The Nrf2/HO-1 axis can be a prognostic factor in clear cell renal cell carcinoma

Yu Deng^{1,2,*}Yong Wu^{2,3,*}Ping Zhao⁴Weiwei Weng^{1,2}Min Ye^{1,2}Hui Sun^{1,2}Midie Xu^{1,2}Chaofu Wang⁴

¹Department of Pathology, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Centre, Shanghai, China; ²Department of Oncology, Shanghai Medical College, Fudan University, Shanghai, China;

³Department of Gynaecologic Oncology, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Centre, Shanghai, China;

⁴Department of Pathology, Rui Jin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Objective: To study the protein expression level of Nrf2/HO-1 in clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC) and adjacent normal tissue and to explore its relationship with clinicopathological characteristics and prognosis in ccRCC patients.

Materials and methods: In total, 152 ccRCC patients with available follow-up and clinical data were enrolled, and sample microarrays were prepared for immunohistochemistry studies. The human ccRCC cell lines 786-O, OS-RC-2, A498, and ACHN were cultured for immunofluorescence. The protein concentrations of five ccRCC patients' tumor and adjacent normal renal tissues were prepared for Western blotting. Chi-squared tests, Fisher's exact test, Kaplan–Meier analyses, log-rank tests, and Cox regression were performed for statistical analyses.

Results: The immunoreactivity results showed that the Nrf2 and HO-1 proteins were found in consistent locations in vitro and were expressed both in ccRCC and adjacent normal tissues. The two proteins were localized in the cytoplasm and nucleus of RCC tumor cells and in adjacent normal tissue cells. The expression levels of Nrf2 and HO-1 were significantly higher in ccRCC tissues than in the adjacent normal tissues. The Nrf2 protein level was found to be significantly correlated with the tumor size. Additionally, higher protein expression levels of Nrf2 and HO-1 were also correlated with worse overall survival outcomes and could potentially be used to predict the prognosis of ccRCC patients.

Conclusion: Our study provides an important theoretical basis for evaluating the clinical prognosis of ccRCC patients, which implies that the Nrf2/HO-1 axis can be a prognostic factor in ccRCC.

Keywords: ROS, Nrf2, HO-1, immunohistochemistry, prognosis

Introduction

Clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC) is the most common malignant subtype of RCC.¹ A heterogeneous group of malignancies originating from renal tubular epithelium cells, ccRCC is identified by specific histopathologic and genetic characteristics.² ccRCC tends to be misdiagnosed or not diagnosed because of atypical clinical presentation in the early stage, and 20%–40% of patients experience recurrence after surgery.³ Therefore, it is necessary to discover more effective novel biomarkers to use in the evaluation of the clinical prognosis of patients with ccRCC.

Recently, many studies have shown that the progression of tumors is closely related to oxidative stress. Nrf2 a basic leucine-zipper containing transcription factor that binds to the antioxidant responsive element, is a major regulator of many antioxidative and cytoprotective genes⁴ as well as the downstream sensor and regulator HO-1. Under

Correspondence: Chaofu Wang
Department of Pathology, Rui Jin
Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University
School of Medicine, No. 197 Ruijin Er
Road, Huangpu District, Shanghai 200025,
China
Tel +86 021 3418 6000
Email wangchaofu@126.com

physiological conditions, the activation of the Nrf2/HO-1 axis is involved in the maintenance of cellular homeostasis and plays a critical role in the adaptive response to cellular stress, representing a crucial factor in cytoprotection, cell survival, and the prevention of carcinogenesis. However, in cancer cells, the prolonged activation of Nrf2 and HO-1 is ambiguous and deleterious. No study has defined Nrf2 and HO-1 protein expression profiles in ccRCC.

In this study, immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed to investigate the expression profiles of the two proteins in ccRCC and adjacent normal tissues and to assess their associations with various clinicopathological parameters and postoperative survival in ccRCC patients. This study elucidated the roles played by Nrf2 and HO-1 proteins in ccRCC and their potential prognostic value.

Materials and methods

Patients and cell culture

In this retrospective study, archival formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) specimens from 152 ccRCC patients treated from January 2010 to December 2012 at the Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center were obtained. All patients underwent radical or partial nephrectomy without radiotherapy or chemotherapy before surgery. All specimens were fixed in 10% buffered formalin, dehydrated using an ethanol gradient, and embedded in paraffin. Four-micrometer-thick serial sections were cut and stained using a 3,3'-diaminobenzidine staining kit (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was also approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center. Written informed consent was obtained from all the patients.

Patients (103 males and 49 females) with available follow-up and clinical data were included in the IHC studies. The related data, including age, gender, ROS, Nrf2, HO-1, immunohistochemistry, prognosis, tumor size, T stage, sarcomatoid differentiation status, and lymph node metastasis, were collected from the medical record system. Patient follow-up visits were performed every month during the first year after surgery and every 3 months thereafter until December 31, 2017. Adjacent normal renal tissues obtained from the same patients were used as controls.

