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Abstract: Apgar score was devised with the aim to standardize the assessment of newborns. It has been used worldwide to evaluate infants’ condition immediately after birth, to determine their need for resuscitation, and to evaluate the effectiveness of resuscitation. Apgar score was never intended for prediction of outcome beyond the immediate postnatal period; however, since low scores correlate with prenatal and perinatal adversities, multiple studies have examined the relation between the value of Apgar score and duration of low (<7) Apgar score and subsequent death or neurologic disability. This article reviews such studies. The author concludes that the overall evidence shows consistent association of low Apgar scores with increased risks of neonatal and infant death and with neurologic disability, including cerebral palsy, epilepsy, and cognitive impairment. Dose-response patterns have been shown for the value of Apgar score and duration of low score and the outcomes of mortality and neurologic disability. The association of Apgar score <7 at five minutes with increased risks of neurologic disability seems to persist many years postnatally. Some corresponding relative risk estimates are large (eg, four to seven for epilepsy or more than 20 for cerebral palsy), while others are modest (eg, 1.33 for impaired cognitive function). The absolute risks, however, are low (<5% in for most neurologic conditions), and majority of surviving babies with low Apgar scores grow up without disability. The low magnitude of absolute risks makes Apgar score a poor clinical predictor of long-term outcome. Nevertheless, the observed associations point to the importance of fetal and perinatal periods for neurodevelopment.
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Introduction
Motivated by lack of a method for standardized assessment of newborns, Virginia Apgar, an anesthesiologist at Columbia University Presbyterian Hospital in New York, developed and described, in a classic 1953 paper,1 her scoring system, intended “to predict survival” and “to compare…methods of resuscitation…and perinatal experience across hospitals and obstetric practices.”2 From a list of “objective signs which pertained in any way to the condition of the infant at birth,”3 Dr Apgar selected “five signs, which could be determined easily and without interfering with the care of the infant…A rating of zero, one or two, was given to each sign depending on whether it was absent or present. A score of ten indicated a baby in the best possible condition” (Figure 1).1

The five signs of the Apgar score are heart rate, respiratory effort, muscle tone, reflex irritability, and color. The current rating guidelines by the American College of Obstetrician and Gynecologists1 are given in Table 1 (the guidelines have changed little since the original description of the score).1 In the two reports...
following the score description, Dr Apgar provided further refinement, observation, and advice regarding its use.\(^2,4\) She also presented evidence that Apgar score did in fact measure condition at birth, noting, for example, the inverse association between the value of the score and rates of neonatal death.\(^1,4\)

Apgar score was quickly adopted for use worldwide, becoming “common currency”\(^5\) among perinatologists. The score was initially measured at one minute after birth. A second measurement, at five minutes of age, was subsequently added, and it has been used to assist in judging the effectiveness of resuscitation, if initiated in response to a low one-minute score.\(^2\) Thus, with the exception of a small proportion of infants whose resuscitation begins seconds after birth, one-minute Apgar score reflects a natural condition at one minute after both head and feet emerge from the birth canal. The five-minute Apgar score reflects response to resuscitation among infants in whom it was initiated. Most, but not all, infants with a low one-minute score reach a five-minute score in the 7–10 range.\(^6\) If an infant does not improve sufficiently after five minutes, Apgar score may be continuously measured (for up to 25 minutes postpartum) to assess the effectiveness of continuing resuscitation.

In order to reduce the “noise” from the partially subjective nature of the scoring (eg, the “color” component) Dr. Apgar suggested categorizing her score as low (0–3), intermediate (4–6), and normal (7–10).\(^3\) The categories were defined based on the observed neonatal mortality patterns. Because very small proportion of newborns have Apgar scores in the 0–3 range, research studies often examine outcomes among infants with low and intermediate scores combined (<7). Therefore, unless specified otherwise, the term ‘low Apgar score’ in this review will refer to a score < 7.

