New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase-producing *Acinetobacter* isolates among late-onset VAP patients: multidrug-resistant pathogen and poor outcome
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**Background:** *Acinetobacter* spp. are increasingly important microbes involved in late-onset ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP).

**Purpose:** The aims of this study were to determine the prevalence of New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase (MβL) (*bla*NDM-1)-producing *Acinetobacter* spp. among late-onset VAP patients in different intensive care units (ICUs) of Menoufia and Kasr Al Ainy University Hospitals, to investigate the possible risk factors contributing to the acquisition of *bla*NDM-1-producing *Acinetobacter* infection, and to correlate between antimicrobial resistance pattern and therapeutic efficacy as well as clinical outcomes of these patients.

**Materials and methods:** Sixty-four *Acinetobacter* isolates were collected from mechanically ventilated patients with suspected late-onset VAP and subjected to antimicrobial susceptibility testing. The modified Hodge test (MHT) and combined disk tests (CDT) were applied for *bla*NDM-1 MβL detection. *Acinetobacter* isolates with phenotypically confirmed MβLs production were subjected to a PCR assay to verify the presence of *bla*NDM-1 gene. The most obvious risk factors for acquisition of carbapenem resistance in VAP patients and treatment outcomes were also analyzed.

**Results:** Out of 64 *Acinetobacter* isolates, 42 (65.6%) proved to be *bla*NDM-1 positive. The sensitivity and specificity of MHT were 52.38% and 41.67%, while for CDT they were 92.86% and 83.33%, respectively. *Acinetobacter* isolates showed high susceptibility to colistin (85.7%). The clinical response was better among VAP patients who received combined carbapenem plus colistin therapy than those who received colistin alone. Relapse of infection was detected in 12.5% (8/64) of VAP cases. The reported mortality reached 46.8% (30/64) of which 27 (64.3%) were infected with *bla*NDM-1-positive isolates. Prolonged duration of mechanical ventilation, longer hospital and ICU stays, and prior exposure to antibiotic therapy were by far the most important factors predisposing to carbapenem resistance among VAP patients.

**Conclusion:** A worldwide spread of *Acinetobacter* spp. expressing carbapenemases represents a significant threat to the medical community. The current study addressed the high prevalence of *bla*NDM-1-producing *Acinetobacter* isolates among late-onset VAP patients.
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**Introduction**

*Acinetobacter* species have now emerged as one of the most important pathogens in nosocomial infections, particularly in intensive care settings. Although considered as opportunistic organisms, they often pose high-level resistance to a diverse array of antimicrobial agents1 and are involved in different types of hospital-acquired infections,
eg, ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP), urinary tract infections, and bloodstream and wound infections with high mortality rates.\(^2\)

VAP continues to complicate the course of 8%–28% of all mechanically ventilated patients and accounts for 15%–25% of all types of intensive care unit (ICU)-acquired infections.\(^3\) The causative agents of VAP may be a part of the patient’s endogenous flora or may be acquired from an external environment including other patients, health care providers, and medical devices.\(^4\)

VAP is of two types: early onset and late onset. Early-onset VAP is usually caused by Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pneumoniae, or Haemophilus influenzae, whereas late-onset VAP is often caused by multidrug-resistant (MDR) pathogens of which Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Acinetobacter spp., and methicillin-resistant S. aureus are the most prevalent.\(^4\)

The antimicrobial resistance mechanisms among Acinetobacter spp. are variable. Different mechanisms conferring resistance to \(\beta\)-lactam drugs have been documented involving the production of \(\beta\)-lactamas, overexpression of drug efflux pumps, and decreased outer membrane permeability. However, the production of carbapenem hydrolyzing enzymes is of great concern.\(^5\)

Most Acinetobacter spp. develop carbapenem resistance by the production of metallo-\(\beta\)-lactamas (M\(\beta\)Ls) and oxacillinase-type carbapenemase-type carbapenemase.\(^6\) New Delhi M\(\beta\)L (\(\text{blaNDM-1}\)) is a novel M\(\beta\)L with great ability to provide resistance to almost all the \(\beta\)-lactams as well as carbapenems. This is the most critical feature because carbapenems are among the few backup agents for use against M\(\beta\)L producers.\(^7\)