The human ccRCC cell lines 786-O, OS-RC-2, A498, and ACHN were cultured in DMEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 50 U/mL penicillin, and 50 µg/mL streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific). They were maintained at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in a humidified atmosphere.

Immunofluorescence

To investigate the localization of Nrf2 and HO-1 in vivo, immunofluorescence analysis of Nrf2 and HO-1 was performed in 786-O, OS-RC-2, A498, ACHN cell lines using the mouse monoclonal Nrf2 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA, sc-365949) and the rabbit polyclonal HO-1 antibody (Abcam, Cambridgeshire, UK, ab13243). Briefly, formaldehyde-fixed cells (2% formaldehyde for 10 minutes) were washed and incubated with primary antibodies for 20 minutes. Then, the cells were washed and incubated with a fluorescent secondary antibody for 15 minutes. The cover slips were mounted onto slides using Dako fluorescent mounting medium (Agilent, Carpinteria, CA, USA). The cells were scanned using a Leica confocal microscope. The excitation source for Alexa 488 was a 20 mW Argon Laser at 488 nm, whereas the excitation source for Alexa 594 was a 1.2 mW Green HeNe Laser at 543 nm.

Western blotting

Five ccRCC patients' tumor and adjacent normal renal tissues were obtained and lysed in RIPA buffer (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with a protease inhibitor (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and a phosphatase inhibitor (Roche). The protein concentration was measured using a BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The primary rabbit anti-Nrf2 and anti-Ho-1 antibodies were purchased from Abcam.

Immunohistochemical staining

Four-micrometer-thick serial sections were cut from the FFPE tissue blocks for IHC. The slides were heated in an oven at 65°C for 1 hour, then deparaffinized (xylene, three times for 15 minutes) and rehydrated using the following series of washes: 100% ethanol for 5 minutes (repeated twice), 3 minutes in an ethanol gradient (twice at each concentration), and finally twice with PBS (3 minutes each). A pilot experiment was conducted, and according to the test results and design requirements, the experimental protocol was improved and fine-tuned.

Heat-induced epitope retrieval was performed with Tris-EDTA buffer (Servicebio, Wuhan, China) in a pressure cooker programmed to run for 30 seconds at 125°C, followed by 10 seconds at 90°C. The samples were then cooled to room temperature over 10–20 minutes. After the slides were washed three times with PBS on a shaker (3 minutes each), endogenous peroxidases were blocked by incubation with 3% H₂O₂ for 10 minutes. This step was followed by blocking in 10% normal serum in PBS for 1 hour at room temperature.

The primary Nrf2 and HO-1 antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) were diluted 1:200 in 5% normal serum in PBS and then incubated with the tissue slices overnight at 4°C. On the second day, the slices were warmed for 30 minutes in a 37°C incubator. Then, the slides were washed three times in PBS on a shaker (3 minutes each). The samples were incubated with the secondary antibodies for 30 minutes. The slides were then washed with PBS as before, followed by staining with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (Dako) for 1–10 minutes at room temperature. Finally, the slides were rinsed in running tap water and counterstained with haematoxylin (Servicebio, WuHan, China) (generally 10 seconds).

Expression scoring

The staining of tumors was quantitated by determining the percentage of positive cells and the staining intensity.^{5,6} All slides were independently evaluated by three board-certified pathologists, and the observers were required to randomly select five different high-power visual fields (400×) from each section to determine the intensity and extent of positively stained cells. The intensity of cell staining was categorized as follows: 0, no staining; 1, weak staining; 2, moderate staining; and 3, strong staining. The staining extent scores (percentage of cells stained positive) were assigned as follows: 0, no positive cells; 1, <10% positively stained cells; 2, 11%–30% positively stained cells; 3, 31%–60% positively stained cells; 4, 61%–90% positively stained cells; and 5, >90% positively stained cells. The final staining score was calculated by adding the points from the positive cell percentage and the staining intensity. A score of 0 points was considered an absence of expression (–), whereas 1–3 points were weakly positive expression (+). 4–6 points were moderately positive expression (++), and 7–8 points were strongly positive expression (+++).

Statistical analyses

The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics R23 (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA) and Prism 5.0 (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA) software. To assess the correlations between clinical variables with Nrf2/HO-1 protein expression, the chi-squared test and Fisher's exact test were used for categorical variables. A chi-squared test was also performed to compare the levels of protein expression of Nrf2/HO-1 between tumor tissues and adjacent benign tissues. As the study endpoint, overall survival (OS) time was defined as the time from surgery until the date of death or last follow-up. To determine statistical significance, the OS rate of all patients was estimated by Kaplan–Meier analysis with a log-rank test.

