Tongue-pressure resistance training improves tongue and suprahyoid muscle functions simultaneously
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Purpose: Producing tongue pressure (TP) by pushing the tongue against the palate consists of lifting the tongue muscles and elevating the floor of the mouth via suprahyoid muscle contraction. Though studies have shown that tongue-pressure resistance training (TPRT) increases tongue function, none have focused on suprahyoid muscle function enhancements. Our study aimed to verify whether TPRT improves both tongue function and hyoid movement during swallowing.

Materials and methods: Eighteen patients (mean age: 76.8±6.2 years) with presbyphagia presenting with symptoms such as coughing and choking were enrolled. All patients performed daily living activities independently. None of the participants had diseases causing dysphagia or previous oral or pharyngeal surgery. Participants were instructed to push their tongues against the palate as hard as possible with their mouths closed for 10 seconds, and then resting for 10 seconds. A set consisted of five consecutive exercise and resting periods; two sets per day were performed for a month. TP and the oral diadochokinetic rate (ODKR), measured by repetitions of the syllables /ta/ and /ka/, assessed tongue function. The extent of anterior and superior hyoid movement and parameters related to swallowing, including the penetration aspiration scale (PAS) and the normalized residue ratio scale (NRRS) in the valleculae (NRRSv) and piriform sinus (NRRSp), were evaluated based on videofluoroscopic data.

Results: The anterior (P=0.031) and superior hyoid movement (P=0.012), TP (P=0.002), ODKR/ta/ (P=0.034), ODKR/ka/ (P=0.009), and the width of the upper esophageal sphincter (P=0.001) were larger at follow-up than at baseline. NRRSp (P=0.022), PAS (P=0.016), and pharyngeal transit times (P=0.004) were smaller at follow-up than at baseline.

Conclusion: TPRT improved tongue strength, dexterity, both anterior and superior hyoid elevation, and swallowing functions. Therefore, TPRT could improve tongue function and suprahyoid muscle function simultaneously and contribute to prevention of sarcopenic dysphagia.
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Introduction
Presbyphagia refers to the characteristic changes in the swallowing mechanism of healthy older adults that result from the normal aging process.¹ These changes have an impact on each stage of deglutition. Recently, dysphagia due to sarcopenia, known as sarcopenic dysphagia, was reported as a new concept.² It is well known that sarcopenia affects not only the general skeletal muscles, but also the swallowing muscles.²³ For instance, decreased volume of the suprahyoid muscle is correlated with aspiration in healthy elderly adults.⁴ Suprahyoid muscle plays an important role for opening upper esophageal sphincter (UES)⁵⁶ and helps with swallowing. Decreasing suprahyoid strength affect UES opening can cause insufficient UES opening resulting...
in aspiration. Furthermore, the tongue is affected by sarcopenia and is reportedly associated with dysphagia in healthy elderly people as well. The tongue plays a significant role in not only transporting a bolus from the oral cavity into the pharynx, but also in pushing the bolus into the esophagus against the pharyngeal wall. Therefore, a decline of tongue strength can cause oropharyngeal dysphagia.

To prevent sarcopenia, resistance training has been already reported as effective, and a resistance training regimen should be clearly designed to target vulnerable muscles for maximum effectiveness. Regarding preventing sarcopenia that could affect the tongue muscle, exercising the tongue by pushing it against the palate, thus producing tongue pressure (TP), increases the tongue strength and volume. TP is generated by lifting the tongue against the palate and elevating the mouth floor. Specifically, immediately before producing TP, hyoid elevation caused by contraction of the suprahyoid muscle, resulting in mouth floor elevation, was observed. Briefly, the action of producing TP consists of lifting the tongue via extrinsic and intrinsic tongue muscles and elevating the floor of the mouth by suprahyoid muscle contraction. Given these facts, the action of pushing the tongue against the palate to produce pressure has the potential to simultaneously enhance both tongue and suprahyoid muscle function. However, though there are many studies indicating that tongue-pressure resistance training (TPRT) increases tongue function, none have shown that the exercises enhance suprahyoid muscle function. Hence, the aim of our study was to verify whether TPRT improves both tongue function and hyoid movement during swallowing.