Plasmids carrying the \(\text{blaNDM-1}\) gene are diverse, highly transmissible, and can harbor a large number of resistance genes associated with other carbapenemase genes, aminoglycosides, macrolides, rifampin, and even sulfamethoxazole resistance genes resulting in MDR or extremely drug-resistant (XDR) phenotypes.\(^8\) The \(\text{blaNDM-1}\) type is becoming the most threatening carbapenemase.\(^9\)

VAP mortality rate was reported to be high and may reach up to 70% of patients. Treatment usually starts before the result of antibiotic resistance pattern and includes colistin alone or with another antibiotic belonging to another group as imipenem or meropenem in order to obtain synergistic effects and to prevent the selection of hetero-resistant strains.\(^10\)

The objective of this study was to determine the prevalence of \(\text{blaNDM-1}\)-producing Acinetobacter spp. among patients with late-onset VAP admitted to different ICUs of both Menoufia and Kasr Al Ainy University Hospitals by both phenotypic and molecular characterization methods, to investigate the possible risk factors for acquisition of \(\text{blaNDM-1}\)-producing Acinetobacter infection, and to correlate between the presence of the \(\text{blaNDM-1}\) gene and therapeutic efficacy as well as patient outcomes.

Materials and methods

Study population

A total of 64 Acinetobacter isolates were collected from 312 mechanically ventilated patients (143 males and 169 females with a mean age of 59 years ± SD) admitted to different ICUs of both Menoufia and Kasr Al Ainy University Hospitals and suspected to have late-onset VAP in the period from September 2017 to August 2018. VAP was defined as pneumonia occurring >48 hours following endotracheal intubation, with the following diagnostic criteria: new or progressive lung infiltrates, consolidation, or cavitations on chest X-ray, with one of the following criteria – new onset of purulent bronchial secretions with leukopenia (white blood cells <4x10\(^9\)/L) or leukocytosis (>12x10\(^9\)/L), or core temperature ≥38.5°C or ≤36°C without other cause, significant positive culture from blood, or endotracheal aspirate, bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL), or culture from another relevant site of infection.\(^3\)

However, late-onset VAP was defined as pneumonia occurring on the fifth and subsequent days following endotracheal intubation.\(^11\) The Clinical Pulmonary Infection Score (CPIS), based on six clinical assessments, was calculated for patients on the same day of the endotracheal secretions collection, and all those with CPIS ≥6 were included in this study.\(^12\) All VAP events enrolled in the study were primary episodes. Recurrent infection occurred during patients follow-up in the form of relapse (pure growth of the organism causing the initial infection typically with worsening of symptoms after an evidence of clearance of the primary VAP with at least 5 days therapy).\(^3\)

Ethics statement

The study protocol conformed to the ethical guidelines of the 2013 “Helsinki Declaration” and was approved by the ethical committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University and Faculty of Medicine, Menoufia University. All patients, or family members, signed the written consent form to participate in the study.

Clinical specimens collection and clinical isolates identification

Both endotracheal and mini-BAL samples were collected from all participants\(^13,14\) and inoculated onto the
corresponding culture media (MacConkey’s agar; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Acinetobacter isolates were identified by the standard microbiological methods; the genus Acinetobacter comprises Gram-negative, aerobic, glucose-non-fermenting, non-fastidious, nonmotile, catalase-positive, and oxidase-negative bacteria. Species identification was carried out by the Vitek-2 system (BioMerieux, Marcy-l’Étoile, France). The isolates were suspended in nutrient broth supplemented with 16% glycerol and stored frozen at −80°C.

**Antibiotic susceptibility testing and screening for carbapenem resistance**

All Acinetobacter isolates were tested by the Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion method on Mueller Hinton (MH) agar (HiMedia Laboratories, India) against different antibiotics (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as per Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, Waltham, MA, USA), 2017 and zone diameters were interpreted according to the CLSI guidelines. MDR was defined as acquired non-susceptibility to at least one agent in three or more antimicrobial categories; XDR was defined as non-susceptibility to at least one agent in all but two or fewer antimicrobial types (ie, bacterial isolates remain susceptible to only one or two categories, most often polymyxins, eg, colistin); and pan-drug resistance (PDR) was defined as non-susceptibility to all agents in all antimicrobial categories as per the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing and the US Food and Drug Administration.

**Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) determination by agar dilution method**

The MICs of imipenem were determined for all imipenem non-susceptible Acinetobacter isolates using the agar dilution method. The isolates were categorized as susceptible and resistant according to MIC interpretive criteria for Acinetobacter spp. (CLSI, 2017 guidelines).