The Cox regression and proportional hazards models were used for univariate/multivariate analysis. All *P*-values were two-sided. $P \leq 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

Nrf2 and HO-1 were consistently located in vitro and upregulated in human ccRCC tissues

As mentioned in the Introduction section, Nrf2 and the downstream effector HO-1 play important roles in tumorigenesis, but their prognostic value in ccRCC is unknown. Immunofluorescence staining was first performed to assess Nrf2 and HO-1 localization. Figure 1A shows that these two proteins are consistently located in 786-O, OS-RC-2, A498, and ACHN cell lines and are both found in the cytoplasm and the nucleus, which suggests that they may exert their functions jointly. We next compared their expression levels in ccRCC tissues and adjacent normal tissues. Figure 1B shows that compared to normal kidney tissues, both Nrf2 and HO-1 protein levels were upregulated in cancer. This finding confirms previous reports that Nrf2 and HO-1 may act as oncogenes in cancer. Moreover, Spearman's correlation analysis suggested a significant positive correlation between Nrf2 and HO-1 expression levels ($r=0.9$, $P=0.0417$, Figure 1C), which further confirmed the relationship between Nrf2 and HO-1.

Increased Nrf2/HO-1 protein expression in ccRCC

The protein expression levels of Nrf2/HO-1 in ccRCC were determined by IHC (Figure 2). Nrf2 and HO-1 were expressed in both ccRCC and adjacent normal tissues. Positive immunostaining was predominantly localized in the cytoplasm, and low levels of nuclear staining were also evident in some cancer cells (Figure 3). The percentages of ccRCC cells positive for Nrf2 and HO-1 were 78.3% and 85.7%, respectively. Both proteins had significantly higher levels in ccRCC tissues than in the adjacent normal tissues ($P < 0.05$) (Table 1).

Associations between Nrf2 and HO-1 expression and the clinicopathological characteristics of ccRCC patients

Analysis of the correlations between Nrf2 and HO-1 expression levels and clinical parameters showed that there were no statistically significant differences in expression related to age, gender, ISUP grade, T stage, sarcomatoid differentiation, or lymph node metastasis. No significant difference in HO-1 expression was observed in patients with different tumor sizes

Figure 1 Nrf2 and HO-1 were consistently located in vitro and were upregulated in human ccRCC tissues.

Notes: (A) Nrf2 and HO-1 were consistently located in the 786-O, OS-RC-2, A498, and ACHN cell lines using immunofluorescence. Scale bar, 50μm. (B) Nrf2 and HO-1 protein levels were upregulated in ccRCC tissues. (C) Pearson's correlation curves are shown, revealing the positive relationship between Nrf2 and HO-1 expression.

Abbreviation: ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma.

($P>0.05$), whereas significantly higher Nrf2 expression was observed in patients with tumors >9 cm than in those with tumors <9 cm ($P<0.05$) (Table 2).

Correlation between Nrf2 and HO-1 protein expression levels in ccRCC tissue

Among the 108 Nrf2-positive patients, 96 (88.9%) were HO-1 positive and 12 (11.1%) were HO-1 negative. Among the 25 Nrf2-negative patients, 18 (72.0%) were HO-1 positive and 7 (28%) were HO-1 negative. The chi-squared test showed that the differences in the expression of Nrf2 and HO-1 in ccRCC tissues was statistically significant ($\chi^2=4.729$, $P=0.030$, $r=0.435$) (Table 3).

Protein expression levels of Nrf2/HO-1 and the prognosis of patients with ccRCC

The protein expression levels of Nrf2/HO-1 were used to divide the samples into several groups (Table 4). Tissues that were moderately or strongly positive for Nrf2 and HO-1 were

labeled as the high-expression group, and those that were negative or weakly positive were labeled as the low-expression group. All patient follow-up information was available. The Kaplan–Meier survival curves were generated to determine the mean survival time (MST) and patient postoperative OS. The results suggested that patients with a high expression level of Nrf2 or HO-1 tended to have a poorer prognosis, with MSTs of 76.88 ± 2.722 and 76.39 ± 1.456 months and OS of 88.2% and 93.1%, respectively, compared with the low Nrf2 or HO-1 expression group (MST: 78.55 ± 1.072 and 80.28 ± 0.716 months; OS: 91.7% and 98.1%, respectively) (Table 4). The differences in the expression of Nrf2 and HO-1 in ccRCC were associated with a statistically significant difference in survival curves (Nrf2: $\chi^2=4.127$, $P=0.042$; HO-1: $\chi^2=4.846$, $P=0.028$) (Figure 4 and Table 4).

Interestingly, through the joint analysis of Nrf2 and HO-1 protein expression in carcinoma and adjacent normal tissues, we discovered that the group with a high expression level of Nrf2 in cancer tissues but no Nrf2 expression in paracancerous tissues had the worst prognosis of all subgroups (MST

Figure 2 Nrf2 and HO-1 were expressed both in ccRCC and adjacent normal tissues. Expression scoring was performed as follows: the staining of tumors was quantitated by determining the percentage of positive cells and the staining intensity (Scale bar, 50 μ m).

