Choosing the right inhaler for your asthma or COPD patient

Abstract: Appropriate selection and correct use of inhalation devices is an integral component in the management of asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). It is well known that there are many challenges with the use of inhalers, and no one device suits all patients. Challenges can range from difficulties related to lung disease severity and pulmonary function to physical considerations, including manual dexterity and comorbidities such as arthritis. In terms of device selection and adherence, patient engagement and satisfaction are also important factors to consider. Furthermore, problems with inhaler use can be most evident in children and older patients. Here, we discuss aspects for consideration with commonly used devices, including nebulizers, pressurized metered-dose inhalers, dry powder inhalers, and the soft mist inhaler.

As each inhaler offers varying technical properties, a tailored and personalized approach to the selection of the most appropriate device for the patient is highly recommended in order to increase the likelihood of achieving improved disease outcomes and enhance persistence with device adherence. Importantly, education and support is crucial, not only to enable patients to recognize the need for optimal disease management, but also to help them develop good inhaler technique. In addition, health care professionals should also aim to increase their knowledge of the devices they prescribe, and develop systems to ensure that they offer comprehensive support to patients in clinical practice. Considering these aspects, this review discusses potential strategies to help address the challenges of inhaler use in asthma and COPD.
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Introduction

Asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) are common chronic conditions that comprise approximately 78% of direct health care costs associated with respiratory diseases in the European Union. In the UK alone, 5.4 million patients are currently receiving treatment for asthma; of these, 1.1 million are children. Over three million people die of COPD worldwide each year, an estimated 6% of all deaths worldwide. The delivery of drugs by inhalation is an integral component in the treatment and management of patients with both diseases. Over the past 30 years, there has been unprecedented growth in the market for inhaled therapy, with annual sales having increased from $7 billion in 1987 to $36 billion in 2014 and with over 90 billion inhaled doses prescribed to patients in a single year. Unlike systemic treatments, inhaled medicines are rapidly directed to the airways, allowing for rapid onset. Targeting a drug directly to the lungs allows for lower doses to be administered, limiting potential side effects. There is a large choice of inhalers; in 2011, more than 230 different device-drug combinations were available to prescribers in Europe, with 48 different inhaler products in the UK alone, each with its own specific design characteristics. The most common device types sold in Europe in 2011 were pressurized metered-dose inhalers (pMDIs; 47.5%), followed by dry powder inhalers (DPIs; 39.5%).
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and nebulizers (13%), although distribution between inhalers differed considerably between countries. Therefore, choosing the most appropriate device to meet individual patient needs is an important consideration in clinical practice.

The correct use of inhalation devices and adherence to prescribed therapy are key aspects in achieving better clinical control and improved quality of life. Lack of adherence is an important health challenge, yet both asthma and COPD have lower adherence rates compared with other chronic conditions. The detrimental impact of lack of adherence to COPD medication has been well documented by the TOwards a Revolution in COPD Health (TORCH) study, which found it to be significantly associated with increased risk of death and admission to hospital due to exacerbations. In patients with COPD discharged from hospital, adherence to medication has been found to be low, with impairment in cognitive function and degree of airways obstruction being key negative influences. It is recognized that a wide range of factors are known to present challenges to patients with respect to inhaler use, including inhalation technique and pulmonary function. In patients with asthma or COPD, incorrect inhaler technique is associated with a 50% increased risk of hospitalization, increased emergency department visits, and increased use of oral corticosteroids. User errors are common, regardless of the device used. A study of 3,393 devices used for continuous treatment of COPD in 2,935 patients has found critical errors in inhalation tests, including with Breezhaler® (Novartis AG, Basel, Switzerland), Diskus® (GlaxoSmithKline, London, UK), Handihaler® (Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma, Ingelheim am Rhein, Germany), pMDIs, Respimat® (Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma), and Turbuhaler® (AstraZeneca, Cambridge, UK) in 15.4%, 21.2%, 29.3%, 43.8%, 46.9%, and 32.1% of patients. A recent systematic review, however, found 299 descriptions for “critical error”, highlighting the need to achieve a consensus on the definition of an inhaler critical error. It is also recommended that patient preferences for devices should be considered when prescribing an inhaler, but physicians must be cognizant that patients often overestimate their ability to handle a device correctly. Factors that influence patient preference include simplicity and convenience (eg, size and durability) and user experience (eg, taste and side effects). Inhaler technique is not necessarily improved due to higher satisfaction with a device, a health care professional’s personal perspective that the patient is engaged in the choice of device, or a patient feeling comfortable using a device in public. Given the substantial cost of managing asthma and COPD worldwide, it is important to optimize the use of inhalation devices and technique. Strategies to achieve this include the provision of high-quality education, both for patients and the multidisciplinary team (MDT) involved in asthma care, utilization of device technology, and implementation of techniques designed specifically to support adherence.