**Phenotypic characterization of blaNDM-1 production**

This was performed by the following methods:

**Modified Hodge test (MHT)**

All the carbapenem-resistant strains were subjected to MHT for detection of blaNDM-1 carbapenemase. A 0.5 McFarland standard suspension of Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 (the indicator organism) was prepared and diluted 1:10 in saline or broth. An MH agar plate was inoculated with the indicator strain as per the routine disk diffusion method. An imipenem disk (10 µg) was placed in the center of the plate. The test organism, positive control (Klebsiella pneumoniae ATCC BAA-1706), and negative control (K. pneumoniae ATCC BAA-1705) were streaked in straight lines starting from the edge of the imipenem disk to the edge of the plate. The plate was incubated at 35±2°C for 24 hours. MβLs production was detected by the appearance of the enhanced growth of the carbapenem-susceptible ATCC E. coli 25922 along the test organism giving a clover leaf-like indentation that indicated positive MβL production (Figure 1).

![Figure 1 MHT performed on MH agar plate.](image)

**Notes:** 1: E. coli ATCC (25922). 2, 4, and 6 are positive cases. 3 and 7 are carbapenem disks, and 5 is negative.

**Abbreviations:** MHT, modified Hodge test; MH, Mueller Hinton.
Combined disk test (CDT)

This test was applied for the phenotypic confirmation of \textit{blaNDM-1} production in carbapenem-resistant isolates. An EDTA solution of 0.5 M concentration was prepared by dissolving 46.53 g of disodium EDTA$_2$H$_2$O in 250 mL of distilled water and adjusting the pH to 8.0 by using NaOH. The mixture was sterilized by autoclaving. Two imipenem disks (10 µg) were placed on the surface of the MH agar plate inoculated with 0.5 McFarland standard suspension of the test organism at a suitable distance and 4 µL of EDTA solution was added to one of them to get the desired concentration. After 24 hours incubation at 37°C, an increase of $\geq 7$ mm in the zone diameter of the EDTA-containing imipenem disk compared to the imipenem disk alone was considered positive for the production of M$\beta$L among carbapenem-resistant strains.\textsuperscript{20}

Genotypic characterization of \textit{blaNDM-1} gene by conventional PCR

All MDR \textit{Acinetobacter} isolates were subjected to conventional PCR assays to verify the presence of the \textit{blaNDM-1} gene. Plasmid DNA was extracted via the DNA extraction kit (Qiagen NV, Venlo, the Netherlands) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Specific primers for \textit{blaNDM-1}, forward (5’-GGGCAGTCGCTTCCAACGGT) and reverse (5’-GCTCAGTGTCGGCAT), that amplified 475-bp internal fragment of the gene were used. The cycling protocol involved an initial DNA release and denaturation step at 94°C for 5 minutes, followed by 36 cycles at 94°C for 30 seconds, 52°C for 40 seconds, and 72°C for 50 seconds, with a subsequent single elongation step at 72°C for 5 minutes. The PCR products were then detected by agarose gel electrophoresis using 1% agarose (Figure 2).\textsuperscript{21}

Patients treatment and outcome assessment

All VAP patients enrolled in the study had received intravenous (iv) colistin (colistimethate sodium), as a dose of 1–2 million IU every 8 hours (62,500 IU/kg/day) according to body weight with normal renal function. Treatment continued according to clinical response with close monitoring for the possibilities of nephrotoxicity and neurotoxicity; dosages were adjusted for patients with renal impairment. Imipenem 500 mg iv four times per day was used in combination with colistin in 28/64 of VAP cases.\textsuperscript{10,22} Effective antibiotic therapy was determined by complete clinical cure, ie improvement of all symptoms, signs and laboratory values related to the VAP infection, while failed treatment was defined as persistence of symptoms and signs of VAP despite antimicrobial treatment.\textsuperscript{23} Patients were followed up until 30 days after onset of VAP or until death.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were described as median and categorical variables were described as n (%). For comparative tests on continuous variables, Mann–Whitney \textit{U} test was applied. For categorical variables, Pearson’s chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact test was used as appropriate. Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS-17 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). \textit{P}<0.05 was considered significant.

![Figure 2 Agarose gel electrophoresis for \textit{blaNDM-1} gene (475 bp).](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Notes:** Lanes 1, 3, 4, and 6 (**A**) and lanes 1, 2, 4, 5, and 8 (**B**) are positive for \textit{blaNDM-1} gene. Lanes 2, 5, 7, and 8 (**A**) and lanes 3, 6, and 7 (**B**) are negative.