Notes: The intensity of cell staining was categorized as follows: 0, negative staining; 1, weak staining; 2, moderate staining; and 3, strong staining. The extent scores (percentages of cells stained positive) were as follows: 0, no positive cells; 1, positive cells staining <10%; 2, 11%–30% of cells; 3, 31%–60%; 4, 61%–90% of cells; and 5, the percentage of positive cells staining >90%. The final staining score was calculated by adding the points from the positive cell percentage and the staining intensity. A score of 0 points was considered negative expression (–), 1–3 points were considered weakly positive expression (+), 4–6 points were considered moderately positive expression (++), and 7–8 points were considered strongly positive expression (+++).

Abbreviation: ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma.

Figure 3 Positive immunostaining was predominantly localized in the cytoplasm.

Notes: Low levels of nuclear staining were also evident in some cancer cells. (A) H&E staining in ccRCC tissue. Scale bar, 20 μ m. (B) Some scattered Nrf2 nucleus-positive cells were observed in ccRCC tissue (shown by black arrows, scale bar, 20 μ m). (C) Some scattered HO-1 nucleus-positive cells were observed in ccRCC tissue (shown by black arrows, scale bar, 20 μ m).

Abbreviation: ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma.

=62.65 $\pm$ 4.249, OS =76.9%, χ^2 =8.029, P =0.005), patients with high expression levels of HO-1 in cancer tissues but no HO-1 expression in paracancerous tissues also had poor survival (MST =58.59 $\pm$ 3.824, OS =76.2%, χ^2 =9.601, P =0.002, respectively) (Figure 5A, B and Table 4). The HRs of high protein expression levels of Nrf2/HO-1 in ccRCC but not in paracancerous tissues were 4.932 and 7.436, respectively (Figure 5A, B). However, when the Nrf2/HO-1 protein was expressed at low levels in ccRCC but at higher levels in paracancerous tissues, the patient prognosis was improved; the HRs were 0.159 and 0.400, respectively (Figure 5C, D).

These results indicate that there are negative correlations between Nrf2/HO-1 protein expression levels and patient OS, and higher levels of expression of both proteins are associated with a worse OS; the subgroup with the worst prognosis is that with high expression level of the Nrf2/HO-1 axis in cancer tissues but no expression in paracancerous tissues.

Univariate and multivariate analyses of the association of clinicopathological factors with OS

Cox univariate regression analysis was performed to investigate the correlations between OS and clinical pathological

Table 1 The difference expression of Nrf2/HO-1 in ccRCC

Characteristics	n	Nrf2 positive (%)	P-value	n	HO-1 positive (%)	P-value
ccRCC	152	119 (78.3)	0.000***	133	114 (85.7)	0.032*
Normal tissue	151	87 (57.6)		129	97 (75.2)	

Note: * $P<0.05$; *** $P<0.001$.

Abbreviation: ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma.

Table 2 Correlation analysis between Nrf2/HO-1 protein expression and clinicopathological parameters

Clinical indexes	n	Nrf2 positive (%)	P-value	n	HO-1 positive (%)	P-value
Age (years)						
<53	87	69 (79.3)	0.724	80	70 (87.5)	0.470
≥53	65	50 (76.9)		53	44 (83.0)	
Gender						
Male	103	82 (79.6)	0.566	91	78 (85.7)	1.000
Female	49	37 (75.5)		42	36 (85.7)	
ISUP grade						
1	13	8 (61.5)	0.298	13	9 (69.2)	0.303
2	54	44 (81.5)		49	43 (87.8)	
3	65	53 (81.5)		54	48 (88.9)	
4	20	14 (70.0)		17	14 (82.4)	
Tumor size (cm)						
<9	137	104 (75.9)	0.042*	121	102 (84.3)	0.214
≥9	15	15 (100)		12	12 (100)	
T staging						
T1–T2	134	108 (80.6)	0.060	119	101 (84.9)	0.684
T3–T4	18	11 (61.1)		14	13 (92.9)	
Sarcomatoid differentiation						
No	132	105 (79.5)	0.335	116	100 (86.2)	0.958
Yes	20	14 (70.0)		17	14 (82.4)	
Lymph node metastasis						
No	149	118 (79.2)	0.230	130	112 (86.2)	0.905
Yes	3	1 (33.3)		3	2 (66.7)	

Note: * $P<0.05$.

Abbreviation: ISUP, International Society of Urological Pathology.

Table 3 Correlation between Nrf2 protein expression and HO-1 protein in ccRCC tissues

Characteristics	Nrf2		χ^2	P-value	r-Value
	Positive (%)	Negative (%)			
HO-1 positive	96 (88.9)	12 (11.1)	4.729	0.03*	0.435
HO-1 negative	18 (72.0)	7 (28)			

Notes: * $P<0.05$. The chi-squared test showed that the difference in expression of Nrf2 and HO-1 in ccRCC tissues was statistically significant.

