Lack of association between ALOX5AP genetic polymorphisms and risk of ischemic stroke: evidence from meta-analyses
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Background: In recent years, there has been substantial research evaluating the relationship between arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase-activating protein (ALOX5AP) polymorphisms and ischemic stroke (IS). The objective of this study was to systematically review and analyze the existing evidence.

Methods: A comprehensive search of major electronic databases for studies published between 1990 and 2018 was carried out. Data were synthesized as OR and 95% CI using fixed-effects and random-effects models.

Results: A total of 30 studies were available for analysis. The aggregate sample size across all studies was 32,782 (16,294 cases and 16,488 controls). We found no association of the ALOX5AP rs10507391 (OR = 1.03 for A allele vs T allele; 95% CI: 0.93–1.14; \( P = 0.557 \)), rs4769874 (OR = 1.13 for A allele vs G allele; 95% CI: 1.00–1.28; \( P = 0.050 \)), rs9551963 (OR = 1.03 for A allele vs C allele; 95% CI: 0.96–1.11; \( P = 0.372 \)), rs17222814 (OR = 1.09 for A allele vs G allele; 95% CI: 0.96–1.24; \( P = 0.195 \)), rs17222919 (OR = 0.89 for G allele vs T allele; 95% CI: 0.75–1.06; \( P = 0.175 \)), and rs4073259 (OR = 1.20 for A allele vs G allele; 95% CI: 1.00–1.45; \( P = 0.056 \)) polymorphisms with IS risk. Haplotype analysis also did not yield significant findings for the HapA (rs17222814G–rs10507391T–rs4769874G–rs9551963A; OR = 1.20; 95% CI: 0.91–1.56; \( P = 0.192 \)) and HapB (rs17216473A–rs10507391A–rs9315050A–rs17222842G; OR = 1.11; 95% CI: 0.90–1.38; \( P = 0.339 \)) haplotypes.

Conclusion: Current evidence does not support an association of rs10507391, rs4769874, rs9551963, rs17222814, rs17222919, rs4073259, and HapA and HapB with IS risk.
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Introduction

Stroke is the leading cause of mortality and serious long-term disability in China and worldwide, with ~15 million people throughout the world suffering a stroke every year.\(^1\) Ischemic stroke (IS) accounts for ~85%–90% of all cases and is characterized by the sudden loss of blood circulation to an area of the brain. Strong evidence from twin, family, and animal model studies has consistently suggested a genetic influence on IS risk and prognosis, and in recent years, there has been substantial research evaluating specific genetic risk factors.\(^2\)

Leukotrienes are short-lived lipid mediators derived from the nuclear membrane of cells that are produced and excreted in response to various immune stimuli. The leukotriene pathway starts with oxidation of arachidonic acid to leukotriene A4 (LTA4) by lipoxygenase-activating protein (ALOX5AP).\(^3\) The resultant LTA4 can then be converted to leukotriene B4 (LTB4) by leukotriene A4 hydrolase or it can be conjugated...
with reduced glutathione by the leukotriene C4. As a crucial regulator of biosynthesis of proinflammatory leukotriene lipid mediators, ALOX5AP plays an important role in the development of atherosclerosis. Pharmacological targeting of ALOX5AP significantly reduced atherosclerosis burden and vascular as well as adipose tissue T-cell inflammation. In addition, knockout of the ALOX5AP gene was associated with ceased leukotriene production and amelioration of stroke damage in a mouse model of middle cerebral artery occlusion. The findings from clinical trials were consistent with those from animal experiments. Hakonarson et al found that the ALOX5AP inhibitor significantly decreased the concentration of the C-reactive protein, a contributor to the risk of myocardial infarction and IS. Moreover, ALOX5AP mRNA and protein increased significantly in polymorphonuclear cells from OSA patients vs controls and were associated with carotid luminal diameter and intima–media thickness.

