Epigallocatechin-3-gallate in the prevention and treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma: experimental findings and translational perspectives
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Abstract: Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), a primary liver malignancy, is one of the deadliest cancers worldwide. Despite orthotopic liver transplantation and hepatic resection representing the principal lines of treatment for this pathology, only a minority of patients can be resected owing to cirrhosis or late diagnosis. Keeping in mind the end goal of conquering these challenges, new alternative approaches have been proposed. Accumulating evidence has demonstrated that epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG), the principal catechin of green tea with multiple biological properties, is able to modulate different molecular mechanisms underlying HCC, mainly through its antioxidant activity. In this article, we revise these findings reported in the literature, in order to highlight the potential roles of EGCG in the treatment of HCC. The CAMARADES criteria were applied for quality assessment of animal studies, and a narrative synthesis performed. New bits of information available for translational perspectives into clinical practice are addressed.
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Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is an aggressive liver cancer associated with a high rate of mortality worldwide.¹,² Orthotopic liver transplantation and surgical hepatic resection are considered the principal approaches for HCC treatment, but many patients are precluded from these therapies, due to unavailability of organs, cirrhosis, or late diagnosis.³ Systemic sorafenib-based therapy is an alternative choice for HCC patients in an advanced stage, although a significant number of patients are refractory to this multikinase-inhibitor drug⁴ and require further approaches, such as regorafenib, which is to date the only systemic treatment associated with survival improvement in HCC patients progressing on sorafenib treatment.⁵ Based on these premises, new alternative therapies for HCC treatment are much needed. Accumulating evidence has shown that the catechins and flavonoids contained in green tea (Camellia sinensis) confer to this plant cancer-chemopreventive effects. Particularly, epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG), the most abundant active catechin found in green tea, induces apoptosis and inhibits tumor progression through its antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties by modulating different signaling pathways in several types of cancer, including HCC (Figure 1).⁶–⁸ Also, it has been shown that EGCG behaves as a prooxidant in the presence of elevated concentrations of transition metals, mainly copper, thus leading to cytotoxic effects in cancer cells through the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which are responsible for DNA breakage.⁹–¹²
In this article, we revise these findings reported in the literature in order to highlight the potential roles of EGCG in the prevention and treatment of HCC and to offer a new contribution to conceivable applications into clinical practice. A narrative synthesis is also done for all studies considered, and the level of evidence for each included animal study was assessed by using a modified CAMARADES eleven-item checklist.\(^1\)

**Chemistry of EGCG**

EGCG is the most abundant active catechin found in green-tea extract, accounting for 65% of its content. Due to the presence of three heterocyclic rings into EGCG’s chemical structure, as we previously reported,\(^2\) electron delocalization is favored, leading to quenching of free radicals. EGCG, like other tea catechins, has redox properties and reacts mainly
with ROS. Particularly, the ability of EGCG to chelate free metal ions leads to prevention of ROS formation and the oxidation of catechins.\textsuperscript{9,15,16} Basically, its chemical structure not only confers antioxidant activities to EGCG but also favors its air oxidation, mainly in the presence of ions, leading to the formation of unstable catechin dimers. Moreover, as a consequence of the catechin structure, EGCG and other catechins are susceptible to several biotransformations, such as methylation, glucuronidation, and degradation, mediated by specific enzymes, mainly in the liver and in the small intestine.\textsuperscript{17–19} Finally, the hydrogen bonding capacity associated with EGCG’s polyphenolic structures is responsible for reduced EGCG absorption.\textsuperscript{20}