Materials and methods

Trial design

This before–after study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki of 1975, as revised in 2013, and was approved by the ethics committee of the School of Tokyo Medical Dental University (D2018-007). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Participants

Eighteen independent, elderly people were assessed for recruitment in the Kobayashi Dental Clinic and Tokyo Medical and Dental University. Eligibility criteria included age >65 years, no history of illnesses causing dysphagia, such as cerebral vascular disease and neuromuscular disease, the ability to eat regular food, and complaints of swallowing problems such as coughing and choking during eating (presbyphagia). In addition, an overall stable condition and no previous experience with swallowing muscle exercises were the other inclusion criteria. Exclusion criteria were an inability to comprehend our instructions due to poor cognitive function, severe dysphagia, and the presence of malignant tumors. In addition, individuals who had previously had surgery on the oral cavity or pharynx were excluded.

Intervention

Participants were informed of the TPRT methods by a dentist. To perform TPRT, participants began by pushing their entire tongue against their palate as hard as possible for 10 seconds with their mouth closed (Figure 1), and then rested for 10 seconds. They repeated the exercise and the resting period five times as a set and performed two sets a

Figure 1 Tongue-pressure resistance training.

Notes: The straight line is the palate, the dashed line is the tongue surface, and the white circles represent the hyoid bone. The left panel shows the resting position. The right panel shows the elevated hyoid with the tongue pushing against the palate as hard as possible.
day for a month. To confirm each participant’s feasibility for performing TPRT, the examiner observed and checked hyoid elevation resulting from suprahyoid muscle contraction via videofluoroscopy during TPRT. Videofluoroscopy confirmed that all participants could perform TPRT. The subjects recorded their training history and submitted it at the end of the month-long training program, and a researcher collected the data. The achievement rate (exercise achievement days/30 days × 100%) was calculated as an index of the feasibility of TPRT.

Outcomes
Outcome variables were assessed at baseline and at a 4-week follow-up session. The primary outcomes included assessments of hyoid movement during swallowing and the position of the hyoid at rest. The secondary outcomes included tongue function and swallowing function.

Measurements
Videofluoroscopic measurement
Participants sat in a chair in a relaxed upright position during the assessment and were instructed to swallow 10 mL of thin barium (40% W/V). Then, the examiner instructed the participant to hold a bolus in his or her mouth with a neutral neck position before swallowing. Lateral videofluoroscopic images were taken at a speed of 30 frames/s using the digital X-ray television system Flexavision (Shimadzu Medical Systems Corp, Osaka, Japan) and video was recorded with a digital recorder (DV-AC82; Sharp Corporation, Osaka, Japan). Afterward, the video was converted to an MP4 format and loaded on to a personal computer. A coordinate system was established with the y-axis forming a straight line connecting the lower edges of the second and fourth cervical vertebrae and the x-axis forming a straight line drawn perpendicular from the lower end of the fourth cervical vertebra. The hyoid bone was defined as the anterior-upper edge of the hyoid bone (Figure 2). To evaluate displacement of the hyoid during swallowing, two frames (the maximum anterior-superior position of the hyoid during swallowing and the resting position of the hyoid) were selected.

Based on a previous study, the resting position of the hyoid was defined as the lowest position of the hyoid bone post-swallow, with concurrent epiglottic return and pharyngeal relaxation.

Hyoid anterior movement was defined as the distance between the resting position of the hyoid on the x-axis and the maximum anterior-superior position on the x-axis. Hyoid superior movement was defined as the distance between the resting position of the hyoid on the y-axis and its maximum anterior-superior position on the y-axis.

