Circular RNA circHIPK3 promotes cell proliferation and invasion of prostate cancer by sponging miR-193a-3p and regulating MCL1 expression
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Background: While emerging evidence indicates that circHIPK3 is critically involved in tumorigenesis and the development of several cancers, its role in prostate cancer (PCa) is not clearly understood.

Materials and methods: Human PCa samples and their matched normal adjacent tissues were obtained from 26 patients to assess the expression of circHIPK3 and its relationship with PCa prognosis. A series of in vitro and in vivo functional experiments were carried out to elucidate the role of circHIPK3 in PCa progression and its underlying molecular mechanisms.

Results: In this study, we found that circHIPK3 was overexpressed in PCa tissues and that higher circHIPK3 expression was associated with tumor stage. Moreover, circHIPK3 knockdown markedly inhibited the proliferation, migration, and invasion of PCa cells in vitro and impaired tumor growth in vivo. Bioinformatics analysis and luciferase reporter assays demonstrated that circHIPK3 could promote MCL1 expression by interacting with miR-193a-3p in PCa. Finally, rescue assays illustrated that circHIPK3 knockdown could partially reverse the effects of MCL1 overexpression.

Conclusion: In summary, our study illustrated, for the first time, that circHIPK3-mediated miR-193a-3p-MCL1 signaling promotes PCa development and progression, providing a novel therapeutic target for PCa.
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Introduction
Approximately almost 1.3 million new cases of prostate cancer (PCa) were diagnosed in 2018 and PCa was responsible for an estimated 359,000 associated deaths worldwide in 2018, making it the second most frequently diagnosed cancer and the fifth leading cause of cancer death in men.1 Clinically, at the time of diagnosis, ~70% of patients present with organ-confined low- or intermediate-risk PCa. However, the 5-year relative survival rate decreases to 30% in the event of distant metastasis.2 Therefore, it is of great value to gain a better understanding of the molecular mechanism underlying the development and progression of PCa and identify novel therapeutic targets.

Circular RNA (circRNA) is a conserved and stable type of endogenous noncoding RNA that is formed by back-splicing events of precursor mRNA.3 Growing evidences show that circRNAs are implicated in a wide range of physiological or pathological processes such as tumorigenesis, by regulating cell survival, proliferation, and metas-
tasis.5 CircHIPK3 (circRNA ID: hsa_circ_0000284) is a product of HIPK3 gene’s exon2 splicing and consists of 1,099 nucleotides in length.3 It has been reported that circHIPK3 may function through sponging some miRNAs and regulate the progression of many cancers, including gastric cancer,6 gallbladder cancer,7 ovarian cancer,8 colorectal cancer,9 and liver cancer.10 However, whether circHIPK3 harbors miRNAs with regulatory roles in PCa is still unknown.

In our study, we identified that circHIPK3 was upregulated in PCa and increased circHIPK3 levels predict poor prognosis in PCa patients. Moreover, we showed that circHIPK3 knockdown suppresses PCa cell proliferation, migration, and invasion. In mechanism, we found that circHIPK3 could function as a ceRNA through harboring miR-193a-3p to abolish the suppressive effect on target oncogene MCL1, which promoted PCa growth and metastasis. Therefore, our study for the first time demonstrated the novel role of circHIPK3 in PCa, and the circHIPK3/miR-193a-3p/MCL1 signaling pathway might be a promising therapeutic target for PCa treatment.

Materials and methods

Patient samples

Human PCa samples and their matched normal adjacent tissues were obtained from 26 patients at Beijing Chaoyang Hospital of Capital Medical University. None of the patients had received preoperative radiotherapy, chemotherapy or any other medical intervention before surgery. All tissues were placed immediately in liquid nitrogen after removal from the PCa patients and stored at −80°C until use. All tumors and paired nontumor tissues were confirmed by two experienced pathologists. This study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Beijing Chaoyang Hospital, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to sample collection. All procedures performed involving human participants in this study were conducted in accordance with the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Cell culture and transfection

The human prostate epithelial cell line RWPE-1 and PCa cell lines (LNCaP, PC3, DU145, 22Rv1) were purchased from Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Shanghai, China. Cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (10-040-CVR; Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS (35-015-CV; Corning), penicillin/streptomycin (1:100; Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA), and 4 mM L-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich Co.) and placed in an incubator containing 95% air and 5% CO2 at 37°C. Small interfering RNAs (si-circHIPK3), miR-193a-3p mimic, miR-193a-3p inhibitor, and their negative controls were synthesized by GenePharma (Shanghai, China) and transfected into cultured PC3 and DU145 cells using RNAiMAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The sequences of si-circHIPK3 were as follows: sense GGUACUACAGGUAUGGCCUTT; antisense AGGCCAUACCUGUAGUACCGA.