**Abbreviation:** \textit{blaNDM}, New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase.
Accuracy was represented using the terms sensitivity and specificity.

**Results**
During the period from September 2017 to August 2018, a total of 312 late-onset VAP events were diagnosed in both Menoufia and Kasr Al Ainy University Hospitals. As per the aim of our study, only 64 patients (41 males and 23 females with a mean age of 59 years ± SD) with microbiologically confirmed Acinetobacter VAP infections were enrolled in this study. All patients were contracting primary VAP episodes. The recurrence rate in Acinetobacter VAP episodes was 12.5% (8/64), and all were in the form of relapse i.e. re-isolation of Acinetobacter spp. About 10.2% (32/312) of the total VAP events were diagnosed clinically, as no pathogen was identified. The majority of pathogens associated with VAP infection were Gram-negative species. Acinetobacter isolates (64/280 [22.8%]; 37 Acinetobacter baumannii, 15 Acinetobacter calcoaceticus, and 12 A. baumannii/A. calcoaceticus complex) were the most frequent pathogens collected from VAP patients.

**Table 1**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Susceptibility to carbapenems by disk diffusion</th>
<th>No of isolates</th>
<th>Imipenem MIC (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbapenem-susceptible (n=10)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbapenem-resistant (n=54)</td>
<td>Negative blaNDM-1 (n=12) (22.3%)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive blaNDM-1 (n=42) (77.7%)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotypic tests</th>
<th>PCR results</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>PPV (%)</th>
<th>NPV (%)</th>
<th>Accuracy (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive (n=42)</td>
<td>Negative (n=12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHT Positive</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>52.38</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>58.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>47.62</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>41.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDT Positive</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>92.86</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>92.86</td>
<td>83.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>83.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; blaNDM-1, New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase.
most effective tested agent displaying high bactericidal activity against *Acinetobacter* isolates, as only 6/64 (9.3%) of the total isolates were colistin-resistant. Interestingly, all colistin-resistant isolates were blaNDM-1-positive (Figure 3 and Table 3).

The number of VAP patients infected with blaNDM-1-positive *Acinetobacter* isolates exceeded those infected with blaNDM-1-negative isolates (42/64, 65.6%; 12/64, 34.4%). Demographic data regarding age and sex were comparable among both blaNDM-1-positive and blaNDM-1-negative VAP groups. There was a significant positive correlation (*P*<0.0001) regarding longer ICU stay (median ICU stay =11 days) and prolonged ventilator support days (median ventilator support days =19) and the occurrence of VAP due to blaNDM-1-positive *Acinetobacter* isolates (Table 4).

Self-observation in this work also confirmed that prior exposure to antimicrobial agents, particularly carbapenems, before admission to hospital is very likely to be significantly associated with the acquisition of VAP infection due to blaNDM-1-positive *Acinetobacter* isolates (76.1% vs 40.9%;

![Figure 3 Percentage of isolates resistant to each antimicrobial tested.](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Abbreviations:** blaNDM, New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase; CTX, cefotaxime; CAZ, ceftazidime; CRO, ceftriaxone; FEP, cefepime; SXT, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole; PT, piperacillin/tazobactam; T, tetracycline; AO, aztreonam; CIP, ciprofloxacin; AK, amikacin; IPM, imipenem; MEM, meropenem; CL, colistin.