Scope
This review discusses the factors for consideration when choosing an inhaler device in adults and children with asthma or COPD. It presents evidence to support the selection of the most appropriate device to meet individual patient’s needs, with the aim of optimizing adherence and hence patient outcomes. This is not a systematic literature review, rather an overview of current thoughts, and draws upon clinical experience as well as current literature.

Inhalation devices in asthma and COPD
A variety of different drug and inhaler combinations are available for the management of asthma and COPD, thereby increasing the likelihood of finding an appropriate option for each individual patient. Inhaler devices vary in several ways, including how the inhaler dispenses the medication, whether the treatment is passively or actively generated (eg, using propellant, mechanical, or compressed air), aspects of the drug formulation (eg, solution, dry powder, or mist), whether the inhaler contains medication in a single- or multi-dose, and whether the device is disposable or refillable. Each inhaler device also has unique design characteristics, meaning that there is the option to tailor choice to meet the patient’s specific needs. However, it can be appreciated that these factors also present the challenge of ensuring that the patient knows how to use their own device, and that they have sufficient education and support to continue to use it properly. This is compounded by the fact that patients are often given multiple devices that work in very different ways. The use of multiple respiratory inhalers requiring different inhalation techniques has been shown to have an adverse effect on clinical outcomes in patients with COPD and asthma. Patients who believe using their inhaler is an important part of their asthma management demonstrate higher levels of correct inhaler use. However, it is recognized that in addition to understanding the need to use an inhaler for disease control, patients must be educated on the necessity of using it properly. Thus, from the patient perspective, it is likely that the choice of an inhalation device is often as important as the choice of treatment. The most commonly used devices include nebulizers, pMDIs, DPIs, and the soft mist inhaler (SMI). The advantages and limitations of the main inhaler devices are overviewed in Table 1. Visual images of the different inhaler types in this article can be found on http://inhalers4u.org/ and https://www.rightbreathe.com/.
Nebulizers

Nebulizers are one of the oldest types of device. In general, they are only used in the emergency setting for the acute treatment of patients, or in chronic disease management for children or elderly patients who are unable to use an inhaler with a spacer or who have coordination problems. Once operational, nebulizers are easy to use and offer a convenient way of delivering a higher dose of treatment to the airways if required. As nebulizers omit the need for patient coordination between inhalation and actuation, these devices are particularly useful in those with cognitive, neuromuscular, or ventilation impairments. Over 50% of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhaler</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| pMDI (general) | - Portable  
- Compact  
- Multi-dose device  
- Dose delivered and particle size independent of inhalation maneuver  
- Quick and easy to use  
- Less expensive than most other inhalers  
- Suitable for emergencies  
- Available for most treatments | - Coordination of inspiration and actuation necessary  
- Not suitable for young children (without use of spacer)  
- High oropharyngeal deposition of larger particles (without use of spacer)  
- Dose counter not available in all devices to assess remaining doses  
- Propellant required  
- Needs to be shaken well before each inhalation, and primed if not used within a prespecified time period |
| pMDI, extra-fine aerosols (mass median aerodynamic diameter, <2 μm) | - Clinically lower doses of drug can be used compared with large particle size drugs  
- Fewer side effects compared with large particle size drug | - Coordination of inspiration and actuation necessary  
- Not suitable for young children (without use of spacer)  
- Dose counter not available in all devices to assess remaining doses  
- Propellant required  
- Needs to be shaken well before each inhalation, and primed if not used within a prespecified time period |
| DPI (general) | - Small and portable  
- Breath-actuated  
- Less coordination required  
- Short treatment time  
- Available for most treatments | - Moderate to high inspiratory flow required  
- Not suitable for young children  
- May not be suitable for emergencies  
- Partly sensitive to humidity  
- Need proper dose preparation and loading to achieve optimal available dose for inhalation  
- Need to insert each dose before use  
- Patient must continue or repeat inhalation until capsule is empty, which can cause dose variability  
- Following dose preparation and actuation, the device needs to be kept horizontal before patient inhalation. Also, patients must not blow into the device before inhalation |
| DPI, single-dose capsule-based | - Patients can confirm that they have taken their medication by checking the capsule after use | - Requires desiccant inside the powder bed reservoir  
- Dose loaded into the device  
- Not breath-actuated  
- Needs to be primed if not in use for over 21 days |
| DPI, multi-unit | - Offers better protection from the environment compared with multiple-dose DPI | |
| DPI, multiple dose (reservoir) | - Built-in mechanism meters out each dose upon actuation | |
| SMI | - Portable  
- Multi-dose device  
- Low dependence on inspiratory flow rate  
- Slow velocity aerosol  
- High fine particle fraction and lung deposition  
- Long plume duration  
- Requires less coordination between actuation and inspiration compared with other inhaler devices  
- No propellant  
- Dose indicator  
- Does not require a spacer (in those aged >5 years)  
- Suitable for use in children | - Dose loaded into the device  
- Not breath-actuated  
- Needs to be primed if not in use for over 21 days |