Real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) assay

All patient samples or PCa cell total RNAs were isolated using Trizol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Quantification of extracted RNA was performed using NanoDrop. cDNA synthesis was performed using PrimeScriptRT reagent kit (Takara Bio Inc., Kusatsu, Japan) using 1 µg of total RNA. Real-time PCR was conducted using a Bio-Rad CFX96 system with SYBR green to determine the miRNA expression level of the gene of interest. Expression levels were quantified using the 2−ΔΔCt method, and U6 or GAPDH was used as the internal control. The primer sequences used in this study were as follows: circHIPK3, forward 5′-TTCAACATATCATAACTCTC-GGT-3′ and reverse 5′-ACCATTACATAGGGCTCGT-3′; HIPK3, forward 5′-CTTATGCCGGCTCAAGGTCAA-3′ and reverse 5′-ACAAGTGTTAGGTGTAGTG-3′; MCL1, forward 5′-TGCTTCCGAAACTGGAGCATCA′ and reverse 5′-TAGCCACAAAGGCACCAAG-3′; GAPDH, forward 5′-GCACGGTCAAGTCGAGAC-3′ and reverse 5′-TGGTGAAGACGCCAGTGGA-3′; U6, forward 5′-AGGCACACTCAAGACATC-3′ and reverse 5′-GCCACAAAGACAAATCATCC-3′.

Cell proliferation assay

Cell proliferation assays were performed using the Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan). The transfected cells were seeded in 24-well plates at a density of 2×10⁴ cells per well and cultured for 1, 2, 4, or 6 days. A volume of 10 µL of CCK-8 solution was added to each well, and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 1 hour. The OD450 was measured at a wavelength of 450 nm using a microplate reader instrument (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA).

Wound-healing assay

Twenty-four hours after transfection, the plates were scratched using a sterile pipette tip to generate a wound through the confluent monolayer. The wound-healing speed...
was determined after 24 hours and normalized to the wound size length at 0 hour.

**Cell invasion assays**

Cell invasion was evaluated by using Transwell cell culture chambers with an 8 µm pore size according to the manufacturer’s instructions (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). About $1 \times 10^5$ cells were suspended in serum-free RPMI-1640 medium with Matrigel in the upper chamber, whereas the complete RPMI-1640 medium with 10% FBS was placed into the lower chamber. After 24 hours of incubation, cells in the bottom chamber were fixed with methanol for 30 minutes and stained with 0.5% crystal violet for 30 minutes. The number of invading cells was calculated under the microscope in five random fields and are shown as the average per field.

**Colony formation assay**

Cells were seeded at a density of $1 \times 10^3$ cells in 60 mm dishes and incubated at 37°C for 1 week. Cell colonies were fixed with methanol for 30 minutes and stained with 0.5% crystal violet for 30 minutes. Colonies were observed under a microscope.

**Western blotting assay**

Cells were washed twice with cold PBS and lysed in RIPA buffer and equal amounts of protein lysates (30 µg per treatment in each lane) were loaded onto the 10% SDS-PAGE gels, then transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (PVDF; EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). After PVDF membranes were blocked with 5% skim milk for 1 hour, they were sequentially incubated with primary antibodies, HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies, and visualized using an ECL system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The primary antibodies used in the study were listed below: MCL1 (#ab32087; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and GAPDH (#sc-166574; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Dallas, TX, USA).

**Dual luciferase reporter assay**

PCa cells were cotransfected with wild-type or mutated circHIPK3 or MCL1 3’UTR reporter plasmids, and with miR-193a-3p mimics or negative controls. After transfection cultured for 48 hours, firefly and renilla luciferase activities were measured using the Dual Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega Corporation, Fitchburg, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**RNA immunoprecipitation assay**

PCa cells were lysed in complete RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) lysis buffer supplemented with RNase inhibitor, and the cell extract was incubated with magnetic beads conjugated with anti-Argonaute 2 (AGO2, #ab32381; Abcam) or a control anti-IgG antibody (EMD Millipore) overnight at 4°C. The RNA/antibody complex was washed three times with RIP buffer supplemented with RNase inhibitor and Proteinase K. The RNA was extracted using Trizol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and was subjected to qRTPCR analysis.

