Increased mortality among acute respiratory distress patients from immigrant dense urban districts
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Purpose: This study investigated whether living in immigrant dense urban districts (IDUDs) and low-income areas in the city of Malmö predicted 5-year mortality among patients admitted to the emergency department (ED) because of acute respiratory distress.

Patients and methods: We randomly selected 184 patients with acute respiratory distress during 2007, visiting the ED at Skåne University Hospital, Malmö. In 2007, Malmö had 36% first- and second-generation immigrants. The main exposure was defined as being resident in any of the five IDUDs of Malmö compared to being resident in the five districts of Malmö with the highest proportion of Sweden-born inhabitants (SDUDs). We recorded vital parameters; medical triage priority according to Adaptive Process Triage (ADAPT), ICD-10 diagnoses, and the mean annual income for the patient’s urban district. We examined 5-year mortality risk using Cox proportional hazards model.

Results: After adjustment for age and gender, patients from IDUDs (n=100, 54%) had an HR (95% CI) of 1.65 (1.087–2.494; P=0.019) regarding mortality at 5-year follow-up. Patients in the lowest vs highest income quartile had an HR of 2.00 (1.06–3.79; P=0.032) regarding mortality at 5-year follow-up. Age, male gender, presence of cardiopulmonary disease, and ADAPT priority also independently predicted the 5-year mortality. The excess risk of 5-year mortality associated with living in IDUDs remained significant after adjustment for age, gender, ADAPT priority, presence of cardiopulmonary disease, and income with an HR of 1.79 (1.15–2.78; P=0.010).

Conclusion: Living in an IDUD is a strong independent risk factor for 5-year mortality in patients with acute respiratory distress. The cause is unknown. Our study suggests a need for better structured follow-up of cardiopulmonary disease in such patients.
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Introduction

This study investigated whether living in immigrant dense urban districts (IDUDs) and low-income areas in the city of Malmö predicted 5-year mortality among patients admitted to the emergency department (ED) because of acute respiratory distress. The city of Malmö has a heterogenic population both when it comes to socioeconomic status (SES) and immigrants, with inhabitants from all over the world living in fairly segregated urban districts. It was our interest to see if there are differences in characteristics and mortality for patients with acute respiratory distress visiting the ED at Skåne University Hospital (SUH), Malmö. In 2007, there were a total of 36% first- and second-generation immigrants (in the following text named as only “immigrants”) from 171 countries of the world.¹
Newcomers to the Swedish society from outside of Europe-
ian Union often end up in low SES urban areas and report
tree to four times as often as Sweden-born people that they
suffer from poor or very poor health. Many diseases that
cause chronic respiratory distress depend on SES and life-
style habits. SES such as low individual education and living
in an a low-income area per se is known to be a risk factor
for cardiovascular disease (CVD) and all-cause mortality,
although some findings are heterogeneous and even absent
for men and several CVD diseases.

When it comes to population studies from Malmö, signif-
ificant intraurban differences in mortality from ischemic heart
disease have been demonstrated. In addition, immigrants from
Iraq and Sweden-born residents in deprived urban districts
of Malmö have a higher prevalence of type 2 diabetes. Both
these facts indicate metabolic differences between the groups
rather than, for example, differences in blood pressure, which
also is a risk factor for ischemic heart disease. Data show that
there is an almost linear association between average income
and level of immigrants in the urban districts of Malmö. The
lowest average income is seen in the IDUD and vice versa.
Patients with respiratory distress are frequently seeking care
at EDs. Many different acute and chronic medical conditions
cause acute respiratory distress. The most common causes of
acute respiratory distress are worsening of chronic diseases in
the cardiovascular system and the respiratory system. Many
of these conditions have a high mortality.

We hypothesized that differences in lifestyle and socio-
economic and cultural factors linked to living in a low-income
area, which also happen to be the IDUD half of Malmö, would
translate into increased risk of mortality during 5 years of
follow-up in patients with acute respiratory distress.