**Bioavailability of EGCG: constraints and upgrades**

Despite its health-promoting properties, EGCG is characterized by poor bioavailability, mainly due to its elevated instability, lower capacity to permeate the gastrointestinal tract under specific pH conditions, and active efflux.\textsuperscript{21–25} Therefore, its efficacy and application in clinical practice are very constrained and should be moved forward. For this purpose, several clinical trials have been conducted by controlling pharmacokinetic parameters, and have been reviewed by Mereles and Hunstein,\textsuperscript{26} in order to select the most appropriate dose and route of administration for EGCG intervention. Results from these studies suggest that adjustments in different parameters (eg, temperature and humidity)\textsuperscript{27} and improvements in dietary habits (eg, not drinking hard water; EGCG intake on an empty stomach; dietary introduction of vitamins, ω, polyunsaturated fatty acids, and piperine)\textsuperscript{28–31} could enhance EGCG bioavailability. Additionally, the application of a nanodelivery system to encapsulate EGCG into specific nanoparticles (eg, chitosan and peptides)\textsuperscript{32,33} successfully enhance EGCG intestinal absorption, thus improving its bioavailability. In addition, a comparative impact has been likewise depicted by the engineering and blend of EGCG derivatives.\textsuperscript{34,35}

**Preclinical assessments of EGCG anticancer effects in hepatocellular carcinoma**

**In vitro studies on hepatic cancer cell lines**

Accumulating preclinical evidence has shown that EGCG inhibits the growth and induces apoptosis of different liver cancer cells by acting via different molecular mechanisms (Table 1 and Figure 1).

The first report investigating the role of EGCG in hepatoma was from Nishida et al.\textsuperscript{36} The authors showed that EGCG was able to inhibit the growth and secretion of AFP in human hepatoma-derived PLC/PRF/5 cells without affecting their viability. Also, EGCG inhibited the growth of spontaneous hepatoma in C3H/HeNCrj mice without signs of toxicity. Subsequently, the inhibitory effects of EGCG on the invasion of hepatoma cells were proved in AH109A cells through the ROS-scavenging activity of EGCG.\textsuperscript{37} Uesato et al\textsuperscript{38} proved for the first time that EGCG inhibited the growth of HCT116 colorectal and HepG2 HCC cells, although with a lower effect with respect to (–)-epicatechin. A different molecular pathway underlying the effect of EGCG on apoptosis in HCC cells was described by Park et al.\textsuperscript{39} The authors demonstrated that EGCG diminished hypoxia-incited apoptosis in HepG2 cells and enhanced cell survival. These data support the hypothesis that EGCG could be considered a useful agent for HCC treatment. In fascinating in vitro and in vivo investigations, Nishikawa et al\textsuperscript{40} demonstrated that EGCG was able to induce apoptosis in HLE cells by inactivation of NFκB alone and in combination with TRAIL. Using in vitro approaches, Zhang et al\textsuperscript{41} showed that EGCG induced apoptosis of HCCLM6 cells by decreasing the mitochondrial membrane potential and by promoting $G_1/G_0$-phase cell-cycle arrest.