**Table 3** Comparative antibiotic resistance patterns in blaNDM-1-positive and blaNDM-1-negative *Acinetobacter* isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotics Disk content</th>
<th>Total Acinetobacter isolates (64)</th>
<th>blaNDM-1-positive Acinetobacter isolates (42)</th>
<th>blaNDM-1-negative Acinetobacter isolates (22)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>µg</td>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td>No (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxime</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>64 (100)</td>
<td>42 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefazidime</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>64 (100)</td>
<td>42 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftriaxone</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>64 (100)</td>
<td>42 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefepime</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>64 (100)</td>
<td>42 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole</td>
<td>1.25/23.75</td>
<td>64 (100)</td>
<td>42 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piperacillin/tazobactam</td>
<td>100/10</td>
<td>62 (96.8)</td>
<td>42 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>61 (95.3)</td>
<td>42 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aztreonam</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60 (93.7)</td>
<td>42 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>58 (90.6)</td>
<td>42 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>58 (90.6)</td>
<td>42 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>54 (84.4)</td>
<td>42 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meropenem</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>54 (84.4)</td>
<td>42 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colistin</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6 (9.3)</td>
<td>6 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** blaNDM, New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase.
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Table 4 Demographic data and risk factors influencing the development of late-onset VAP in patients infected with blaNDM-1-positive Acinetobacter isolates compared to blaNDM-1-negative isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Total VAP patients infected with Acinetobacter isolates</th>
<th>blaNDM-1-positive Acinetobacter VAP infection</th>
<th>blaNDM-1-negative Acinetobacter VAP infection</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>64 (100)</td>
<td>42/64 (65.6)</td>
<td>22/64 (34.4)</td>
<td>0.563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group (years), n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–40</td>
<td>16 (25)</td>
<td>10 (23.8)</td>
<td>6 (27.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–64</td>
<td>28 (43.7)</td>
<td>17 (40.5)</td>
<td>11 (50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥65</td>
<td>20 (31.3)</td>
<td>15 (35.7)</td>
<td>5 (22.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>41 (64)</td>
<td>28 (66.7)</td>
<td>13 (59)</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>23 (36)</td>
<td>14 (33.3)</td>
<td>9 (41)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of hospital stay prior to ICU admission (days), median (minimum–maximum)</td>
<td>13 (3–24)</td>
<td>13 (6–24)</td>
<td>14 (3–16)</td>
<td>0.511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU stay before VAP onset (days), median (minimum–maximum)</td>
<td>12 (3–14)</td>
<td>11 (6–14)</td>
<td>5 (3–14)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilator support (days), median (minimum–maximum)</td>
<td>17 (5–26)</td>
<td>19 (6–26)</td>
<td>11 (5–21)</td>
<td>0.0036*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior surgery within 3 months, n (%)</td>
<td>11 (17)</td>
<td>10 (23.8)</td>
<td>1 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.0523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior hospitalization within 3 months, n (%)</td>
<td>30 (46.8)</td>
<td>21 (50)</td>
<td>9 (40.9)</td>
<td>0.488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior exposure to carbapenem within 3 months, n (%)</td>
<td>41 (64)</td>
<td>32 (76.1)</td>
<td>9 (40.9)</td>
<td>0.0052*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated comorbidities, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>22 (34.4)</td>
<td>14 (33.3)</td>
<td>8 (36.3)</td>
<td>0.416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>19 (29.6)</td>
<td>6 (14.2)</td>
<td>13 (59)</td>
<td>0.00019*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic lung disease</td>
<td>24 (37.5)</td>
<td>18 (42.8)</td>
<td>6 (27.2)</td>
<td>0.221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic renal failure</td>
<td>5 (7.8)</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>2 (9)</td>
<td>0.782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignancy</td>
<td>29 (45.3)</td>
<td>20 (47.6)</td>
<td>9 (40.9)</td>
<td>0.608</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Statistical significance.

Abbreviations: blaNDM, New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase; ICU, intensive care unit; VAP, ventilator-associated pneumonia.

Comorbidities, such as malignancy, hypertension, and chronic lung disease, were independent risk factors associated with VAP caused by MDR Acinetobacter isolates (Table 4).

Colistin was used as a single empirical monotherapy in 36/64 (56.2%) of VAP cases, and the commonly prescribed combination therapy was colistin plus imipenem in 28/64 (43.8%) cases. Although 93.7% of all VAP patients received early treatment, complete clinical response was achieved in only 40/64 (62.5%) of them. A low rate of relapse (8/64; 12.5%) was detected during the course of treatment; it was higher in patients infected with blaNDM-1-positive Acinetobacter isolates (6/42; 14.2%) compared with those infected with blaNDM-1-negative isolates (2/22; 9%) but with no statistically significant difference (Table 5).

Regarding treatment complications, ie, failure of therapy (24/64; 37.5%) or relapse (8/64; 12.5%), they were higher among patients infected with blaNDM-1-positive Acinetobacter isolates; these complications were associated with poor patients’ survival and death. The overall mortality of VAP patients was found to be high (30/64; 46.8%); of these, 27/42 (64.3%) patients were infected with blaNDM-1-positive Acinetobacter isolates, and only three patients (3/22; 13.6%) were infected with blaNDM-1-negative isolates with a statistically significant difference (P=0.0001). Upon comparing the two treatment modalities, the clinical response was found to be better among VAP patients who received combined carbapenem plus colistin therapy than those who received colistin alone (Table 5).