The human ALOX5AP is located on chromosome 13q12-13 and consists of five exons and four introns. Genetic variants in ALOX5AP gene have been studied in stroke cases, but case–control studies performed in different ethnic populations obtain contradictory results, indicating that the existing evidence regarding the association between ALOX5AP polymorphisms and IS risk needs to be systematically reviewed and analyzed. To reconcile inconsistencies across individual studies, we performed a quantitative meta-analysis of the effects of ALOX5AP polymorphisms on IS.

Methods

Search strategy

We searched Embase, Medline, PubMed, and China Knowledge Resource Integrated in July 2018 for studies investigating the relationship between ALOX5AP polymorphisms and IS risk. Searches were not limited by date restrictions and language. Search terms included: (“ALOX5AP polymorphism”, or “ALOX5AP variant”, or “ALOX5AP genotype”), AND (“cerebral infarction” OR “ischemic stroke”). The “AND” operator was used to combine these terms in varying combinations. Once articles had been collected, bibliographies were then hand-searched for additional references. The review followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Two investigators independently assessed titles and abstracts for relevance and full reports for inclusion. Discrepancies were resolved by discussion. Studies were included in our meta-analysis if they met the following criteria: 1) reported on case–control studies in adult humans; 2) published in peer-reviewed journals; and 3) reported genotypic and/or allelic frequencies. The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) family-based studies; 2) case-only studies; 3) no information on genotypic and/or allelic frequencies; and 4) editorials, narrative reviews or other manuscripts not reporting primary data. If the paper, or author correspondence, suggested overlapping studies, we included only the most comprehensive study for meta-analysis.

Data extraction and quality assessment

Data were tabled in a standardized Excel sheet, and each group comparison was checked by two investigators to confirm accuracy of inclusion. The following information was abstracted from each study: journal, first author, year of publication, participant characteristics, geographical location, dominant ancestry of sample, diagnostic method for IS, numbers of patients and controls, DNA extraction and genotyping methods, allele frequency, and Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in controls. Authors were not contacted to request missing/additional data. For assessing the methodological quality of the primary studies, a quantitative Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) score was calculated for each study, which was based on the selection of the study groups, the comparability of the groups, and the ascertainment of the exposure. Each study was graded as either low (scores 0–5) or high quality (scores 6–9).

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using Stata 12.0 software (Stata, College Station, TX, USA). Since the majority of studies reported allele frequency instead of genotype data, the relationship between ALOX5AP polymorphisms and IS risk was assessed under allele contrast. All associations were presented as OR with the corresponding 95% CI. The significance of the pooled OR was determined using a Z-test. Heterogeneity was assessed by Cochrane’s Q test of heterogeneity and the I² statistic. The I² values of 25%, 50%, and 75% corresponded to low, medium, and high between-study heterogeneity. Summary ORs were calculated using random-effects models (DerSimonian and Laird) if there was significant between-study heterogeneity. Random-effects modeling assumes a genuine diversity in the results of various studies and takes into account both within-study and between-study variances. Stratified analyses by sample ancestry were performed in order to assess the potential moderating effect of ancestry. HWE deviation was assessed by using the chi-squared goodness-of-fit test. Sensitivity analysis
was conducted by omitting studies with HWE deviation. Funnel plots, Begg’s and Egger’s tests were used to assess publication bias. The standard error of log (OR) of each study was plotted against its log (OR) in funnel plots. The funnel plot symmetry was evaluated by Begg’s and Egger’s tests, which used the correlation between the ranks of effect sizes and the ranks of their variances. A \( P \)-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant, except for Cochrane’s \( Q \) test, in which a \( P \)-value less than 0.10 was applied. No corrections for multiple comparisons were performed.

**Results**

**Study characteristics**
A total of 302 studies were identified by the search strategy. After duplicates were removed, 176 studies remained. On examination of the full text, 30 papers were eligible for inclusion in the review.\(^{11–40} \) Study selection is shown in Figure 1. These 30 studies were reported between 2005 and 2018 and included 32,782 participants. Sample sizes ranged from 200 to 3,727 participants per study and represented Caucasian and Asian populations. Imaging (magnetic resonance imaging/computerized tomography) examination of the brain to support the clinical diagnosis was conducted in all studies. The distribution of genotypes in the controls of all studies was in agreement with HWE except for the studies by Cheng et al, Zhao et al, Xu et al, and Diakite et al.\(^{21,26,29,37} \)

Table 1 displays the study characteristics for the identified studies.