The aim of this study thus was to test if patients with acute
respiratory distress who lived in the low-income and IDUD half
of Malmö as compared to those living in the higher income
and Sweden-born dense urban district (SDUD) half of Malmö
are at an increased risk of death during long-term follow-up.

To address this question, we registered data from the time
of ED admission in a cohort seeking care for acute respira-
tory distress and followed the patients for mortality risk over
a median follow-up time of 5.5 years (range 5.0–6.0 years).

The study was approved by the Regional Ethical Review
Board of Lund (Dnr 2014/11).

Patients and methods
Study population
During 2007, a total of 63,393 (30,450 females) patients were
admitted to the ED at SUH in Malmö. In all, 4,179 (6.6%) admissions were caused by acute
respiratory distress. When patients arrive to the ED, they are
asked for what main problem or symptoms they have at the
enrolment desk by a secretary or nurse. Respiratory distress
is one of the predefined contact reasons. Of those admitted
with acute respiratory distress, 200 patients were randomly
extracted to be included in the study. In all, 13 patients were
living outside Malmö and thus were excluded. Another three
were excluded due to multiple individual visits, leaving us
with a cohort of 184 patients. Of the 10 urban districts in
Malmö in 2007, we defined the five most immigrant dense
districts as IDUDs, whereas the five least immigrant dense
districts were defined as SDUDs. In the general population
in Malmö, there was a close to linear relationship between
average annual income of each district and their proportions
of immigrants.

Demography of Malmö
In 2007, the city of Malmö consisted of 10 different urban
districts, some with a high and some with a low frequency of
immigrants. The IDUD half of Malmö consisting of the five
urban districts with the highest amounts of immigrants had a
total of 66% immigrants with an individual range from 33%
(Kirseberg) to 86% (Rosengården). The SDUD half of Malmö
consisting of the five urban districts with the highest amount
of Sweden-born inhabitants had a total of 21% immigrants
with an individual range from 14% (Västra Innerstaden) to
29% (Centrum). Importantly, not only the proportion of
immigrants differs between IDUDs and SDUDs but also
the country of origin of immigrants. The four most common
countries in the IDUD half of Malmö that the first-generation
immigrants came from in 2007 were Iraq, Yugoslavia, Bosnia/
Herzegovina, and Denmark, from the highest to the lowest.
The four most common countries in the SDUD half of
Malmö that the first-generation immigrants came from were
Denmark, Poland, Yugoslavia, and Iran.

Exposures
From the City Council of Malmö, we on a group basis
obtained information about the average income of inhabit-
ants in the 10 urban districts. From the Swedish National
Tax Agency (Skatteverket), we received information on an
individual basis of the annual income for 2007 for the patients
in our cohort. Besides the annual income, we registered the
three first diagnoses, priority at arrival, priority after primary
survey, heart rate, temperature, systolic and diastolic blood
pressures, respiratory rate, oxygen saturation, and date of
death. These data were extracted from the patients’ medical
records. We used the ICD-10 to classify diagnoses. In the cohort, 13 visits had a missing principal diagnosis. For 94 visits, there was a second diagnosis, and 52 visits had a third diagnosis. In total, 332 ICD-10 diagnoses were registered in this cohort. At admission to the ED, each patient gets a triage priority by a nurse or a physician according to the severity of his/her medical condition. In 2007, we applied the Adaptive Process Triage (ADAPT) at the ED. ADAPT is a three-level triage system in the process of determining the priority of the patient’s treatments based on the severity of his/her symptoms and the severity of his/her vital parameters.9,10 This triage system was a forerunner to the medical emergency triage and treatment system (METTS), which was later renamed to the Rapid Emergency Triage Treatment Scale (RETTs), which is used today at EDs nationwide in Sweden.11,12 RETTS has four levels of priority according to severity of symptoms and vital parameters, in contrast to the ADAPT system, which has a three-level scoring system. According to the ADAPT system, patients with the highest priority need to see an emergency team of physicians and nurses immediately at arrival, often in the resuscitation room.

