Precision medicine: retrospective chart review and data analysis of 200 patients on dapsone combination therapy for chronic Lyme disease/post-treatment Lyme disease syndrome: part 1
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Purpose: We collected data from an online survey of 200 of our patients, which evaluated the efficacy of dapsone (diaminodiphenyl sulfone, ie, DDS) combined with other antibiotics and agents that disrupt biofilms for the treatment of chronic Lyme disease/post-treatment Lyme disease syndrome (PTLDS). We also collected aggregate data from direct retrospective chart review, including laboratory testing for Lyme, other infections, and associated tick-borne coinfections. This helped us to determine the frequency of exposure to other infections/coinfections among a cohort of chronically ill Lyme patients, evaluate the efficacy of newer “persister” drug regimens like DDS, and determine how other infections and tick-borne coinfections may be contributing to the burden of chronic illness leading to resistant symptomatology.

Patients and methods: A total of 200 adult patients recruited from a specialized Lyme disease medical practice had been ill for at least 1 year. We regularly monitored laboratory values and participants’ symptom severity, and the patients completed the online symptom questionnaire both before beginning treatment and after 6 months on DDS combination therapy (DDS CT). Paired-samples t-tests and Wilcoxon signed-rank nonparametric test were performed on each of eight major Lyme symptoms, both before DDS CT and after 6 months of therapy.

Results: DDS CT statistically improved the eight major Lyme symptoms. We found multiple species of intracellular bacteria including rickettsia, Bartonella, Mycoplasma, Chlamydia, Tularemia, and Brucella contributing to the burden of illness and a high prevalence of Babesia complicating management with probable geographic spread of Babesia W A1/duncani to the Northeast. Borrelia, Bartonella, and Mycoplasma species, as well as Babesia microti had variable manifestations and diverse seroreactivity, with evidence of persistence despite commonly prescribed courses of anti-infective therapies. Occasional reactivation of viral infections including human herpes virus 6 was also seen in immunocompromised individuals.

Conclusion: DDS CT decreased eight major Lyme symptoms severity and improved treatment outcomes among patients with chronic Lyme disease/PTLDS and associated coinfections.
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Introduction

Lyme disease is the number one vector-borne illness in the United States. There has been a 320% increase in the number of US counties affected within the past 20 years,1
as well as an alarming threefold increase in the number of vector-borne disease cases reported to public health authorities from 2004 to 2016. The May 1, 2018 edition of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* found that of the almost 650,000 reported cases during a 12-year period, over 491,000 were tick-borne and over 150,000 were mosquito-borne. Recently there was another 22% increase in tick-borne infections where “[c]ases of Lyme disease, anaplasmosis/ehrlichiosis, spotted fever rickettsiosis (including Rocky Mountain spotted fever [RMSF]), babesiosis, tularemia, and Powassan virus disease all increased – from 48,610 cases in 2016 to 59,349 cases in 2017.”

These numbers may not however adequately represent the true public health burden. The underreporting of tick-borne diseases is a well-established healthcare phenomenon, and some states like New York who “estimate” cases are not counted in the federal numbers. Those patients with symptoms of chronic Lyme disease, both diagnosed and undiagnosed, are also significantly contributing to the healthcare burden. They are known to be as functionally disabled as patients with chronic congestive heart failure according to prior NIH studies, with 42% of affected individuals reporting that they stopped working.

The establishment of a new paradigm to account for why patients struggle with disabling symptoms after commonly prescribed treatments for chronic Lyme/post-treatment Lyme disease syndrome (PTLDS) is of vital importance based on the significant numbers of individuals contracting vector-borne diseases, including multiple *Borrelia sensu lato* species, relapsing fever borrelia, and other tick-borne infections. A chart review of a large cohort of chronically ill Lyme patients was therefore undertaken to better define the role of multiple variables on the 16-point Multiple Systemic Infectious Disease Syndrome (MSIDS) map and the role of overlapping infections/tick-borne coinfections in those suffering from resistant symptoms of chronic Lyme disease/PTLDS.

In part I of this observational study, we collected data from an online survey of 200 of our patients. They volunteered to participate in a study that evaluated the efficacy of diaminodiphenyl sulfone, that is, dapsone (DDS) combined with other antibiotics and agents that disrupt biofilms for the treatment of chronic Lyme disease/PTLDS. DDS combination therapy (DDS CT) had previously been published to be effective as a novel “persister” drug regimen for the treatment of chronic Lyme disease/PTLDS in 100 patients who had previously failed commonly prescribed antibiotic therapies. We also collected aggregate data from direct retrospective chart review, which included laboratory testing for Lyme, other infections, and associated tick-borne coinfections. This helped us to determine the frequency of exposure to other infections/coinfections among a cohort of chronically ill Lyme patients, evaluate the efficacy of newer “persister” drug regimens like DDS, and determine how other infections and tick-borne coinfections may be contributing to the burden of chronic illness leading to resistant symptomatology.

**Patients and methods**

**Participants**

Participants included in this retrospective chart review (N=200) were adults recruited from a specialized Lyme disease medical practice using email and telephone contacts. Although situated in the Northeastern United States, the medical practice attracts patients from all over the world. Of 200 participants, 67 (33.5%) were male, 133 (66.5%) were female. Age ranged from 18 to 84 years (M=52.04, SD =16.66). Out of 200 participants, four (2%) were Asian (Non-Hispanic), while the rest were White (non-Hispanic). Participants were mostly from the United States (N=193), which was divided into demographic regions: West Coast (N=1), Midwest (N=16), East Coast (North) (N=155), East Coast (South) (N=20), and Other (Hawaii) (N=1).

The majority of patients enrolled in the study had been ill for at least 1 year and had been treated by multiple healthcare providers failing traditional antibiotic therapy for Lyme disease, which included but was not limited to tetracyclines, macrolides, penicillins, and cephalosporins. Potential participants were sent an email invitation containing a link to the online survey. After clicking the link, the participants viewed a page that explained the purpose of the survey and provided information necessary for them to make an informed decision about whether to participate or not. All survey participants were identifiable in order to compare their survey responses against their clinical record.