Abbreviation: ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma.

indexes. Eleven prognostic factors were analyzed and showed that ISUP grade, T stage, the presence of perirenal fatty invasion, and the presence of tumor necrosis or sarcomatoid differentiation were related to poor patient survival ($P<0.05$), while no associations were found with gender, age, intravenous tumor thrombus, fiber capsule invasion, and other factors, including the expression of Nrf2 or HO-1 (Table 5). Notably, a significant difference was observed in the univariate model when we jointly analyzed the protein expression

of Nrf2 and HO-1 in carcinoma and adjacent normal tissues. The multivariate analysis identified the prognostic value of tumor T stage as an independent prognostic factor for ccRCC patients (Table 5).

Discussion

Recently, advancements in the understanding of the important role that ROS play in modulating cellular signaling have greatly expanded our knowledge of the roles of these reactive

Table 4 Kaplan–Meier survival analysis based on different subgroups

ccRCC tissue	Normal tissue	n (%)	MST (months)	OS (%)	χ^2	95% CI	Sig. (P-value)
Nrf2		152					
Low	–	106 (69.7)	78.55±1.072	91.7	4.127	76.445–80.647	0.042*
High	–	46 (30.3)	76.88±2.722	88.2		71.547–82.217	
HO-1		133					
Low	–	59 (44.4)	80.28±0.716	98.1	4.846	78.875–81.680	0.028*
High	–	74 (55.6)	76.39±1.456	93.1		70.799–81.988	
Nrf2	Nrf2	149					
High	Positive	131 (87.9)	80.91±1.151	95	8.029	78.651–83.164	0.005**
Low	Positive						
Low	Negative						
High	Negative	18 (12.1)	62.65±4.249	76.9		54.322–70.977	
HO-1	HO-1	119					
High	Positive	105 (88.2)	81.90±1.044	94.5	9.601	79.856–83.947	0.002**
Low	Positive						
Low	Negative						
High	Positive	14 (11.8)	58.59±3.824	76.2		51.101–66.090	
Nrf2	Nrf2	149					
High	Positive	91 (61.1)	77.75±1.903	94.2	4.040	74.017–81.476	0.044*
High	Negative						
Low	Negative						
Low	Positive	58 (38.9)	80.19±0.803	98.3		78.615–81.764	
HO-1	HO-1	119					
High	Positive	84 (70.6)	80.15±1.520	93.4	0.778	77.165–83.125	0.378
High	Negative						
Low	Negative						
Low	Positive	35 (29.4)	79.78±1.200	96.9		77.430–82.132	

Note: *P<0.05; **P<0.01.

Abbreviations: ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma; high (expression), moderate and strong positive; low (expression), negative and weak positive; MST, mean survival time; OS, overall survival; sig., significance.

Figure 4 Kaplan–Meier survival curves were performed to determine the MST and OS rate.

Notes: (A) Patients with a high expression level of Nrf2 or HO-1 tended to have a worse prognosis. (B) Additionally, patients with a high expression level of HO-1 tended to have a worse prognosis.

Abbreviations: ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma; MST, mean survival time; OS, overall survival.

species in disease processes.⁷ An increased ROS level may promote significant injury to cell structure and functions,⁸ such as changing the cellular genetic material and triggering tumors.^{9,10} Nrf2 is well known as a transcription factor in the regulation of oxidative stress and inflammation and the maintenance of mitochondrial function and nitric oxide equi-

librium.¹¹ The human Nrf2 gene was first described in 1994 and consists of a 66.1 kDa protein with 589 amino acids,¹² which functions as a key trigger in promoting the expression of various antioxidant proteins.¹³ Intricate networks of intracellular signaling events are involved in the activation of transcription factors. The HO-1 protein has been recognized

Figure 5 Simultaneous analysis of the protein expression levels in carcinoma and adjacent normal tissues.

Notes: The Kaplan–Meier survival estimate was performed to determine the MST and OS rate. **(A)** The group highly expressing Nrf2 in cancer tissues but not in paracancerous tissues had the worst prognosis of all the subgroups (log-rank $P=0.005$, HR =4.932). **(B)** There was a group with high expression levels of HO-1 in cancer tissues but not in paracancerous tissues had the worst prognosis (log-rank $P=0.002$, HR =7.436). **(C)** Patients with low levels of expression of Nrf2 in ccRCC but positive expression of Nrf2 in paracancerous tissues had better prognoses (log-rank $P=0.044$, HR =0.159). **(D)** The HO-1 protein was expressed at low levels in ccRCC and at higher levels in paracancerous tissues, and the patient's prognosis was better (log-rank $P=0.378$, HR =0.400).

Abbreviations: ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma; MST, mean survival time; OS, overall survival.

