin and ramelteon appear safe in short-term treatment, as may be assumed for other exclusively melatonergic drugs in general.

For subjective criteria of adverse effects, such as reports of nausea, digestive difficulties, headache or other pain, dizziness, and mood, no substantial differences were detected between Circadin® and placebo, and frequently trends were detected even towards fewer subjective side effects in the melatonin groups. In this context, it should be also noted that considerably higher doses of melatonin, 300 mg/day enterally, were administered for up to 2 years to amyotropic lateral sclerosis patients and found to be safe. Subjective reports of adverse effects showed that ramelteon 4 or 8 mg was also well tolerated, with similar outcomes as for placebo.

Precautions should be taken with both melatonin and ramelteon for other reasons. First, the use of a melatonergic agonist should be restricted to appropriate circadian phases in the evening, since it may cause drowsiness when taken during daytime and, in this case, may in fact impair psychomotor functions, including driving skills. While the use of hypnotics should anyway be restricted to bedtime, more specific precautions are related to the pleiotropy of melatonin. It is of utmost importance to keep in mind that melatonin is not just a hormone transmitting the darkness signal, and not only a regulator affecting the SCN, but rather influences numerous additional functions. Even for ramelteon, which may exclusively act on MT1 and MT2 receptors (although this selectivity has not been demonstrated for the newly discovered binding sites), various effects beyond SCN modulator and sleep promotion have to be expected. This would include influences on other hormones, and on the immune system, vasculature, and the gastrointestinal system. The possibility of undesired melatonergic effects on the reproduction system may be a controversial issue. The respective influences of the hormone are without any doubt not comparable to those in seasonal breeders, but, on the other hand, earlier attempts to use melatonin as a contraceptive, suppressive effects on the GnRH pulse generator and deviations of melatonin in reproductive disorders have been seen as a caveat in the opinion of some investigators and also of EMEA. Especially in reproductive disorders, changes in melatonin may not be causative, but rather consequences of other anatomical or physiological disturbances. In perimenopausal women, effects of melatonin on LH, FSH and thyroid hormones were observed, whereas no changes were detected in LH, FSH, testosterone and inhibin-β in normal men subjected to long-term treatment with the pineal hormone. However, melatonin was also reported to decrease semen quality in two healthy men, but this study was conducted with a very small number of volunteers. Concerns because of changes in the reproductive system may be taken as a contraindication for treating children, adolescents and pregnant women with melatonin, as did EMEA in the case of Circadin®. On the other hand, children, adolescents and young adults have been treated for considerable periods of time with the pineal hormone, without reports of undesired effects in the reproductive system. The position of EMEA, which has approved Circadin® only for subjects of 55 years and older, may appear unduly cautious, but EMEA intends to be cautious. Nevertheless, melatonin formulations or other melatonergic drugs should be an option for children with severe and otherwise intractable neurological disorders.

Precautions are necessary in subjects with immunological disorders, since melatonin is also a mainly stimulatory immunomodulator. Thus, melatonergic drugs should generally not be prescribed to patients with autoimmune diseases. With both melatonin and ramelteon, another caveat concerns drugs influencing cytochrome P450 enzymes, especially inhibitors of CYP1A2, such as fluvoxamine, which would lead to substantial rises in circulating melatonin and ramelteon as well. Additional specific precautions are listed for Circadin®, such as LAPP lactase deficiency and glucose-galactose malabsorption, and for ramelteon concerning alcohol, high-fat diet and renal impairment.

Another disorder that may be regarded as a contra-indication against the use of melatonergic agonists is Parkinson’s disease (PD). Contrary to findings in various animal models, melatonin has not been generally beneficial in PD patients, especially for disease progression, as summarized earlier. More recently, PD has been interpreted as an endocrine disorder characterized by melatonin hyperplasia. Correspondingly, clinical improvements have been obtained by melatonin antagonist treatment. Melatonin hyperplasia may also deserve attention in other diseases, eg, irritable bowel syndrome type II, in which an enhanced proliferation of melatonin and serotonin producing cells is observed, in conjunction with losses of other cell types.

At recommended doses and even higher, melatonin is devoid of mutagenicity or carcinogenicity, but instead
appears to be protective in this regard.\textsuperscript{38,44,49,55,129} The absence of genotoxicity and carcinogenicity is also reported for ramelteon.\textsuperscript{125} However, some reservations seem appropriate with this drug,\textsuperscript{20,21,47} since the no-effect level for induction of hepatic tumors in male mice was only three times the concentration of the metabolite M-II measured after the therapeutic dose.\textsuperscript{122} Moreover, micronuclei formations were observed in Chinese hamster lung cells after metabolic activation.\textsuperscript{125} The naphthalenic compound agomelatine may require further toxicological studies.\textsuperscript{21,47} In this place, it should be emphasized that safety studies also have to consider the properties of the metabolites, which is not generally sufficiently done. For the metabolites of melatonin, one can state that they never attain high concentrations. Kynuric products, which may be relevant in tissues, have been reported to be protective rather than deleterious.\textsuperscript{38,44,128} More extensive studies on properties of metabolites are necessary for any of the synthetic melatoninergic drugs, including β-methyl-6-chloromelatonin, tasimelteon and agomelatine, in the last case also for the serotonin analog S 21517,\textsuperscript{21} and, most importantly, for the ramelteon metabolite M-II, because of the high concentrations attained and its long half-life in the circulation.