**Methodology**

Institutional Review Board approval was not required for this research since this was a retrospective review of patients’ charts who were previously undergoing treatment for tick-borne illness under the care of the first author. After signing informed consent forms that outlined the proposed benefits and potential risks of our study, patients volunteered to enroll in a preliminary DDS trial at our medical center based on the drug’s action on “persister” bacteria. There were two treatment arms: those patients on a tetracycline,
rifampin, and DDS and those who were also placed on a cephalosporin (cefuroxime axetil or cefdinir) or a macrolide (clarithromycin or azithromycin). The choice of treatment was based on their prior clinical response to these medications (cephalosporins and or macrolides) and/or their coinfection status. All patients were placed on at least three different probiotics for gastrointestinal (GI) support, with regular laboratory monitoring of complete blood counts (CBCs), comprehensive metabolic profiles (CMPs), and methemoglobin levels before, during, and after DDS therapy.

Blood testing for Lyme disease and coinfection status were conducted using several Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments-certified national reference laboratories (Quest Diagnostics, Secaucus, NJ, USA; LabCorp, Burlington, NC, USA; BioReference, Elmwood Park, NJ, USA; and AccuReference Medical Lab, Wappingers Falls, NY, USA), local state laboratories (ie, Sunrise Medical Laboratories, Hicksville, NY, USA; NorDx, Scarborough, ME, USA; and Affiliated Laboratory Inc., Rutland, VT, USA), several hospital-based laboratories, and specialty laboratories for tick-borne diseases (Imugen, Norwood, MA, USA; IgeneX, Palo Alto, CA, USA; MDL Laboratory, Hamilton Township, NJ, USA; The State University at New York at Stony Brook Lyme Disease Laboratory, Stony Brook, NY, USA; Milford Molecular Diagnostics, Milford, CT, USA; Galaxy Diagnostics, Morrisville, NC, USA; and Immunosciences Lab Inc, Los Angeles, CA, USA). More than one laboratory was used for each patient depending on the laboratory capability, patient insurance, and availability in their home state (Galaxy Diagnostics was not available in NY).

We monitored participants’ symptoms at each consultation (6–8 weeks), and the patients completed the online symptom questionnaire both before beginning treatment and after 6 months of treatment. The length of the trial varied, but symptom questionnaire both before and after DDS therapy.

Blood testing for Lyme disease and coinfection status were conducted using several Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments-certified national reference laboratories (Quest Diagnostics, Secaucus, NJ, USA; LabCorp, Burlington, NC, USA; BioReference, Elmwood Park, NJ, USA; and AccuReference Medical Lab, Wappingers Falls, NY, USA), local state laboratories (ie, Sunrise Medical Laboratories, Hicksville, NY, USA; NorDx, Scarborough, ME, USA; and Affiliated Laboratory Inc., Rutland, VT, USA), several hospital-based laboratories, and specialty laboratories for tick-borne diseases (Imugen, Norwood, MA, USA; IgeneX, Palo Alto, CA, USA; MDL Laboratory, Hamilton Township, NJ, USA; The State University at New York at Stony Brook Lyme Disease Laboratory, Stony Brook, NY, USA; Milford Molecular Diagnostics, Milford, CT, USA; Galaxy Diagnostics, Morrisville, NC, USA; and Immunosciences Lab Inc, Los Angeles, CA, USA). More than one laboratory was used for each patient depending on the laboratory capability, patient insurance, and availability in their home state (Galaxy Diagnostics was not available in NY).

We monitored participants’ symptoms at each consultation (6–8 weeks), and the patients completed the online symptom questionnaire both before beginning treatment and after 6 months of treatment. The length of the trial varied, but those patients who continued to show clinical improvement reached a plateau with no further improvement in symptoms. Paired-samples t-tests were performed on each of eight major Lyme symptoms with pre-DDS and DDS conditions, along with a Wilcoxon signed-rank nonparametric test to evaluate the small range of severity ratings.

Rigorous patient safeguards were in place, and each participant received detailed instructions that outlined the need for blood test every 3 weeks, dietary guidelines, and the name and phone number of the medical center’s head nurse if anyone had questions or medical issues. Email address of a medical staff as well as an emergency beeper number were also provided. Medication refills were only given if blood testing was up to date, and patients were contacted by the nursing staff if any abnormal laboratory results required a change in medication or for an updated appointment.

Surveys were conducted in office, online, and via telephone to gather patient information. The symptom questionnaire that the patients have completed is derived from a larger validated Lyme questionnaire13 and the work of Shadick et al.14 Symptom severity of eight symptoms was gathered both before beginning DDS CT and after 6 months of treatment, following a protocol from our previous study by Horowitz and Freeman on DDS.8

Symptoms measured were:

1. Fatigue and/or tiredness
2. Muscle and/or joint pain
3. Headache
4. Tingling and/or numbness and/or burning of extremities
5. Sleep problems
6. Forgetfulness and/or brain fog
7. Difficulty with speech and/or writing
8. Day sweats and/or night sweats and/or flushing

Participants rated the severity of these symptoms both before DDS CT and after 6 months, to examine whether DDS CT decreased the severity of these symptoms. Data were gathered using a 5-point severity scale wherein patients indicated the severity of each symptom, where 1 represented no symptom, and 5 represented the most severe.

Inclusion criteria

All 200 patients in our retrospective chart review met the criteria for a clinical diagnosis of Lyme disease supported by a physician documented erythema migrans (EM) rash and/or positive laboratory testing, including a positive ELISA/ enzyme immunoassay, and/or C6 ELISA, immunofluorescent antibody (IFA), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) positive IgM and/or IgG Western blot (WB), PCR, Borrelia-specific bands (23, 31, 34, 39, 83/93) on a WB, and/or positive ELISpot (lymphocyte transformation test [LTT]). These patients had either failed or had an inadequate response to prior antibiotic therapy and/or had relapsed with persistent symptoms after stopping anti-infective therapy.

Exclusion criteria

Patients under the age of 18 years, having a known allergy to DDS or any medication used in the trial, and/or having significant laboratory abnormalities including a pre-trial anemia were excluded from our study.
Surveys included

Surveys with questions regarding DDS status (currently or previously taking the drug), DDS dosage, coinfections, other drugs, side effects, and symptom severity for common Lyme symptoms before and after at least 6 months of DDS treatment (the full survey is available on request from the authors) were included.