Table 5 Cox regression: univariate/multivariate analysis

Variables	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis		
	HR	95% CI	P-value	HR	95% CI	P-value
T stage	12.742	3.684–44.07	0.000***	20.946	4.459–43.10	0.001**
ISUP						0.426
ISUP (1)	0.359	0.017–4.331	0.356	0.077	0.003–2.020	0.124
ISUP (2)	0.497	0.051–4.833	0.547	0.113	0.005–2.429	0.164
ISUP (3)	3.670	0.435–30.93	0.232	0.151	0.005–4.717	0.282
P for trend			0.009**			
Conjoint analysis the expression of Nrf2 in ccRCC and normal tissues	5.043	1.454–17.49	0.011*	1.697	0.197–14.63	0.631
Conjoint analysis the expression of HO-1 in ccRCC and normal tissues	7.505	1.681–34.41	0.008**	4.333	0.360–52.18	0.248
Perirenal fatty invasion	5.066	1.337–19.20	0.017*			
Necrosis	3.291	1.000–10.83	0.013*			
Sarcomatoid differentiation	7.872	2.389–25.94	0.001**			
Nrf2 expressed in ccRCC	3.283	0.977–11.03	0.055			
HO-1 expressed in ccRCC	7.390	0.919–59.44	0.060			
Intravenous tumor thrombus	1.185	0.150–9.350	0.872			
Fiber capsule invasion	2.132	0.622–7.315	0.229			

Note: * $P<0.05$; ** $P<0.01$; *** $P<0.001$.

Abbreviation: ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma; ISUP, International Society of Urological Pathology.

as the key enzyme in the degradation of heme, and its production involves biliverdin, serum ferritin, and serum bilirubin, which function as antioxidants, maintaining the homeostasis of the cell microenvironment.¹⁴ HO-1 is also reported to be a “high-flyer” mediated by Nrf2 to resist anticancer treatments,¹³ and the Nrf2/HO-1 pathway has been studied in a variety of diseases.^{15,16} However, the role of the two entities in determining the prognosis of patients tumors, particularly those with ccRCC, is not well defined.

Here, we first determined the localization of the two proteins in vitro and their protein expression levels using immunofluorescence and Western blotting. Then, the correlation between Nrf2/HO-1 expression levels and survival outcomes was analyzed in ccRCC samples. The results showed that the primary locations of Nrf2 and HO-1 were the cytoplasm and nucleus of the tumor, as well as in normal tissues; the two proteins are upregulated in human ccRCC tissues. This finding is consistent with the report by Lister et al¹⁷ who observed that Nrf2 levels were significantly elevated in the cytoplasm and nuclei of pancreatic tumor cells compared to the levels in the matching normal tissues. Accordingly, we agree with the view that the primary signaling response to oxidative stress involves facilitating the movement of cytoplasmic Nrf2 into the nucleus. In the normal state, Nrf2 is combined with Keap-1 in the cytoplasm and forms an enzyme-inhibitor complex that prevents Nrf2 from entering the nucleus. According to the “Keap1-Nrf2”^{18,19} and “latch and hinge” theories,^{20,21} the increased generation of electrophiles or ROS in patients with various disorders releases the restriction on Keap-1, moving Nrf2 into the nucleus where it combines with the 5'-antioxidant response element, activating the Nrf2 signal transduction pathways. Therefore, redox-stimulated tumors or normal cells will tend to differences in the protein expression levels of Nrf2 and HO-1, and the two proteins could be located in both the cytoplasm and nucleus.

Consistent with the research results in other systems, our IHC experiments showed that the expression of Nrf2 or HO-1 was significantly higher in ccRCC than in normal tissues. However, 78.3% of ccRCC patients were Nrf2-positive, which was higher than the proportion of Nrf2-positive patients among those with colorectal cancer (66.4%),²² gastric carcinoma (61.7%),²³ non-small cell lung cancer (26%),²⁴ and gall bladder cancer (23%).²⁵ We believe that this difference might be caused by different materials and methods.

A series of prior studies revealed that Nrf2/HO-1 are overexpressed in a variety of tumor cell lines,^{26–28} promoting the proliferation of various tumor cells, including renal cell carcinoma cells.²⁹ In our work, the chi-squared test showed that the difference in expression of Nrf2 and HO-1 in ccRCC

tissues was statistically significant. Clinical pathological index analysis indicated that Nrf2 was associated with tumor size. Kaplan–Meier survival estimates suggested that patients with high expression of Nrf2 or HO-1 tended to have a worse prognosis than those with low expression levels of the two proteins, whereas patients with high expression levels of Nrf2 or HO-1 in cancer tissues but not in paracancerous tissues had the worst prognosis of all the subgroups analyzed. These results further demonstrate that the Nrf2/HO-1 signaling pathway can promote the development of ccRCC.