Follow-up

The cohort was observed for mortality during a median follow-up time of 5.5 years (range 5.0–6.0 years), which ended at 31 December 2012. The end point in this study was overall mortality. We used the patient administrative web system (WebPasis) to detect where the patients were living at the moment for extraction of data, ie, when the data were collected from the patients’ medical records. It was not possible to see where the patients were living in 2007, which was the time when they actually were visiting the ED. We assumed that relocation of patients from poor urban districts to wealthy urban districts during the period from 2007 to 2012 was not frequent, and even that if patients had moved during that time period, we believe that they may still remain at the same type of socioeconomic neighborhood.

Among the total of 332 diagnoses, there were a total of 94 diagnoses from chapter I in ICD-10, which refers to diseases in the cardiovascular system. There were 97 diagnoses from chapter J in ICD-10, which refers to diseases in the respiratory system. The diagnoses from both chapters (I and J) formed the vast majority of diagnoses for patients with acute respiratory distress in our cohort. We stratified the diagnoses into four categories. Category 1 consisted of admissions with diagnoses from only chapter I. Category 2 consisted of admissions with diagnoses only from chapter J. Category 3 (the double diagnosis group) consisted of admissions with diagnoses from both chapters I and J, which we in the following text call the double diagnoses (DD) group. A fourth category was identified for admissions with diagnoses other than those from chapters I and J.

Statistics

Data are presented as median (range) or mean (±SD), and group-wise differences between continuous variables were compared using independent samples t-test or Mann–Whitney U test where appropriate. Categorical variables were compared between groups using the chi-square test. We used Cox regression to relate exposure of IDUDs to mortality in the first model adjusted for age and gender (model 1). The second model was additionally adjusted for annual income (model 2), whereas the third model was additionally adjusted for presence of DD (both cardiovascular and pulmonary diseases diagnosed) and ADAPT priority (model 3). A two-tailed significance level of \( P<0.05 \) was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Comparison of baseline characteristics between IDUDs and SDUDs

Baseline characteristics of IDUD and SDUD patients are given in Table 1. According to the income information on a group level from the city of Malmö, the average income for inhabitants ranged from 78,504 SEK in the poorest district (Rosengård) to 190,172 SEK in the richest district (Västra Innerstaden). The median annual income in 2007 for the patients in our cohort (\(N=184\)) was 133,150 SEK with a range of 0–139,900 SEK. As expected, there was a significant difference in median income between patients in the IDUDs, 125,500 SEK (range 0–360,600 SEK), and those in the SDUDs, 140,350 SEK (range 0–1,391,900 SEK; \(P=0.016\); Table 1). There was no significant difference in any of the vital signs (pulse, blood pressure, temperature, and oxygen saturation) for the two groups. CVD, respiratory disease, or patients with DD were by far the most common for patients seeking the ED with acute respiratory distress, but the prevalence did not differ between IDUDs and SDUDs. Nor were there any differences in ADAPT priority between IDUDs and SDUDs.

Baseline exposures versus risk of mortality

There were a total of 94 deaths (51%) among the 184 patients during the 5-year follow-up time. There was a significant increase in the risk of 5-year mortality with 65% of patients...
from IDUDs compared to SDUDs, after adjustment for age and sex (Table 2). There was also a two-fold increase in the risk of 5-year mortality (adjusted for age and sex) in those with an annual income in the lowest quartile (median 45,600 SEK, range 0–81,400 SEK) vs those with an annual income in the highest quartile (median 240,900 SEK, range 201,500–1,391,900 SEK; HR=2.0, 95% CI 1.06–3.79; P=0.032). In addition, whereas patients with CVD or respiratory disease did not have a significantly increased risk of mortality, there was a 76% increased risk in the age- and sex-adjusted 5-year mortality for patients with double diagnoses, ie, with both respiratory disease and CVD versus those without DD (HR=1.76, 95% CI 1.10–2.82; P=0.018). There was also an increase in the 5-year mortality risk in 73% of patients who received the second and third ADAPT triage priority at arrival vs patients with the lowest ADAPT priority (HR=1.73, 95% CI 1.10–2.75; P=0.019). After adjustment of all of these significant variables, on top of age and sex, IDUD vs SDUD remained significantly associated with 79% increased risk of 5-year mortality (P=0.01; Table 2).

