Contribution of GABAergic modulation in DRGs to electroacupuncture analgesia in incisional neck pain rats
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Purpose: Acupuncture therapy is effective for relieving postoperative pain. Our previous study showed that electroacupuncture (EA) at Futu (LI18) and Hegu (LI4–Neiguan (PC6) could alleviate incisional neck pain, which was related with its effect in upregulating γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) expression in cervical (C3–6) dorsal root ganglions (DRGs); but whether its receptor subsets GABA\(\alpha_2\)R and GABA\(\alpha_1\)R in C3–6 DRGs are involved in EA analgesia or not, it remains unknown.

Materials and methods: Seventy-five male Sprague Dawley rats were randomized to normal control, model, LI18, LI4–PC6, and Zusani (ST36)–Yanglingquan (GB34) groups. The incisional neck pain model was established by making a longitudinal incision along the midline of the rats’ neck, followed by repeated mechanical stimulation. EA was applied to bilateral LI18, LI4–PC6, or ST36–GB34 for 30 minutes at 4, 24, and 48 hours after operation. The thermal pain threshold of the neck was detected by a tail-flick unit, and the C3–6 DRGs were removed for assaying the immunoactivity of substance P (SP), GABA\(\alpha_2\)R, glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP; a marker of satellite glial cells [SGCs]), and GABA\(\alpha_1\)R1 and the expression of GABA\(\alpha_2\)R and GABA\(\alpha_1\)R1 mRNA and proteins using immunofluorescence, real-time PCR, and Western blotting, respectively.

Results: The cervical thermal pain threshold was significantly lower in the model group than the normal group (\(P<0.001\)), indicating hyperalgesia after neck incision, and was considerably increased in both EA-LI18 and LI4–PC6 groups (\(P<0.001\)), but not in ST36–GB34 group compared with model group (\(P>0.05\)). Immunofluorescence staining showed that GABA\(\alpha_2\)R expressed on SP+ neurons, and GABA\(\alpha_1\)R1 on SGCs. EA of LI18 and LI4–PC6 markedly suppressed the modeling-induced upregulation of the immunoreactivity of SP (\(P<0.001\) and \(P<0.01\), respectively) and GFAP (\(P<0.01\) and \(P<0.001\), respectively) and significantly reversed neck incision–induced downregulation of the expression of GABA\(\alpha_2\)R and GABA\(\alpha_1\)R1 mRNAs and proteins (\(P<0.05\)).

Conclusion: EA of LI18 and LI4–PC6 has an analgesic effect in incisional neck pain rats, which is related to its effects in upregulating GABAergic inhibitory modulation on nociceptive peptidergic neurons and SGCs in cervical DRGs.
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Introduction
Postoperative pain remains one of the most common challenges following surgeries, though significant accomplishments have been made over the past few decades.1 The dorsal root ganglion (DRG), a key epidural and intraspinal nerve structure that is...
responsible for primary sensory information flow from the peripheral to the spinal cord, has been demonstrated to be an important processing point of sensory signals and also a “robust target for neuromodulation therapies”. Recent clinical data have shown that DRG stimulation is as effective as spinal cord stimulation in relieving various neuropathic pain syndromes including pain due to failed back surgery syndrome, complex regional pain syndromes, and chronic postsurgical pain. The analgesic effect of electrical stimulation of DRG is probably induced by interrupting the transmission of afferent impulse trains of sensory neurons as they pass through the DRG.