The Nrf2/HO-1 proteins can function as a “double-edged sword”³⁰ and are regulated by a number of factors.^{31,32} These proteins protect normal tissues from the damage caused by ROS.³³ At the same time, high expression levels of these proteins may represent the stronger intrinsic power of tumor cells to respond to an adverse environment and to act as antioxidants to protect cancer cells from ROS-mediated injuries.³⁴ For example, Nrf2/HO-1 were reported to enhance drug resistance. Shibata et al found that mutant Nrf2 promoted cell growth and insensitivity to 5-fluorouracil and γ -irradiation.³⁵ Lester et al reported that Nrf2 promoted pancreatic adenocarcinomas and attenuated the effects of chemotherapy.¹⁷ These findings may explain why patients who had high expression levels of Nrf2/HO-1 in ccRCC but not in normal tissues exhibited the shortest survival time of all the subgroups.

Conclusion

This is the first report to detect the effect of the protein expression of Nrf2/HO-1 in the prognosis of ccRCC patients. Nrf2 and HO-1 were consistently located in vitro and were upregulated in human ccRCC tissues. Various factors are related to the prognosis of patients with ccRCC, including ISUP stage, T stage, perirenal fat invasion, necrosis, and sarcomatoid differentiation. While the T stage appears to be an independent prognostic factor, it may be important that high expression levels of Nrf2 or HO-1 in patients tended to indicate a worse prognosis, and a high expression in ccRCC but no expression in normal tissues indicated the worst prognosis, showing that the Nrf2/HO-1 axis can be a prognostic factor in ccRCC. These findings provide an important theoretical basis for clinically evaluating the prognosis of ccRCC patients.