Discussion

Acinetobacter infections are predominant in critically ill patients, particularly in ICUs. Acinetobacter is one of the leading causes of VAP in some countries as it is related to high morbidity and mortality. This notorious pathogen has extraordinary novel resistance mechanisms against many antibiotics including carbapenems and survives under harsh conditions on inanimate objects in the hospital environment.24
most prevalent pathogen (21%–36%) in late-onset VAP patients.\textsuperscript{3,25} Previous studies in Egypt by Hasanin et al and Amr and Abdel Razek addressed the high prevalence of \textit{Acinetobacter} spp. among VAP patients.\textsuperscript{26,27} Also, in a recent study of VAP in Asian countries by Chung et al, \textit{Acinetobacter} spp. were the most frequent pathogen (36.5%).\textsuperscript{28} Our current finding was dramatically different from previous studies in Western countries and several developing countries where \textit{P. aeruginosa} was the most commonly isolated pathogen (20%–52%).\textsuperscript{29} \textit{Acinetobacter} spp. are now particularly known as the most prevalent cause of late-onset VAP among ICUs.\textsuperscript{3}

The prevalence of carbapenem-resistant \textit{Acinetobacter} has increased worldwide.\textsuperscript{30} In the current study, the majority of \textit{Acinetobacter} isolates were carbapenem non-susceptible (54/64; 84.4%). High resistance to carbapenems in \textit{Acinetobacter} isolates has been notable recently in Egypt, ranging from 75% to 100%.\textsuperscript{31} Al-Hassan et al reported that the \textit{Acinetobacter} resistance rate to imipenem exceeded 70%.\textsuperscript{31} The resistance rate of \textit{Acinetobacter} to imipenem was found to be 65% in Saudi Arabia and 45% in Turkey.\textsuperscript{32} Imipenem resistance reflects a problem that might be described as countrywide due to the uncontrolled extensive use of these drugs.\textsuperscript{30}

The problem of carbapenem resistance recently reached a climax with the discovery of the \textit{blaNDM-1} gene that confers resistance to the last-resort antibiotics, carbapenems, and hampered the capability of all antibiotics of the \textit{β-lactam} group to treat infections caused by microorganisms carrying such resistance determinants.\textsuperscript{33} The striking finding in our study was the high prevalence of \textit{blaNDM-1}-positive \textit{Acinetobacter} isolates (42/64; 65.6%) in VAP patients. Our finding agreed with previous studies which reported that \textit{blaNDM-1} was first described in \textit{Acinetobacter} from Egypt with no obvious link with the Indian subcontinent.\textsuperscript{34}

In Egypt since 2012, the \textit{blaNDM-1} gene has been described in \textit{Acinetobacter} isolates by Abd El-Ghil (19.2%) and El-Sayed-Ahmed et al (39.3%).\textsuperscript{35,36} Moreover, the \textit{blaNDM-1}-carrying \textit{Acinetobacter} isolates have emerged in many other countries, including Germany, Spain, Israel, Switzerland, Libya, India, Pakistan, and Nepal,\textsuperscript{37} and have already disseminated in the Middle East. In 2018 in Bangladesh, the authors reported that infection with imipenem-resistant \textit{blaNDM-1}-producing \textit{Acinetobacter} is increasing in VAP patients – 3.5% in 2011, 22% in 2013, and lastly in 2018 reaching up to 86%.\textsuperscript{38}

Regarding the phenotypic detection of the \textit{blaNDM-1} gene among carbapenem-resistant isolates, the current study revealed that the sensitivity and specificity of the MHT
were 52.38% and 41.67%, respectively, in relation to PCR results. Our results correlated with those of Bialvaei et al who reported that MHT suffers from poor sensitivity for \( \text{M\text{β\text{L}}} \) detection.\(^{39} \) In another study by Sun et al, the sensitivity of MHT was 75.5%, with 34.8% (16/46) of the \( \text{blaNDM-1} \) producers being missed.\(^{40} \) Lifshitz et al also concluded that MHT is used as the earliest developed phenotypic method to detect carbapenemase; however, poor sensitivity and specificity in detecting the \( \text{blaNDM} \) carriers have hindered its wide clinical application.\(^{41} \)

For CDT, the sensitivity and specificity were 92.86% and 83.33%, respectively, which were nearly comparable to those reported by Pandya et al who recommended imipenem-EDTA CDT for rapid \( \text{M\β\text{L}} \) detection among Gram-negative bacilli\(^{42} \) and Omair et al who stated that the combined disk method is an economical and reliable method for \( \text{M\β\text{L}} \) detection which can be used routinely in any laboratory.\(^{43} \)

