Comparison of CHOP vs CHOPE for treatment of peripheral T-cell lymphoma: a meta-analysis

Shu Deng¹
Shenyun Lin¹
Jianping Shen¹
Yuqing Zeng²

¹Department of Hematology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang Chinese Medicine University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310006, China; ²Department of Orthopedics, TongDe Hospital of Zhejiang Province, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310012, China

Objective: To compare cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone (CHOP) and CHOP plus etoposide (CHOPE) with regard to outcomes including efficacy and safety for patients with peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL).

Methods: Relevant literature was searched using PubMed, Embase, Wanfang, and CNKI for eligible trials comparing CHOP with CHOPE for treatment of PTCL. The following outcomes of PTCL patients were considered: complete response (CR), partial response (PR), overall response rate (ORR), and adverse events (AEs; grade ≥3). Risk ratios (RRs) were appropriately derived from fixed-effects or random-effects models.

Results: A total of five prospective or retrospective articles with 1,560 patients were elected for the meta-analysis. There were no significant differences in CR (RR = 1.11, 95% CI: 0.73–1.67, P = 0.632), PR (RR = 1.40, 95% CI: 0.52–3.76, P = 0.504), and ORR (RR = 1.25, 95% CI: 0.93–1.69, P = 0.146) between the CHOP and CHOPE groups. However, AEs including anemia (RR = 1.69, 95% CI: 1.33–2.16, P < 0.001) and thrombocytopenia (RR = 1.43, 95% CI: 1.15–1.77, P = 0.001) were significantly increased in CHOPE group compared to that in CHOP group.

Conclusion: Meta-analysis suggested that there were no differences in therapeutic effect for patients with PTCL between CHOP and CHOPE groups with regards to CR, PR, and ORR, whereas the CHOPE group had significantly increased AEs (anemia and thrombocytopenia) compared to CHOP group.
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Introduction
Peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL) is a highly heterogeneous malignancy accounting for 10%–15% of all non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas in the Western world,¹ and its incidence is higher in East Asia.²,³ According to the international T-Cell Lymphoma Project, the major subtypes of PTCL are composed of PTCL not otherwise specified, angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma, and anaplastic large-cell lymphoma.⁴ Moreover, due to the varied morphology of subtypes, the classification and diagnosis of this disease has been a great challenge. So far, the optimal strategy for PTCL treatment is still unclear, and although cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone (CHOP) is the standard first-line chemotherapy treatment, most patients still have a poor prognosis with median overall survival (OS) of 6.5 months because of rapid relapse.⁵,⁶ During the past years, more and more therapeutic agents with novel mechanisms of action, such as romidepsin,⁷ belinostat,⁸ brentuximab vedotin,⁹ and pralatrexate¹⁰ have been approved for PTCL treatment. So far, according to the guidelines of National Comprehensive Cancer Network, combination chemotherapies are regarded as the second-line therapy for patients with relapsed PTCL.⁵
Etoposide, inducing DNA double-strand breaks through the inhibition of DNA topoisomerase II activity, has been widely used as an anticancer chemotherapeutic drug.\textsuperscript{11,12} Recently, CHOP plus etoposide (CHOPE) has demonstrated survival benefit for patients with PTCL.\textsuperscript{13–15} However, CHOPE chemotherapy has yielded contradictory results,\textsuperscript{13,16} and the benefit has been limited to relatively young patients (aged less than 60 years).\textsuperscript{15} Therefore, this study aimed to assess whether CHOPE could lead to better postoperative functional recovery in terms of complete response (CR), partial response (PR), overall response rate (ORR), and adverse events (AEs) compared with CHOP.

Methods

Literature search
All studies on PTCL therapeutic regimens performed with CHOP and CHOPE were searched using several major databases including PubMed, Embase, Wanfang, and CNKI with the search terms “(peripheral t-cell lymphoma) AND (etoposide OR VP16) AND ((cyclophosphamide AND doxorubicin AND vincristine AND prednisone) OR CHOP)”. No restrictions on language were applied during the retrieval, and the retrieval time was until February 1, 2018. Moreover, in order to get more literature, we performed manual retrieval of paper documents, and references in relevant reviews and included studies were screened.

Selection criteria
Studies which met the following criteria were selected: 1) clinical research with prospective or retrospective experimental design. 2) The therapeutic effect of CHOPE vs CHOP for PTCL was adopted in the trials, and the outcomes mainly included CR, PR, ORR, and AEs (grade ≥3) including neutropenia, anemia, thrombocytopenia, leukopenia, and vomiting. Correspondingly, exclusion criteria were review articles, comments, and letters. Literature repeatedly published or used for multiple studies by the same population were excluded.