Abbreviations: DPI, dry powder inhaler; pMDI, pressurized metered-dose inhaler; SMI, soft mist inhaler.
using nebulizers instead of other devices do so because of physical or cognitive disabilities. However, most nebulizer devices are generally bulky and inconvenient, require regular maintenance, prolong drug delivery from seconds to 10–15 minutes, and require regular thorough cleaning to sterilize the device. It has been shown that in the acute management of disease, nebulizers produced outcomes that were not significantly different than pMDIs with a spacer, but compared with DPIs, nebulizers may be beneficial in COPD patients with a suboptimal inspiratory flow. A new portable nebulizer (Lonhala™ Magnair™, Sunovion Pharmaceuticals Inc., Marlborough, MA, USA), designed to administer glycopyrrolate within 2–3 minutes whilst allowing patients to breathe normally, has recently been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

Pressurized metered-dose inhalers

The pMDI is a commonly used device, driven by the wide range of medication that can be delivered via this type of inhaler and the relatively low costs. Recent years have seen the transition from chlorofluorocarbon (CFC) pMDIs, which are almost obsolete, to mainly hydrofluoroalkane (HFA) pMDIs. Available HFA solutions include the long-acting β₂-agonist formoterol; the corticosteroids ciclesonide (CIC), beclomethasone dipropionate (BDP), and flunisolide; and a drug combination of BDP/formoterol in a single inhaler. BDP and CIC formulations contain extra-fine particles (<2 μm mass median aerodynamic diameter), which are associated with lower oropharyngeal deposition and enhanced deposition in the lung. Preparations with extra-fine inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) have significantly higher odds of achieving asthma control, with lower exacerbation rates at significantly lower doses than fine-particle ICSs. Patients stepping up to extra-fine particle ICS preparations experienced lower risk of pneumonia, acute COPD exacerbations, and respiratory events. Common user errors with pMDIs include inhaling too fast (and thus not slowly and deeply), failure to tilt head in the correct position, insufficient inspiratory effort, and not emptying the drug system from the user’s inspiratory flow, while loading the dose, failure to tilt head in the correct position, insufficient inspiratory effort, and not emptying lungs before inhalation. It is increasingly recognized that many patients with asthma and COPD find difficulty in generating the necessary optimal inspiratory flow rates for DPIs in order to achieve effective drug delivery and consequent clinical benefit. DPIs are also susceptible to heat and moisture, and special precaution must be taken to avoid humidity. This means that their use in hot and humid climate zones is limited, and care must be taken to store the device in appropriate conditions.