Mounting evidence displayed that neuropeptides and neuropeptide receptors are known to play important roles in nociceptive signal transmission and modulation. For example, excitatory neuropeptides, substance P (SP) and calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP), were found to be expressed in small DRG neurons and SP-immunoreaction (IR) was seen in 50% of C-fiber neurons and 20% of Aδ-fiber neurons. SP depolarized DRG neurons and activated an inward current, thereby increasing the excitability of sensory nociceptors. Also, about 90% of DRG neurons were endowed with SP receptors. γ-Aminobutyric acid (GABA), a traditional inhibitory neurotransmitter, binding to its receptors, exerted a tonic modulation on the nociceptive neurotransmission between primary afferents and second-order spino-thalamic tract neurons via both pre- and post-synaptic modes. Activation of GABA in DRGs resulted in a decrease in release of SP and glutamate from the primary afferent terminals. It was reported that majority of the DRG neurons coexpressed GABA, and SP receptors in their soma and central processes in lamina I–III of the spinal dorsal horns (DHs) and SP each other. Therefore, if SP depresses GABA-evoked response at the central terminal of primary afferent neurons and leads to a disinhibition of the “presynaptic inhibition”, it would result in an enhancement of nociceptive signaling in the spinal cord.

In the peripheral nervous system, large, small, and medium-sized neurons located in sensory ganglia were found to be tightly surrounded by satellite glial cells (SGCs) that were activated in the early phase (on day 1, 3, and 7) after spinal nerve ligation operation, contributing to the occurrence of neuropathic pain. SGCs upregulated the production of proinflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-α after peripheral nerve injury to increase the neuronal excitability, inducing pain. They were also found to express only GABA, receptor of the inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA. However, the function of GABA subunit expressed on SGCs in incisional pain processing tasks is not clear.

Pain is particularly sensitive to acupuncture. It was reported that electroacupuncture (EA) treatment can increase the pain threshold (PT) by decreasing the expression of P2X(3) receptors in DRG neurons in chronic constriction injury rats and by attenuating ATP and α, β-meATP-evoked currents. EA may affect the progression of experimental inflammatory pain by modulating the expression of N-methyl-D-aspartic acid receptors in primary sensory neurons, in particular, IB4-positive small neurons.

Our previous studies demonstrated that EA intervention relieved thermal hyperalgesia in incisional neck pain rats via its effects in downregulating the expression of SP/CGRP, connexin 43 (Cx43), reducing the activity of SGCs and release of proinflammatory cytokines, and upregulating the inhibitory transmitter GABA in the primary sensory neurons of cervical DRGs. However, the mechanism by which EA relieves incisional neck pain by regulating the activities of neurons and satellite glial cells remains unclear. Also, whether GABAergic modulation on nociceptive SP neurons and SGCs in the cervical DRGs contribute to EA analgesia in the same pain model or not has not been reported up to now. Thus, the present study was designed to explore if the GABA receptor subunits are implicated in EA-induced pain relief in incisional neck pain rats, so as to better our understanding about the mechanism of acupuncture therapy for post-thyroidectomy pain.

Materials and methods

Animals

Seventy-five adult male Sprague Dawley rats (8 weeks in age; 200–220 g) were purchased from Beijing Union Medical College, and housed under standard laboratory conditions (12 hours alternate light–dark cycle) and given free access to standard chow pellet diet and water. The experimental protocols were approved by the ethics committee of the Institute of Acupuncture-moxibustion, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences (reference no. 20140014) and conformed to the Guidelines for Laboratory Animal Care and Use of Chinese Ministry of Science and Technology (2006) and the National Institutes of Health Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (1996). In addition, efforts were made to minimize the number of animals used for the experiments and their sufferings. The rats were randomly assigned to five groups (n=15 in each): normal, model, L118, L14–PC6, and ST36–GB34. Rats in the normal group received only isoflurane anesthesia,
those in the model group underwent neck incision under isoflurane anesthesia, and those in the LI18, LI4–PC6, and ST36–GB34 groups received EA (at these respective points or point combinations) plus neck incision under isoflurane anesthesia. The protocol used in this study is shown in Figure 1.