The UES opening was measured when the esophagus was maximally opened during the barium swallow by identifying the minimum anterior-posterior distance in the segment between cervical vertebrae C3 and C6. Pharynx transit time (PTT) was measured from the arrival of the bolus head at the ramus of the mandible to the time when the bolus tail passed through the UES.

Following a previous study demonstrating an approach to avoid the effects of gender differences and height on the study results, measurements including hyoid movement and the width of UES opening were normalized by dividing them by the length of the straight line connecting the lower ends of the second and fourth cervical vertebrae on the y-axis.

Figure 2 Lateral radiogram demonstrating the x- and y-axes.
Notes: A coordinate system was established with the y-axis forming a straight line connecting the lower edges of the second (white triangle) and fourth cervical vertebrae (black triangle) and x-axis forming a straight line drawn perpendicular from the lower end of the fourth cervical vertebra. The white circle indicates the hyoid bone. The distances between the maximum anterior-superior hyoid elevation and the hyoid position at the onset of elevation were defined as anterior and superior movement along the x- and y-axes, respectively. The extent of hyoid movement was normalized by dividing by the length of a straight line connecting the lower ends of the second and fourth cervical vertebrae on the y-axis.
sinus (NRRSp) to determine the amount of residue (residue area), size of the sinus (spatial housing area), and the length from C2 to C4 as an internal anatomical reference using the software ImageJ (version 1.37; National Institutes of Health, Rockville, MD, USA). The frame of the resting position of the hyoid (as mentioned earlier) was used for analyzing the NRRS, based on a previous study.\(^{18}\) NRRS was calculated using the formula: \[ \text{NRRS} = \frac{\text{residue area}}{\text{housing area}} \times \frac{\text{residue area}}{\left[ \text{the length from C2 to C4} \right]^2 \times 10} \] (Figure 3).

To assess the reliability of videofluoroscopic measurements, we calculated the interclass correlation coefficients (ICCs). The intra- and interrater reliability ICCs of hyoid anterior movement were 0.93 and 0.99, respectively; of hyoid superior movement were 0.97 and 0.94, respectively; of the UES width were 0.97 and 0.95, respectively; of PTT were 0.96 and 0.99, respectively; of NRRSv were 0.847 and 0.866, respectively; and of NRRSp were 0.899 and 0.879, respectively.

In addition, the researcher who analyzed the videofluoroscopic measurement data was blinded to whether it was baseline or follow-up data.

Assessment of tongue function

In this study, tongue function was divided into two categories: strength and dexterity. Tongue strength was defined as the maximum TP measured using a JMS tongue pressure manometer (JMS Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). The balloon on the device was positioned on the dorsal side of the tongue with the lips closed. The participants were instructed to lift their tongue and compress the balloon toward the palate as hard as possible. The measurement was performed three times for each participant and the average was recorded. Tongue dexterity was defined as oral diadochokinesia;\(^{19,20}\) participants were given instructions to repeat the syllables /ta/ and /ka/ as quickly as possible for 5 seconds each. Pronouncing the syllable /ta/ is used as an index of dexterity of the anterior part of tongue, while pronouncing the syllable /ka/ is used as an index of dexterity of the base of tongue. The total number of appropriately pronounced syllables was automatically counted by a device. The repetition speeds were calculated as repetitions per second (times/s) and were recorded as the oral diadochokinetic rates ODKR/ta/ and ODKR/ka/.

**Sample size calculation**

We calculated our sample size by using G*power software (G*Power 3.1 software; Kiel University, Kiel, Germany). To determine an appropriate effect size (ES), we referred to a previous study\(^{10}\) about the effect of TPRT on TP (ES, d=1.0, large ES) because TPRT should affect suprahyoid muscles similar to tongue muscles. We determined that our sample size should include at least eleven individuals with a power of 0.8, an ES of 0.8, and an alpha of 0.05.