Risk factors for low Apgar scores include abnormalities of gestational length and prenatal growth; congenital malformations; as well as health, demographic, and socioeconomic characteristics of the parents\(^7,8\) (Table 2). In developed countries, about 1% of newborns have Apgar score < 7 at five minutes. The reported specific prevalences for year 2000 are 0.7% in Denmark\(^9\) and 1.4% in the United States.\(^10\) The lower proportion of infants with scores < 7 in Scandinavia may reflect social and ethnic homogeneity, combined with uniform access to obstetric care. In Sweden, an increase in the prevalence of five-minute Apgar scores < 7 was reported between 1992 and 1997; explanations offered for the rise included increasing prevalence of multiple births, growing immigrant population, and more frequent use of epidural anesthesia.\(^11\) Higher prevalence of Apgar scores < 7 is reported for developing countries (eg, 2.8% in Uganda),\(^12\) indicating that the distribution of low Apgar score in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sign</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>≥100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory effort</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Weak cry, hypoventilation</td>
<td>Good, crying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflex irritability</td>
<td>No response</td>
<td>Grimace</td>
<td>Cry or active withdrawal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle tone</td>
<td>Limp</td>
<td>Some flexion of extremities</td>
<td>Active motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>Blue, pale</td>
<td>Body pink, extremities blue</td>
<td>Completely pink</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 Dr Virginia Apgar holds a newborn baby upside-down to test its reflex irritability – one of the five Apgar score items (1959). Copyright © 2009. Reproduced with permission. Series 6 of the L. Stanley James Papers (MS 0782) in the Mount Holyoke College Archives and Special Collections. Available from: http://mtholyoke.cahnhost.com/u/?p=1030coll8.3155.
population may in fact reflect level of available obstetric care, economic situation, or nutrition.

Apgar score was never intended to be a predictor of long-term outcome. Nevertheless, its correlation with many maternal and fetal signs of prenatal and intrapartum adversities (Table 2) has been repeatedly noted. Since some of these traits (such as prematurity) increase the risk neurologic disability, many studies have examined the association of Apgar score with neurologic sequelae. The goal of this article is to provide overview of evidence regarding the association of Apgar scores with mortality and neurologic disability. This review is restricted to evidence from developed countries.

### Methods
Between January 1st, 1999 and February 20, 2009, there were 1070 English-language MEDLINE-listed publications with abstracts containing the term ‘Apgar score’ in title or abstract. From these articles and those found by the related-article and manual searches, I primarily selected reports examining association of the Apgar score with mortality and/or neurologic disability published in the last 10 years. This period was chosen because it was judged relevant to current obstetric practices. I also, however, included studies published before 1999, if they exemplified initial efforts to address an association. This review covers 17 studies, examining the outcome of mortality (N = 6) and/or neurologic disability (N = 14). The study also demonstrated the effect of prolonged Apgar score < 7 on mortality. Compared with infants whose Apgar score was 7–10 at both one and five minutes, the relative risk of neonatal death among infants with one-minute Apgar score 0–3 decreased from 642 (95% CI: 442–934) to 70 (95% CI: 43–114) to 6 (95% CI: 1–24), depending on whether the five-minute score 0–3 was RR = 1460 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 835–2555). This estimate was eight times greater than the relative risk associated with umbilical-artery pH ≤ 7.0, leading to the conclusion that a five-minute Apgar score < 4 is a better predictor of neonatal death than fetal acidosis among term infants. A recent report of a Norwegian registry-based study of term, non-low birth weight (non-LBW, birth weight ≥ 2500 g) infants estimated risks of neonatal death at 16.4%, 2.3%, and 0.05% among newborns with five-minute Apgar scores of 0–3, 4–6, and 7–10, respectively. The study also demonstrated the effect of prolonged Apgar score < 7 on mortality. Compared with infants whose Apgar score was 7–10 at both one and five minutes, the relative risk of neonatal death among infants with one-minute Apgar score 0–3 decreased from 642 (95% CI: 442–934) to 70 (95% CI: 43–114) to 6 (95% CI: 1–24), depending on whether the five-minute score remained in the 0–3 range at five minutes, or rose to the 4–6, or 7–10 level. Based on the recent evidence, relative risk for neonatal death associated with five-minute Apgar score 0–3 vs 7–10 ranges from 442 to > 2500 among term infants.