Results

DDS status consisted of 53.70% currently taking DDS for at least 6 months, and 46.30% no longer taking DDS. Dosages included 25 mg (12%), 50 mg (23.5%), 75 mg (12%), and 100 mg (51.5%). DDS treatment is occasionally pulsed – instead of taking the medication daily, it can be taken 3 days a week, or every other day, and so on, depending on the severity of Herxheimer reactions with regular use. About 20.5% of participants indicated that their DDS treatment was pulsed. Reasons for stopping DDS treatment were “My symptoms improved” (N=22), “I had an adverse reaction” (N=54), and “I switched to a different protocol” (N=22).

The percent severity rating for each symptom in pre-dapsone (pre-DDS) and DDS-treated patients are available in Table 1. Thirty-five cases were missing both pre-DDS and DDS severity data or either one. These cases were removed from this table and from t-test analyses leaving symptom severity data for 165 patients.

In order to analyze this, paired-samples t-tests were performed on each symptom with pre-DDS and DDS conditions of: fatigue and/or tiredness: t(164)=10.69, P<0.001, muscle and/or joint pain: t(164)=8.13, P<0.001, headache: t(164)=5.35, P<0.001, tingling and/or numbness and/or burning of extremities: t(164)=6.71, P<0.001, sleep problems: t(164)=6.17, P<0.001, forgetfulness and/or brain fog: t(164)=9.84, P<0.001, difficulty with speech and/or writing: t(164)=8.70, P<0.001, and day sweats and/or night sweats and/or flushing: t(164)=6.17, P<0.001.

A Wilcoxon signed-rank nonparametric test (which was run due to the small range of severity ratings) showed a statistically significant change in severity ratings of the same eight symptoms for pre-DDS and DDS conditions. Results indicated that for fatigue and/or tiredness: Z=−8.624, P<0.001, muscle and/or joint pain: Z=−7.295, P<0.001, headache: Z=−5.587, P<0.001, tingling and/or numbness and/or burning of extremities: Z=−7.302, P<0.001, sleep problems: Z=−6.363, P<0.001, forgetfulness and/or brain fog: Z=−8.169, P<0.001, difficulty with speech and/or writing: Z=−7.873, P<0.001, day sweats and/or night sweats and/or flushing: Z=−8.081, P<0.001.

These results further confirm that patients had a significant change in all eight chronic Lyme symptoms (Table 1).

We used two antibiotic combinations (these were the only combinations with similar group sizes, an assumption which

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Severity rating</th>
<th>Pre-DDS (%)</th>
<th>DDS (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>37.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tingling</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>35.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forgetfulness</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>33.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweats</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>50.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>31.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *N=165. For each symptom, we see larger percentages for moderate or very severe ratings in the “pre” column, and those numbers shift over to none/mild/moderate in the “DDS” column. These results indicate that dapsone combination therapy decreased severity of all eight symptoms.

Abbreviations: DDS, dapsone; Pre-DDS, pre-dapsone.
must be met to do statistical analyses) to evaluate which combinations were the most effective:

1. DDS and a tetracycline with rifampin
2. DDS and a tetracycline with rifampin and a cephalosporin or a macrolide

(Most people were on rifampin, having one group with rifampin and another without would have given us grossly disproportionate group sizes).

There was no significant difference between the two groups, and both groups were effective in decreasing symptomatology. Further detailed data analysis will be necessary to determine if the addition of a cephalosporin and/or a macrolide temporarily improved symptoms (we often rotate antibiotics during the clinical course based on a patient’s response). Unpublished scientific research done by Dr Eva Sapi’s team at the University of New Haven evaluated DDS CTS in culture, and their effect on lowering Borrelia biofilm mass. These results were presented as a poster presentation at the ILADS 16th International Lyme Conference in 2016 in Boston16 and showed that DDS combined with doxycycline, rifampin and stevia was the most effective three drug/herbal biofilm combination therapy, confirmed in our clinical study. Further translational clinical research, moving from the laboratory to the bedside will be necessary however to evaluate the efficacy of one regimen over another, especially in patients with associated coinfections. We were also not able to differentiate changes in symptom outcome based on different DDS doses, due to inadequate numbers of individuals in each dosage group.

Before rating the severity of their symptoms via questionnaire, patients were asked for a pre-DDS and DDS “Percent Normal” (on a 100-point scale) apart from evaluating their eight hallmark symptoms of Lyme disease. These data come from symptom ratings collected via an online SurveyMonkey.com questionnaire. (https://www.surveymonkey.com/). Success in the DDS trial was operationally defined as improvement in percent of normal after 6 months on DDS. Failure was operationally defined as remaining the same or worsening of percentage of normal after at least 6 months of DDS CT. A paired-samples t-test demonstrates a significant difference from pre-DDS to DDS conditions: t(180)=12.83, P<0.001 (pre-M=51.34%, DDS M=65.85%). Of 181 participants who gave both pre-DDS and DDS percentage scores, 14 participants reported feeling worse currently than they did before the DDS, 22 participants reported no difference, while all other participants (145) currently reported a higher percentage of normal. Of the 145 participants who reported higher percentage of normal for current symptoms (some participants did not answer this question), difference in percentage ratings ranged from 2% to 75% (M=16.09, SD =13.52).

**Side effects of DDS**

There are four common side effects of DDS, which need to be monitored to minimize reactions and “do no H.A.R.M.” (ie, Herxheimer reactions, Anemia, Rashes, Methemoglobinemia). Herxheimer reactions represent inflammatory cytokine release (ie, tumor necrosis factor-alpha, interleukin-6 [IL-6], and IL-8) associated with bacterial killing.17,18 Anemia is secondary to the drugs’ effect on folic acid metabolism, but could potentially be due to hemolytic anemia if there was glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase19 deficiency (which was ruled out in our patients). Rashes may be due to sulfa sensitivity (although many patients allergic to sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim tolerate DDS), and methemoglobinemia20,21 is due to increased oxidative stress affecting the ability of hemoglobin to efficiently carry oxygen. Elevated methemoglobin levels can result in increased fatigue, headaches, blue hands, and lips as well as shortness of breath. About 66.70% of participants experienced Herxheimer reactions, 48.30% anemia, 7% rashes (which were mild), and 18.4% elevated methemoglobin levels with 3.5% experiencing blue hands, 3% blue lips, and 21% shortness of breath. Shortness of breath may have also been due to active and inadequately treated babesiosis, which can cause cough/and shortness of breath with respiratory distress. Side effects resolved quickly once stopping the drug and using higher doses of folic acid to reverse anemia, and glutathione with N-acetyl-cysteine to lower elevated methemoglobin levels,22 based on the published scientific literature and the first author’s clinical experience. Methylene blue can also lower methemoglobin levels.23 Minor side effects of DDS included temporary elevations in bilirubin,24 especially in those with Gilbert’s syndrome.25