The current study revealed that all \( \text{Acinetobacter} \) isolates showed 100% resistance to cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, ceftazidime, cefepime, and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole with a resistance rate >90% to piperacillin/tazobactam, tetracycline, and aztreonam. Similar results were seen in studies performed by Muneea et al wherein all \( \text{Acinetobacter} \) isolates showed very high resistance to all antimicrobials from 70% to 100%.\(^{44} \)

The present study is consistent with a study conducted in Egypt by Al-Agamy et al as they reported that all \( \text{Acinetobacter} \) isolates were resistant to third and fourth generation cephalosporins and monobactams.\(^{30,45} \) Our findings proved that all (42/42) \( \text{Acinetobacter} \) isolates that harbored the \( \text{blaNDM-1} \) gene exhibited 100% resistance to carbapenems and all other tested antibiotics except for colistin. Similar to our findings, Tran et al and Mulla et al reported a significantly higher level of carbapenem resistance in \( \text{Acinetobacter} \) isolates with coexistent \( \text{blaNDM} \) when compared with other \( \text{blaNDM-1} \) negative isolates.\(^{35,45} \) In agreement with our results, Abd El-Gili and El-Sayed-Ahmed et al also reported that most of the \( \text{blaNDM-1} \)-producing \( \text{Acinetobacter} \) spp. remained susceptible only to colistin and that the co-occurrence of \( \text{blaNDM-1} \) with \( \text{blaOXA-23} \) like genes in \( \text{Acinetobacter} \) isolates caused overexpression of those genes, increased carbapenem resistance, and resistance to different antibiotics as well.\(^{35,36} \) The resistance to antibiotics reflects on the policy of antimicrobial usage and drug-resistant clones in hospitals and ICUs.\(^{30} \)

Regarding the resistance of \( \text{Acinetobacter} \) to colistin, only 6/64 (9.3%) isolates displayed colistin resistance, which was in accordance, to some extent, with previous studies in India and Egypt\(^{1,30} \) in which \( \text{Acinetobacter} \) spp. showed low colistin resistance (9.5% and 3%). This might be due to the fact that colistin is a reserve drug for MDR pathogens.\(^{46} \) However, several studies reported that PDR \( \text{Acinetobacter} \) has raised in clinical relevance, perhaps due to the increase in the use of colistin; hence, resistance to it is also emerging.\(^{33} \)

The hallmark of \( \text{Acinetobacter} \) isolates is their resistance genes such as \( \text{blaNDM-1} \) gene, with MDR \( \text{Acinetobacter} \) spp. affecting an estimated 10%–30% of ventilated patients worldwide with a high mortality rate reaching up to 45.6%–60.9%.\(^{46} \) The prognostic impact of \( \text{Acinetobacter} \) VAP infection remains controversial and conflicting. Thus, this study aimed to identify factors predictive of a poor outcome in patients with late-onset VAP caused by \( \text{Acinetobacter} \) isolates with coexistent \( \text{blaNDM-1} \) gene when compared with other \( \text{blaNDM-1} \)-negative isolates.

As far as risk factors are concerned, our finding suggested that prolonged time on mechanical ventilation and prolonged ICU stay days were significantly recognized risk factors associated with infection due to \( \text{blaNDM-1} \)-positive \( \text{Acinetobacter} \) isolates in VAP patients. These observations were in parallel with previous studies, which reported MDR \( \text{blaNDM-1} \)-positive \( \text{Acinetobacter} \) as a late-onset pathogen.\(^{44,45} \) In agreement with our finding, previous Egyptian studies by Amr and Abdel Razek and Hamid et al concluded that prolonged ICU stay and mechanical ventilation days with prior use of antibiotics were the recognized factors increasing the risk of VAP in >70% of patients due to multidrug and imipenem-resistant \( \text{Acinetobacter} \) infection.\(^{27,47} \)

In this study, there was a significant relationship between prior use of carbapenem treatment (76.1% vs 40.9%; \( P=0.0052 \)) and the acquisition of infections caused by \( \text{blaNDM-1} \)-positive \( \text{Acinetobacter} \) isolates. Prior use of antibiotics is a recognized factor increasing the risk of VAP due to MDR \( \text{Acinetobacter} \) infection.\(^{47} \) Moreover, Nhu et al and Aneta et al suggested that there is a risk that prior carbapenem exposure predisposes patients to subsequent colonization and infection with resistant pathogens.\(^{48,49} \) On the other hand, there were no statistically significant differences regarding age or sex and VAP infection as previously reported.\(^{27} \)