Establishment of incisional neck pain model
The incisional neck pain model was established by making a 2.0 cm longitudinal incision along the midline of the neck under isoflurane (1%–2% in oxygen) delivered via an anesthesia unit (Midmark Animal Health, Versailles, OH, USA), followed by repeated blunt dissection stimulation of the bilateral sternohyoideus muscles in the thyroid gland region for about 10 minutes using a pair of forceps. The incision was then sutured in layers with 4.0 surgical silk braided suture at an interval of about 0.5 cm.

Measurement of thermal PT
The thermal PT of the neck incision region was measured at baseline and 48 hours after surgical incision using a tail-flick unit (37360; UGO Basile, Gemonio VA, Italy). The heat intensity was set to 50 units, with a cutoff time of 30 seconds to avoid tissue damage. The neck withdrawal latency was detected three times for each rat at an interval of about 5 minutes, and the average value was used to assess the pain severity. The researcher who performed thermal PT measurements was blind to group assignment and did not participate in the acupuncture procedure.

EA intervention
Under light anesthesia with 1.5% isoflurane, the rats of the three EA groups underwent EA stimulation following insertion of acupuncture needles (0.25×25 mm; Huatuo, Suzhou Medical Co. Ltd., Jiangsu, China) into bilateral LI18, LI4 and PC6, or ST36 and GB34 at a depth of about 2–3 mm, respectively. In humans, LI18 is located at the posterior border of sternocleidomastoid, 3 cun (about 6 cm) lateral to the laryngeal prominence. In rats, the equivalent location is about 1 cm lateral to the thyroid cartilage. The remaining points were located according to an atlas of experimental animal acupuncture points, that is, LI4 located between the first and second metacarpal bones, PC6 about 3 mm to the transverse stripe of the wrist at the axopetal end, ST36 about 5 mm inferior to the capitulum fibulae and posterolateral to the hind limb knee joint, and GB34 about 5 mm superolateral to ST36. After insertion, the needle handles were connected to a HANS-200A Analgesia Apparatus (Nanjing Jisheng Medical Technology Co. Ltd., Jiangsu, China) and this was followed by turning on the apparatus to stimulate the abovementioned acupoints for 30 minutes every time, at an alternating frequency of 2/100 Hz and an intensity of 1 mA. The treatment was conducted at 4, 24, and 48 hours after modeling. Animals of the model group underwent the same anesthetic procedures, but without EA stimulation.

Necropsy and tissue preparation
Following EA treatment and thermal PT measurement, those rats (n=25) were deeply anesthetized with 20% urethane and transcardially perfused through the ascending aorta with...
normal saline (400 mL), followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.4, 400 mL). Then, the C3–C6 DRGs were carefully removed to be kept in 0.1 M PBS containing 30% sucrose at 4°C for subsequent immunofluorescence testing. DRGs from the remaining 50 rats (n=10 per group) were removed on an ice plate rapidly frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80°C for RNA and protein extraction later.

**Immunofluorescence assay**

The DRG samples were sectioned to a thickness of 30 µm by a freezing microtome (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and maintained at room temperature for 1 hour. Sections were blocked with 5% donkey serum for 30 minutes and incubated with GABAα2R (AGA-002; Alomone Labs, Jerusalem, Israel) and SP (sc58591; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Dallas, TX, USA), or GABABR1 (ab90883; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) and GFAP (a marker for SGCs activities, 3670S; Cell Signaling) at 4°C overnight, washed three times with 0.1 M PBS, and then incubated in donkey anti-mouse IgG conjugated Alexa Fluor488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and donkey anti-rabbit IgG conjugated Alexa Fluor594 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 2 hours under room temperature. Control immunostaining was performed by substituting the primary antibody with normal serum.

SP, GABAα2R, GFAP, and GABABR1 positive immunoreactivity in the bilateral DRGs (C3–C6) was detected from every three randomly selected sections of each DRG by a technician who was blind to the grouping and calculated their average optical intensity using an optical imaging analysis system (DXM1200c; Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Some representative section photos were taken using a laser scanning confocal microscope (FV1000; Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Digital images were then processed with Adobe Photoshop CS2 (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA, USA).