**Statistical analyses**

To verify the normality of the data, the Shapiro–Wilks test was utilized. Afterward, a paired \(t\)-test for parametric data and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test were used to compare the measurements for nonparametric data between baseline and follow-up. A \(P\)-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The ES was calculated and defined as large for \(r>0.5\), medium for \(0.3<r<0.5\), small for \(0.1<r<0.3\), and no effect for \(r<0.1\). Furthermore, correlations among PAS, NRRSv, and NRRSp and the other variables including hyoid movement and tongue function were assessed using bivariate correlation analysis with Pearson’s correlation analysis for parametric data and Spearman’s rank test for nonparametric data. All statistical analyses were performed with EZR (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan), which is a graphical user interface for R (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

**Results**

The mean participant age was 76.8±6.2 years (men: eleven, women: seven). Some participants took medicine for ailments such as hypertension and diabetes. However, none
of the participants took medicines that affected swallowing function. The median achievement rate for TPRT was 100% (IQR, 100%–100%). Improvement in symptoms was seen in 15 of 18 (72.2 %) participants. Table 1 shows that the anterior and superior hyoid movements during swallowing were significantly larger at follow-up than at baseline (anterior hyoid movement: $P=0.031$, $r=0.51$, large ES; superior hyoid movement: $P=0.012$, $r=0.65$, large ES). Furthermore, TP, ODKR/ta/, and ODKR/ka/ were significantly larger at follow-up than at baseline (TP: $P=0.002$, $r=0.65$, large ES; ODKR/ta/: $P=0.034$, $r=0.49$, large ES; ODKR/ka/: $P=0.009$, $r=0.61$, large ES). Regarding changes in the swallowing function, UES opening widths were larger at follow-up than at baseline ($P=0.001$, $r=0.82$, large ES), while PTT was shorter at follow-up than at baseline ($P=0.004$, $r=0.68$, large ES) (Table 2). The PAS scores at follow-up were smaller than at baseline ($P=0.016$, $r=0.57$, large ES). NRRSp at follow-up was smaller than at baseline ($P=0.022$, $r=0.54$, large ES), but there was no significant difference in the NRRSv between follow-up and baseline ($P=0.09$, $r=0.40$, medium ES) (Table 2). Table 3 shows that the PAS scores at baseline significantly correlated with TP ($r=0.610$) and UES opening width ($r=0.675$). In addition, NRRSv at baseline was significantly correlated with ODK/ta/ ($r=−0.516$) and ODK/ka/ ($r=−0.499$). There was a significant correlation between NRRSv and ODKR/ka/ ($r=−0.530$) at follow-up. NRRSp at follow-up was significantly correlated with UES opening width ($r=−0.497$).

### Discussion

**The effectiveness of TPRT in assessing tongue and suprahyoid muscle function**

Our study revealed that TPRT increased TP, which is consistent with previous studies. In addition, our study showed that TPRT increased ODKR/ta/ and ODKR/ka/, which we used as an index of tongue dexterity. Our study is the first to demonstrate that tongue resistance training improves tongue dexterity. ODKR is widely used for evaluating oral motor skills less affected by lingual factors. Speech motor control disruptions are generally reported in older adults who perform movements more slowly. Therefore, the ODKR decline associated with aging affects tongue muscles and motor neurons that control tongue muscles. The time course for gaining strength with resistance training accounts for initial neural adaptations, such as an increased motor unit discharge rate and a progressive enhancement in motor unit synchronization, prior to the muscle hypertrophy. Therefore, it is considered that TPRT enhances motor neurons that control the tongue, resulting in an increased ODKR.

TP is generated by lifting the tongue itself and elevating the mouth floor, which occurs due to hyoid elevation caused by the contraction of the suprahyoid muscle. Importantly, a surface electromyogram study showed that TP is correlated with the activity of the suprahyoid muscle. Therefore, it is reasonable that TPRT could enhance suprahyoid muscle function, resulting in improved superior and anterior hyoid elevation during swallowing.