In addition to the apoptotic effects, other mechanisms, including the antiangiogenic effect, have clarified the potential role of EGCG on hepatocarcinoma tumor growth. Zhang et al\textsuperscript{42} showed that green-tea extract and EGCG significantly inhibited the accumulation of HIF1α and its downstream target – VEGF expression – in both human cervical carcinoma (HeLa) and HepG2 cells. Interestingly, they proved that these results were obtained without affecting HIF1α mRNA levels and by acting on PI3K/Akt and/or ERK1/2 molecular mechanisms. In a study conducted on human HCC cells, SK-Hep1 and *Pinus densiflora* leaf extract and its phytochemicals, EGCG, EGC, and catechin gallate inhibited cell invasion and metastasis formation by altering the activities of MMPs, particularly gelatinase A (MMP2) and gelatinase B (MMP9), in a dose-dependent manner,\textsuperscript{43} and EGCG exhibited higher efficacy. Subsequently, Lee et al\textsuperscript{44} confirmed the ability of EGCG to suppress the cytotoxic effect induced by ethanol at lethal doses in HepG2 cells. In another study, an inhibitory role of EGCG on various types of the tyrosine-kinase receptor IGF1R in HepG2 cells was highlighted.\textsuperscript{45} Later on, Chen et al showed that EGCG was able to induce apoptosis in HepG2 and SMMC7721 cells by affecting the expression profiles of Cox2 and BCL2 and by activating caspase 9 and caspase 3.\textsuperscript{46} A potential role of EGCG in HCC treatment was suggested by Kaufmann et al.
### Table 1: A summary of in vitro studies on the role of eGCG in hepatic cancer cell growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Dose of EGCG</th>
<th>Molecular target(s)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AH109A</td>
<td>10 mM, 50 mM</td>
<td>ROS↓</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCT116, HepG2</td>
<td>7.6±0.4 μM in HCT116, 11.2±0.5 μM in HepG2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HepG2</td>
<td>12.5, 25, 50, 100 μM</td>
<td>BCL2L1, CDH1, TACSTD1, PTK2T, BAX, CASP3, HIFI↓</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLE, HepG2, Huh7 PLCP/PRF/5</td>
<td>10, 25, 50, 100 μg/mL</td>
<td>Caspases 8, 9, and 3T, BCL2x, BCLxL, NFxB↓</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCCLM6</td>
<td>5–100 μg/mL</td>
<td>MMP2, MMP9, FUSE, FUBP1, HSPB1, CH60↓, NPM↑</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HeLa, HepG2</td>
<td>2 mg FW/mL, 20 μg/mL</td>
<td>HiF1x, VEGF, P13K/Act, ERK1/2, mTOR↓</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK-Hep1</td>
<td>2 mg FW/mL, 20 μg/mL</td>
<td>HiF1α, veGF, Pi3K/Akt, eRK1/2, mTOR↓</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HepG2</td>
<td>1.0 mg/mL</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huh7, PLCP/PRF/5, HLF, HLE, HepG2, and Hep3B</td>
<td>20 or 40 μg/mL</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HepG2, SMMC7721</td>
<td>6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, 100, 200, and 400 μg/mL</td>
<td>Cox2, BCL2↓, caspases 9 and 3↑</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hep3B, two primary cultures established from surgically resected HCC</td>
<td>0–10 μM</td>
<td>PAR1/PAR4, p42, p44, MAPK↓</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLF, PLCP/PRF/5, HepG2, Huh7, HLE, and Hep3B</td>
<td>0–100 μg/mL</td>
<td>VEGF2R, p-VEGFR2↓</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HepG2, Hep3B</td>
<td>0–160 μM</td>
<td>AMPK↑, mTOR, FASN, ACC↓, p53 in HepG2↓, p53 in Hep3B↑</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HepG2</td>
<td>80, 120, 160 μmol/L</td>
<td>Smad7↓</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEL7404, BEL7404/Dox</td>
<td>70, 140, 285, 560, 1,120 μg/mL</td>
<td>Dox chemosensitivity and cytotoxicity↑</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMMC7721, Hep3B</td>
<td>0–5 μM</td>
<td>CBR1↓</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hep3B</td>
<td>5, 10, 25, and 50 μmol/L in presence or in absence of 5FU</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HepG2, MHCC97L, MHCC-97H, L02</td>
<td>100 μg/mL</td>
<td>PGE2↓, EP1↓</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCCLM6</td>
<td>0, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 60, 80, or 100 μg/mL</td>
<td>MMP2, MMP9, FUBP1, HSPB1, CH60↓, NPM↑</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUVECs, Huh7</td>
<td>Methyl-eGCG</td>
<td>VEGF, VEGFR2, p42/44 MAPK↓</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEL-7402, QGY-7703</td>
<td>0–350 μM</td>
<td>p-STAT3, cyclin D1, BCLxL, c-Myc, VEGF↓</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HepG2, SMMC7721, SK-Hep1</td>
<td>0, 40, 80, 120 μM</td>
<td>P13K, Act, NFxB↓</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hep3B</td>
<td>0, 10, 20, 40 μg/mL</td>
<td>Autophagic genes↓</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HepG2</td>
<td>10, 50, 100, 200 μM</td>
<td>MMP9, syndecan 1, FGF2↓</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCCLM6, HL7702</td>
<td>0, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 60, 80, and 100 μg/mL in HL7702, 0, 5, 10, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 140, 180, 220, and 260 μg/mL in HCCLM6</td>
<td>BCL2, NFxB↓, BAX, p53, caspases 9 and 3↑</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HepG2, MHCC97H, Hep3B</td>
<td>0.02–20 μg/mL</td>
<td>OPN↓</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HepG2, HCT116, HLF, Huh7, HepG2, CSCs</td>
<td>0–75 μmol</td>
<td>D133, Nanog, ATP-binding cassette transporter genes↓, ABC1, ABC2G, Nek2, p-Akt in CSCs↓</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC-LM3, SMMC7721, Hep3B, HepG2, Huh7, QSG7701, L02</td>
<td>25, 50, 100 μM</td>
<td>PFK↓</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HepG2</td>
<td>50 μM</td>
<td>Dox-induced overexpression of Pgp, P13K/Act, Me/ERK↓</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HepG2</td>
<td>0–175 μM</td>
<td>AFP↓</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** CSCs, cancer stem cells; Dox, doxorubicin; eGCG, epigallocatechin-3-gallate; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HUVECs, human umbilical vein endothelial cells; ROS, reactive oxygen species.
in in vitro studies on the permanent liver carcinoma cell line HEP3B and two primary cultures surgically resected from HCC patients. Data from these studies showed that EGCG had an inhibitory effect on PAR1 and PAR4 (involved in HCC progression) and on p42/p44 MAPKs. Shirakami et al demonstrated an inhibitory role of EGCG on HCC progression by altering the activities of the tyrosine-kinase receptor VEGFR, which is involved in the angiogenesis of HCC. In vitro experiments were conducted on six human HCC cells – HLF, PLC/PRF/5, HepG2, Huh7, HLE, and Hep3B – and the major EGCG inhibitory effect on HCC-cell growth was observed in Huh7 cells. This result was also confirmed by an in vivo nude mouse xenograft model generated by Huh7 cells injected subcutaneously in mice. Taken together, these results suggest that EGCG can be considered a promising agent for HCC treatment.