### Discussion

The key finding of our study was that living in the half of Malmö with the lowest annual income and highest density of immigrants (IDUD) was an independent risk factor for increased mortality in patients seeking emergency medical care for acute respiratory distress during a follow-up time of 5 years. Interestingly, the mortality risk linked to IDUDs was independent of several other factors, which also significantly affected survival such as age, male gender, triage priority, yearly income, and having diseases of both the cardiovascular and respiratory system. Compared to some other countries and areas of the world, Sweden and Malmö have relatively low levels of social inequalities. Even so, we find it important

### Table 1 Baseline characteristics of patients admitted to the ED with acute respiratory distress in IDUDs and SDUDs of Malmö

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>IDUD (n=100)</th>
<th>SDUD (n=84)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>65.0±19.8</td>
<td>67.4±19.8</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender, n (%)</td>
<td>49 (49.0)</td>
<td>44 (52.4)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median income 2007 (SEK)</td>
<td>125,500 (0–360,600)</td>
<td>140,350 (0–1,391,900)</td>
<td>0.016*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority 1/2/3, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (9.0)/28 (28.0)/63 (63.0)</td>
<td>5 (6.0)/25 (29.8)/54 (64.3)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart disease only, n (%)</td>
<td>16 (16.0)</td>
<td>15 (17.9)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung disease only, n (%)</td>
<td>28 (28.0)</td>
<td>19 (22.6)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (17.9)</td>
<td>20 (24.0)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (min⁻¹)</td>
<td>91±20</td>
<td>93±20</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body temperature (°C)</td>
<td>37.4±0.9</td>
<td>37.3±0.8</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>141±30</td>
<td>140±27</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>77±16</td>
<td>78±17</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate (min⁻¹)</td>
<td>23±8</td>
<td>24±7</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen saturation (%)</td>
<td>94±4</td>
<td>94±6</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *After Bonferroni correction, there was no significant differences in median income at baseline between IDUDs and SDUDs. *Priority according to ADAPT. Categorical variables were compared between groups using the chi-square test. DD includes both lung and heart diseases.

### Abbreviations: ADAPT, Adaptive Process Triage; BP, blood pressure; DD, double diagnoses; ED, emergency department; IDUD, immigrant dense urban district; SDUD, Sweden-born dense urban district.

### Table 2 Patients’ 5-year mortality in IDUDs compared to SDUDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model 1: Adjusted for age and gender</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IDUD</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.09–2.49</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model 2: Adjusted for age, gender, and yearly income</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IDUD</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.11–2.56</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearly income (Q1 vs Q4)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.06–3.79</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model 3: Adjusted for age, gender, yearly income, DD, and ADAPT</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IDUD</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.15–2.78</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearly income (Q1 vs Q4)</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.18–4.35</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.10–2.82</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAPT (3 and 2 vs 1)</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.10–2.75</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: DD includes both lung and heart diseases.

Abbreviations: ADAPT, Adaptive Process Triage; DD, double diagnoses; IDUD, immigrant dense urban district; Q, quartile; SDUD, Sweden-born dense urban district.
to address existing health inequalities, even if it is impossible to counteract factors like origin and difficult to operationalize socioeconomic factors.

Patients from IDUDs have poor SES. With low socioeconomic conditions, independent of origin, may follow differences in diets, physical exercise, low education, employment status, lack of cultural understanding, and lack of social support in the society, which could explain differences in mortality associated with living in IDUDs. Even if our study showed increased mortality in the IDUD half of Malmö even after adjustment for SES, we have to account for that SES per se still could be a stronger factor than immigration status.