Dry powder inhalers

DPIs were introduced into clinical practice as user-friendly alternatives to CFC- and HFA-driven pMDIs. Breath-actuated DPIs are aimed to overcome the difficulties with coordination of inhaler actuation and inspiration. There are three main systems: capsule-based pre-metered single-dose devices; multi-unit dose inhalers (preloaded by the manufacturer with a blister foil); and multiple-dose inhalers that employ an in-built mechanism that meters out a single dose with each actuation from a reservoir of powder. Effective use of a DPI requires that each dose is primed and loaded in the correct manner. DPIs derive the energy for emptying the drug system from the user’s inspiratory flow, and the failure to achieve a forceful inspiratory flow through a device is the most common critical mishandling error with DPIs, occurring in 26%–38% of cases. Common errors for DPIs include not keeping the device in the correct position while loading the dose, failure to tilt head in the correct position, insufficient inspiratory effort, and not emptying lungs before inhalation. It is increasingly recognized that many patients with asthma and COPD find difficulty in generating the necessary optimal inspiratory flow rates for DPIs in order to achieve effective drug delivery and consequent clinical benefit. DPIs are also susceptible to heat and moisture, and special precaution must be taken to avoid humidity. This means that their use in hot and humid climate zones is limited, and care must be taken to store the device in appropriate conditions.

Soft mist inhalers

The SMI provides an alternative option to pMDIs and DPIs, aiming to improve the effective delivery of drug to the lungs in order to benefit the patient and enhance adherence. The Respinat™ Soft Mist inhaler (Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma), so far the only commercially available SMI for asthma and COPD, was developed with the aim of providing optimal drug delivery to the lungs while avoiding propellants, as well as to reduce the need for patient coordination and inspiratory effort. The Respinat™ device does not require propellants as it is powered by the energy of a compressed spring inside the inhaler, and individual doses are delivered via a specifically engineered nozzle system as a slow-moving aerosol cloud. In addition, as the aerosol is generated from a solution rather than a powder, the Respinat™ is resilient to moisture, making it suitable for humid climates. While the required inhalation technique is like that used with a pMDI, the aerosol is released very slowly from the device compared with an HFA-driven pMDI. Dal Negro et al compared
the instant velocity and the consistency of the emitted cloud from five different pMDIs and the Respimat® at different distances from the nozzle and at different levels of canister filling. Findings suggest that the dynamic characteristics of the SMI result in higher stability of the cloud emitted and hence may contribute to more convenient use to the patient. This is mainly attributed to the slower jet emission and to the more homogeneous composition of the droplet cloud generated. Findings showed that the higher stability of cloud emission from the Respimat® was likely to contribute to easier and more convenient use for patients. Additionally, the relatively long duration over which the dose is expelled from the Respimat® (about 1.2 s compared with 0.1 s from traditional pMDIs) is thought to largely reduce the impact of poor coordination between actuation and inspiration (a regular patient error still seen with the Respimat® device16), thus improving the potential for greater lung deposition.3 Thus, while coordination between actuation and inhaling is required, the velocity of the Respimat® reduces the potential for drug impaction in the throat. Scintigraphic studies with the Respimat® device have reported that, compared with an HFA-based pMDI, lung deposition is higher (up to 50%) and oropharyngeal deposition is lower.14 These findings are attributed to the small particle size emitted by the Respimat®. Overall, the Respimat® Soft Mist™ inhaler offers an alternative option in clinical practice, overcoming some of the limitations of other devices (Table 1).

Inhaler selection in clinical practice
Choice of inhaler depends on a combination of factors,49,50 including pulmonary function (ie, inspiratory flow and breathing technique22,51), device handling,8,52–55 use of a spacer, required inhaler technique,7,11,56,57 and patient preference.19,58,59 Correct inhaler technique is important for optimal delivery of the drug to the lungs and peripheral airways, resulting in greater potential for the achievement of disease control.36,57,60,61 As treatment efficacy is linked to adherence, addressing patient preferences are essential,62 and tailored device selection can help enhance patient satisfaction, treatment adherence, and long-term outcomes.22,62