A chemopreventive and antilipogenic role of EGCG for HCC was highlighted by Huang et al. Using HepG2 (p53-positive cells) and Hep3B (p53-negative cells), the authors showed that EGCG inhibited cell proliferation in a dose-dependent manner, thus suggesting that an additional factor to p53 is involved in promoting the inhibitory effect of EGCG on HCC proliferation. To demonstrate this, levels of AMPK, a sensor of cellular energy levels, was evaluated in both p53-positive and -negative human hepatoma cells. Data showed that EGCG was able to upregulate AMPK activity in HCC cells by inhibiting the mTOR pathway.

Moreover, by activation of AMPK, EGCG is able to decrease the activity and/or expression of lipogenic enzymes, such as ACC and FASN. All these findings support the hypothesis that EGCG could be potentially used as a chemopreventive and antilipogenic compound for HCC. Tong et al showed that the cytotoxic effect of EGCG on HepG2 cells was associated with activation of the TGFβ-Smad signaling pathway. Subsequently, the antitumor effect of EGCG on liver cancer was described in a murine model for chemoresistant HCC using doxorubicin (Dox) in combination with epicatechin gallate and EGCG. A synergistic effect between Dox and epicatechin gallate or EGCG administered together in vitro and in vivo was observed. Moreover, it has been proved that catechins enhance chemosensitivity to Dox, increase Dox cytotoxicity in HCC cells, and inhibit Pgp expression in Dox-resistant HCC cells in vitro and in xenograft tumors. An inhibitory effect of catechins on the expression of multidrug-resistance genes was likewise observed.