There are also reports of association between Apgar score and longer-term mortality. In a 1981 US study, the reported infant mortality (risk of death within the first year of life) among non-LBW infants with five-minute Apgar scores 0–3 was 15.5%; infant mortality was 5.7% among newborns with five-minute Apgar scores 4–6; and it was 1.0% among newborns with scores 7–10. The estimates were higher for LBW infants and those with prolonged low Apgar scores (59% if a score 0–3 persisted for 20 minutes). In Norway, infant mortality among non-LBW children with Apgar scores 0–3, 4–6 and 7–10 at five minutes was 19.2%, 2.7%, and 0.3%. The estimated risks of death in the first eight years of life were 3.0%, 0.4%, and 0.2% for the three Apgar score categories listed above.

### Results

#### Apgar score and mortality
Studies have consistently shown an inverse relation between the value of Apgar score and neonatal mortality (death within 28 days of life).

**Table 2: Risk factors for low Apgar scores**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parents</th>
<th>Child</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primiparity</td>
<td>Preterm birth (&lt;37 weeks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of anesthesia during labor</td>
<td>Postterm birth (≥42 weeks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age at birth &lt; 19 years</td>
<td>Low birth weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age at birth &gt; 44 years</td>
<td>Breech presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black race</td>
<td>Small size for gestational age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicators of low socioeconomic status</td>
<td>Large size for gestational age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal smoking in pregnancy</td>
<td>Congenital malformations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternal age &gt; 44 years</td>
<td>Hypoxia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trauma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The lower the value of Apgar score, the greater the proportion of infants dying neonatally. An early report on >17,000 infants showed that five-minute Apgar score had a stronger association with neonatal mortality than one-minute Apgar score. A recent study of >150,000 malformation-free singleton infants in the USA reported an inverse association between the value of five-minute Apgar score and the incidence of neonatal death. Among infants born preterm (before 37 weeks’ gestation), the risks of neonatal death per 1000 live births were 31.5, 72, and 6, for those with five-minute Apgar scores 0–3, 4–6, and 7–10, respectively; among term infants (born at 37 weeks’ gestation or later), the corresponding estimates were 24.4, 9, and 0.2 per 1000 live births. Among term infants in that study, the relative risk (RR) of neonatal death associated with five-minute Apgar score of 0–3 was RR = 1460 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 835–2555). This estimate was eight times greater than the relative risk associated with umbilical-artery pH ≤ 7.0, leading to the conclusion that a five-minute Apgar score < 4 is a better predictor of neonatal death than fetal acidosis among term infants. A recent report of a Norwegian registry-based study of term, non-low birth weight (non-LBW, birth weight ≥ 2500 g) infants estimated risks of neonatal death at 16.4%, 2.3%, and 0.05% among newborns with five-minute Apgar scores of 0–3, 4–6, and 7–10, respectively.

The study also demonstrated the effect of prolonged Apgar score < 7 on mortality. Compared with infants whose Apgar score was 7–10 at both one and five minutes, the relative risk of neonatal death among infants with one-minute Apgar score 0–3 decreased from 642 (95% CI: 442–934) to 70 (95% CI: 43–114) to 6 (95% CI: 1–24), depending on whether the five-minute score remained in the 0–3 range at five minutes, or rose to the 4–6, or 7–10 level. Based on the recent evidence, relative risk for neonatal death associated with five-minute Apgar score 0–3 vs 7–10 ranges from 442 to >2500 among term infants.