Acknowledgment

The research was supported by grants from the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (17ZR1417500), the Shanghai Municipal Commission of Health and Family Planning (201540280), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81802361).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Moch H, Cubilla AL, Humphrey PA, Reuter VE, Ulbright TM. The 2016 WHO classification of tumours of the urinary system and male genital organs-part A: renal, penile, and testicular tumours. *Eur Urol*. 2016;70(1):106–119.
- Moch H. An overview of renal cell cancer: pathology and genetics. *Semin Cancer Biol*. 2013;23(1):3–9.
- Thomas JS, Kabbinar F. Metastatic clear cell renal cell carcinoma: a review of current therapies and novel immunotherapies. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 2015;96(3):527–533.
- Banerjee P, Basu A, Datta D, Gasser M, Waaga-Gasser AM, Pal S. The heme oxygenase-1 protein is overexpressed in human renal cancer cells following activation of the Ras-Raf-ERK pathway and mediates anti-apoptotic signal. *J Biol Chem*. 2011;286(38):33580–33590.
- Specht E, Kaemmerer D, Sanger J, Wirtz RM, Schulz S, Lupp A. Comparison of immunoreactive score, HER2/neu score and H score for the immunohistochemical evaluation of somatostatin receptors in bronchopulmonary neuroendocrine neoplasms. *Histopathology*. 2015;67(3):368–377.
- Liu R, Peng J, Wang H, et al. Oxysophocarpine retards the growth and metastasis of oral squamous cell carcinoma by targeting the Nrf2/HO-1 axis. *Cell Physiol Biochem*. 2018;49(5):1717–1733.
- Kehrer JP, Klotz LO, Lars-Oliver K. Free radicals and related reactive species as mediators of tissue injury and disease: implications for health. *Crit Rev Toxicol*. 2015;45(9):765–798.
- Ramadas M, Catz SD. Molecular mechanisms regulating secretory organelles and endosomes in neutrophils and their implications for inflammation. *Immunol Rev*. 2016;273(1):249–265.
- Scial F, Fernandez-Ayala DJ, Sanz A. Role of mitochondrial reverse electron transport in ROS signaling: potential roles in health and disease. *Front Physiol*. 2017;8(2):428.
- Schieber M, Chandel NS. ROS function in redox signaling and oxidative stress. *Curr Biol*. 2014;24(10):R453–R462.
- Dinkova-Kostova AT, Kazantsev AG. Activation of Nrf2 signaling as a common treatment of neurodegenerative diseases. *Neurodegener Dis Manag*. 2017;7(2):97–100.
- Taguchi K, Motohashi H, Yamamoto M. Molecular mechanisms of the Keap1–Nrf2 pathway in stress response and cancer evolution. *Genes Cells*. 2011;16(2):123–140.
- Na HK, Surh YJ. Oncogenic potential of Nrf2 and its principal target protein heme oxygenase-1. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2014;67(1):353–365.
- Zhang DD. Mechanistic studies of the Nrf2-Keap1 signaling pathway. *Drug Metab Rev*. 2006;38(4):769–789.
- Shukla K, Sonowal H, Saxena A, Ramana KV, Srivastava SK. Aldose reductase inhibitor, fidarestat regulates mitochondrial biogenesis via Nrf2/HO-1/AMPK pathway in colon cancer cells. *Cancer Lett*. 2017;411(2):57–63.
- Amata E, Pittal V, Marrazzo A, et al. Role of the Nrf2/HO-1 axis in bronchopulmonary dysplasia and hyperoxic lung injuries. *Clin Sci*. 2017;131(14):1701–1712.
- Lister A, Nedjadi T, Kitteringham NR, et al. Nrf2 is overexpressed in pancreatic cancer: implications for cell proliferation and therapy. *Mol Cancer*. 2011;10(1):37.
- McMahon M, Thomas N, Itoh K, Yamamoto M, Hayes JD. Dimerization of substrate adaptors can facilitate cullin-mediated ubiquitylation of proteins by a “tethering” mechanism: a two-site interaction model for the Nrf2-Keap1 complex. *J Biol Chem*. 2006;281(34):24756–24768.
- Luo Y, Egger AL, Liu D, Liu G, Mesecar AD, van Breemen RB. Sites of alkylation of human Keap1 by natural chemoprevention agents. *J Am Soc Mass Spectrom*. 2007;18(12):2226–2232.
- Tong KI, Katoh Y, Kusunoki H, Itoh K, Tanaka T, Yamamoto M. Keap1 recruits Neh2 through binding to ETGE and DLG motifs: characterization of the two-site molecular recognition model. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2006;26(8):2887–2900.
- Kobayashi A, Kang MI, Watai Y, Akira K, Moon-Il K, Yoriko W. Oxidative and electrophilic stresses activate Nrf2 through inhibition of ubiquitination activity of Keap1. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2006;26(1):221.
- Huang P, He Y, Cao J, et al. Up-regulated Nrf2 in colorectal carcinoma and predicts poor prognosis. *Int J Clin Exp Med*. 2017;10(1):1034.
- Zheng H, Nong Z, Lu G. Correlation between nuclear factor E2-related factor 2 expression and gastric cancer progression. *Med Sci Monit*. 2015;21:2893–2899.
- Solis LM, Behrens C, Dong W, et al. Nrf2 and Keap1 abnormalities in non-small cell lung carcinoma and association with clinicopathologic features. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2010;16(14):3743–3753.
- Shibata T, Kokubu A, Gotoh M, et al. Genetic alteration of Keap1 confers constitutive Nrf2 activation and resistance to chemotherapy in gallbladder cancer. *Gastroenterology*. 2008;135(4):1358–1368.
- Bao LJ, Jaramillo MC, Zhang ZB, et al. Nrf2 induces cisplatin resistance through activation of autophagy in ovarian carcinoma. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol*. 2014;7(4):1502–1513.
- Bancos S, Bagloli CJ, Rahman I, Phipps RP. Induction of heme oxygenase-1 in normal and malignant B lymphocytes by 15-deoxy-delta(12,14)-prostaglandin J(2) requires Nrf2. *Cell Immunol*. 2010;262(1):18–27.
- Lu DY, Yeh WL, Huang SM, Tang CH, Lin HY, Chou SJ. Osteopontin increases heme oxygenase-1 expression and subsequently induces cell migration and invasion in glioma cells. *Neuro Oncol*. 2012;14(11):1367–1378.
- Srinivasan R, Ricketts CJ, Sourbier C, Linehan WM. New strategies in renal cell carcinoma: targeting the genetic and metabolic basis of disease. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2015;21(1):10–17.
- Kim J, Keum YS. Nrf2, a key regulator of antioxidants with two faces towards cancer. *Oxid Med Cell Longev*. 2016;2016(2):1–7.
- Zhao H, Eguchi S, Alam A, Ma D. The role of nuclear factor-erythroid 2 related factor 2 (Nrf-2) in the protection against lung injury. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol*. 2017;312(2):L155–L162.
- Zhao X, Dong W, Gao Y, et al. Novel indolyl-chalcone derivatives inhibit A549 lung cancer cell growth through activating Nrf-2/HO-1 and inducing apoptosis in vitro and in vivo. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7(1):3919.
- Li L, Tan J, Miao Y, Lei P, Zhang Q. ROS and autophagy: interactions and molecular regulatory mechanisms. *Cell Mol Neurobiol*. 2015;35(5):615–621.
- Loboda A, Damulewicz M, Pyza E, Jozkowicz A, Dulak J. Role of Nrf2/HO-1 system in development, oxidative stress response and diseases: an evolutionarily conserved mechanism. *Cell Mol Life Sci*. 2016;73(17):3221–3247.
- Shibata T, Kokubu A, Saito S, et al. Nrf2 mutation confers malignant potential and resistance to chemoradiation therapy in advanced esophageal squamous cancer. *Neoplasia*. 2011;13(9):864–873.

Cancer Management and Research

Publish your work in this journal

Cancer Management and Research is an international, peer-reviewed open access journal focusing on cancer research and the optimal use of preventative and integrated treatment interventions to achieve improved outcomes, enhanced survival and quality of life for the cancer patient. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/cancer-management-and-research-journal>

Dovepress

a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.