**Quantitative real-time PCR**

In the subset of 25 rats, total RNA was extracted with Trizol (CW0581; Beijing ComWin Biotech Co., Ltd, Beijing, China) and then reverse transcribed into cDNA with a cDNA Synthesis Kit (CW0744; Beijing ComWin Biotech Co., Ltd, Beijing, China). Gene expression levels were measured by quantitative real-time PCR (LightCycler480; Roche, Switzerland) using the following primer sequences: GABAα2R forward: 5′-TTACTTACGAAAAGAGGATGGGC-3′ and reverse: 5′-TAGAGCCATAGCCCAGACTAAAGCC-3′; GABAα2R, GFAP, and GABABR1 positive immunoreactivity in the bilateral DRGs (C3–C6) was detected from every three randomly selected sections of each DRG by a technician who was blind to the grouping and calculated their average optical intensity using an optical imaging analysis system (DXM1200c; Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Some representative section photos were taken using a laser scanning confocal microscope (FV1000; Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Digital images were then processed with Adobe Photoshop CS2 (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA, USA).

Each reaction mixture consisted of 2 µL of cDNA, 10 µL REAL SYBR Mixture (2x), 0.8 µL (10 µmol/µL) both forward and reverse primers, and 7.2 µL PCR-grade water, equating to a final volume of 20 µL. PCR was performed under the following conditions: 30 seconds at 95°C, followed by 45 cycles of 5 seconds at 95°C and 40 seconds at 60°C. The relative expression was calculated in accordance with the 2−∆∆Ct method. Relative mRNA levels were expressed as 2−∆∆Ct values. The researcher evaluating mRNA expression was blind to group assignment and not involved in the acupuncture procedure.

**Western blotting**

The frozen C3–6 DRG samples of 25 rats were prepared after tissue lysis and centrifugation for SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The protein with SDS sample buffer was mixed with β-mercaptoethanol, separated under reducing conditions on a 5% SDS polyacrylamide gel, and finally transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Blocking was carried out in 3% BSA (VWR International LLC, Solon, OH, USA) solution for 30 minutes at room temperature. The membrane was incubated with rabbit anti-GABAα2R (1:1,000, AGA-002; Alomone Labs) and rabbit anti-GABABR1 (1:1,000, ab90883; Abcam). GAPDH (1:20,000; YM3029, ImmunoWay, Plano, TX, USA) was used as a standard. After overnight agitation at 4°C, the sample was then incubated with HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (1:10,000; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA, USA) for 1 hour at room temperature. The bands were acquired by enhanced chemiluminescence (GE Healthcare Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA), and the blots were scanned and quantified using TotalLab Quant analysis software (TotalLab Limited, Newcastle Upon Tyne, England) and the result was expressed as the ratio of target gene immunoreactivity to GAPDH immunoreactivity.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed with the SPSS version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data were expressed as mean±SD and were analyzed by one-way ANOVA, followed by least significant difference (LSD) test for multiple comparisons between groups. P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

**Effect of EA on thermal PT**

As shown in Figure 2, at 48 hours after neck incision, the thermal PT was significantly lower in the model group than in the normal group (12.95±1.92 vs 19.23±0.92 seconds, one-way ANOVA, LSD, P=0.000, n=15). After EA, relevant
to the model group, the thermal PT values were considerably higher in the LI18 and LI4–PC6 groups (19.07±1.76 vs 12.95±1.92 seconds; 19.29±2.60 vs 12.95±1.92 seconds, one-way ANOVA, LSD, both \( P < 0.001 \), \( n = 15 \)), but not in the ST36–GB34 group (13.59±2.62 vs 12.95±1.92 seconds, one-way ANOVA, LSD, \( P = 0.6254 \). \( n = 15 \), \( F = 12.465 \), degrees of freedom \( [df] = 14 \)).