There are also reports of association between Apgar score and longer-term mortality. In a 1981 US study, the reported infant mortality (risk of death within the first year of life) among non-LBW infants with five-minute Apgar scores 0–3 was 15.5%; infant mortality was 5.7% among newborns with five-minute Apgar scores 4–6; and it was 1.0% among newborns with scores 7–10. The estimates were higher for LBW infants and those with prolonged low Apgar scores (59% if a score 0–3 persisted for 20 minutes). In Norway, infant mortality among non-LBW children with Apgar scores 0–3, 4–6 and 7–10 at five minutes was 19.2%, 2.7%, and 0.3%. The estimated risks of death in the first eight years of life were 3.0%, 0.4%, and 0.2% for the three Apgar score categories listed above.
Table 3 Studies examining the relation between five-minute Apgar score and neurologic disability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Max follow-up</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Five-minute Apgar score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Risk (Number of births) or incidence rate</td>
<td>Relative measure estimate (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson and Ellenberg, 14</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>BW ≤ 2500 g: 6.7% (381)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States 49,000 singleton live-born infants, 12 hospitals</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>Low IQ</td>
<td>4.7% (399)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seidman et al 15</td>
<td>Israel 1,937 male conscripts</td>
<td>Low IQ</td>
<td>7% (1,896)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moster et al 17</td>
<td>Norway &gt;235,000 live-born, term, non-LBW infants</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>6.8% (292)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MR without CP</td>
<td>1.3% (292)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neurologic disability without MR or CP</td>
<td>4.2% (292)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hultman et al 20</td>
<td>≤9 years</td>
<td>Infantile autism</td>
<td>3.4% (292)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neurologic disability</td>
<td>3.2 (1.2–8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ehrenstein et al 21</td>
<td>Denmark &gt; 130,000 single live-born infants</td>
<td>Epilepsy (inpatient)</td>
<td>3.5% (476)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun et al 9</td>
<td>Denmark &gt; 1.5 million live-born infants</td>
<td>Epilepsy (inpatient and outpatient)</td>
<td>IR = 628 per 100,000 person-years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Endpoint</td>
<td>Low Apgar (7) OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorngren-Jerneck and Herbst</td>
<td>&gt;4 years</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>85.8 (67.6–108.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden Registry-based case-control study of &gt;1.6 million births</td>
<td>18 years</td>
<td>Low IQ (&lt;81)</td>
<td>1.33 (0.72–2.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odd et al^25^</td>
<td>18 years</td>
<td>Low IQ (bottom quartile)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark &gt; 170,000 male infants</td>
<td>19 years</td>
<td>Low IQ (bottom quartile)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ehrenstein et al^26^</td>
<td></td>
<td>Neurologic diseases leading to army rejection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** BW, birth weight; CI, confidence interval; CP, cerebral palsy; IQ, intelligence quotient; IR, incidence rate; IRR, incidence rate ratio; LBW, low birth weight; MR, mental retardation; OR, odds ratio; RR, relative risk.

**Notes:**
1. The following studies are not included in the table: Thorngren-Jerneck and Herbst^1^ because the reference group was not clearly identified; Serunian and Broman^13^ and by Lawlor and colleagues^24^ because neither of them reported relative measures (only mean differences in IQ); Serunian and Broman only examined one-minute Apgar score; Moster and colleagues^19^ because it addressed association with neurologic outcomes of low Apgar score combined with early neonatal symptoms, and therefore is not directly comparable with studies reporting outcomes after low Apgar score regardless of symptoms. All these studies are mentioned in the text.
2. Comparison with five-minute Apgar score 7–10 unless noted otherwise.
3. Risks of cerebral palsy reported in survivors; no absolute numbers or person-time data are reported to compute confidence limits.
4. Comparison reported for five-minute Apgar score ≥7 vs >7; relative risk was not reported; calculated for this table using Episheet (Rothman KJ). Episheet: Spreadsheets for the analysis of epidemiologic data are available at http://www.drgpi.info/Links/Downloads/Episheet.xls.
5. Only those surviving beyond age one year were included in the analysis of disability.
6. Estimate for infants with five-minute Apgar scores = 10, which was the reference group.
7. Estimates of absolute risks were not reported and could not be calculated from available data.
Apgar score and neurologic disability

Table 3 summarizes findings of studies reporting relative measures of association between five-minute Apgar scores and neurologic disability.

Cerebral palsy

Cerebral palsy was one of the first outcomes studied in relation to Apgar score. Nelson and Ellenberg, in the landmark study of 49,000 infants born in twelve US teaching hospitals, examined risk of cerebral palsy within the first seven years of life according to value of Apgar scores and duration of low scores.\(^\text{14}\) The pattern was similar to that observed for mortality, with lower score values and prolonged duration of low scores conferring increasingly greater risk of cerebral palsy. Among non-LBW infants with an Apgar score < 4 lasting one minute or less, the risk of cerebral palsy within first seven years of life was 0.7%, increasing to 0.9%, 4.7%, 9.1% and 57.1% if the score < 4 persisted for 5, 10, 15, or 20 minutes (as compared with the background risk of 0.2%). A similarly clear monotone increase was lacking among the LBW infants. This was attributed to high neonatal mortality among LBW infants with low Apgar scores.\(^\text{14}\) Among 87 infants who survived after having Apgar score 0–3 lasting ten minutes or longer and who were free of cerebral palsy, eight were reported to have other handicaps (eg, hearing impairment, mild mental retardation) before age 7.\(^\text{14}\)