A fascinating study supporting the chemopreventive effect of EGCG on HCC, was conducted in SMMC7721 and Hep3B cells. By in vitro and in vivo studies, the authors showed that EGCG was able to inhibit human CBR1 activity, which in turn converted the antitumor drug and anthracycline daunorubicin (Dnr) into the alcohol metabolite daunorubicinol, reducing antitumor activity and cardiotoxicity. Taken together, these data suggest that a combination of EGCG and Dnr might represent a novel approach for HCC chemoprevention.

Additional studies have confirmed the chemopreventive role of EGCG in HCC treatment. It has been shown that EGCG was able to sensitize HCC cells to 5-fluorouracil antitumor activity. Moreover, a synergistic effect between EGCG and 5-fluorouracil was detected in Hep3B cells, mainly through the downregulation of Cox2 and hyperactivation of AMPK. An interesting in vitro study conducted on the HCC cell line HepG2, human hepatoma cell lines MHCC97L, MHCC97H, and the human hepatocyte cell line L02 showed that the anti-HCC effects of EGCG might be mediated by suppression of prostanoid EP1 expression and by the production of PGE2. The anticancer effect of EGCG on HCC has also been proven on HCCLM6 cells. Using in vitro approaches, the authors demonstrated that EGCG arrested HCCLM6 cell metastasis by inhibiting MMP2 and MMP9 expression. Moreover, EGCG altered expression levels of FUBP1, HSPB1, CH60, and NPM proteins, strongly related to metastasis formation. Despite promising results on the antitumor effect of EGCG on HCC, a report from Hashimoto et al described that a new methylated EGC analogue (methyl-EGCG) had a stronger antioxidation effect than EGCG in Huh7 hepatoma cells and corresponding xenografted hepatoma mice. A new molecular mechanism underlying the anticancer effect of EGCG on HCC cells was highlighted by Wang et al. By treating BEL7402 and QGY7703 cells with EGCG, they observed suppression of cell proliferation and a reduction in expression levels of phosphorylated STAT3 (p-STAT3), thus suggesting that regulation of STAT3 signaling could be considered as one of the molecular mechanisms underlying the anticancer effect of EGCG on HCC cells.

In another in vitro study, it has been shown that EGCG in HepG2, SMMC7721, and SK-Hep1 cells reduced the secretion of AFP, high levels of which are associated with malignant differentiation of cancer cells, through the modulation of autophagic activities of HCC cells. From these data, it emerged that EGCG inhibited HCC-cell growth by suppressing the Akt pathway.

Different findings were depicted by Chen et al. The authors described a role of autophagy in the inhibitory effects
on HCC cells of the synergistic interaction between EGCG and Dox. By using in vitro approaches on hepatoma cells and a subcutaneous Hep3B-cell xenograft tumor model, the authors showed that EGCG acts as a chemotherapeutic agent and acts synergistically by enhancing Dox anticancer effects through the inhibition of autophagy in HCC. The chemopreventive and hepatoprotective effects of EGCG against HCC were studied by Darweish et al in vivo and Sprague Dawley rats. This investigation found that the heparan sulfate proteoglycans pathway was engaged with the chemopreventive and hepatoprotective effects of EGCG. In another study conducted on HCCLM6 cells and noncancerous liver cells (HL7702) treated with EGCG, it was shown that EGCG was able to induce apoptosis, decrease mitochondrial membrane potential, and promoted G₀/G₁-phase cell-cycle arrest only in HCCLM6 cells. An interesting study was conducted on HepG2 and MHCC97H cells to investigate the role of EGCG in modulating OPN, which is a mediator of metastasis and invasion of HCC. Data reported showed that EGCG decreased OPN mRNA and secreted OPN protein levels by decreasing the half-life of OPN mRNA in MHCC97H cells. An intriguing study was conducted on the effects of EGCG on human hepatoma and colon cancer stem cells, which are considered responsible for tumor recurrence and chemoresistance after hepatic resection. The authors showed that EGCG was able to inhibit the self-renewal process in cancer stem cells of hepatoma and the colon by modulating the expression of ATP-binding cassette-transporter genes and stem-cell markers and by decreasing the transcription of NEK2 and p-Akt genes, thus resulting in Akt-signaling inhibition.