**Effect of EA on SP and GABA\(_{A}\)R immunoreactivity**

Immunofluorescence staining showed that SP-IR positive substances were granular in appearance and distributed throughout the cytoplasm. The SP-IR positive nerve fibers were also found between neuronal cell bodies. The diameters of SP-IR positive neurons ranged from 8 to 27 \( \mu m \), being in the range of small-sized cells (diameter <27\( \mu m \); Figure 3A). The GABA\(_{A}\)R-labeled DRG neurons were in the range of small-sized (\(<30 \mu m \)) and medium-sized (31–40 \( \mu m \)) cells (Figure 3A). The mean intensity of SP-IR positive neurons was notably greater (\( P = 0.000 \), \( F = 11.324 \), \( df = 4 \)), and GABA\(_{A}\)\( \alpha \)2R immunoreactivity was significantly decreased (\( P = 0.000 \), \( F = 27.273 \), \( df = 4 \)) in the model group relative to the normal group at 48 hours after operation. After EA, compared with the model group, the SP immunoreactivity was markedly downregulated and the GABA\(_{A}\)\( \alpha \)2R immunoreactivity was considerably upregulated in both the LI18 group (\( P = 0.000 \), \( P = 0.000 \)) and the LI4–PC6 group (\( P = 0.006 \), \( P = 0.000 \)), but not in the ST36–GB34 group (\( P = 0.688 \), \( P = 0.450 \); Figure 3B).

**Effect of EA on GFAP and GABA\(_{B}\)R immunoreactivity**

After modeling, the immunoactivity of GFAP was markedly increased (\( P = 0.000 \), \( F = 10.101 \), \( df = 4 \)) and GABA\(_{B}\)R1 immunoactivity was significantly decreased (\( P = 0.002 \), \( F = 5.782 \), \( df = 4 \)). After EA, the immunoactivity of GFAP was considerably downregulated and that of GABA\(_{B}\)R1 remarkably upregulated in both LI18 group (\( P = 0.006 \) and \( P = 0.027 \), respectively) and LI4–PC6 group (\( P = 0.000 \) and \( P = 0.047 \), respectively), but not in the ST36–GB34 group (\( P = 0.39 \) and \( P = 0.530 \), respectively), compared with the model group (Figure 4A, B).

**Effect of EA on GABA\(_{A}\)\( \alpha \)2R mRNA and protein expression**

To further confirm the involvement of GABA\(_{A}\)\( \alpha \)2R modulation in the analgesic effect of EA, we continued to analyze the expression of GABA\(_{A}\)\( \alpha \)2R gene and protein in C3–6 DRGs. The results of real-time PCR and Western blot showed that compared with the normal group, the expression levels of GABA\(_{A}\)\( \alpha \)2R mRNA and protein were significantly decreased in the model group (\( P = 0.033 \), \( F = 5.252 \), \( df = 4 \) and \( P = 0.0015 \), \( F = 6.121 \), \( df = 4 \), respectively; Figure 5A and B). After EA intervention, the decreased expression of GABA\(_{A}\)\( \alpha \)2R
mRNA and protein was obviously upregulated in both LI18 (P=0.041 and P=0.003, respectively) and LI4–PC6 groups (P=0.000 and P=0.000, respectively), but not in the ST36–GB34 group (P=0.600 and P=0.316, respectively) relative to the model group.

**Effect of EA on GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 mRNA and protein expression**

As shown in Figure 6A and B, the results of real-time PCR and Western blotting indicated that compared with the normal group, the expression of GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 mRNA and protein was significantly downregulated in the model group (P=0.000, F=18.022, df=4 and P=0.0015, F=5.161, df=4, respectively). Following EA, the decreased expression of GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 mRNA and protein was notably increased in both LI18 (P=0.000 and P=0.002, respectively) and LI4–PC6 (P=0.011 and P=0.004, respectively) groups, rather than in the ST36–GB34 group (P=0.11 and P=0.31, respectively) in comparison with the model group (Figure 6).