In Norway, the reported risks of cerebral palsy in the first eight years of life among infants with Apgar scores 0–3, 4–6, and 7–10 at five minutes were 6.8%, 2.7%, and 0.09%, respectively.\(^\text{17}\) The relative risk of cerebral palsy decreased in relation to whether Apgar scores 0–3 at one minute remained in that range (RR = 145; 95% CI: 85–248), or achieved by five minutes in the 4–6 range (RR = 57; 95% CI: 38–86) or the 7–10 range (RR = 17; 95% CI: 9–32). Comparisons were relative to infants with Apgar scores 7–10 at both one and five minutes. Similar patterns were observed for other neurodevelopmental outcomes (Table 3).\(^\text{17}\) Comparable findings regarding cerebral palsy were reported in a large registry-based case-control study in Sweden (Table 3).\(^\text{22}\)

Epilepsy and seizures

In a registry-based cohort study of >130,000 singletons born in 1978–2001 in one Danish county, Apgar score < 7 at five minutes was associated with an increased risk of epilepsy hospitalization in the first 12 years of life (RR = 2.4; 95% CI: 1.5–3.8).\(^\text{21}\) Using data from the same period, but including all births in Denmark (>1.5 million), another group of investigators assessed risk of in- and outpatient epilepsy diagnosis in relation to one- and five-minute Apgar score and their combination. There were up to 25 years of follow-up for each newborn.\(^\text{9}\) The study showed a dose-response pattern of association between Apgar score at five minutes and long-term risk of epilepsy. Relative risk estimates (95% CI) were 1.45 (1.35–1.56); 1.89 (1.72–2.07); 2.44 (2.13–2.78); 4.22 (3.78–4.72); and 7.14 (95% CI: 5.79–8.81), respectively, among infants whose five-minute Apgar scores were nine, eight, seven, 4–6, or 1–3, relative to infants with a five-minute score of ten. The magnitude and pattern of association remained essentially unaffected by excluding outpatient epilepsy cases or by considering epilepsy risk only among those without congenital malformation or cerebral palsy. The relative effects were weaker for preterm births, probably because of the high background risk in that group.\(^\text{9}\) The absolute risks of epilepsy, however, remained comparatively low even in the lowest five-minute Apgar score category (incidence rate, 628 per 100,000).\(^\text{9}\) Both Danish studies showed stronger association of low Apgar score with epilepsy diagnosed in the first year of life.\(^\text{9,21}\)

An earlier study using data from Swedish population registry reported and association between Apgar score < 7 at five minutes and risk of epilepsy in term, nonmalformed infants.\(^\text{11}\) Because the length of follow-up is not stated and it is not clear how variable follow-up was addressed, the estimates of association of Apgar score with epilepsy are hard to interpret. The study reports prevalence of (presumably neonatal) seizures of 6.4% for term infants with five-minute Apgar score < 7 as compared with the prevalence of 0.09% among infants with scores in 7–10 range (relative risk = 71; 95% CI: 64–74).\(^\text{11}\) Finally, in a relatively small Norwegian study, the prevalences of neonatal seizures corresponding to five-minute Apgar scores 0–3, 4–6, and 7–10 were, respectively, 11.6%, 4.7%, and 0.3% among infants free of birth defects or major neurologic impairment at birth.\(^\text{19}\) The same study reported inverse relation between five-minute Apgar score and proportion of newborns needing ventilator treatments of having feeding difficulties.\(^\text{19}\)

Cognitive function

In 1975, a positive correlation was first reported between Apgar score at one minute and mean Bayley mental and motor scores in children at the age of eight months.\(^\text{13}\) More
recently, using data from parents’ questionnaires and national reports of disability benefits in Norway, five-minute Apgar scores < 7, and particularly five-minute Apgar scores < 4, in combination with symptoms of neonatal encephalopathy, were shown to be associated with increased risks of minor motor impairments, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder-related diagnoses, and with visual impairment. There appeared to have been little association between Apgar score < 7 and the examined impairments in the absence of neonatal encephalopathy symptoms, although the relevant data were sparse.19