Physical barriers that affect device handling are well documented.8,52–55 Children, the elderly, and those with conditions that may impact on handgrip and manual dexterity require special consideration to ensure selection of the most appropriate inhaler. In older patients, common physical challenges include difficulty manipulating the device due to problems with dexterity, including osteoarthritis, joint pain, stroke, and muscle weakness.56,27,60 Difficulty connecting a pMDI to a spacer and an inability to achieve a firm seal around the mouthpiece when using inhalers alone or with a spacer have also been suggested, particularly for patients with cognitive impairment, facial weakness, or who are missing teeth. In children, the choice of inhaler more specifically depends on the child’s age and capability, and challenges with correct handling may be experienced due to manual dexterity and finger size. Successful inhaler use in young children depends on coordination, the technical properties of the inhalation device, and the ability of the child to perform a correct inhalation maneuver with the device.55 Spacers are commonly used in children to reduce the need for actuation and breathing coordination.52–54 A summary showing the challenges related to the use of inhalation therapy in children and a guide on age-appropriate devices and interfaces are shown in Figure 1. Specific issues related to the use of inhalation therapy in elderly patients and an algorithm for inhaler selection in this patient group are shown in Figure 2.

Inhaled drug deposition in the airways is impacted by the patient’s inspiratory flow, the aerosol velocity, and the inhaled drug particle size.53–60 These challenges can be particularly evident in young children and older individuals, and, in addition to the difficulties these groups can have with coordination of device actuation with inspiration,37 may lead to a significant reduction in drug deposition in the lungs. The CRITical Inhaler mistaKes and Asthma controL study (CRITIKAL)11 is one of the largest studies to investigate inhaler technique. Conducted in a real-life, multinational study population, this study investigated the association between specific inhaler errors and asthma outcomes, and included data from 3,660 patients. Insufficient inspiratory effort was common (made by 32%–38% of DPI users), and was associated with uncontrolled asthma (adjusted odds ratios: 1.30 and 1.56 in those using Turbuhaler and Diskus devices, respectively) and increased exacerbation rate. In pMDI users, actuation before inhalation (24.9% of patients) was also associated with uncontrolled asthma.

Patient factors including preferences and satisfaction can play a significant role in inhaler choice and use.19 In addition to ease of use, this can be influenced by the portability and compact design of the device, as well as noise, taste, treatment time, and convenience.41 Engaging patients in selection of the inhaler that suits them best may help optimize device adherence. In a real-world observational study, Small et al46 reported that the higher the level of satisfaction patients had with their device, the more likely they were to be compliant and to experience better outcomes (eg, quality of life and fewer health care challenges), including fewer exacerbations,
fewer hospital visits, fewer health care visits, and fewer sleep disturbances. In one study in which patients were interviewed to determine their inhaler preferences, it was confirmed that smaller-sized inhalers are desirable due to their portability, and interviewees stated that this characteristic is linked to adherence.67 In addition, most participants believed that a dose counter should be an integral part of an inhaler, while the need for proper training with a health care professional was also emphasized.67 Patient preference for different inhaler types has been investigated in many studies. Given the wide selection of inhalers available, patient preference for one particular inhaler type has not been demonstrated, and the choice depends on a number of factors, as already discussed.20,47,68–72

Training and education to support the use of inhalers

Inhaler misuse is one of the most commonly reported barriers to adherence. Melani et al15 observed the strongest and most significant associations between inhaler misuse with older age, lower schooling, and lack of instruction regarding inhaler technique. It must be acknowledged that even the most user-friendly devices still require education and a demonstration, which has been shown to be lacking in several studies.

Education is the one factor that is modifiable, and health care professionals should seek to tailor advice according to individual patient needs in addition to ensuring that their own education is up to date.7 On the introduction of a device into clinical practice, the suggestion that it is user-friendly gives the impression that education and training may not be required. However, a patient will require training and upskilling on any device,15,52 and user technique should always be reviewed in patients with poor asthma control, even if they are using a device that is considered easy to use.56 However, while training can enhance the ability to use inhalers, it has been reported that many patients revert to an incorrect technique after a short time.73,74 The Global Initiative for Asthma

Figure 1 Challenges of inhalation therapy in pediatric patients, and age-appropriate inhaler devices and interfaces.