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, the present study has for the first time investigated the role of DRGs’ GABAergic modulation in acupuncture analgesic effect in incisional neck pain rats. Our results demonstrated that thermal hyperalgesia of the incisional neck region was significantly reduced by EA of LI18 and LI4–PC6, the same and neighboring neurosegmental stimulation, respectively, rather than by EA of ST36–GB34, the distant neurosegmental stimulation. After EA of LI18 and LI4–PC6, the neck incision–induced increase in immunoactivity of SP and GFAP as well as decrease in the expression of GABA<sub>A</sub>α2R and GABA<sub>B</sub>R1 mRNA and proteins was
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considerably reversed. In contrast, EA at ST36–GB34 did not induce significant change in the immunoactivity of SP and GFAP and the expression of GABA\(_{\alpha2}\) and GABA\(_{\beta1}\) R1 mRNA and protein. It showed that EA intervention-induced pain relief in incisional neck pain rats may be achieved by way of segmental nerve reflex and upregulation of GABA\(_{\alpha2}\) R and GABA\(_{\beta1}\) R1 and downregulation of SP and SGCs activity in the same and neighboring neurosegmental DRGs.

It was reported that the spinal GABA(B) mechanism was involved in the intensity of analgesic effect of EA at 100 Hz and spinal GABA(A) was involved in the duration of the effect in rats,\(^{26}\) indicating that the analgesic effect of EA depends at least partially on the GABAergic system.

Several previous studies demonstrated that nonsynaptically released diffusible chemical messengers, such as SP,\(^{30}\) CGRP,\(^{31}\) glutamate,\(^{32}\) ATP,\(^{33}\) and GABA,\(^{34}\) may modify the somatic excitability of neurons in the sensory ganglia. SP, a neuropeptide, is involved in the transmission and modulation of nociceptive information in the nervous system.\(^{35}\) GABA, the main inhibitory transmitter in the central nervous system, modulates neurotransmitter release and neuronal excitability by acting on two types of specific receptors as GABA\(_{ARs}\) and GABA\(_{BRs}\).\(^{36}\) It was found that adult rat DRG neurons preferentially contain \(\alpha2\beta3\gamma2\) subunits of GABA\(_{R}\).\(^{37}\) As it is well known, the GABA\(_{R}\) belongs to the superfamily of ligand-gated channel receptor; thus, if the Cl\(^-\) channel is opened, GABA\(_{R}\) promotes primary afferent depolarization, resulting in a decrease in release of neurotransmitters including SP from the primary afferent terminals.\(^{8}\) Furthermore, the Na–K–2Cl cotransporters (NKCC), expressed in virtually all DRG neurons in the rat, accumulate chloride ions intracellularly\(^{38}\) and could mediate an enhanced primary afferent

Figure 4 Effect of EA on the immunofluorescence intensity of GFAP and GABA\(_{R1}\) in C3–6 DRGs in neck incisional pain rats.

**Notes:** (A) Representative images of GFAP (green) and GABA\(_{R1}\) (red) expressions in C3–6 DRGs. GABA was expressed on SGCs. (B) Average immunofluorescence intensity of GFAP and GABA\(_{R1}\) in C3–6 DRGs. The GFAP and GABA\(_{R1}\) immunoactivity in the model group was increased and decreased, respectively, compared with normal rats, and after EA at LI18 and LI4–PC6, the increased GFAP immunolabeling and the decreased GABA\(_{R1}\) immunolabeling in C3–6 DRGs induced by cervical incision were reversed (n=5 per group). Data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA and expressed as mean±SD of the mean. \(^{\Delta\Delta}\)P<0.001 vs the normal group; \(^{\Delta}\)P<0.05, \(^{\Delta\Delta\Delta}\)P<0.001 vs the model group; \(^{*}\)P<0.05, \(^{**}\)P<0.01 vs the LI18 group; \(^{\#}\)P<0.05, \(^{###}\)P<0.001 vs the LI4–PC6 group.

