Multimodal approaches and tailored therapies for pain management: the trolley analgesic model
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Abstract: Chronic pain is described as a manifestation of real or potential tissue damage. It is identified as a perception influenced by the complex interactions of biological, psychological, and social factors. Different types of pain and their comorbidities dramatically affect patients’ quality of life and their families. Due to diverse etiology and pathogenesis, pain management represents a controversial issue in clinical practice. In 1986, the WHO developed a three-step ladder model based on the use of analgesics for pain management according to pain intensity in a linear up or down movement. Despite its huge value for pain relief, this model has some limitations, and some controversies in the pharmacotherapy of pain management have arisen so far. To bypass these difficulties, the concept of WHO analgesic ladder has been contested and changed into a four bidirectional step model which postulates the use of the invasive procedures (neuromodulatory and neurosurgical procedures). Moreover, with the introduction of the neuromatrix theory for dealing the acute and the chronic pain, the WHO model was newly reinterpreted and changed into a platform analgesic model that includes multimodal pharmacological and alternative treatments applicable to all pain conditions, although excludes the precision therapies. Here, we summarize and revise these concepts in order to propose a new model termed “trolley analgesic model” that will allow adopting tailored therapies with dynamic multimodal approaches for pain management according to 1) the pain intensity, 2) the physiopathology of pain, 3) the complexity of symptoms, 4) the presence of comorbidity, and 5) the physiopathological factors and the social context.
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The original WHO analgesic ladder and its modifications

In 1986, the WHO published a revolutionary model on the use of analgesics in pain treatment, named the WHO analgesic ladder,1,2 subsequently updated a decade later.3 This simple approach was firstly developed for cancer pain relief with a success rate of 80%–90%,4 and then was extended to the majority of pain conditions.5 The concept of ladder was developed on a three-step approach of sequential use – from no-treatment to strong opioids – of agents, given preferentially orally, at regular intervals and without prefixed dosage. The pharmacological approach – in terms of drugs and doses – is based on the pain intensity reported by patients. Thus, in the first step, treatment begins with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)
and other non-opioids (eg, acetaminophen) for mild pain. If the pain persists and thus is classified as moderate pain, therapy with mild opioids (eg, codeine, tramadol, alone or combined with tramadol) is started, and in some cases, associated with strong opioids at lower doses. Finally, the third step in the treatment of severe and persistent pain of advanced disease is the use of strong opioids (eg, morphine, buprenorphine fentanyl, oxycodone, hydromorphone, and tapentadol), in combination or not with non-opioids. Pharmacological adjuvant treatment can be associated with opioids and no-opioids in each step of the ladder, in order to obtain pain relief. 

Although the feasibility and the efficacy of the original WHO ladder has been proven by several clinical experiences, some methodologic limitations on its use (eg, small sample size, inadequate follow-up) have arisen until now, in addition to several side effects associated with non-opioids and opioids agents. For instance, the long-term use of NSAIDs combined with opioids for treating moderate pain can lead to heartburn, and renal and gastrointestinal dysfunctions. Moreover, the use of mild and strong opioids (considered effective pain relievers), leads to constipation, drowsiness, and nausea. Apart from these side effects, many clinical trials on the use of mild opioids for the control of chronic pain showed a lack of efficacy in comparison with strong opioids treatment; thus, some clinicians suggested the elimination of the second step.

Regarding the quality of life, some authors have devised an adaptation of the WHO analgesic ladder, which introduces the fourth step. This latter step includes interventional approaches such as neurosurgical procedures (eg, neuromodulation, nerve blocks, brain stimulators, and nerve lysis) strongly suggested for the control of persistent pain (prevalently of neuropathic onset) even after treatment with strong opioids. Moreover, this modified ladder can be used in a bidirectional manner on the basis of type of pain and its intensity.

**Multimodal approaches for personalized pain treatment: the analgesic trolley model**

Over the past years, the concept of neuromatrix has evolved and led to the establishment of a new concept of pain, which is not more viewed as a linear experience directly induced by sensory input evoked by inflammation or other diseases, but as a multidimensional experience evoked by a neural network widely distributed in the brain. Based on this point of view, Leung tentatively modified the original analgesic WHO ladder into a new analgesic model represented as a platform. In this model, pain management followed a three-dimensional perspective including different domains that, in a multimodal manner, can be added to classical analgesics, on the basis of the pain condition. Despite the innovation of the platform model due to the introduction of the concept regarding the multimodal approaches applied to pain management, this model seems to be lacking in completeness. A serious platform limitation is that this strategy does not include in the domains the precision therapies that need to be considered in order to elaborate a personalized therapy.

Clinical shreds of evidence lead us to assert that pain can be considered as “biopsychosocial perception” since it mimics a unique individual patient experience with multifactorial genesis. Moreover, it represents a dynamic experience, highly variable in a spatial-temporal manner; thus, it is not imaginable to assume its therapy as universally applicable.

Thus, it is necessary to re-think the concept of pain management. Pain treatments need to follow multimodal approaches (pharmacological and nonpharmacological agents according to principle of international guidelines on the management of chronic pain) considering 1) the intensity of pain, 2) the pathophysiology of pain, 3) the complexity of symptoms, 4) the presence of comorbidity, 5) the social context, and 6) the “time” of illness. On these promises, we propose a simple and an intuitive model for pain relief, termed “the analgesic trolley” (Figure 1).

In this model, the pharmacological agents and the non-pharmacological methods foreseen and coded in the pain therapy are contained in special drawers. Each drawer of the trolley identifies a category of drugs or an operative technique (eg, neurolysis) or noninvasive (eg, psychotherapy and yoga) therapy. It will be entrusted to the competence of each clinician to draw on one or more drawers, and to choose in the drawers the most appropriate therapeutic modality, remaining ready to close a drawer, open another, and to modify the choices on the basis of therapeutic needs at the time of presenting patient pain.

The application of this dynamic model (metaphorically represented by the wheels of the trolley as showed in Figure 1) in clinical practice will allow to manage pain in a holistic manner and to provide personalized therapy for patients suffering from pain.
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