Note: Data from these studies.31,33,91
Abbreviations: DPI, dry powder inhaler; pMDI, pressurized metered-dose inhaler; SMI, soft mist inhaler; VHC, valved holding chamber.
GINA) recommends strategies for helping to ensure effective device use, including a physical demonstration of inhaler technique and patient retraining at follow-up appointments.10 A Cochrane review evaluated a range of interventions and determined that although many studies demonstrated a post-intervention improvement in the number of individuals with correct inhaler technique, it could not be confirmed whether this translated into clinical benefits.51 The authors recommended that health care professionals continue to ask their patients to regularly demonstrate their technique and correct this as required, and to refer patients for training as necessary where available.

### Patient-related education

The most effective patient training technique has been established as verbal instruction combined with a physical demonstration.75–77 Repeating information over time increases the proportion of patients who maintain the correct technique at follow-up visits.77 If poor technique persists, it is essential to elucidate from the patient the challenges they are experiencing, and address any potential lack of understanding around the need for medication and adherence.7 Furthermore, treatment decisions should always be taken in collaboration with the patient and/or their carer in the case of young patients and often elderly individuals.7 A selection of educational aids has been developed and are reviewed elsewhere.74 In an attempt to improve patient recall of the optimal technique required for use, some device tools provide real-time, interactive sensory feedback of the patient’s performance in various aspects of inhalation.74 A number of online tools, including videos, also offer patient support, and the Aerosol Drug Management Improvement Team (ADMIT)74 provide an online platform offering patients and health care professional educational resources to support the decision-making process regarding which inhaler might be most appropriate and the correct inhaler technique.

### Health care professional-related education

The role of the health care professional, whether physician, pharmacist, or other member of the MDT, in inhaler use is central.7,52 The wide range of drug and inhaler combinations increases the complexity of inhaler choice for physicians, and may also reduce their experience with each device, hence affecting patient tuition. It has been suggested that up to 67% of clinicians cannot describe the steps involved or demonstrate correct inhaler use.51 It is recommended that

---

**Figure 2** Challenges with the use of inhalation therapy in elderly patients, and an algorithm for appropriate inhaler device selection.26,92,93

**Abbreviations:** COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HCP, health care professional.
each health care professional should look to expand their knowledge on inhalation devices and strategies to support their patients, enabling them to offer tailored care that aims to maximize disease control and patient experience while minimizing clinic visits.7,77

When considering pediatric patients specifically, a UK-based study evaluated whether health care professionals were able to counsel this group on use of inhalers, including MDIs and Turbuhaler. Findings were similar to those observed with adults, and concluded that less than 10% of health care professionals were competent with the MDI device. Overall, just 13% of participants provided counseling on all the essential criteria for an MDI inhaler. Pharmacy teams within the hospital and community saw the highest competency levels, with 31% and 30% of staff able to discuss the essential steps, respectively. The physicians or nurses included were not able to identify all the necessary steps. Furthermore, only 10% of participants counseled patients on all essential steps for an MDI with a spacer device, with no nurse or doctor achieving all steps.78 Commonly omitted steps included shaking the inhaler and leaving sufficient time between doses.

An improvement in inhaler knowledge and skills has been reported following educational workshops and a small-group lecture format with web-based inhaler tutorials.21 Leung et al79 tested the usefulness of a physician education implemented as a two-session education program. Attendees were armed with slide decks and access to placebo inhalers so that they could not only teach patients proper inhaler technique, but also raise awareness among other members of the MDT. Before the program, 49% of participants reported providing some form of inhaler teaching in their practices, yet only 10% felt fully competent to teach their patients the inhaler technique. After the program, 98% rated their inhaler teaching as good to excellent, and 83% reported providing inhaler teaching in their practices, either by themselves or by a member of the MDT that they had personally trained. In another study,80 health care professionals watched tablet-based multimedia educational videos that demonstrated correct inhaler technique by a clinical pharmacist with teach-back from a patient, before being re-evaluated. Correct inhaler technique was significantly increased among all health care professionals after the training, with the largest increase observed for the Respimat® device; 32% versus 93% demonstrated the correct steps for usage before and after training.