Data extraction
The relevant data were independently extracted and analyzed by two investigators, including the first author, date published, study area, participant age and gender, sample size, type of patients, research type, and the outcomes of patients. All disagreements were resolved by discussion.

Statistical analysis
All statistical analyses were performed with Stata 11.0 software, and risk ratios (RRs) with 95% CI were calculated for dichotomous variables. Cochran’s Q and I\(^2\) metrics were applied to assess the heterogeneity.\textsuperscript{17} The fixed-effect model was used if no heterogeneity existed (P≥0.05, I\(^2\)≤50%); otherwise, the random-effects model was chosen. In addition, Egger’s test was used for assessment of publication bias, and the stability of the result was studied using sensitivity analysis. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Search results
A total of 743 articles were extracted from PubMed (n=77), Embase (n=627), Wanfang (n=27), and CNKI (n=12). A total of 692 studies remained after excluding 51 duplicate articles. After screening the title of studies, 663 articles not meeting the inclusion and exclusion criteria were excluded. Then, the remaining 29 articles were further assessed by reading the abstract and full-text, leaving five articles with 1,560 patients which eventually fulfilled the selection criteria\textsuperscript{18–22} (Figure 1).

Characteristics of included studies
The basic information of these publications is given in Table 1, and according to the data, we found the included articles contained four retrospective studies\textsuperscript{18–21} and one prospective research.\textsuperscript{22} All articles were published from 2013–2017, and among them, three trials were from China, one from Thailand, and one from Korea. Additionally, the study of Kim et al included two sets of data, one of which was from the Seoul National University Hospital and one from the Korea Central Cancer Registry. Hence, there were six groups of data in our meta-analysis with a sample size from 32–1,255.

Results of meta-analysis

CR, PR, and ORR
As shown in Figure 2, the pooled results of CR, PR, and ORR for PTCL patients between two groups were compared. Subsequently, five articles compared the CR of CHOP and CHOPE.\textsuperscript{18–22} Because significant heterogeneity across studies (P=0.040, I\(^2\)=60.2%) was detected, the random-effects model was applied and it revealed no significant difference of CR between CHOP and CHOPE (RR =1.11, 95% CI: 0.73–1.67, P=0.632, Figure 2A).

Likewise, four trials reported the PR and ORR,\textsuperscript{18,20,22} and significant heterogeneity was found between them (P=0.045, I\(^2\)=62.7%; and P=0.050, I\(^2\)=61.5%; Figure 2B and C); therefore, the meta-analysis with random-effects model illustrated no significant differences in PR (RR =1.40, 95% CI: 0.52–3.76,
P=0.504) and ORR (RR =1.25, 95% CI: 0.93–1.69, P=0.146) between the two groups. Taken together, our results revealed that the differences in CR, PR, and ORR for PTCL between CHOP and CHOPE groups were not significant.

**Adverse events**

There were two,18,19 three,19,21,22 three,18,19,21 three,18,21,22 and two18,21 included studies that reported complications of neutropenia, anemia, thrombocytopenia, leukopenia, and vomiting, respectively. However, the results showed no significant heterogeneity for these five indicators, respectively (I²<50% and P>0.05); therefore, the fixed-effect model was applied. As illustrated in Figure 3, the differences were significant for anemia (RR =1.69, 95% CI: 1.33–2.16, P<0.001, Figure 3A) and thrombocytopenia (RR =1.43, 95% CI: 1.15–1.77, P=0.001, Figure 3B) between CHOP and CHOPE; while no significant difference was found for neutropenia (RR =1.01, 95% CI: 0.73–1.39, P=0.958, Figure 3C), leukopenia (RR =1.14, 95% CI: 0.92–1.40, P=0.230, Figure 3D), as well as vomiting (RR =1.71, 95% CI: 2.22–131.79, P=0.632; Figure 3E) between the two groups.

**Sensitivity analysis and publication bias**

According to the Egger’s test, we found no publication bias in aspects of all outcomes (P>0.05; Table 2). Additionally, we also assessed the influence of each individual study on the combined effect size by sensitivity analysis, and the result of thrombocytopenia was inversed after removing Kim’s article19 (RR =1.11, 95% CI: 0.71–1.73, P=0.654). We did not perform sensitivity analysis for neutropenia and vomiting, since there were only two eligible studies.