No patients suffered any long-term effects or developed *Clostridium difficile* during the months of DDS CT. All patients were instructed to take a minimum of three different probiotics with a total of over 100 billion live organisms/day, which contained mixtures of prebiotics (lecitinin and oleic acid), lactobacilli (*L. rhamnosus, L. acidophilus, L. paracasei*), bifidobacterium (*B. lactis*, strains BL-04 and Bi-07), and *Saccharomyces boulardii*. It has been published in the scientific literature that these strains significantly decrease the incidence of antibiotic-associated diarrhea and support a healthy intestinal environment and immune health, although only *S. boulardii* has been shown to be effective for the prevention of *C. difficile* diarrhea.26–29
Lyme disease testing

Our study showed that the most common IgM and IgG Borrelia-specific bands were 23, 31, 34, 39, 83/93 kDa for patients during their treatment in our medical facility, which occasionally spanned years (Figures 1 and 2). A chart review revealed that these bands changed and/or expanded over time.

Indeterminate WB bands (weak positives) were not included in our study to eliminate false positives and increase sensitivity. Most patients studied did however have new indeterminate Borrelia-specific WB bands (23, 31, 34, 39, 83/93 kDa) appear and change over time during their illness. Figure 3 compares the number of individuals with EM rashes, positive CDC criteria WBs (IgM and IgG), and positive Lyme testing by IFA, ELISA, C6 ELISA, and ELISpot (LTT). Only a small number of patients were tested using LTT, which were done by other medical providers prior to enrolling in the study. Positive CDC WBs were defined as at least 2/3 reactive IgM WB bands (23, 39, 41 kDa) and a positive CDC IgG WB was defined as having at least 5/10 IgG WB bands (18, 23 [OspC], 28, 30, 39 [BmpA], 41 [Fla], 45, 58, 66, and 83/93 kDa; Figure 3).

Repeat WB testing during treatment showed that the 31 kDa band was positive on the IgM WB 46.2% of the time (Figure 1), and positive on the IgG WB 28.4% of the time (Figure 2). For the IgM WB, the 34 kDa band appeared 21.5% of the time and was positive on the IgG WB 11.7% of the time. Table 2 shows the frequencies of negative ELISA and C6 ELISA with the presence of bands 31 and 34 kDa and negative/positive CDC IgG and IgM WBs (Table 2).

Co-infections

Many Lyme patients in our study struggled with other tick-borne illnesses. For the current work, we included information on the following tick transmissible infections as well as other infectious agents that participants may have been exposed to during their lifetime (unrelated to tick transmission). These were collected directly from the patient charts using indirect testing, that is, antibody titers (which indicate prior exposure, but not necessarily active infection) and direct testing, that is, PCR, fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) (which are indicative of active infection): Anaplasma, (N=27, 13.5%), Babesia (Babesia microti and Babesia duncani...
infections/co-infections, 26% had evidence of prior exposure to 2–4 infections/co-infections, 64% had evidence of prior exposure to 5–8 infections/co-infections, 8% had evidence of prior exposure to 9–12 infections/co-infections, and 1.5% had evidence of exposure to >12 infections/co-infections. Figure 4 illustrates the high frequency of tick transmissible infections and other infectious agents in our 200 patients, most notably for Babesia, Bartonella, *C. pneumoniae*, EBV, HHV6, and mycoplasma.

**New findings: co-infections are the rule and several tick species have spread**

New findings include evidence of more than one co-infection in the majority of the 200 patients (Figure 4) and serological evidence of not only *B. microti* (N=51, 25.5%) but also *B. duncani* (N=56, 28%). Some patients had evidence of antibody titers for both the species (N=20, 10%; Figure 5). Out of 32 patients who were seronegative for *B. microti* and/or *B. duncani*, 37.5% were positive by direct testing (PCR, FISH). One was PCR positive (3%) and 11 were FISH positive (34.5%), illustrating the need for a broad screening approach to detect babesiosis.

*B. duncani* babesiosis has been reported to exist primarily in the Western United States, although the spread of WA-1 babesiosis has occasionally been found in diverse geographic areas of the United States. Based on positive antibody titers for *B. duncani* from patients living in the Northeast, Upper Midwest, and Southwestern United States (Table 3; Figure 6), which were reported from several national and specialty laboratories (Quest Diagnostics, LabCorp, BioReference Laboratory, Medical Diagnostics Laboratory, and IgenEx), this finding implies that there has been a spread of *B. duncani* across the United States, and especially in the Northeastern...
The significant increase in Lyme disease cases during the past 20 years highlights the imperative of performing an accurate diagnostic evaluation. To diagnose Lyme disease, physicians often rely on clinical presentation (the appearance of an EM rash) and/or two-tiered testing when a patient presents with a chronic fatiguing, musculoskeletal illness with cognitive difficulties. Schutzer et al recently reported limitations of serodiagnostic testing which have a number of shortcomings, including the inability to distinguish active infection, past infection, or reinfection. In this retrospective chart review/observational study of 200 patients with tick-borne disorders, only 19.5% had evidence of an EM rash. Although prior studies indicate that EM occurs in 70%–80% of cases, multiple variables including rash appearance and gender may influence accurate reporting and an updated study on the diagnosis and treatment of Lyme disease found it to be present in only a minority of cases (as low as 9%). Some patients might not have been correctly diagnosed due to these limitations.
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## Discussion

The significant increase in Lyme disease cases during the past 20 years highlights the imperative of performing and patients receiving an accurate diagnostic evaluation. To diagnose Lyme disease, physicians often rely on clinical presentation (the appearance of an EM rash) and/or two-tiered testing when a patient presents with a chronic fatiguing, musculoskeletal illness with cognitive difficulties. Schutzer et al recently reported limitations of serodiagnostic testing which have a number of shortcomings, including the inability to distinguish active infection, past infection, or reinfection.