In summary, both prolonged-release melatonin and ramelteon are well tolerated and safe in the populations indicated by the respective approvals, and acceptable for short-term treatment. Experience with the extended high-dose melatonin treatment in ALS patients\textsuperscript{111} indicates that Circadin\textsuperscript{®} may be safe even for prolonged treatment, whereas more studies would be required for ramelteon to be sure about this point.\textsuperscript{20,21,47}

Conclusions, place in therapy

Melatonin and all synthetic melatoninergic drugs discussed here are capable of phase shifting the circadian pacemaker, and all of them can be expected to reduce sleep onset latency, with the exception of a certain number of nonresponders. In terms of toxicology, beyond the subjective reports on absence or presence of adverse effects, β-methyl-6-chloromelatonin, ramelteon, tasimelteon and agomelatine need further investigation for long-term safety, particularly for tasimelteon, which is administered in relatively high doses of 20 or 50 mg,\textsuperscript{69} compared with the much lower doses of ramelteon (8 mg) or melatonin (2 mg). The nonselective drug agomelatine may be useful in major depressive disorder,\textsuperscript{21,47} but, alternatively, combinations of classic antidepressants with z-drugs such as zolpidem extended-release may be likewise effective.\textsuperscript{130}

With these reservations, all the chronobiotics, but more in particular, the approved hypnotics Circadin\textsuperscript{®} (melatonin prolonged release) and Rozerem\textsuperscript{®} (ramelteon), but presumably also the investigative drug tasimelteon,\textsuperscript{69} should be suitable for treating jet lag or other phase shifts, and also tractable forms of CRSDs, such as DSPS and FASPS. Beyond phase resetting, facilitation of sleep onset can be expected in mild types of CRDS-related insomnia. In this regard, one might, however, ask whether a prolonged-release formulation or a drug of longer half-life and higher receptor affinity is really needed. Sleep onset can be even promoted by 0.1 or 0.3 mg immediate-release melatonin,\textsuperscript{20} so that a higher dose may not be required in these cases, nor prolonged release, longer half-life or higher receptor affinity. Circadin\textsuperscript{®} or Rozerem\textsuperscript{®} may be tested, if immediate-release melatonin fails.

The situation is different in primary chronic insomnia, in which a substantial support of sleep maintenance is required. In this disorder, statistically significant but still moderate effects of ramelteon have been reported,\textsuperscript{20,22,47,102–107} whereas prolonged-release melatonin would require substantiation of its efficacy. Such a comparison should, however, consider the differences in recommended doses. Although ramelteon has considerably higher receptor affinities and a relatively longer half-life, 4 or 8 mg are recommended, whereas only 2 mg of melatonin are present in a Circadin\textsuperscript{®} tablet. It seems inappropriate to be extremely cautious with the natural compound melatonin, which is exceptionally well tolerated in the majority of individuals, but not to apply the same criteria to a longer-acting synthetic analog with higher receptor affinity.\textsuperscript{47}

Treatment with melatoninergic agonists seems to be promising in another disorder, Smith–Magenis syndrome, which is characterized, apart from developmental and neurobehavioral abnormalities, by a largely inverted melatonin rhythm and sleep difficulties.\textsuperscript{57,88} In this case, a combination of a β₁-adrenergic blocker in the morning, to suppress diurnal melatonin secretion, and melatonin in the evening has been applied with some success.\textsuperscript{89,90} In this congenital disease, a sustained high nocturnal level of melatonin would be of particular importance, which indicates the use of a prolonged-release formulation. Whether or not melatonin may be replaced by synthetic agonists such as ramelteon remains to be clarified and may depend on long-term safety. Other neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders associated with sleep difficulties or CRSDs, which have been studied in children and young adults and are sometimes otherwise intractable,\textsuperscript{76,85,86,91–94} may be seen as an additional area of treatment, despite the reservations of EMEA.

Nevertheless, caution should go beyond the risks mentioned in the previous section, such as autoimmune diseases, Parkinson’s disease, coadministration of CYP inhibitors,
and hepatic and renal diseases. Surveillance seems to be appropriate for the development and function of reproductive organs. Pregnancy would be another condition under which benefits and possible risks have to be weighed. These considerations should equally apply to melatonin prolonged or immediate-release, ramelteon and other melatonergic drugs. Therefore, the decision by EMEA to approve Circadin® for subjects older than 54 years, along with a list of specific precautions, is a responsible one, although it may appear unduly cautious. The same criteria should be applied to ramelteon, and to other melatonergic drugs that may be evaluated for approval.

Nevertheless, all melatonergic drugs discussed are well tolerated in short-term treatment, and for the natural compound, melatonin, the same should be valid for long-term administration, except for the precautions mentioned above.

Melatonin and its synthetic analogs may be helpful even in other disorders, such as relieving sleep difficulties caused by benzodiazepine discontinuation and in chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder, in which ramelteon has been shown to be effective, and for which the same may be valid in the case of melatonin.

In practical terms, sleep difficulties should first be tested for causes related to circadian dysfunction, in which immediate-release melatonin may already be effective, and Circadin® should be tried if the immediate-release formulation does not suffice. In cases of chronic primary insomnia, ramelteon seems, according to current knowledge, slightly more promising than prolonged-release melatonin. If melatonergic drugs fail, z-drugs may be the better option. In patients of appropriate age and not belonging to a risk group, the general strategy may be to first test the natural compound, melatonin, because of its remarkable tolerability and safety, before other options are used.
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