Research has shown that it is necessary to repeat instructions several times to achieve effective inhalation skills in both asthma and COPD patients. A study by Takaku et al81 designed to evaluate the number of instructions that are necessary to minimize errors in using pMDI, DPI, and Respimat® concluded that, for every device, at least three instructions were required to achieve less than 10% of errors. As a support strategy to providing verbal instructions, Bashaeti et al82 investigated the effect of the use of inhaler technique reminder labels. Findings demonstrated significantly better inhaler technique after 3 months among those using labels compared with those using initial training alone. The personalized labels highlighted original errors, serving as a reminder of the correct technique; 67% of patients maintained correct technique at follow-up, compared with only 12% of those who received education alone. It was concluded that this is a simple intervention that has the potential to support continued good inhaler technique among patients. Furthermore, the labeling might also help health care professionals with providing instruction during a consultation. The authors also suggested that future research could investigate the potential for inhalers to talk the patient through the steps needed for good medication delivery.

There are many guidelines (eg, GINA and Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease) to support health care professionals. The UK Inhaler Group have published the “Inhaler Standards and Competency Document”, which provides guidance and recommends that health care professionals are able to demonstrate device use effectively. Furthermore, it has been recommended that health care professionals limit the range of devices they use by balancing the patient’s needs and preferences with prescribing devices that they feel confident explaining.83 Finally, health care professionals should consider the most effective educational tool according to the patient’s needs; for example, younger patients may benefit more from multimedia teaching methods, whereas elderly patients respond well to one-to-one tuition.73

An update on new inhalational therapeutics

Over the past 5–10 years, a number of technological innovations have been introduced that improve the performance of all device types; in addition, new delivery systems have been developed that improve delivery efficacy. Amongst these are “intelligent inhalers”, which carry features to monitor patients’ inhalation and adherence to treatment. Using new technology, pulmonary disposition fractions have been increased up to 40%–50% of the nominal dose compared with 10%–15% of levels achieved in the past, meaning that less drug is required for similar efficacy.8 Other innovations (reviewed in more detail by Lavorini et al84) include the insertion of microprocessors into pMDIs, novel ways of initiating breath actuation.
Future developments in inhaler management

Many inhalation products have reached or are approaching patent expiration, leading to a worldwide trend of switching from branded to generic inhalation medicine and the development of new generic inhalers. In the light of this, the European Medicines Agency issued stringent guidelines in 2009 recommending a stepwise approach to demonstrate therapeutic equivalence between two inhaled products. The guidelines stipulate that the generic and reference products must have an identical dosage form containing the same active substance(s). Devices containing generic and reference substances should be equivalent in terms of handling and resistance to airflow, and the delivered dose and particle size should be similar (within 15%) between products. If these in vitro criteria are satisfied, the product may be approved without further pharmacokinetic or pharmacodynamic studies to demonstrate equivalence. The FDA has taken a different approach, and has issued separate draft guidance for each specific inhalation product (pMDIs of albuterol sulfate, ipratropium bromide, levosalbutamol, tiotropium, and DPI of fluticasone propionate/salmeterol). To get FDA approval for a generic product, in vitro tests and in vivo equivalence studies are required, making it much harder to get approval.

Digital health is a growing phenomenon, and offers the potential to help optimize patient care in several aspects of asthma management. A selection of the digital and electronic options either available or under investigation are reviewed in detail elsewhere. Briefly, one digital platform that has FDA approval (Propeller Health) aims to provide geospatial information on asthma attacks to help health care professionals identify exacerbation triggers. In addition, weekly email reports are considered to help increase disease awareness, as well as to enhance understanding of treatment and preventative measures. The approach, based on the global positioning system functionality of smartphones and tablets, offers sensor technology, software, and services; remotely monitors use of inhaled asthma and COPD treatment; analyses patient trends; and provides regular feedback. As technology in this area progresses, it is likely that many additional options to support inhaler use in asthma and COPD will become available. There is also a lot of work in the innovation of inhaler formulations, their engineered design, and also the aerosol form in order to make inhalers more efficient in their ability to deliver drug to the lungs.

In conclusion, there are a broad range of inhaler devices available for the management of asthma and COPD. Each has varying technical features, and so it is important that the choice of device is tailored to meet individual patient’s needs, preferences, and satisfaction, while offering the requisite level of disease control. While there may be no one ideal device for all patients, the range of options available means that there should be a device to suit every patient. Education, both for patients and physicians, is also a key component of optimizing device choice and use.
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