Inappropriate empirical therapy of VAP patients is an important predictor of mortality in ICUs.\(^{39} \) Our study aimed at identifying the optimal treatment choice in patients with \( \text{blaNDM-1} \)-positive \( \text{Acinetobacter} \) VAP and different outcomes associated with treatment strategies. The use of colistin has recently gained popularity, as other treatment options are limited. It is noteworthy that the antibiotics used for patients
in the present study were either colistin alone or colistin plus imipenem, which was selected as an independent predictor of better survival.\textsuperscript{10} In the present work, complete clinical response was achieved in 40/64 (62.5\%) VAP patients and it was better among VAP patients who received combined carbapenem plus colistin therapy (24/28; 85.7\%) compared with those who received colistin alone (16/36; 44.4\%). Colistin combined therapy was previously reported to be synergic and prevent the emergence of colistin-resistant mutants.\textsuperscript{10} There was a discrepancy between studies comparing colistin monotherapy and combined therapies, providing inconsistent results, with insufficient evidence supporting the superiority of combined therapies.\textsuperscript{23} Some literatures reported that no additional benefit was achieved by combination therapy,\textsuperscript{50} while others were consistent with our study and reported superiority for combined therapy to provide a synergistic effect for the salvage therapy because of the debate about low penetration of colistin into the lungs.\textsuperscript{10} Drug choice can be customized according to drug access, drug interactions, side effects, and costs.\textsuperscript{23}

In the present study, the mortality and relapse rates were higher in patients infected with \textit{blaNDM-1}-positive \textit{Acinetobacter} isolates, particularly among those patients who received colistin monotherapy compared to those who received combined therapy. However, a meta-analytic study demonstrated no difference in mortality between monotherapy and combined therapy for carbapenem-resistant \textit{Acinetobacter} VAP infections.\textsuperscript{50} Previously, there has been confusion between the concepts of “recurrence”, which usually indicates prevention failure, and “persistence”, which usually indicates treatment failure.\textsuperscript{3} Low relapse rate 8/64 (12.5\%) was detected in this study. Supporting this finding, El-Saed et al reported that \textit{Acinetobacter} spp. were the only pathogens significantly associated with recurrent VAP but with a low rate (16.8\%),\textsuperscript{3} though a higher rate of VAP relapse was associated with infection by \textit{Acinetobacter} spp. (26.8\%).\textsuperscript{51}

The overall mortality of VAP patients was found to be high (30/64; 46.8\%); of these, 27/42 (64.3\%) patients were infected with \textit{blaNDM-1}-positive \textit{Acinetobacter} isolates, and only 3 patients (13.6\%) were infected with \textit{blaNDM-1}-negative isolates with a statistically significant difference ($P=0.0001$). Similar high mortality rates in VAP patients were previously recorded with \textit{Acinetobacter} infections.\textsuperscript{4,7} Available data in the literature suggested that mortality related to \textit{Acinetobacter} VAP varies from 26\% to 68\%.\textsuperscript{47} This high mortality, despite appropriate therapy, can be explained by low colistin pulmonary penetration.\textsuperscript{51}

**Conclusion and recommendations**

\textit{Acinetobacter} isolates harboring the \textit{blaNDM-1} gene conferring carbapenem resistance are among the major causes of late-onset VAP circulating in Egyptian hospitals. Awareness of \textit{Acinetobacter} resistance patterns is considered the most important information to guide optimal antimicrobial therapy as its management still continues to be a challenge for clinicians. This study highlights the risk factors associated with poor outcomes and mortality in VAP patients. The most significant prognostic factors were infection with \textit{blaNDM-1}-positive \textit{Acinetobacter} isolates, longer duration of mechanical ventilation $>14$ days, longer hospital and ICU stays for $>7$ days, prior exposure to antimicrobial agents, and inappropriate empirical therapy. Timely detection, implementation of infection control measures, formulation of antibiotic policy, and preventive strategies to control the dissemination of such strains are urgently required to reduce incidence and mortality among hospitalized patients suffering from VAP.

Clinical response was superior with colistin plus carbapenem combination over colistin alone, but this did not represent a statistically significant difference.
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