### Table 1 Pre- and post-exercise comparison of hyoid bone kinematics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements (n=18)</th>
<th>Baseline (%)</th>
<th>Follow-up (%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>ES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superior movement of the hyoid bone</td>
<td>44.6±30.3</td>
<td>56.9±33.6</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>r=0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior movement of the hyoid bone</td>
<td>27 (11.4–33.8)</td>
<td>33.2 (13.9–50.9)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>r=0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP (k/Pa)</td>
<td>31.5±8.9</td>
<td>34.3±8.4</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>r=0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODKR/ta/ (t/s)</td>
<td>5.6±1.3</td>
<td>6.0±0.9</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>r=0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODKR/ka/ (t/s)</td>
<td>5.2 (4.7–5.8)</td>
<td>5.6 (5.2–6.0)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>r=0.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Notes*: Results are expressed as mean ± SD for the paired t-test and median (IQR) for the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. ES was defined as large for $r>0.5$, medium for $0.3<r<0.5$, small for $0.1<r<0.3$, and no effect for $r<0.1$.

*Abbreviations*: ES, effect size; ODKR, oral diadokokinetic rate (for syllables /ta/ and /ka/); TP, tongue pressure; t/s, time per second.

### Table 2 Pre- and post-exercise swallowing function comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements (n=18)</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>ES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pharyngeal transition time (s)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.81–1.20)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.74–0.82)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>r=0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UES opening width</td>
<td>11.3 (8.6–14.8)</td>
<td>12.3 (11.2–17.6)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>r=0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penetration and aspiration scale</td>
<td>2 (1–2.25)</td>
<td>1 (1–1)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>r=0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRRSv</td>
<td>0.08 (0.01–0.11)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.02–0.05)</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>r=0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRRSp</td>
<td>0.02 (0.03–0.05)</td>
<td>0 (0–0.01)</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>r=0.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Notes*: Results are expressed as mean (IQR) for the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. ES was defined as large for $r>0.5$, medium for $0.3<r<0.5$, small for $0.1<r<0.3$, and no effect for $r<0.1$.

*Abbreviations*: ES, effect size; NRRS, normalized residue ratio scale; NRRSp, post-residue in the piriform sinus; NRRSv, post-residue in valleculae; UES, upper esophageal sphincter.
Table 3 Correlations between PAS scores and NRRS and the other variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Anterior hyoid</th>
<th>Superior hyoid</th>
<th>TP</th>
<th>ODKR/ta/</th>
<th>ODKR/ka/</th>
<th>PTT</th>
<th>UES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAS</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>−0.411</td>
<td>−0.475*</td>
<td>−0.249</td>
<td>−0.455</td>
<td>−0.097</td>
<td>0.675*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRRSv</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>−0.158</td>
<td>−0.063</td>
<td>−0.516*</td>
<td>−0.449*</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRRSp</td>
<td>−0.249</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>−0.200</td>
<td>−0.094</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>−0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>Anterior hyoid</td>
<td>Superior hyoid</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>ODKR/ta/</td>
<td>ODKR/ka/</td>
<td>PTT</td>
<td>UES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAS</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>−0.324</td>
<td>−0.084</td>
<td>−0.365</td>
<td>−0.342</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>−0.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRRSv</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>−0.251</td>
<td>−0.448</td>
<td>−0.530*</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRRSp</td>
<td>0.449</td>
<td>−0.113</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>−0.144</td>
<td>−0.194</td>
<td>−0.229</td>
<td>−0.497*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *P<0.05.

Abbreviations: anterior hyoid, anterior movement of the hyoid bone; NRRS, normalized residue ratio scale; NRRSv, NRRS in valleculae; NRRSp, NRRS in the piriform sinus; ODKR, oral diadochokineti rate; PAS, penetration aspiration scale; PTT, pharyngeal transit time; superior hyoid, superior movement of the hyoid bone; TP, tongue pressure; UES, upper esophageal sphincter opening width.