In this retrospective chart review/observational study of 200 patients with tick-borne disorders, only 19.5% had evidence of an EM rash. Although prior studies indicate that EM occurs in 70%–80% of cases, multiple variables including rash appearance and gender may influence accurate reporting and an updated study on the diagnosis and treatment of Lyme disease found it to be present in only a minority of cases (as low as 9%). Some patients might not
notice an EM rash. Our patient population also had a low incidence of positive IFAs (10%), ELISAs (20.5%), and C6 ELISAs (10%). These are first-line tests that some clinicians use to determine if a WB is needed, regardless of CDC recommendations that surveillance case definitions should not be relied upon to make a clinical diagnosis.

Despite a low incidence of positive IFAs, ELISAs, and C6 ELISAs, we found that 11.5% had CDC-positive IgG WBs and 45% of patients had CDC-positive IgM WBs. Some clinicians choose to ignore the significance of a positive IgM WB in a patient with chronic Lyme symptoms, attributing it to a false-positive test, although the medical literature has shown that positive IgM blots can be found in late Lyme. That was the case in our patient population who responded positively to DDS CT. A sizeable number of these patients with a chronic fatigue, musculoskeletal, neurocognitive illness with a negative ELISA and/or C6 ELISA also had a significant percentage of Borrelia-specific bands (23, 31, 34, 39, 83/93 kDa) on their WB, pointing to probable exposure with a Borrelia species (B. sensu lato). A recent 2017 study by Embers et al using non-human primates showed that variable manifestations of Lyme disease can exist with diverse seroreactivity. After antibiotic treatment for disseminated infection, posttreatment persistence with intact, metabolically-active B. burgdorferi was found and may not be reflected by maintenance of specific antibody production by the host.

Diagnostic testing for Lyme is known to lack adequate sensitivity, specificity, and reliability, and false seronegativity has been extensively reported in the peer review medical literature. Many B. sensu lato species and relapsing fever borrelia (ie, Borrelia miyamotoi), as well as some species of Babesia, Bartonella, and Mycoplasma may also not be found on commonly used antibody testing. For example, patients were oftentimes positive for one species of Babesia by antibody testing, but negative for another, or only were positive on PCR and/or FISH testing. In 32 of our patients who were antibody negative for either B. microti and/or B. duncani, 37% were positive by direct testing (one was PCR positive and eleven were FISH positive). One patient with Chronic Variable Immune Deficiency (CVID) with negative Lyme antibody testing (IFA, C6 ELISA), but with Borrelia-specific bands on a WB, was positive for antibodies against the relapsing fever borrelia, B. miyamotoi (GlpQ+). This presents a clinical dilemma for both healthcare providers and patients who are searching for answers for their chronic illness.

A recently validated symptom questionnaire for Lyme disease, the Horowitz Multiple Systemic Infectious Disease
Syndrome Questionnaire (HMQ) may be useful to evaluate the pretest probability of a tick-borne disorder.

The HMQ was shown to demonstrate satisfactory psychometric properties, including construct validity, divergent validity, and predictive validity. Scores for the HMQ in the “likely” or “highly likely” categories should lead providers to do a broad panel of tick-borne testing. Testing should include not only an ELISA, which has limited sensitivity but also a C6 ELISA and IgM/IgG WBs, focusing on the presence of Borrelia-specific bands to capture the varied species of Borrelia. B. sensu lato and relapsing fever Borrelia which are now known to also cause chronic illness.

The presence of Borrelia-specific bands helped to confirm the clinical diagnosis of Lyme disease after other diseases had been ruled out. These bands include the 23 kDa, OspA protein of Borrelia burgdorferi and 39 kDa of OspB, focusing on the presence of Borrelia-specific bands to capture the varied species of Borrelia. B. sensu lato and relapsing fever Borrelia which are now known to also cause chronic illness.
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Anaplasma (13.5%), Ehrlichia (14.5%), Babesia (52%), Bartonella (46.5%), Mycoplasma (82%), RMSF (10%), Q-Fever (8.5%), typhus (5%), tularemia (16.5%), Brucella (10%), C. pneumoniae (N=102, 51%), and H. pylori (7.5%). Viral infections included HSV1 (23%), HSV2 (11.5%), HHV6 (81%), parvovirus (11.5%), and West Nile virus (6.5%). Parasites found were toxoplasmosis, as well as B. microti and B. duncanii. Inherent limitations of our testing strategies (which were done primarily using antibody and PCR technology) interfered however with our ability to detect multiple species of coinfections that could be causing symptoms yet would not be found on commonly used testing. For example, some patients with Babesia were seronegative and only positive with RNA testing, patients were not routinely tested for all species of Mycoplasma (including M. fermentans and M. penetrans), and although a considerable percentage of our patients tested positive for Bartonella henselae, there are at least 38 different species and subspecies of Bartonella (and proposed Candidatus species), some of which have been associated with human disease.39 We did not have access in our NY clinic to specialty laboratories that are able to evaluate a broader range of bartonella infections unless done in other states. As per the Report of the Other Tick-Borne Diseases and Coinfections Subcommittee to the Tick-Borne Disease Working Group posted on the Health and Human Services (HHS) website on May 4, 2018,34 Bartonella species can also be extremely difficult to diagnose by clinicians, as there is evidence that they hide in the intracellular compartment. Without broad-based sensitive serology, testing can be negative. The sensitivity of confirming a diagnosis by direct detection also continues to be an area that needs improvement in other tick-borne diseases and co-infections. Therefore, the true number of individuals with Babesia and Bartonella infections and less frequently diagnosed tick-borne infections/coinfections like RMSF, Q-fever, tularemia, and Brucella needs to be confirmed in future studies on PTLDS/chronic Lyme disease. Low positive titers for these infections could be the result of cross reactivity with other rickettsial and intracellular infections, although some individuals reactivated their infections over time with fourfold increases in titers, or positive agglutination testing that is, Brucella. We found a 10% incidence of positive titers to RMSF (N=20), and as per the HHS report, “about 10% of people in the United States (and worldwide) have pre-existing spotted fever group rickettsiae (SFGR)-specific antibodies. Thus, a healthcare provider might treat a patient with a positive reaction to a Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF) serological test for RMSF when they should be looking at another cause of the patients’ disease… This illustrates the power and limitations of serology and the need for paired tests, which cannot definitively confirm or rule out the presence of infection.”36

