Comparison of intraocular pressure measurements with the portable PT100 noncontact tonometer and Goldmann applanation tonometry
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Purpose: Noncontact tonometers are useful when regulations preclude use of contact tonometers by medical students and other nonophthalmologists. Our study compared the measurements by the portable, noncontact tonometer (PT100) with Goldmann applanation tonometry (GAT).

Methods: This was a prospective study of 98 eyes from 98 patients. Intraocular pressure (IOP) was measured by GAT and the PT100 (Reichert, Buffalo, NY).

Results: Mean IOP measurements showed no significant differences in measurements performed by the two tonometers (P = 0.64). Measurements by the two tonometers were in agreement by ≤3 mmHg in 92.8% of eyes. Linear regression analysis of PT100 vs GAT measurements revealed a slope of 0.98 with $r^2 = 0.58$. Bland–Altman analysis showed a mean difference of measurements by GAT and PT100 of $-0.3$ mmHg with two standard deviation = 7.1 mmHg.

Conclusion: The portable noncontact PT100 tonometer provides IOP measurements comparable to GAT within the normal range of IOP.
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Introduction

The Goldmann applanation tonometer (GAT) has long been considered the most accurate and dependable instrument for determining intraocular pressure (IOP). Noncontact tonometers (NCTs) became available for clinical use over 30 years ago. IOP is measured based on the force required to applanate the cornea by a puff of air. Highly trained personnel are not needed to obtain measurements, and NCTs require no anesthetic or staining, which reduces the possibility of damaging the corneal surface and cross contamination. In one study, noncontact tonometry was found to be comparable to GAT in eyes after refractive surgery.

Since their introduction, NCTs have undergone technological improvements, simplifying their use and enhancing overall accuracy. The portable NCT, Reichert PT100 (Buffalo, NY), has been used in community screenings supported by the Friends of the Congressional Glaucoma Caucus and the Student Sight Savers Program in the United States. Although various studies have demonstrated the accuracy and precision of noncontact or “air puff” tonometers in measuring IOP and their correlation with GAT, only one recent article has reported comparable performance of PT100 and GAT. The purpose of this study was to further assess the agreement in IOP measurements between GAT and NCT as measured by the PT100.
Methods

This was a prospective comparative consecutive case series. The study population was recruited from Comprehensive Service of UT Department of Ophthalmology in Memphis, Tennessee. Patients with corneal diseases and previous corneal surgery were excluded from the study. These patients did not have glaucoma based on medical history or previous exams. Institutional Review Board committee approval was obtained.

Patients signed a written consent to have their IOP checked via both the PT100 and GAT techniques, and one eye (right eye) was arbitrarily chosen for analysis. Patients were recruited from both morning and afternoon clinics and IOP measurements were obtained at different time intervals. A medical student operated the PT100, while the Goldmann tonometers were operated by trained residents and fellows in the clinics. All IOP readings were taken in the sitting position over fifteen minutes in a masked manner. NCT was performed before the GAT to avoid the known mild reduction of IOP by anterior chamber compression with GAT.8

In order to minimize the effect of cardiac pulse on IOP when using the PT100, an average of three readings was recorded, as recommended by the manufacturer.6 Any measurement with low confidence interval, as marked with an asterisk, or any out of class reading (varying by 4 mmHg compared to other measurements), marked in brackets, was repeated. After instillation of topical proparacaine drops, GAT was performed according to standard protocol, using a Haag–Streit slit lamp, which was calibrated according to the instructions and schedule provided by the manufacturer. Only the first GAT measurement on each eye was used for the GAT data since previous literature has reported that serial readings using the GAT result in a statistically significant decrease in final IOP measurements.8

An unpaired T-test was performed to compare the mean IOP measurements obtained with two methods. Linear regression analysis of the data from the two instruments was performed. The two techniques were compared using the Bland–Altman Test for Correspondence. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL) and Primer of Biostatistics software. P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 98 eyes in 98 patients were studied. The mean age was 62.6 ± 13.8 years. The study population consisted of 61% men and 39% women; 56% African-American and 44% Caucasian. The mean ± SD intraocular pressure measurements were 15.98 ± 5.48 mmHg and 15.65 ± 4.26 mmHg for the PT100 and GAT, respectively (P = 0.64). The median IOP was 15.0 mmHg for the GAT and 14.3 for the PT100 measurements. The range of measurements by GAT was from 4 to 29 mmHg and by PT100 was 7 to 33 mmHg.