TPRT and swallowing function

Superior hyolaryngeal excursions during swallowing are thought to contribute to airway protection and prevent aspiration; anterior hyolaryngeal excursions are thought to be related to UES opening. Therefore, our finding that the width of the UES was improved at follow-up compared to baseline measurements is reasonable. Furthermore, PAS scores at follow-up significantly decreased compared with that at baseline (P=0.016, r=0.57, large ES). The PAS scores at baseline were moderately correlated with superior hyoid movement despite not being significantly different (r=−0.411). It is likely that increased superior hyoid movement after the TPRT program might have contributed to improvement in the PAS scores. In addition, there was a significant correlation between the TP at baseline and the PAS scores (r=−0.475). As the tongue plays a significant role in pushing the bolus into the esophagus against the pharyngeal wall, decreased TP causes oropharyngeal dysphagia. However, contrary to our expectations, there was a strong positive correlation between the width of the UES and the PAS scores at baseline. The reason for this might have been the presence of a confounding variable or compensatory mechanism of increase in the width of the UES for preventing aspiration and penetration. Interestingly, at follow-up, the correlation coefficient value between the width of the UES and the PAS scores was acceptable (r=−0.216). Given these results, the occurrence of aspiration or penetration in elderly with presbyphagia might be correlated with both decreased tongue muscle strength and decreased superior hyoid elevation during swallowing.

Regarding pharyngeal residue, we measured the amount of pharyngeal residue using a pixel-based method for quantitative analysis. Pharyngeal residue is influenced by different parameters, including movement at the base of the tongue, pharyngeal constriction, hyoid laryngeal elevation, narrower UES, and pharyngeal shortening. Regarding pharyngeal residue in the valleculae, NRRSp at follow-up was smaller than that at baseline (P=0.09, r=0.40, medium ES). Tongue driving forces reportedly play a major role in avoiding residue in the vallecula because the tongue base motions during swallowing diminish the amount of residue. In our study, the ODKR/ta/ and ODKR/ka/ at baseline were significantly correlated with NRRSv (r=−0.516 and r=−0.449, respectively) (Table 3). In addition, ODKR/ta/ and ODKR/ka/ at follow-up were correlated with NRRSv (r=−0.448 and r=−0.530, respectively). We believe that ODKR/ta/ and /ka/ might reflect decline of tongue movement. Nevertheless, the reason why a significant correlation between TP and NRRSv was not observed in this study remained unclear. One reason could be that the presence of confounding variables was not adjusted in this analysis due to the small sample size. Another possible explanation could be that there were not enough participants with remarkably low TPs. However, we presume that improved tongue function, including TP and ODKR/ta/ and /ka/, contributed to the decreased NRRSv rather than improved hyoid movement during swallowing, after the TPRT program.

Regarding pharyngeal residue in the piriform sinus, NRRSp at follow-up was significantly smaller than that at baseline (P=0.022, r=0.54, large ES). In addition, UES opening width at follow-up was significantly larger than that at baseline (P=0.001, r=0.82, large ES). Decreased anterior hyolaryngeal displacement contributes to reduced opening of the UES, resulting in the piriform sinus residue. Therefore, it is reasonable that there was significant correlation between the UES opening width and NRRSp (r=−0.497). However, there was unexpected correlation at baseline between NRRSp and anterior hyoid movement (r=0.449). UES were opening archived by hyolaryngeal elevation during swallowing along with cricopharyngeal muscle relaxation. It is possible that some participants with insufficient cricopharyngeal muscle relaxation were included in the present study. However, it is notable that the median (IQR) of NRRSp at follow-up was 0 (0–0), which indicates that the pharyngeal residue in the...
piriform sinus in many of the participants had almost disappeared at follow-up. Therefore, the unreasonable correlation between NRRSp and anterior hyoid movement at follow-up was probably caused by sample bias. However, we presume that improved UES opening width resulting from improved suprahyoid muscle function contributed to the decreased pharyngeal residue in the piriform sinus.