**Biotin-coupled miRNA capture assay**

The biotin-labeled circHIPK3 and control probes were synthesized by GenePharma (Shanghai, China). In brief, biotin-labeled circHIPK3 and control probes were transfected into PC3 cells at a final concentration of 20 nmol/L overnight. The biotin-coupled RNA complex was then pulled down by incubating the cell lysate with streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Subsequently, RNA was extracted using Trizol Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and evaluated by qRT-PCR analysis.

**Animal experiments**

All animal experiments were approved by the Capital Medical University Experimental Animal Care Committee. Male BALB/c nude mice (4 weeks old) were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions and manipulated according to protocols approved by the Capital Medical University Experimental Animal Care Commission. Subsequently, $5 \times 10^6$ si-circHIPK3 or control PC3 cells were subcutaneously injected into the flanks of each mouse. Tumor size was measured every 1 week by caliper to determine tumor volume. Four weeks later, the mice were euthanized, and tumors were removed and weighed.

**Statistical analyses**

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 18.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and the results are presented as the mean ± SD. Student’s t-test was used to analyze the differences between two groups and one-way ANOVA was used for multiple comparisons. $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

CircHIPK3 silencing inhibits PCa cell proliferation and invasion

To identify whether circHIPK3 plays a role in PCa, we first explored the expression level of circHIPK3 in 26 pair-matched PCa tissues and adjacent normal tissues by qRT-PCR. PCR results showed that circHIPK3 levels were
markedly upregulated in PCa tissues, compared to normal tissues (Figure 1A). In addition, we found that circHIPK3 expression was significantly associated with pathological T stage (Figure 1B). According to these clinical data, we proposed that circHIPK3 may play an oncogenic role in the proliferation and progression of PCa. To explore the biological function of circHIPK3 in vitro, we analyzed its expression in four PCa cell lines. Consistently, circHIPK3 expression was significantly upregulated in PCa cells (LNCaP, PC3, DU145, 22Rv1) compared to the human prostate epithelial cell line RWPE-1 (Figure 1C).

To explore the function of circHIPK3 in PCa, we first silenced circHIPK3 in PC3 and DU145 cells by transfection with siRNA targeting circHIPK3. The PCR results indicated silenced circHIPK3 in PC3 and DU145 cells by transfection (Figure 1C). Consistently, colony formation assay results demonstrated that circHIPK3 silencing decreased the colony formation abilities of PC3 and DU145 cells (Figure 1H). Taken together, these findings revealed that circHIPK3 silencing inhibits the proliferation, migration, and invasion of PCa cells.

**MiR-193a-3p is targeted by circHIPK3 in PCa cells**

We then sought to explore the possible molecular mechanism of circHIPK3 in PCa. It has been reported that the functions of circRNAs are mainly related to their intracellular

---

**Figure 1** CircHIPK3 silencing inhibits PCa cell proliferation and invasion.

**Notes:** (A) Relative expression of circHIPK3 in PCa tissue samples and their paired noncancerous tissue samples measured by qRT-PCR (Student’s t-test). (B) Relative expression of circHIPK3 in T1–TII PCa samples and TIII–TIV PCa samples measured by qRT-PCR (Student’s t-test). (C) Relative expression of circHIPK3 in PCa cell lines was measured by qRT-PCR (Student’s t-test). (D) Relative circHIPK3 and HIPK3 mRNA expression was detected after transfection in PC3 and DU145 cells by qRT-PCR (Student’s t-test). (E) Wound-healing assays were used to detect cell migration capacities of PC3 and DU145 cells after transfection (Student’s t-test). (F) Transwell assays were used to detect cell invasion capacities of PC3 and DU145 cells after transfection (Student’s t-test). (G) CCK-8 assays were used to detect cell viability of PC3 and DU145 cells after transfection (Student’s t-test). (H) Colony formation assays were used to detect cell viability of PC3 and DU145 cells after transfection (Student’s t-test). Quantified values were mean ± SD of at least three independent experiments. *P<0.05, **P<0.01.