**Data synthesis**
Twenty studies provided summaries of data on the ALOX5AP rs10507391 polymorphism.\(^{13–18,20,22,23,25,26,28,29,31,32,34–38} \) Random-effects meta-analysis indicated no association of the polymorphism with IS in the overall population (OR=1.03 for A allele vs T allele; 95% CI: 0.93–1.14; \( P=0.557 \)) (Figure 2A; Table 2). There was significant between-study heterogeneity (\( I^2=81.6\% \), \( P<0.001 \)). In the subgroup analysis, according to ethnicity, no association between the ALOX5AP rs10507391 polymorphism and IS risk was found in Asian (OR=1.10 for A allele vs T allele; 95% CI: 0.98–1.23; \( P=0.109 \)) and Caucasian (OR=0.89 for A allele vs T allele; 95% CI: 0.76–1.02; \( P=0.101 \)) populations (Figure 2A; Table 2).

Thirteen studies contributed to the pooled analysis of the ALOX5AP rs4769874 polymorphism (A allele vs G allele).\(^{13–17,19,23,26,31,32,34–36} \) Fixed-effect meta-analysis gave an estimated OR of 1.13 (95% CI: 1.00–1.28; \( P=0.050 \)), indicating no significant association with IS (Figure 2B; Table 2). There was no significant between-study heterogeneity (\( I^2=10.9\% \), \( P=0.160 \)). Neither Asian (OR=1.10, 95% CI 0.95–1.28, \( P=0.210 \)) nor Caucasian (OR=1.19, 95% CI 0.96–1.48, \( P=0.106 \)) studies found an association with IS (Figure 2B; Table 2).

Twelve studies examined the ALOX5AP rs9551963 polymorphism.\(^{13–15,18,19,23,26,29,31,32,34,36} \) In the primary pooled analysis (Figure 2C; Table 2), we identified no significant association between the polymorphism and IS risk (OR=1.03 for A allele vs C allele; 95% CI: 0.96–1.11; \( P=0.372 \)). There was significant between-study heterogeneity (\( I^2=44.7\% \), \( P=0.047 \)). In the subgroup analysis based on ethnicity, studies with patients of Asian descent or Caucasian descent yielded similar findings (Asian population: OR=1.07, 95% CI 0.96–1.19, \( P=0.230 \); Caucasian population: OR=0.98, 95% CI 0.88–1.10, \( P=0.416 \)).
Table 1 Summary of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Diagnostic method</th>
<th>Polymorphisms evaluated</th>
<th>Female (%)</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>NOS score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>helgadottir et al11</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>Cases 624</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>20–90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>helgadottir et al12</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>66.8±0.6</td>
<td>67.2±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meschia et al13</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>64.8±15.0</td>
<td>60.0±14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lõhmussaar et al14</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>65.0±18.2</td>
<td>62.0±11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zee et al15</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>60.8±0.3</td>
<td>61.0±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al16</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1,285</td>
<td>1,713</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>60.4±9.2</td>
<td>59.6±8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gao and Qc17</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>57.3±6.9</td>
<td>60.0±7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lökvist et al18</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>Age above 65 years: 76.2% &amp; Age above 65 years: 78.8%</td>
<td>Caucasians 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarta et al19</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>68–83</td>
<td>60–79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He et al20</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>71.5±7.8</td>
<td>70.3±6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheng et al21</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>59.6±12.4</td>
<td>58.5±13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domingues-Montanari et al22</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1,092</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>Spain cohort: 70.6±11.9 &amp; Portugal cohort: 63.0±6.8</td>
<td>Caucasians 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gao et al23</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>63.4±23.9</td>
<td>56.8±21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al24</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>65.5±12.1</td>
<td>53.8±15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al25</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>65.4±10.6</td>
<td>64.1±11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao et al26</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>62.1±9.4</td>
<td>61.8±10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al27</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>69.4±10.6</td>
<td>69.2±9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharma et al28</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>49.3±17.3</td>
<td>49.0±16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xu et al29</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>58.7±10.2</td>
<td>53.1±8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al30</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>64.7±9.1</td>
<td>64.7±9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yao et al31</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>CT/MRI confirmed</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>56.7±8.3</td>
<td>56.2±8.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CI 0.90–1.07, \( P=0.656 \)), indicating no association with IS (Figure 2C; Table 2).