An interesting investigation was conducted by Li et al on the inhibitory effects of EGCG on HCC-cell growth by involving the glycolysis process. In this study, it was reported that EGCG modulated the oligomeric structure of PFK, a metabolic sensor in the glycolytic pathway, and suppressed PFK activity. Moreover, EGCG enhanced the inhibitory effects of sorafenib on anaerobic HCC-cell growth and in an HCC-xenograft mouse model. Recently, it has been shown that EGCG attenuates the overexpression of Pgp induced by Dox in HepG2 cells by inhibiting the ERK–MAPK and PI3K–Akt signaling pathways. Finally, a new molecular mechanism underlying the EGCG-mediated autophagic modulation of AFP in HepG2 cells has been proposed by Zhao et al. Taken together, all these findings suggest that EGCG could be conceivably utilized for counteracting and treating HCC by acting on different molecular mechanisms.

**Principal targets modulated by EGCG in hepatocellular cancer progression**

On the basis of the preclinical results described, it emerges that EGCG is able to regulate HCC progression by modulating principal molecular targets: NFκB and p53; ERK1/2 and PI3K–Akt–mTOR; and FGF and VEGF. As shown in Figure 1, the transition from HCC-tumor initiation to HCC proliferation is blocked by EGCG through downregulation of expression levels of p53, NFκB, EGFR, cyclins, and unfolded protein response and upregulation of BAX. Further, the switch from HCC proliferation to HCC invasion is inhibited by EGCG, mainly through the modulation of the expression levels of ERK1/2, PI3K–Akt–mTOR, STATs, multidrug resistance, AMPK, and caspases. Finally, EGCG greatly reduced HCC metastasis by downregulating expression of FGF, HIF, and MMPs and upregulating expression of IGF.

**In vivo investigations: animal models and EGCG effects**

The effects of EGCG on HCC have been additionally shown by in vivo experiments, as summarized in Table 2. In a fascinating experiment, Nishida et al reported that EGCG, given as 0.05% or 0.1% in drinking water for 7 weeks, reduced the incidence of spontaneous hepatocarcinogenesis in C3H/HeNCrj mice. More recently, several investigations have been performed on ectopic xenograft murine models. In the aforementioned Nishikawa et al study, the oral administration of EGCG (0, 0.8, 2.5, and 7.5 mg/mL ad libitum for 25 days) inhibited tumor growth and induced apoptosis in HLE xenograft tumors (HLE cells injected into the dorsal subcutaneous tissue of nude mice) by the downregulation of BCL2a and BCLxL in a dose-dependent manner. The authors also proved that high EGCG doses did not induce significant adverse effects on the growth or behavior of animals.