**Abbreviations:** CCK-8, Cell-Counting Kit-8; nC, negative control; PCa, prostate cancer; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR.
localization and that circHIPK3 preferentially localizes in the cytoplasm of many cancer cells.\textsuperscript{5,10} To determine the subcellular localization of circHIPK3 in PCa, we performed qRT-PCR assays. The results demonstrated that the circular form of circHIPK3 was mainly located in the cytoplasm of both PC3 and DU145 cells (Figure 2A). Then, we conducted RIP with an antibody against AGO2 in PC3 and DU145 cells. The results demonstrated that circHIPK3 was significantly enriched by the AGO2 antibody (Figure 2B), which suggested that circHIPK3 may act as a binding platform for AGO2 and miRNAs. According to the above results, circHIPK3 might serve as competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs) to bind to and inhibit the function of miRNAs function.

To screen for potential miRNAs that could bind to circHIPK3 in PCa, we analyzed two public databases (miRanda and RegRNA2.0) and found 15 potential miRNAs that had a potential binding site for circHIPK3 in both databases. To verify which miRNAs could actually bind to circHIPK3 in PCa, we performed a circHIPK3 pull-down assay using biotin-coupled probes specifically against circHIPK3 and then analyzed the 15 candidate miRNA levels in the complex. Interestingly, we found a specific enrichment of miR-193a-3p compared with the controls, whereas the other miRNAs demonstrated no enrichment (Figure 2C) in the complex, indicating that miR-193a-3p is a circHIPK3-associated miRNA in PC3 cells. To further confirm this result, we performed a dual luciferase assay using miR-193a-3p mimics. The results showed that luciferase activity was reduced in PC3 and DU145 cells cotransfected with wild-type circHIPK3 and miR-193a-3p mimics compared with that of the control (Figure 2D). Meanwhile, we mutated the target site of miR-193a-3p in circHIPK3 from the luciferase reporter, and the luciferase activity did not significantly change in cells cotransfected with mutant circHIPK3 and miR-193a-3p mimics (Figure 2D). Furthermore, silencing circHIPK3 in PCa cells did not affect the expression of miR-193a-3p (Figure 2E), and transfection of miR-193a-3p mimics also did not affect the expression of circHIPK3 (Figure 2F), which indicated that circHIPK3 and miR-193a-3p may not be digested by each other. All of these experimental results
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**Figure 2** miR-193a-3p is targeted by circHIPK3 in PCa cells.

**Notes:** (A) Cytoplasmic and nuclear RNA fractions were isolated from PC3 and DU145 cells. Relative circHIPK3 expression levels in the cell cytoplasm or nucleus were examined by qRT-PCR. GAPDH was used as the cytoplasmic control, and U6 was used as the nuclear control. (B) AGO2 RIP assays were used to detect circHIPK3 binding with AGO2 complex in PC3 and DU145 cells. (C) Biotin-coupled miRNA capture assays were used to detect which miRNA binds with circHIPK3 in PC3 cells. (D) Diagrammatic sketch of the binding sites for miR-193a-3p in circHIPK3. Luciferase reporter assay showed that ectopic expression of miR-193a-3p suppressed the activity of circHIPK3 in PC3 and DU145 cells (Student’s t-test). (E) Relative circHIPK3 expression was detected after transfection in PC3 and DU145 cells by qRT-PCR (Student’s t-test). Quantified values were mean ± SD of at least three independent experiments, \( p < 0.01 \).

**Abbreviations:** AGO2, Argonaute 2; Mut, mutant; NS, no significance; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR; RIP, RNA immunoprecipitation; WT, wild-type.
suggest that circHIPK3 may function as a sponge for miR-193a-3p without affecting its expression in PCa.