A linear regression analysis of PT100 and GAT measurements is shown in Figure 1. Linear regression analysis of PT100 vs GAT measurements revealed a slope of 0.98 with r² of 0.58, indicating comparable performance between the two instruments. The proportion of eyes with GAT measurement of IOP ≥ 21 mmHg detected by PT100 was 8/8 (100%) and the proportion of eyes with GAT measurement of IOP < 21 mmHg detected by PT100 was 79/90 (87%). The frequency of measurements by the two tonometers that were in agreement by ≤3 mmHg was 92.8%.

Results of the Bland–Altman plot are shown in Figure 2. The mean difference between the measurements in eyes by the different techniques was −0.3 mmHg, with two SD = 7.1 mmHg. The difference between measurements appeared to increase with increasing IOP, suggesting an increase in variation as the magnitude of the measurements increased.

Discussion

The hand-held Reichert PT100 allows portable noncontact tonometry. The role of tonometry in glaucoma screening has been controversial and appears to be of limited diagnostic value as a solitary test.9 Nevertheless, it is commonly performed in glaucoma screenings in conjunction with other diagnostic modalities.10,11 Screenings may utilize nonmedical and unlicensed personnel. Since NCT does not require topical anesthetic or staining drops and the readings are largely operator independent, it allows screenings to be implemented without the direct supervision of medical doctors, allowing screening staff relative autonomy in operation.12 In this study, we found that the portable NCT tonometer provided measurements of IOP that were comparable to GAT in normal patients.

Previous comparative studies of IOP measurements recorded with NCT and GAT have shown clinical agreement between the two devices,4,6 with a tendency towards lower reliability with NCT in the higher pressures ranges.6,13 PT100 utilizes the same basic operating principles as the newer generation desktop models, such as the AT550 (Depew, NY, USA). In contrast to AT550, PT100 is battery operated and weighs only 1.3 kg. AT550 measurements have been found equivalent to GAT in both normal14 and glaucomatous subjects,15 and comparable IOP measurements have

\[ \text{mean} \pm \text{SD} \]
been found with portable NCTs and previous generations of NCTs.\textsuperscript{7,12}

In our study, PT100 and GAT demonstrated a close level of agreement when compared within the normal range of IOP levels, as shown by the correlation of measurements in linear regression analysis and Bland–Altman analysis for correspondence. Bland–Altman analysis suggested increased variation with increased magnitude of the measurements. Differences in the performance of GAT and NCT have been reported with extreme range of IOP values.\textsuperscript{12} In the small

---

**Figure 1** Linear regression analysis of PT100 noncontact tonometer and GAT measurements of intraocular pressure. The slope was 0.98 with a correlation coefficient of 0.58 ($r^2$).

**Abbreviation:** GAT, Goldmann applanation tonometry.

---

**Figure 2** Bland–Altman test for correspondence of PT100 noncontact tonometer and GAT measurements of intraocular pressure. The mean difference of IOP was $-0.3$ mmHg, with two standard deviations $= 7.1$ mmHg.

**Abbreviations:** GAT, Goldmann applanation tonometry; IOP, intraocular pressure.
subset of eyes, the PT100 identified the majority of eyes with IOP ≥ 21 mmHg; however, further evaluation with a large sample size is needed to determine the accuracy of the device with increased IOP, especially in screening settings where candidates may present with different levels of IOP.

Potential limitations of NCT include the need for proper fixation, especially with PT100 since it does not have a headrest. Although internal indicators within the device direct centration and improved alignment, some degree of practice is required to obtain accurate measurements. The corneal surface should be regular and smooth for accurate measurements. One study has advised about the remote possibility of infection with NCT due to microaerosol formation. Another potential drawback is that all tonometers, including both GAT and NCT, are affected by corneal properties, such as corneal thickness, curvature, rigidity, and hydration.

A limitation of this study was the relatively small numbers of eyes for analysis of measurement of increased IOP, and the possible effects of central corneal thickness (CCT) on IOP measurements. Although this study was not intended to evaluate the influence of CCT on IOP measurements, NCTs have been shown to be affected more by CCT than GAT. Murase and colleagues have reported that PT100 is more affected by variations in CCT than GAT.

Conclusion

The PT100 noncontact tonometer provides an accurate measurement of IOP when compared with GAT within the normal range of IOP. Further studies are needed to explore these relationships in eyes with raised pressure. The PT100 has the advantage of portability, suggesting a possible use by non-medical personnel for nonoffice-based IOP measurement.
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