**Abbreviations:** DRG, dorsal root ganglion; EA, electroacupuncture; GABA, \(\gamma\)-aminobutyric acid; GFAP, glial fibrillary acid protein; SGCs, satellite glial cells.
depolarization.\textsuperscript{39} Being identical to the result of an absence of GABA depolarization in DRG neurons, the NKCC\textsuperscript{−/−} mice showed lower sensitivity to thermal stimulus (longer paw withdrawal latency),\textsuperscript{40} indicating that the NKCC participates in the modulation of GABA neurotransmission and sensory perception. A previous study revealed that NKCC1 mRNA, colocalized with CGRP and transient receptor potential cation channel subfamily V member 1 (TRPV1), is restricted to the small and medium diameter sensory neurons of DRGs and trigeminal ganglions in adult rats, and NKCC1 plays a role in maintaining high intracellular chloride levels in peptidergic and nociceptive neurons, respectively.\textsuperscript{31} The facilitation or inhibition of nociception transmitting to the spinal cord depended, to some degree, on the interaction between the GABAergic modulation and the peptidergic nociceptive transmission in the DRGs. Since the activation of GABA\textsubscript{A}R in sensory DRG neurons induces presynaptic inhibition at the level of the spinal DH,\textsuperscript{32} if GABA responses were depressed, the disinhibition of the presynaptic inhibition would result in facilitation of nociceptive information transmission in the spinal cord.\textsuperscript{8} Our previous study showed that at 48 hours after operation, the immunoactivity and mRNA expression

Figure 5 Effect of EA on expression of GABA\textsubscript{A}α2R mRNA and protein in C3–6 DRGs of incisional neck pain rats. Notes: (A) The mRNA expression of GABA\textsubscript{A}α2R and (B) the protein expression of GABA\textsubscript{A}α2R. Expression of GABA\textsubscript{A}α2R mRNA and protein was decreased in the model group in comparison with the normal group. EA at LI18 and LI4–PC6 could upregulate the expression of GABA\textsubscript{A}α2R mRNA and protein in C3–6 DRGs compared with the model group (n=5 in each group). Data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA and expressed as mean±SD of the mean. \#P<0.05, \#\#P<0.001 vs the normal group; \*P<0.05, \*\*\*P<0.001 vs the model group; \*\*P<0.05 vs the LI18 group; \*\*\*P<0.001 vs the LI4–PC6 group.

Abbreviations: DRG, dorsal root ganglion; EA, electroacupuncture; GABA, \γ-aminobutyric acid.
of SP and CGRP were significantly increased along with a decrease of thermal PT. In the present study, we further found that after cervical incision, the immunoactivity, gene and protein expression of GABA$_{\alpha2}$R were downregulated accompanied with upregulation of SP immunoactivity, which means an interaction occurred between GABAergic modulation and SP. Similar results were reported in neuropathic pain rats. While GABA$_{\alpha}$ receptor agonists applied to the L5 DRG at the time of a sciatic nerve crush injury caused dose-dependent, long-lasting alleviation of thermal hyperalgesia, GABA$_{\alpha}$ receptor antagonists, bicuculline and picrotoxin, exacerbated thermal hyperalgesia, indicating an important role of GABAergic modulation in DRGs.