**MiR-193a-3p exerts its role by regulating MCL1 expression in PCa**

MiRNAs have been widely shown to regulate gene expression by targeting the 3'UTR region of mRNAs. Thus, we searched for the potential target genes of miR-193a-3p by bioinformatics analysis using the TargetScan database and miRanda database. Based on the predictions of those databases, we identified MCL1, an oncogene that could promote the growth and metastasis of many cancers, as a potential target gene of miR-193a-3p. To confirm this hypothesis in PCa, we then performed a luciferase reporter assay to validate the interaction between miR-193a-3p and the 3'UTR of MCL1 mRNA. The results showed that transfection of miR-193a-3p mimics could reduce the activity of a luciferase reporter carrying the MCL1-3'UTR of WT compared to NC-miR (Figure 3A). Furthermore, we mutated the target sites for miR-193a-3p from the 3'UTR of MCL1 mRNA and the luciferase activity did not significantly change in cells cotransfected with mutant MCL1-3'UTR and miR-193a-3p mimics (Figure 3A). As expected, inhibiting miR-193a-3p led to elevated MCL1 mRNA and protein expression while circHIPK3 silencing suppressed MCL1 mRNA and protein expression in PC3 and DU145 cells (Figure 3B and C). Moreover, inhibition of circHIPK3 could partly counteract the effect of the miR-193a-3p inhibitor on MCL1 expression (Figure 3B and C). Thus, we speculated that circHIPK3 might regulate MCL1 expression by sponging miR-193a-3p in PCa cells. To investigate whether circHIPK3-mediated upregulation of MCL1 contributes to PCa progression, we then performed CCK-8, wound healing, and Transwell assays. According to the CCK-8 assays, we found that MCL1 overexpression significantly increased the proliferation of PC3 and DU145 cells and that circHIPK3 silencing markedly reverse the increased cell proliferation induced by MCL1 overexpression (Figure 3D). Similarly, based on the migration and Transwell assays results, we also demonstrated that MCL1 overexpression significantly increased the migration and invasion of PCa cells and that circHIPK3 knockdown significantly offset the increased cell migration and invasion (Figure 3E and F).

![Figure 3 MiR-193a-3p exerts its role by the regulation of MCL1 expression in PCa.](image)

**Notes:** (A) Putative binding site for miR-193a-3p in MCL1 3'UTR. Luciferase reporter assay showed miR-193a-3p mimics suppressed the activity of WT MCL1 3'UTR. However, there was no statistical effect on luciferase activity when MUT MCL1 3'UTR and miR-193a-3p mimics were cotransfected (Student's t-test). (B) Relative MCL1 mRNA expression was detected after transfection in PC3 and DU145 cells by qRT-PCR (one-way ANOVA). (C) Relative MCL1 protein expression was detected after transfection in PC3 and DU145 cells by WB. (D) CCK-8 assays were used to detect cell viability of PC3 and DU145 cells after transfection (one-way ANOVA). (E) Wound-healing assays were used to detect cell migration capacities of PC3 and DU145 cells after transfection (one-way ANOVA). (F) Transwell assays were used to detect cell invasion capacities of PC3 and DU145 cells after transfection (one-way ANOVA). Quantified values were mean ± SD of at least three independent experiments. Magnification ×100. *P<0.05, **P<0.01.

**Abbreviations:** CCK-8, Cell-Counting Kit-8; Mut, mutant; NC, negative control; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR; WB, Western blot; WT, wild-type.
Taken together, our data demonstrated that MCL1 targeted by miR-193a-3p is essential for circHIPK3-mediated PCa progression.

CircHIPK3 silencing suppressed PCa growth in vivo

In vitro experiments have demonstrated the function of circHIPK3 in PCa. We further utilized an in vivo nude mouse model to investigate the effects of circHIPK3 on PCa tumor growth. PC3 cells transfected with si-circHIPK3 or a control siRNA were subcutaneously injected into nude mice. The results showed that circHIPK3 knockdown significantly suppressed PC3 tumor volumes (Figure 4A and B) and tumor weights (Figure 4C), compared to those of the control group. Moreover, the expression levels of circHIPK3 and MCL1 were significantly decreased in tumor tissues of the si-circHIPK3 group at the end of this experiment (Figure 4D and E). Finally, immunohistochemistry results revealed that tumor tissues collected from the si-circHIPK3 group had fewer proliferation marker Ki-67-positive cells when compared with those of the control group (Figure 4F). Therefore, these data suggested that circHIPK3 knockdown could suppress PCa growth in vivo.

Discussion

PCa is the second most commonly diagnosed malignancy in men and account for 13.5% of newly diagnosed cancers worldwide in 2018.1 Although at the time of diagnosis most men present with localized PCa, current clinical diagnostic biomarkers such as prostate-specific antigen are not precise enough to distinguish between PCa and other benign prostatic diseases such as benign prostatic hyperplasia and prostatitis.11 Moreover, these biomarkers do not optimally triage individual patients into risk groupings that can be used to determine how aggressively the cancer should be treated.12