The considerable number of B. duncanii antibody tests (N=175, 28%) discovered in residents living in the Northeast was unexpected. False-positive serology to B. duncanii is possible, which can be associated with acute EBV infection, but all the patients in our study with EBV had prior exposure, without evidence of an active infection (by PCR). Only one patient was EBV PCR positive in 2003, who was also HHV-6 PCR positive and B. microti antibody negative at that time, whose B. duncanii/WA-1 titer turned positive 10 years later in 2013. At that point in time, she was EBV and HHV-6 PCR negative with decreasing EBV capsid IgG antibody titers. It is therefore not likely that B. duncanii/WA-1 antibody reactivity in any of our patients was attributable to polyclonal B-cell activation from viral infections. Similarly, although travel to the West/Pacific Coast cannot be ruled out as a potential cause of exposure of B. duncanii, two recent publications in 2018 reported range expansion of human babesiosis in the northeastern United States,76 as well as widespread distribution of B. duncanii across Canada.78A considerable proportion of those cases (67.7%) reported by Scott et al in 2018 were found in Quebec, New Brunswick, Newfoundland, and Nova Scotia, on the eastern Canadian seaboard close to Maine. Seven percent of the 200 patients in our study lived in Maine and tested positive for B. duncanii. This implies spread of the babesia parasite by migratory songbirds,79,80 although it is also possible that the original discoverers of Babesia WA-1 (later termed B. duncanii) failed to recognize its presence in other geographic areas. Other tick-borne infections are known however to be spread through migratory birds81–83 such as B. sensu lato spp. in northwestern California,48,85 and rickettsia fever was spotted throughout Europe.82

New ticks and tick-borne infections can appear in areas where they were not previously recognized. That was the case for the Asian longhorn tick (Haemaphysalis longicornis), foreign to the United States, which was recently found in New Jersey,46 Virginia, West Virginia, Arkansas, and North Carolina7 as well as Connecticut and New York.88 This tick is known to be a competent vector for a phlebovirus causing severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome, as well as being able to transmit the alpha gal allergy in other parts of the world.89 Although these infections and tick-borne disorders have not yet been associated with H. longicornis in the United States (the primary cause of alpha gal allergy in the United States is the lone star tick, A. americanum),90 enhanced screening and prevention practices are necessary. One of
the patients we evaluated from the Southern United States had a borderline positive IgE antibody against galactose-\(\alpha\)-1, 3-galactose (alpha-gal) with symptoms consistent with alpha gal meat allergy (delayed urticarial, GI disorder symptoms, and/or airway angioedema minutes to hours post ingestion of meat or gelatin capsules containing BSA).

Tick species are expanding in areas not previously seen (lone star ticks have expanded from the Southeastern States to Long Island, NY, USA leading to increasing cases of alpha gal allergy), and in 2018 seroprevalence to other flaviviruses like the Powassan virus was shown to be increasing in Lyme endemic areas across the United States. \(^9\) Thirty three viruses, including 24 putative novel viral species, were also recently discovered in Ixodes scapularis, A. americanum, and Dermacentor variabilis ticks collected in New York, Connecticut, and Virginia in 2018. \(^9\) Although the role of these viruses has not yet been determined, ticks containing multiple tick-borne infections including bacteria, viruses, and parasites (Babesia spp.) are increasing and require ongoing surveillance, especially since multiple tick-borne infections like Babesia also pose a risk for the blood supply along with the possibility of maternal–fetal transmission. \(^9\)

Increasing co-infection of blacklegged ticks with Babesia spp. and B. burgdorferi is expected in the United States as reported by researchers at the Cary Institute of Ecosystems Studies in New York. \(^9\) Based on our study, B. duncani may have spread to areas not previously recognized, just as exposure to the Powassan virus has been shown to be increasing. Since “there have only been 13 B. duncani (WA-1 babesiosis) cases for which incontrovertible evidence of the disease etiology (direct detection of the agent by blood smear or PCR; hamster inoculation; in vitro culture) has been reported and accepted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention...”, \(^76\) our finding needs to be confirmed in larger clinical studies, using well-validated samples. Many patients who were B. microti negative and B. duncani positive with malarial like symptoms (fevers, sweats, chills, flushing, “air hunger” with an unexplained cough) did improve with Babesia therapy (atovaquone, atovaquone/proguanil, azithromycin, and clindamycin). B. duncani testing should therefore be considered in any patient with an unexplained fatiguing, febrile illness and suggestive lab testing (anemia, thrombocytopenia, and transaminitis) living in the northeastern United States, who becomes ill after a tick bite or blood transfusion. Increased morbidity and mortality from babesiosis can be seen in the very young, elderly, immunosuppressed, \(^9\) (and asplenic) as well as in those with associated comorbidities. \(^9\)

### Other testing to consider

A CBC and CMP to look for the presence of leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, and transaminitis should be performed in patients with suspected tick-borne infections, as these can occur with Ehrlichia, Anaplasma, rickettsial infections, \(^9\) as well as Borrelia species including B. miyamotoi. \(^10\) Other tick-borne infections like babesiosis should also be considered in severely ill patients since it is frequently transmitted at the same time as borreliosis and can cause similar hematological abnormalities (leucopenia, thrombocytopenia, and transaminitis) as well as hemolytic anemia (Babesia divergens increases the risk). \(^10\) Recent findings in the mouse model suggest that B. burgdorferi coinfection attenuates parasite growth while B. microti presence exacerbates Lyme disease-like symptoms. \(^10\) Any patient who complains of unexplained fevers, day and night sweats, chills, flushing, an unexplained cough, and shortness of breath (air hunger), which are questions number 1 and number 22 (Section 1 of the HMQ), may have a concomitant infection with babesiosis. \(^10\) Malarial-like symptoms can however be present with or without associated laboratory abnormalities, including leucopenia, thrombocytopenia, transaminitis, and hemolytic anemia, and patients who are co-infected and/or with low levels of parasitemia may not present with the classical symptoms of babesiosis. \(^11\)

Hematological abnormalities including transaminitis usually resolved with anti-infective therapy unless there were other underlying etiologies (one patient was found to have alpha-1-antitrypsin deficiency, and another was positive for hemochromatosis). A Babesia panel approach with a Giemsa stain, Babesia titers (IFA) for multiple species of Babesia (B. microti, B. duncani [WA-1], B. divergens, and Babesia sp. EU1), with PCR and FISH testing may help to establish the diagnosis in the United States and Europe, while ruling out other causes of these symptoms. The Babesia PCR screen used in our study detected Babesia-specific DNA for B. microti and/or B. duncani. Several of our patients were FISH (RNA) positive (34.5%) while being antibody/PCR negative, highlighting the importance of a broad screening approach to detect babesiosis.