The efficacy of EGCG has been also investigated in vivo in combination with anticancer drugs. Liang et al evaluated the effect of EGC and EGCG on the antitumor activity of Dox in a murine xenograft model for chemoresistant HCC. In their experiments, the author found that the coadministration of Dox and low doses of EGCG significantly inhibited hepatoma growth. In addition, EGCG was able to increase the intracellular accumulation of Dox, due to the inhibition of Pgp and mRNA expression of several drug-resistance genes (e.g., MDRI). Subsequently, the same authors proved that the synergistic effect of the combination of EGCG + Dox involved autophagy inhibition in a dose- and time-dependent manner.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal models</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Dose of EGCG</th>
<th>Effects</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous hepatoma in C3H/HeNCrj mice</td>
<td>EGCG</td>
<td>0.05% (w:w) or 0.1% EGCG in drinking water</td>
<td>EGCG reduced the incidence of hepatoma-bearing mice and the average number of hepatomas</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xenograft mouse model (HLE cells injected into the dorsal subcutaneous tissue of mice)</td>
<td>EGCG</td>
<td>0, 0.8, 2.5, and 7.5 mg/mL ad libitum for 25 days</td>
<td>EGCG inhibited tumor growth, induced apoptosis, and downregulated BCL2α and BCLxL expression, EGCG sensitized HLE cells to TRAIL (100 ng/mL)-mediated apoptosis</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xenograft mouse model (BEL7404/Dox HCC cells subcutaneously injected into the right axilla of mice)</td>
<td>EGCG + Dox</td>
<td>Dox alone (2 mg/kg IP), EGCG alone (80 mg/kg IP), Dox + EGCG (40, 80, 160 mg/kg OG)</td>
<td>EGCG enhanced Dox anticancer activity, downregulated HIF1α, and upregulated MDR1 expression</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xenograft mouse model (SMMC7721 or Hep3B cells subcutaneously injected in mice)</td>
<td>EGCG</td>
<td>20 mg/kg IP three times a week for 4 weeks</td>
<td>EGCG enhanced anticancer activity of Dnr and reduced cardiotoxicity</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xenograft mouse model (Huh7 cells subcutaneously injected in mice)</td>
<td>Methyl-EGCG</td>
<td>1.1 mg/kg IP 8.3 mg/kg PO</td>
<td>Methyl-EGCG suppressed tumor growth in Huh7 hepatoma cells via inhibition of angiogenesis</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xenograft mouse model (Hep3B cells per mouse in the right fossa axillaries)</td>
<td>EGCG + Dox</td>
<td>EGCG 50 mg/kg, OG; Dox 2 mg/kg IP</td>
<td>EGCG synergistically enhanced Dox anticancer effects involving autophagy inhibition</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD rats with HCC induced by thioacetamide (200 mg/kg)</td>
<td>EGCG</td>
<td>EGCG 20 mg/kg or sodium ascorbate 100 mg/kg IP twice per week for 16 weeks</td>
<td>EGCG blocked HCC-induced elevation of oxidative stress markers and induced HSPG activity</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xenograft model (HCC-LM3 cells subcutaneously injected in mice)</td>
<td>EGCG</td>
<td>EGCG (10 mg/kg/BW/day) or sorafenib (10 mg/kg/BW/day)</td>
<td>EGCG inhibited HCC-tumor growth and induced apoptosis in combination with sorafenib</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** BW, body weight; Dnr, daunorubicin; Dox, doxorubicin; EGCG, epigallocatechin-3-gallate; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; IP, intraperitoneally; OG, oral gavage; PO, per os (orally).
In another murine xenograft model obtained with SMMC7721 or Hep3B cells, EGCG enhanced the anticancer activity of the anthracycline Dnr through the inhibition of human CBR1, which is involved in its catabolism. Starting from the results of a previous experiment proving the biological activity of the methyl-EGCG analogue, Hashimoto et al. demonstrated that oral administration of low-dose (8.3 mg/kg) methyl-EGCG inhibited cell growth in xenografted Huh7 hepatoma mice. In particular, methyl-EGCG was able to inhibit angiogenesis activity. EGCG-induced inhibition of vascular invasion was also studied by Darweish et al. In an animal model of HCC, the authors demonstrated that EGCG was able to induce restoration of HSPG receptors. In a murine xenograft model of HCC, Li et al. demonstrated that EGCG inhibited glycolysis and induced apoptosis in HCC cells through inhibition of the expression and activity of PFK, a limiting enzyme of glycolysis. As a consequence of this effect, EGCG improved the resistance of aerobic glycolytic HCC cells to sorafenib and enhanced cell-growth inhibition.