Accumulating evidence suggests that circRNAs may be used as tumor biomarkers and regulators because of their crucial involvement in various biological processes.4 However, to date, only two circRNAs have been explored in PCa.13,14 Kong et al identified that circSMARCA5 was upregulated in four PCa cell lines compared to a normal prostate cell line and in 21 PCa tissue samples compared with corresponding normal prostate tissues. Moreover, this study also found that circSMARCA5 expression was significantly induced after DHT treatment and that circSMARCA5 may act as a potential pro-oncogenic circRNA in vitro by promoting the PCa cell cycle and inhibiting PCa cell apoptosis. Dai et al found that circRNA-MYLK is upregulated in PCa cell lines in comparison with normal prostate cell lines and is highly upregulated in 17 PCa tissues in comparison with corresponding normal prostate tissues. In addition, this study found that circRNA-MYLK knockdown significantly inhibited PCa cell proliferation, migration, and invasion. The group further showed that the upregulation of circRNA-MYLK may act as an miRNA sponge binding with miR-29a. These two findings had proved that circRNAs have been discovered to play a functional role in regulating PCa development and progression.

![Figure 4: CircHIPK3 silencing suppressed PCa growth in vivo.](image)

**Notes:** (A) Tumor volumes were monitored every 1 week (Student's t-test). (B) Representative tumors from two groups of nude mice after injection of si-circHIPK3 PC3 cells or controls cells. (C) Tumor weights were measured (Student's t-test). (D) Relative circHIPK3 expression was detected in two groups of tumors by qRT-PCR (Student's t-test). (E) Relative MCL1 protein expression was measured by WB. (F) Ki67 expression and relative positive cell numbers were determined by immunohistochemistry in each group (Student's t-test). Scale bars: 20 µm. Quantified values were mean ± SD of at least three independent experiments. *P<0.05, **P<0.01.

**Abbreviations:** NC, negative control; WB, Western blot; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR.
CircHIPK3, which originates from exon 2 of the HIPK3 gene, is a highly conserved and stable circRNA in many cell lines and tissues. Previous studies have demonstrated that circHIPK3 plays an important role in tumorigenesis and that circHIPK3 silencing in tumors significantly inhibits the cancer cell proliferation, migration, and invasion. For example, Kai et al indicated that circHIPK3 was upregulated in many cancer tissues and that circHIPK3 knockdown inhibited HuH-7, HCT-116, and HeLa cell proliferation through sponging miR-124. Jin et al found that circHIPK3 upregulation in glioma correlated with tumor progression and that circHIPK3 could influence the malignant behaviors of glioma cells via the circHIPK3/miR-654/IGF2BP3 regulatory network. Ke et al showed that circHIPK3 was highly expressed in nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) tissues and cell lines and that its expression levels could act as a prognostic marker in NPC patients. In addition, their findings demonstrated that circHIPK3 facilitated NPC progression by protecting ELF3 from miR-4288-mediated silencing. Chen et al demonstrated that circHIPK3 was upregulated in hepatocellular carcinoma tissues and that silencing circHIPK3 inhibited cell proliferation and migration by downregulating the miR-124-AQP3 axis. However, the role of circHIPK3 in PCa remains unclear. Thus, our aim was to reveal the function of circHIPK3 in PCa.

In this study, we found that circHIPK3 was upregulated in PCa and predicted poor prognosis among PCa patients. Functionally, we demonstrated that circHIPK3 knockdown markedly inhibited PCa cell proliferation, migration, and invasion in vitro and decreased tumor growth in vivo. Through bioinformatics prediction and biotin-coupled miRNA capture, we discovered that miR-193a-3p binds with circHIPK3 in PCa cells. Most published studies indicate that miR-193a-3p may function as a tumor-suppressing miRNA in many cancers, including PCa. Functionally, miR-193a-3p can inhibit cell proliferation, migration, and invasion in many cancers by suppressing MCL1. In addition, MCL1 was expressed at higher levels in PCa tumors and promoted PCa cell growth, migration, and invasion. In the present study, we identified circHIPK3 as an miR-193a-3p sponge that upregulates the expression of the oncogene MCL1, promoting PCa progression.

However, our study has limitations. First, only 26 pairs of PCa tissues were analyzed in this study because of the limited number of available PCa samples. Second, all the patient samples are from only one hospital. A larger number of PCa samples should be tested at multiple centers to further confirm the conclusions of this study. Third, we look forward to further research to explore why and how circHIPK3 was upregulated in PCa, which will provide a deeper understanding of the molecular mechanism.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, our study first revealed the novel function of circHIPK3 in PCa progression. We illustrated that circHIPK3-mediated miR-193a-3p-MCL1 signaling promote PCa development and progression, providing a novel therapeutic target for PCa therapy.
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