Six studies provided data for the ALOX5AP rs17222814 polymorphism.\(^{3,13-15,18,23,26}\) Fixed-effect meta-analysis gave an estimated OR of 1.09 (95\% CI: 0.96–1.24; \( P=0.195 \)), indicating no association with IS in the overall population (Figure 2D; Table 2). There was no significant between-study heterogeneity (\( I^2=25.3\% \), \( P=0.244 \)). In the subgroup analysis by ethnicity, neither Asian nor Caucasian studies yielded significant findings (Table 2).

Four Asian studies in three publications investigated the role of the ALOX5AP rs17222919 polymorphism.\(^{24,27,33}\) Random-effects meta-analysis indicated no association of the SNP with IS (OR=0.89 for G allele vs T allele; 95\% CI: 0.75–1.06; \( P=0.175 \)) (Table 2). Similarly, four Asian studies providing summaries of data on the ALOX5AP rs4073259 polymorphism did not find any association with IS risk (OR=1.20 for A allele vs G allele; 95\% CI: 1.00–1.45; \( P=0.056 \)) (Table 2).\(^{21,30,40}\)

In addition to individual polymorphisms, we performed combined analysis for haplotypes. HapA was defined by rs17222914, rs10507391, rs4769874, and rs9551963 polymorphisms, with alleles G, T, G, and A. No association between HapA and IS risk was found (OR=1.20, 95\% CI: 0.91–1.56, \( P=0.192 \)) (Table 2). The pooled effect estimate for HapB (rs17216473A–rs10507391A–rs9315050A–rs17222842G) was similar, indicating no significant association with IS (OR=1.11, 95\% CI: 0.90–1.38, \( P=0.339 \)) (Table 2).

**Publication bias and sensitivity analysis**

Funnel plots did not suggest publication bias (Figure 3). The results of Begg’s and Egger’s tests for small-study effect biases were not significant, indicating no evidence of publication bias (Table 2). For the ALOX5AP rs10507391 and rs9551963 polymorphisms, sensitivity analyses were performed to assess the stability of the results by omission of studies, which were not in HWE. The significance of pooled ORs was not influenced.

**Discussion**

IS is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in industrialized countries. Given the limited therapeutic options for IS patients, there remains great interest in identifying novel therapeutic targets that may prevent stroke or improve stroke recovery.

The ALOX5AP gene encodes a major regulator for 5-lipoxygenase that catalyzes the oxidation of arachidonic acid to LTA4, which is released by inflammatory cells at injured sites and thus plays an important role in atherosclerosis.
and other vascular damage. In the literature, there are apparently conflicting associations reported between ALOX5AP polymorphisms and IS risk. The conflicting results were possibly because of small effects of ALOX5AP polymorphisms on IS risk or the relatively low statistical power of published studies. To summarize the available evidence, we combined studies involving a total of 32,782 participants. Six single polymorphisms and two haplotypes were analyzed. Our analysis did not support an association of the ALOX5AP rs10507391, rs4769874, rs9551963, rs17222814, rs17222919,
and rs4073259 polymorphisms with IS risk in Asian and Caucasian populations. Haplotype analysis also did not yield significant findings for the HapA (rs17222814G–rs10507391T–rs4769874G–rs9551963A) and HapB (rs17216473A–rs10507391A–rs9315050A–rs17222842G) haplotypes. To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest and most comprehensive meta-analysis on the association between ALOX5AP polymorphisms and IS risk.

Our results are in agreement with a previous meta-analysis published in 2009. Zintzaras et al analyzed the

Figure 2. Forest plots for the association of ALOX5AP polymorphisms with IS risk.

