Open Access Full Text Article

LETTER Letter regarding the article, "A randomized, doubleblind clinical trial of canrenone vs hydrochlorothiazide in addition to angiotensin II receptor blockers in hypertensive type 2 diabetic patients"

> This article was published in the following Dove Medical Press journal: Drug Design, Development and Therapy

Ling-Yue Zhao Yi-Jun Luo Jing Zhu

Department of Ambulatory Surgery, Shenzhen Nanshan District People's Hospital, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518052, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Yi-Jun Luo Department of Day Surgery, Shenzhen Nanshan District People's Hospital, 89 Taoyuan Road, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518052, People's Republic of China Tel +86 755 513 1121 Fax +86 755 513 1121 Email nsluoyijun@yeah.net



Dear editor

Previous studies have confirmed the utility of canrenone to control inflammation, microalbuminuria, and cardiovascular risk.^{1,2} Recently, we read with great interest the study by Derosa et al.³ The authors performed a randomized, double-blind clinical trial to compare the effectiveness of canrenone vs hydrochlorothiazide (HCTZ) in addition to angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs) in patients with hypertension and type 2 diabetes mellitus. The primary endpoints were blood pressure, glycemia, lipid profile, potassium, aldosterone, and renal function. They concluded that the effect on blood pressure was similar between canrenone and HCTZ. Nevertheless, the metabolic parameters were improved in patients taking canrenone; thus, it was worsened in those receiving HCTZ. The research appears informative clinically. Thus, some issues should be addressed in interpreting the results.

First, although canrenone was associated with improved efficiency in some metabolic parameters compared with HCTZ, the statistical difference did not translate into clinical importance. For instance, the mean HbA_{1c} decreased from 7.2% to 7.1% after 1-year follow-up in the canrenone group, while it increased from 7.5% to 7.8% in the HCTZ group. The difference was statistically significant. Nevertheless, the magnitude of HbA_{1c} reduction in the canrenone group was slight, and the final HbA_{1c} level did not meet the control target for particular population according to The American Diabetes Association guideline.⁴ Similarly, although canrenone was associated with lower level of low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C) relative to control drug, the final LDL-C level still did not meet the target value. Theoretically, the target value should be <70 mg/dL in patients with diabetes mellitus.⁵ Therefore, the statistical significance does not mean the clinical significance.

Second, the use of ARB may be associated with an elevation in serum creatinine level.⁶ This effect was different among the different dosage of ARB. However, the individual ARB and the dosage of ARB were not reported in this study. In addition, the LDL-C level was high among the included patients. It is unclear whether these patients received the statin to reduce the lipid concentrations or not. Therefore, the study methods are critical for the study validity.

© 2019 Zhao et al. This work is published and ficensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, large acparatol. But any commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, large see paragraph 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (http://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

385

Third, the lower estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was observed in the group with HCTZ, which would be associated with the administration of a very high dose of the diuretic drug (HCTZ).

Fourth, in part of the study group, the dose of canrenone and HCTZ was increased if blood pressure had not been controlled (<140/90 mmHg). Nevertheless, the information on the proportion of patients using the higher dose of canrenone and HCTZ was unclear. Moreover, are there any other hypotensive drugs used beyond treatment with the higher dose of canerone and HCTZ if the blood pressure was not controlled?

Fifth, the information concerning the stage, time, and any complications of hypertension (eg, left ventricle hypertrophy and albuminuria) were also not reported.

Above all, we should be more prudent to evaluate the data to draw a reliable conclusion. Evidence from a more substantial number of participants on the hard endpoint concerning canrenone is warranted in the future.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

- Armanini D, Fiore C. Choice of diuretic therapy and reconsideration for aldosterone receptors blockers. *Hypertension*. 2010;55(1):e5.
- Armanini D, Sabbadin C, Donà G, Clari G, Bordin L. Aldosterone receptor blockers spironolactone and canrenone: two multivalent drugs. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2014;15(7):909–912.
- Derosa G, Gaudio G, Pasini G, D'Angelo A, Maffioli P. A randomized, double-blind clinical trial of canrenone vs hydrochlorothiazide in addition to angiotensin II receptor blockers in hypertensive type 2 diabetic patients. *Drug Des Devel Ther.* 2018;12:2611–2616.
- American Diabetes Association. 6. Glycemic targets: standards of medical care in diabetes-2018. *Diabetes Care*. 2018;41(Suppl 1): S55–S64.
- Catapano AL, Graham I, De Backer G, et al. 2016 ESC/EAS guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias. *Eur Heart J.* 2016;37(39): 2999–3058.
- Schmidt M, Mansfield KE, Bhaskaran K, et al. Serum creatinine elevation after renin-angiotensin system blockade and long term cardiorenal risks: cohort study. *BMJ*. 2017;356:j791.

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Drug Design, Development and Therapy 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Drug Design, Development and Therapy editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Drug Design, Development and Therapy

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Drug Design, Development and Therapy is an international, peerreviewed open-access journal that spans the spectrum of drug design and development through to clinical applications. Clinical outcomes, patient safety, and programs for the development and effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines are the features of the journal, which has also been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/drug-design-development-and-therapy-journal