In the CAMARADES analysis (Table 3), we found that all the studies considered were published in peer-reviewed journals and showed detailed biochemical/tissue evaluations and professional statistical analysis. The newest studies obtained the highest score (9/11) despite the lack of any allocation-concealment technique, mandatory to prevent selection bias. This criterion is often underestimated, as demonstrated in our previous CAMARADES evaluations. Despite this gap, the results of all considered investigations were suggestive of a good-quality methodological approach in the research on these topics.

### Future research needs and translational perspectives in clinical practice

Although experimental studies on the roles of EGCG in the prevention and treatment of HCC have demonstrated promising findings, further investigations are needed in order to understand the molecular basis underlying these effects. An intriguing issue concerns the mechanisms underlying cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis in HCC. For instance, in contrast with results found in differentiated cell lines (eg, HepG2 cells), it has been reported that EGCG failed to induce cell-cycle arrest and had no effect on Fas expression in an undifferentiated cell line (HLE), whereas in these latter cells apoptosis was obtained via caspase 9 activation through inhibition of BCL2a and BCLxL. The translational point of view of these discoveries is exceptionally fascinating, as they suggest that in HCC – featuring rapid cell proliferation and strong expression of antiapoptotic genes – EGCG ought to work by affecting different apoptotic mechanisms in different phases of cancer development and progression. Additional investigations should be focused on better understanding the antiangiogenic effects of EGCG and the mechanisms involved in the activation of the immunoresponse against tumors. Positive responses in this field could stimulate preclinical investigation – and subsequently translational approaches in clinical practice – based on the combination of EGCG with tumor-targeted and immunotargeted therapies in the treatment of HCC.

Liang et al’s results on the combination of EGCG and Dox could stimulate research on the synergy between EGCG and chemotherapy drugs on cell killing and potentially sensitizing...
chemoresistant HCC cells to the latter. Furthermore, combinations of EGCG and anticancer drugs can represent a useful strategy to prevent and limit different side effects associated with antineoplastic drug therapy. In this regard, Huang et al showed us that EGCG could be tested in a clinical trial in order to prevent the cardiotoxicity of the anticancer drug Dnr.

Several clinical reports have indicated the use of green-tea-based supplements as agents of liver toxicity. In addition, animal studies have indicated that EGCG-induced hepatotoxicity was the effect of increased IL6 activity combined with oxidative stress activation involving hepatic lipid peroxidation, plasma 8-isoprostane, and increased hepatic metallothionein and γ-histone 2AX protein expression. On the other hand, decreased superoxide dismutase and glutathione peroxidase levels suggest the potential role of mitochondria function inhibition due to high doses of EGCG. Probably, these alarming data restrain translational approaches. Preclinical research could offer suggestions to overcome these obstacles by the identification of the optimum therapeutic dosage of EGCG for intervention trials in patients suffering from HCC and by investigation into effective strategies to prevent EGCG-induced toxicity. For instance, Hashimoto et al’s study indicated that modification of the 3-position by methylation in EGCG (methyl-EGCG) was effective in cell-growth inhibition at very low oral dosages. Finally, according to Garcia et al, we believe that a great limitation of the translation of preclinical data on EGCG and other flavonoids into clinical practice is the lack of standardized animal models.

Conclusion
Several preclinical studies reviewed here provide evidence that EGCG induces apoptosis and inhibits HCC progression by acting on different molecular pathways. These promising results encourage the use of EGCG in clinical practice. Despite the EGCG compound being currently used in clinical trials for the treatment of various type of cancer and other diseases, no clinical trials have been conducted for patients suffering from HCC. For these reasons, more studies are urgently needed. These studies should be focused on understanding molecular mechanisms regulated by EGCG in HCC, identification of the optimum therapeutic dosage of EGCG to be used in clinical trials, and the identification of more effective strategies to prevent EGCG-induced toxicity.
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