Notes: (A) Meta-analysis of the ALOX5AP rs10507391 polymorphism and risk of IS using the random-effects model (A allele vs T allele). (B) Meta-analysis of the ALOX5AP rs4769874 polymorphism and risk of IS using the fixed-effects model (A allele vs G allele). (C) Meta-analysis of the ALOX5AP rs9551963 polymorphism and risk of IS using the random-effects model (A allele vs C allele). (D) Meta-analysis of the ALOX5AP rs17222814 polymorphism and risk of IS using the fixed-effects model (A allele vs G allele).

Weights are from random-effects analysis.

Abbreviation: IS, ischemic stroke.
Table 2 Meta-analysis of association between ALOX5AP polymorphisms and IS risk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymorphism</th>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>Number of studies</th>
<th>Test of heterogeneity</th>
<th>Test of association</th>
<th>Test of publication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs10507391</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>81.6 0.001</td>
<td>1.03 (0.93–1.14)</td>
<td>0.557  0.974 0.857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asians</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>81.2 0.001</td>
<td>1.10 (0.98–1.23)</td>
<td>0.109 NA NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caucasians</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>68.3 0.008</td>
<td>0.89 (0.76–1.02)</td>
<td>0.101 NA NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs4769874</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28.2 0.160</td>
<td>1.13 (1.00–1.28)</td>
<td>0.050 1.000 0.909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asians</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10.9 0.344</td>
<td>1.10 (0.95–1.28)</td>
<td>0.210 NA NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caucasians</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>59.2 0.061</td>
<td>1.19 (0.96–1.48)</td>
<td>0.106 NA NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs9551963</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>44.7 0.047</td>
<td>1.03 (0.96–1.11)</td>
<td>0.372 0.451 0.365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asians</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>58.6 0.025</td>
<td>1.07 (0.96–1.19)</td>
<td>0.230 NA NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caucasians</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0 0.484</td>
<td>0.98 (0.90–1.07)</td>
<td>0.656 NA NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs17222814</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25.3 0.244</td>
<td>1.09 (0.96–1.24)</td>
<td>0.195 0.256 0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asians</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80.4 0.025</td>
<td>1.12 (0.89–1.41)</td>
<td>0.354 NA NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caucasians</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0 0.704</td>
<td>1.08 (0.92–1.26)</td>
<td>0.348 NA NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs17222919</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>67.9 0.025</td>
<td>0.89 (0.75–1.06)</td>
<td>0.175 0.308 0.541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asians</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>67.9 0.025</td>
<td>0.89 (0.75–1.06)</td>
<td>0.175 0.308 0.541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs4073259</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>63.7 0.041</td>
<td>1.20 (1.00–1.45)</td>
<td>0.056 0.238 0.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asians</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>63.7 0.041</td>
<td>1.20 (1.00–1.45)</td>
<td>0.056 0.238 0.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caucasians</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0 0.835</td>
<td>1.11 (0.90–1.38)</td>
<td>0.339 0.734 0.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HapA</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>65.6 0.033</td>
<td>1.20 (0.91–1.56)</td>
<td>0.192 0.362 0.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caucasians</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>65.6 0.033</td>
<td>1.20 (0.91–1.56)</td>
<td>0.192 0.362 0.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HapB</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0 0.692</td>
<td>1.07 (0.80–1.44)</td>
<td>0.627 NA NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asians</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA NA</td>
<td>NA NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caucasians</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 0.692</td>
<td>1.07 (0.80–1.44)</td>
<td>0.627 NA NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HWE, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; IS, ischemic stroke; NA, not applicable.

relationship between ALOX5AP polymorphisms and risk of stroke with a total of 5,194 cases and 4,566 controls, finding no association of rs17222814, rs10507391, rs4769874, rs9551963, HapA, and HapB with stroke. Although the two meta-analyses obtained similar findings, there were still some differences. First, both hemorrhagic and IS patients were included in the Zintzaras et al’s study, whereas we only took into account IS patients. Second, Zintzaras et al’s meta-analysis overtook some Asian studies because they excluded the non-English papers. Third, Zintzaras et al’s analysis arose from 12 studies, considerably less in number than the overall 30 in our study (16,294 cases and 16,488 controls). Besides Zintzaras et al’s analysis, the Wang et al’s meta-analysis involving 10 studies and the Chen et al’s meta-analysis combining seven studies investigated rs10507391. The positive association between rs10507391 and IS risk reported by these two small size meta-analyses was not confirmed by our study applying a large sample.