Other potential tick-borne transmitted infections, including Bartonella and tularemia, should also be considered in the differential diagnosis in those experiencing an unexplained chronic fatigue, musculoskeletal illness, as well as brucellosis, which has been found in a small percentage of individuals from the Midwest United States diagnosed with chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS). \(^11\) Approximately 46% of our patients tested positive for Bartonella species and 16.5%
had positive antibody titers for tularemia. One patient in our study with an unexplained autoimmune disease (Behcet’s syndrome) who had failed almost 20 years of various disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug therapies initially had negative Bartonella titers, a low positive tularemia titer, and a negative VEGF, along with low positive HHV6 titers. After using DDS combination intracellular therapy, her Bartonella titer and VEGF turned positive for the first time in years, tularemia titers increased fourfold (1:320+) and HHV-6 titers similarly increased to 1:1,280, suggesting that this protocol unmasked occult seronegative intracellular infections.112

The discovery of chronic HHV6, Bartonella, and Mycoplasma was confirmed in other patients in our study with Lyme disease. One patient with Lyme and B. henselae (IgG 1:64+) had a positive Bartonella PCR and two positive Lyme PCRs several years apart although they had been on commonly used antibiotic therapy for both infections. Another patient with Lyme disease, Anaplasmosis, and babesiosis (positive B. microti [IgM 1:16+], B. duncanii [1:256+], Babesia PCR and FISH+) had three positive HHV6 PCRs, positive repeat Babesia FISH testing (despite atovaquone and azithromycin), as well as positive PCR testing for B. henselae and Mycoplasma species despite several years of combination intracellular antibiotic therapy for the above infections.

Intracellular co-infections like Mycoplasma have been found in patients with CFS, who were subsequently diagnosed with B. burgdorferi.113 Most of the patients in our study showed exposure to Babesia, Bartonella, and Mycoplasma, with smaller numbers showing exposure to Anaplasma, Ehrlichia, Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever, Q-fever, tularemia, and brucella. In prior clinical studies, ~70% of chronic Lyme patients showed exposure to systemic Mycoplasma species infections, and the species predominantly found in Lyme patients was M. fermentans.113 M. fermentans has been found in ticks in Northern California, New York, and New Jersey,114 and evidence for disseminated Mycoplasma fermentans in New Jersey residents with antecedent tick attachment and subsequent musculoskeletal symptoms has been published in the scientific literature,114 although the role of Mycoplasma species and proof of vector competency of ticks still needs to be confirmed in larger clinical studies.

Once the diagnosis has been established, the advantage of using DDS in the setting of individuals exposed to Lyme and Babesia, other intracellular coinfections, with or without associated autoimmune phenomenon, is that DDS has been shown to be effective for autoimmune illnesses like Behcets syndrome10 while also being efficacious against borrelial and malarial type parasitic infections like Babesia.8 Combining it with doxycycline and rifampin helps to broaden the intracellular coverage. These clinical findings (exposure to Borrelia, Babesia, and intracellular infections along with autoimmune phenomenon) were often present in chronically ill individuals suffering with tick-borne disease in our study. Well controlled studies are needed in the laboratory and clinical setting to establish the role of DDS CT, biofilms, and persisters in tick-borne illness.

We also did not control for a placebo effect. The three hallmarks of a placebo effect that influence treatment outcomes are the roles of conditioning, expectancy, and doctor–patient relationship.115 Patients who had come to us from other medical practices would have been exposed to these same factors, yet their symptoms did not improve or only had short lived benefit to their treatment. Placebo effects are also typically short-lived phenomenon especially in pain,116 and our patients had sustained musculoskeletal and neuropathic pain relief with DDS CT. Since more than 66% of our patients had Herxheimer reactions (and oftentimes improved afterward), a “nocebo” effect is also possible and cannot be ruled out. It is less likely however in the setting of chronic Lyme/PTLDS, since Herxheimer reactions are a well-established phenomenon secondary to antibiotics causing cytokine release.

One of the clinical challenges for healthcare providers and patients that emerged from our study is that Borrelia, Bartonella, and Mycoplasma species, as well as B. microti, were all shown to persist despite commonly prescribed courses of antibiotics or antimalarial/Babesia therapy. This has been confirmed in other scientific research studies.117-119 One of the patients in our study even had evidence of persistent Babesia by both DNA (PCR) and RNA (FISH) analyses despite using clindamycin and quinine, as well as atovaquone and azithromycin. Although previously reported as far back as 1999 by Horowitz,120 some of the immune and genetic etiologies responsible for persistence of the Babesia parasite were not determined until 2010 by Wormser130 as well as Lemieux et al in 2016131 when mutations in the cytb and rpl4 genes of B. microti were discovered in patients with resistant infections. Research into more effective treatments for babesiosis are urgently needed.

Tick-borne bacterial infections including Lyme disease, bartonella species, rickettsia (Q-fever, Coxiella burnetii), tularemia, and mycoplasma species (M. fermentans) can exist as chronic infections located in the intracellular compartment, subsequently increasing inflammation. In our clinical study, the majority of patients showed exposure to M. pneumoniae,122 and five patients (2.5%) tested positive by
PCR for *M. fermentans*, while two patients tested positive (1%) by PCR for *M. penetrans* (not all patients were evaluated for these subspecies). One of the patients in our study was PCR positive for *M. fermentans* three times consecutively over a 15-month period. In a prior retrospective study done in 2003, persistence of Mycoplasma species was seen for up to almost 1 year with single drug intracellular therapy, which included the use of tetracyclines, macrolides, or quinolones. Another patient was Bartonella FISH (RNA) positive despite prolonged antibiotic therapy. Doxycycline, rifampin, and DDS CT along with Plaquenil (which alkalinizes the intracellular compartment and has an effect on round body forms) and grapefruit seed extract (which also addresses cyst forms/cell wall deficient forms) combined with agents that disrupt biofilms (Stevia, oregano oil) have the ability to affect different forms of multiple bacteria and parasites simultaneously, even if seroreactivity exists. This triple intracellular antibiotic regimen produced statistical improvement in our group of patients with Lyme and associated coinfections, despite prior use of one or two drug regimens. Whether that combination is effective against the broad range of intracellular co-infections we discovered in our patients still needs to be confirmed in larger controlled studies.