In regard to the action of SGCs in DRGs, it has been demonstrated that they play a crucial role in nociceptive signal transmission. SGCs have marked K$^+$ permeability to maintain normal extracellular K$^+$ concentrations, being closely associated with altered excitability of primary sensory neurons. The GABA$_B$R, a G protein-coupled receptor, consists of two subunits, GABA$_B_1$ and GABA$_B_2$. SGCs

**Figure 6** Effect of EA on the expression of GABA$_B_1$ mRNA and protein in C3–6 DRGs of incisional neck pain rats.

**Notes:** (A) The mRNA expression of GABA$_B_1$. (B) Protein expression of GABA$_B_1$. Expression of GABA$_B_1$ mRNA and protein was decreased in the model group in comparison with the normal group. EA at LI18 and LI4–PC6 could upregulate the expression of GABA$_B_1$ mRNA and protein in C3–6 DRGs (n=5 per group). Data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA and expressed as mean±SD of the mean. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 vs the normal group; *P<0.05, **P<0.01 vs the model group; *P<0.05, **P<0.001 vs the LI18 group; *P<0.05 vs the LI4–PC6 group.

**Abbreviations:** DRG, dorsal root ganglion; EA, electroacupuncture; GABA, γ-aminobutyric acid.
demonstrated that GABABR was coexpressed with SGCs in extracellular potassium ion (K⁺) concentrations following excitation of TRG neurons during the processing of sensory information, including the transmission of pain signals. This buffering activity took up K⁺ to offset extracellular K⁺ accumulation during neuronal firing, thus preventing action potential “short-circuiting” and uncontrolled excitability changes. Our previous study showed that cervical incision could increase the immunoactivity and mRNA expression of GFAP and upregulate the mRNA expression and protein content of proinflammatory cytokines IL-1β, IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor-α in C3–6 DRGs, suggesting that the activation of SGCs and subsequent release of proinflammatory cytokines played an important role in maintaining incisional pain. In the present experiment, immunofluorescence double labeling of C3–6 DRG tissues displayed that SP-IR-positive cells were small-sized neurons, GABA_α2R IR-positive cells were small- and medium-sized neurons, while GABA_αR1 IR-positive cells belonged to SGCs. These results are basically identical to Poorkhalkali et al.’s findings that DRG neurons were positive for both the GABA_αR1 and GABA_βγ subunits, and the former stained the cells much more intensely. Satellite cells were labeled with the GABA_βγ antibody. Thus, we speculate that EA at LI18 and LI4–PC6 possibly enhanced the GABA released from C3–6 DRG neurons which bind GABAB receptor Gβγ subunit to activate Kir4.1 current in the SGCs, further decreasing the extracellular K⁺ concentration to inactivate the SGCs. Consequently, the release of proinflammatory cytokines was reduced and the nociceptive signal transmission to the spinal DH was suppressed, resulting in pain relief at last. However, the detailed processing course needs to be studied further.

As for the selection of stimulating frequency 2/100 Hz of EA in the present study, we mainly consider the following: 1) keeping the efficacy of EA stimulation by avoiding possible adaptation or tolerance of continuous electrical stimulation in the rat if one fixed frequency is used and 2) spinal cord GABA has been demonstrated to be involved in the antinociceptive effect of EA stimulation of ST36 and Sanyinjiao (SP6) at both 2 and 2/100 Hz in hind paw incisional pain rats. Our findings of the present study that GABAergic modulation in the C3–6 DRGs was implicated in the analgesic effect of EA at 2/100 Hz by way of enhancing the function of GABABRs and subsequently promoting the interaction between SP+ and GABA+ neurons and between GABA+ neurons and SGCs in cervical DRGs in incisional neck pain rats are thus highly reasonable. But the limitation of this study is lack of detailed interaction process, which we will investigate further.

**Conclusion**

EA stimulation of LI18 and LI4–PC6 has an analgesic effect in incisional neck pain rats, which is closely associated with its effects in upregulating the levels of GABABR expressing on SP neurons and GABA_αR expressing on SGCs and downregulating the activities of SP neurons and SGCs in C3–6 DRGs. These data suggest that interactions between neurons and between neurons and SGCs may contribute to the analgesic effect of EA of LI18 and LI4–PC6. These results provide an experimental evidence for application of EA therapy for relieving postoperative pain of thyroidectomy.
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