**Discussion**

Currently, the curative effect of traditional chemotherapy regimens for PTCL treatment is generally dismal.23,24 Many researchers have turned to addition of etoposide on the basis of CHOP, but randomized controlled trials comparing different treatment approaches for PTCL have been very limited until now. In this meta-analysis, we included five prospective or retrospective articles, for the first time, to compare the differences of outcomes between CHOP and CHOPE for PTCL. The results revealed AEs such as anemia and thrombocytopenia in PTCL patients receiving CHOPE were more serious than in those receiving CHOP, but the differences of CR, PR, and ORR between them were not significant.

To our knowledge, intensive chemotherapy regimens have been regarded as the first-line treatment for PTCL patients. An increasing number of research studies suggest that etoposide has been used in intensive chemotherapy regimens, such as cisplatin, etoposide, gemcitabine, and
Table 1 The characteristics of the included articles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>N, M/F</th>
<th>Age, years&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>IPI</th>
<th>Clinical stage&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al, Ya1&lt;sup&gt;16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>PTCL</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>CHOP</td>
<td>77, NR</td>
<td>59 (20–89)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al, Ya2&lt;sup&gt;16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>PTCL</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>CHOPE</td>
<td>20, NR</td>
<td>58 (20–91)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guo et al&lt;sup&gt;22&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>PTCL</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>CHOP</td>
<td>16, 9/T</td>
<td>32.2 (19, 58)</td>
<td>5/0–2–3, 11 (3–5)</td>
<td>5/0–2, 11 (3–5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jia et al&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>UPTCL</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>CHOP</td>
<td>16, 10/T</td>
<td>35.6 (17, 57)</td>
<td>3/0–2–3, 13 (3–5)</td>
<td>3/0–2–3, 13 (3–5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al&lt;sup&gt;24&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>PTCL-U</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>CHOP</td>
<td>40, 25/15</td>
<td>30.1 (28–60)</td>
<td>15 (0–1), 25 (12)</td>
<td>15/0–1, 25 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rattarittamong and al&lt;sup&gt;25&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>UPTCL-U</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>CHOP</td>
<td>24, 14/10</td>
<td>48 (15, 64)</td>
<td>9/0–2, 15 (3–5)</td>
<td>9/0–2, 15 (3–5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: <sup>a</sup>Median (range); <sup>b</sup>Ann Arbor Stage; Kim Ya1, SNUH study; Kim Ya2, KCCCR study.

Abbreviations: M, male; NR, not reported; CHOP, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone; CHOPE, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone plus etoposide; PTCL, peripheral T-cell lymphoma; PTCL-U, peripheral T-cell lymphoma-unspecified; UPTCL, untreated peripheral T-cell lymphoma; SNUH, Seoul National University Hospital; KCCCR, Korea Central Cancer Registry; IPI (International Prognostic Index).
**Figure 2** Meta-analysis results of chOP and chOPe for (A) CR, (B) PR, and (C) ORR.

Note: Weights are from random-effects analysis.

**Abbreviations:** chOP, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone; chOPe, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone plus etoposide; CR, complete response; PR, partial response; ORR, overall response rate; RR, risk ratio.
results. Additionally, there was heterogeneity with regards to the subjects in this study, with some researchers recruiting initial patients with PTCL, while others included initial treatment and recurrence of PTCL. Next, the included trials were mainly retrospective articles, and therefore, there was no suitable evaluation tool for quantitative evaluation.

In summary, this meta-analysis demonstrated that CHOPE significantly increased AEs, including anemia.
Table 2 Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Sensitivity analysis, RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Egger, P</th>
<th>% weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.98 (0.64–1.50)–1.27 (0.89–1.83)</td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td>16.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.78 (0.44–1.37)–2.10 (0.57–7.75)</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>31.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.11 (0.88–1.41)–1.39 (1.07–1.82)</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>51.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.65 (1.29–2.12)–1.74 (1.35–2.25)</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytopenia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.11 (0.71–1.73)–1.47 (1.18–1.84)</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukopenia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.10 (0.87–1.39)–1.16 (0.90–1.50)</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CR, complete response; PR, partial response; ORR, overall response rate; RR, risk ratio.

Figure 3 Meta-analysis results of CHOP and CHOPE for adverse events including (A) thrombocytopenia, (B) neutropenia, (C) anemia, (D) leukopenia, and (E) vomiting.

Abbreviations: CHOP, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone; CHOPE, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone plus etoposide; RR, risk ratio.

and thrombocytopenia in patients with PTCL, and the therapeutic effects of CHOP and CHOPE for treating PTCL were not significant with regard to CR, PR, and ORR. However, to further verify the results, a large number of clinical randomized controlled studies are still needed.
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