When Lyme is combined with coinfections like Anaplasma, Babesia, and Bartonella, it is especially important to address factors interfering with normal immunity. Although most of our patients had comorbidities (ie, multiple coinfections, sleep disorders, nutritional deficiencies, and other abnormalities on the MSIDS map affecting inflammation), many of our patients also had evidence of immune deficiency. Thirty six of 175 patients tested had low IgG levels (20.6%), including 14 who had CVID; 45 patients had low IgG subclass 1 (N=163, 27.6%), 30 patients had low IgG subclass 2 (N=164, 18.3%), 51 patients had low IgG subclass 3 (N=164, 31.1%), and 14 patients had low IgG subclass 4 (N=164, 8.5%) with several patients having a poor response to a pneumococcal challenge (N=4, 7.8%). These immune deficiencies could impact both the production of positive antibodies and associated morbidity frombabesiosis, and other infections like Anaplasma. Anaplasma can have adverse effects on the immune system, and 13.5% of our patients had positive antibodies against *A. phagocytophilum*. *A. phagocytophilum* uses multiple evasion strategies to inhibit neutrophil antimicrobial functions. One mechanism is its ability to inhibit the fusion of the lysosomes with the cytoplasmic vacuoles while arresting or inhibiting signaling pathways. Leukopenia and impaired function of neutrophils in patients with HGA can promote susceptibility to secondary and opportunistic infections. There is some evidence of immunosuppression in patients with HGA, and small numbers of our patients showed exposure to Anaplasmosis. During infection, *A. phagocytophilum* also upregulates the production of chemokine IL-8 as well as pro-inflammatory cytokines, contributing to its pathophysiological effects. We see similar increases in symptomatology when Lyme patients are exposed to Babesia and Bartonella, and other sources of inflammation found on the 16-point MSIDS map. These may include genetic causes of autoimmunity, environmental toxins, nutritional deficiencies, food allergies/sensitivities, imbalances in the microbiome with or without leaky gut, and/or sleep disorders. These may all contribute to free radical/oxidative stress, further increasing inflammation and symptomatology with downstream effects.

Chronic symptoms could therefore possibly overlap multifactorial causes on the MSIDS model. Fatigue for example could be due to infections, inflammation, autoimmunity, neuropsychiatric and/or sleep disorders, environmental toxins, mitochondrial dysfunction, nutritional deficiencies, food allergies/sensitivities, GI disorders and leaky gut, Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal (HPA) axis, autonomic nervous system dysfunction, as well as deconditioning; however these potential factors were often simultaneously or previously treated, and treating infections had significant positive effects. These factors will be discussed in detail in Precision Medicine: The Role of the MSIDS Model in Defining, Diagnosing and Treating Chronic Lyme Disease/PTLDS and Other Chronic Illness: Part 2.

**Conclusion**

Many of our patients infected with Lyme disease and associated coinfections had severe symptoms, often relapsed with commonly used therapies, and did not present with an EM rash nor meet the CDC two-tiered surveillance criteria. Almost two-thirds of patients had been exposed to between five and eight infections/coinfections and 14.5% of patients were PCR positive for *B. burgdorferi* despite seemingly “adequate” antibiotic therapy for months or years prior to DDS therapy (N=29, 14.5%). Evidence of persistent infection with HHV6, Bartonella, and/or Mycoplasma was also confirmed by PCR in several patients, although many in our study had evidence of other medical problems accounting for ongoing symptoms. These included associated immune dysfunction/immune deficiency, inflammation, environmental toxins with detoxification problems, GI problems, allergies, nutritional deficiencies, hormone, and autonomic...
nervous system dysregulation as well as sleep and psychiatric disorders in those suffering with post treatment Lyme symptoms. None of these factors had been addressed in the three prior NIH randomized controlled Lyme trials nor the European PLEASE trial and could explain in part why patients remained ill. Many patients with late Lyme disease in those trials failed conventional beta lactam, tetracycline, macrolide, or other antibiotic therapies even if given for 4–6 weeks. These included those patients with evidence of carditis, arthritis, and neuroborreliosis, including but not limited to symptoms of diplopia (3rd and 4th cranial nerves [CN]), Bell’s palsy (7th CN), deafness (8th CN), disequilibrium with ataxia (8th CN), tongue palsy (12th CN), dropped shoulder (11th CN), and foot drop or leg paralysis (spinal cord involvement). Part II of this retrospective chart review will therefore address in detail the abnormalities found on the MSIDS map in those suffering with chronic Lyme disease/PTLDS, which could account for resistant, chronic symptomatology. MSIDS is a precision medical model that considers genetic, environmental, and lifestyle factors as well as individual differences in infectious burden, customizing and selecting appropriate and optimal therapies, tailoring and targeting treatments for individual differences. The goal of precision medicine is to address the individual needs of patients, improve clinical outcomes, and minimize side effects.

The rising numbers of individuals suffering with Lyme and other long-term disabling illnesses alert us to a necessary shift in the paradigm for the diagnosis and treatment of chronic disease and consideration of this precision medical approach. The MSIDS model and the use of persister drugs like DDS and pyrazinamide traditionally used for slow growing mycobacterial infections represent new effective therapeutic options in tick-borne disorders. Multi-drug therapies for extended duration have been used for successful eradication of Q-fever, mycobacterial diseases (ie, DDS with antituberculous drugs have been used effectively in leprosy regimens for half a century), as well as in other illnesses involving intracellular microorganisms like Brucella. DDS CT along with several agents that disrupt biofilms decreased the severity of eight major Lyme symptoms in our study in those with PTLDS/chronic Lyme disease, along with diagnosing and adequately treating multiple species of intracellular bacteria. Bartonella, Mycoplasma, rickettsia, tularemia, and Brucella along with parasitic infections like Babesia and viral infections including HHV-6 were all likely sources contributing to the burden of illness. In summary, DDS CT, along with identifying and treating tick-borne co-infections, and abnormalities on the MSIDS map all affected chronic symptomatology and provides an expanded medical resource for those failing traditional therapy.
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