On the other hand, as the participants in the present study did not have severe dysphagia, both NRRSv and NRRSp values were smaller compared with those reported in participants with dysphagia,6,28 even though the values of NRRSv and NRRSp at baseline in the present study were above the reported normal values of NRRS (NRRSv: mean 0.01, 95% CI 0.00–0.02; NRRSp: mean 0.00, 95% CI 0.00–0.00).28 Therefore, further studies are needed in participants with dysphagia for verifying the effect of TPRT on the swallowing function. However, a previous study that demonstrated that TPRT using balloon tubes improved the swallowing function in stroke dysphagia patients supports the results of our study.14

Clinical implications

Some researchers29,30 suggest that older adults are more vulnerable in terms of transitioning from the healthy swallowing, seen normally in older individuals, to experiencing dysphagia, due to the decreased muscle reserve with aging; this is especially seen with additional stressors such as acute illnesses or certain medications. Regarding the suprahyoid muscle, the amount of hyoid elevation during swallowing is affected by aging, especially in older men,29 which is thought to be caused by the loss of muscle reserve leading to decline of suprahyoid muscle strength.1 In addition, another study showed that for safe swallowing, older women may be working harder by increased hyoid elevation during swallowing, compared with young women31 to compensate for the decreased strength in swallowing-related muscles.3 Therefore, it is essential to perform effective and efficient resistance training for the swallowing muscles in elderly with presbyphagia.

For elderly individuals with age-related decline of both tongue and suprahyoid muscle strength, TPRT should be performed to improve the strength of both the tongue and the suprahyoid muscles. Regarding the use of TPRT for improving the strength of the suprahyoid muscle and the hyoid elevation during swallowing, some regimens target the suprahyoid muscle, such as the Shaker exercise32 and the jaw-opening exercise.33 However, the Shaker exercise has some limitations including a low achievement feasibility and a strenuous protocol. In a study of 26 elderly adults (aged 66–93 years) without swallowing problems, only 50% of participants completed the prescribed isokinetic goals and only 70% completed the prescribed isometric goals in an exercise regimen that spanned 6 weeks.24 In addition, some researchers reported that the strenuous protocol decreased compliance due to sternocleidomastoid muscle discomfort, especially in elderly, frail patients.24,33 Furthermore, patients who cannot lift their heads and flex their necks, such as those with cervical spondylosis, cannot perform this exercise, nor can individuals with a temporomandibular joint disorder. However, TPRT can be performed by individuals with temporomandibular joint disorders and can be easily carried out without using a tool. Further, TPRT enhances tongue and suprahyoid function simultaneously.

Limitations

Though our study suggests new findings, there are some limitations. First, there were no participants with severe dysphagia. Second, the sampling data of hyoid bone movement during swallowing were inadequate. As the participants did not have severe dysphagia, we avoided giving the participants large volumes of barium to drink due to ethical considerations in view of the side effects of ingesting barium such as vomiting, discomfort, and intestinal obstruction. In addition, the reason for avoiding performing multiple swallowing movements during the videofluoroscopic study was to avoid radiation exposure in the participants. In future studies, we would ensure obtaining appropriate sampling data of hyoid movement during swallowing in participants with severe dysphagia. In addition, we need to assess the effects of TPRT for individuals with severe dysphagia. Third, this study was a before–after study; thus, information bias could not be adjusted, even though videofluoroscopy measurements were blinded with regard to whether the data were before or after. Further studies are needed to verify the effectiveness of TPRT in randomized, controlled studies.

Conclusion

TPRT improved tongue strength, tongue dexterity, both anterior and superior hyoid elevation, and swallowing function. Therefore, our results suggest that TPRT can improve tongue and suprahyoid muscle function simultaneously, and is important for preventing sarcopenic dysphagia.
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