Environmental differences within Malmö such as different exposures to pollution and lack of amenities might be possible confounders to different mortality outcomes comparing different neighborhood areas in Malmö. The drinking water in the water tap system for the whole of Malmö comes from the same water supply. Differences between the two halves of Malmö can therefore be assumed to be minor. Studies on the effect of air pollution and some respiratory diseases for the southern Sweden area and Malmö have been made. It was shown that living within 100 m of a road with >10 cars/minute in southern Sweden including Malmö was associated with an increased prevalence of asthma diagnosis and COPD diagnosis, as well as asthma and chronic bronchitis symptoms. The annual level of NO\textsubscript{2} for different socioeconomic groups and countries of birth and educational levels has also been analyzed for the region. However, it was shown that the measured level of air pollution is higher in the center of Malmö rather than that in the suburban areas, where mainly immigrants and inhabitants with lower SES live. Furthermore, it was shown that there is no consistency in how individuals in different socioeconomic classes are exposed to air pollutants. The Swedish Social Welfare System has been diminishing lack of amenities for all inhabitants including immigrants; however, differences in amenities could clearly be a factor explaining differences in mortality. Differences in deaths through violent crime also have to be considered as a confounder comparing different neighborhood areas in Malmö, although less likely to explain differences in mortality in acute respiratory distress patients in our study with a mean age of 65 years.

There are data from other scientific studies showing worse compliance to medication and inequalities in health care services for immigrants. There is evidence that among immigrants from outside the EU25 countries, there is a tendency toward a lesser use of the recommended drugs after myocardial infarction. However, whether this explains excess mortality in the IDUD group remains speculative.

The implication of this study could be to stronger emphasize existing inequalities in health within Malmö. The study might help to further address such questions as patient-orientated information for immigrants, health education, and language/cultural translators that consider ethnicity and cultural as well as other socioeconomic differences. The society needs to raise issues concerning inequalities when it comes to education, employment, lifestyle habits, and health factors in a socially diverse and multiethnic city like Malmö, even if such factors are difficult to counteract.

Finally, several other factors apart from IDUDs, such as having DD of both cardiac and pulmonary pathologies, as well as high level of prioritization during the transition to emergencies, regardless of IDUD status, are factors of severity and contributed independently to mortality during follow-up. As these factors are routinely recorded and included in clinical judgment at the ED, the incremental clinical value of adding IDUDs and income in risk prediction and management needs to be studied further. Most importantly, the studied risk factors that remained significant in the multivariate model should not be considered one by one clinically but rather as a summed up burden score.

Limitations
The relatively small sample size (N=184) is a limitation of this study, which makes it important to validate our findings in further larger and adjusted studies and evaluate if the results could be replicated. It is a limitation that the collection of data was retrospective, as data were collected after both baseline and follow-up period. We cannot determine whether the relationships shown are casual or not. However, in all observational studies, it is difficult to access causality between exposure variables and outcome. It is also a limitation in this study that we did not have access to individual-level data on being or not being immigrant. It is a limitation having to generalize to IDUDs and SDUDs. Although having a higher proportion of immigrants, IDUDs are inhabited by several different immigrant groups and native Swedes with lower levels of SES. Another limitation is that we did not have information on smoking habits, educational or occupational status, or other lifestyle habits.

A further limitation is that we in this study could not account for differences in compliance to medication or follow-up within the health care system.

Conclusion
For patients admitted with acute respiratory distress, living in the half of Malmö with lower SES and IDUDs are strong
independent risk factors for 5-year mortality. The cause of the increased mortality rates is unknown even if we suspect socioeconomic factors, differences in smoking, and possibly compliance factors rather than pure immigrant status. More and further studies have to pinpoint the underlying mechanisms. Even so, our study suggests a need for better and more structured follow-up of cardiopulmonary disease in such patients.
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