It should be mentioned that much effort has been performed to conduct appropriately the meta-analysis and avoid any possible source of bias. To avoid the local literature bias, we included both English and non-English articles. We properly assessed deviations from HWE in the control population and performed sensitivity analysis excluding studies where the sample violated HWE to ensure stability of the pooled ORs. In addition, we evaluated small-study effects and publication bias through Begg’s and Egger’s tests; there was no evidence of systematic missingness of scientific results from the literature. Finally, subgroup analysis by ethnicity confirmed the lack of association between the ALOX5AP polymorphisms and IS risk not only in the overall populations but also in each ethnic group.

Previous studies demonstrated the presence of strong linkage disequilibrium in the ALOX5AP gene. It is believed that haplotype analysis can provide more information than single-locus analysis because it allows us to explore potential interactions among alleles. In this meta-analysis,
we evaluated the relationship of the HapA (rs17222814G–rs10507391T–rs4769874G–rs9551963A) and HapB (rs17216473A–rs10507391A–rs9315050A–rs17222842G) haplotypes with IS risk. Although no associations of these haplotypes with IS were identified, we could not exclude that other haplotypes may play a role in the development of IS. The study by Zhao et al reported a statistically significant association between the haplotype GCGA constructed by rs17222814, rs10507391, rs4769874, and rs9551963 and IS risk.\textsuperscript{26} In addition, Yao et al found that the haplotype TGC constructed using rs10507391, rs4769874, and rs9551963 was associated with the increased risk of IS (OR=1.60, 95% CI: 1.28–1.98, \(P<0.001\)), while the haplotype AGA was protective (OR=0.66, 95% CI: 0.53–0.81) in a case–control study, involving a total of 420 cases and 488 controls.\textsuperscript{31} Considering the large sample sizes applied by these studies, further studies are warranted to confirm their findings.

Our study has some limitations that should be considered. First, the relationship between the ALOX5AP polymorphisms and the levels of leukotriene lipid mediators in IS patients was not evaluated due to lack of published data. Increased levels of products of the 5-lipoxygenase (5-LO)/5-LO-activating protein (FLAP) pathway including LTB4 had been reported in IS patients.\textsuperscript{45} The evaluation of potential interactions between ALOX5AP polymorphisms and leukotriene lipid mediators in IS patients could provide valuable insights.

---

**Figure 3** Funnel plots to detect publication bias.

**Notes:**

(A) Funnel plot analysis to detect publication bias for the ALOX5AP rs10507391 polymorphism and risk of IS. (B) Funnel plot analysis to detect publication bias for the ALOX5AP rs4769874 polymorphism and risk of IS. (C) Funnel plot analysis to detect publication bias for the ALOX5AP rs9551963 polymorphism and risk of IS. (D) Funnel plot analysis to detect publication bias for the ALOX5AP rs17222814 polymorphism and risk of IS.

Abbreviations: IS, ischemic stroke; SE, standard error.
into the development of IS. Second, because there was a paucity of data, we did not assess gender differences in the effects of ALOX5AP polymorphisms on IS risk. It is widely known that gender has a complex and interactive effect on IS risk and there are gender differences in the prevalence of IS. More research is needed to evaluate gender differences in the association of ALOX5AP polymorphisms with IS development.

In conclusion, our meta-analysis of 30 studies involving 16,294 IS patients and 16,488 controls indicates no significant association of the ALOX5AP polymorphisms rs10507391, rs4769874, rs9551963, rs17222814, rs17222919, and rs4073259 with IS risk. In addition, the HapA and HapB haplotypes also did not show any association with IS.
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