Evidence regarding adult intelligence quotient (IQ) in relation to Apgar scores comes from studies based on linkage of birth data with results of routine IQ testing at conscription.15,25,26 The first such study failed to find an association between Apgar score and adult cognitive function.15 A low overall prevalence of low (<85) IQ scores reported in that study (7% instead of 15% expected given the distribution of IQ scores in the population) is an indication of possible selection bias, whereby conscripts with cognitive impairment may have been exempt from the routine IQ testing.15 A study of Danish male draftees examined neurologic outcomes and army rejection rates among men who were exempt from IQ testing.26 Apgar score < 7 at five minutes was associated with a four-fold increased risk of a neurologic disability in both exempt and non-exempt men and with six-fold risk of neurologic disability that led to army exemption. There was a modest association between five-minute Apgar score < 7 and prevalence of IQ scores in the bottom quartile (prevalence ratio = 1.33 compared with five-minute Apgar score of 10, Table 3).26 In the largest study to date (>170,000 Swedish conscripts), the increase in the risk of low cognitive function associated with five-minute Apgar score < 7 (vs 7–10) was nearly identical (odds ratio = 1.35) despite different types of IQ tests used to measure cognitive function.25 The study showed little association between briefly (<5 minutes) low Apgar score and subsequent cognitive performance.25 Reported mean decrease in IQ associated with Apgar score < 7 at five minutes amounts to one-tenth of one standard deviation, ranging from 1.2 to 1.8 IQ points.24–26

**Discussion**

Most studies consistently show that low Apgar scores, particularly when prolonged, are associated with greater risk of neonatal death and with subsequently diagnosed neurologic disability.

Low Apgar score is associated with correlates of brain damage, such as hypoxic–ischemic encephalopathy (HIE) and acidemia (pH < 7.0 of the umbilical-cord blood). Reported prevalence of HIE from a recent study was 70%, 14%, and 0% among term, malformation-free infants with five-minute Apgar score 0–3, 4–6, and 7–10, respectively.27 Acidemia reportedly occurs in about 38% of term infants with five-minute Apgar scores < 7.28 Acidemia may be indicative of hypoxia, which in turn could lead to HIE. HIE may cause neurologic dysfunction in term newborns,29,30 and it accounts for about 20% of cerebral palsy cases.21 Severe HIE is associated with up to 75% infant mortality.32 The co-occurrence of low Apgar scores with markers of hypoxia and with HIE is one possible mechanism underlying its association with death and neurologic disability.

Neurologic disability develops by a number of mechanisms, some of which involve HIE, but many still unknown. A low value of Apgar score may reflect the action of different sets of prenatal or perinatal factors that either cause neurologic morbidity or are markers of increased susceptibility.21 Furthermore, common causes that underlie infant’s failure to respond to resuscitation and its poor long-term neurologic prognosis could also partially account for the observed associations. There are likely to be individual differences with respect to the amount of asphyxia required to produce appreciable brain damage in survivors with low Apgar scores.14

Although relative risks reported for the associations between low Apgar scores and risks of neurologic disability are rather large, the corresponding absolute risks are low. Furthermore, majority of children with clinical disability do not have a history of a low Apgar score.3,14 This is hardly surprising given that there is imperfect correlation between low Apgar scores and conditions that predispose to neurologic damage, such as HIE. These conditions, in turn, imperfectly correlate with subsequent neurologic morbidity,33,34 further contributing to the dilution of the observed associations.

Among preterm infants, low values of some Apgar score components (eg, reflex irritability, muscle tone, respiratory effort) reflect physiological prematurity rather than newborn compromise.35,36 Therefore, the degree of correlation between low Apgar scores and potential markers of brain damage is weaker in preterm than in term infants. For example, the
shorter the gestation, the weaker the correlation appears to be between low Apgar score and acidosis (ie, larger proportions of preterm babies have low Apgar scores without evidence of acidosis). Similarly, relative risks of abnormal clinical findings associated with low Apgar scores in the first hours of life appear to be decreasing with decreasing gestational age. Preterm infants are at greater risk of adverse outcome regardless of Apgar score. Thus, the de-coupling of low Apgar score from signs of potential neurologic damage among preterm infants may explain weaker associations between low Apgar scores and risk of neurologic disability. At the same time, stronger association of low Apgar score with adverse outcome among term infants may be viewed as a strength, rather than a limitation, of Apgar score, since in term babies, Apgar score may indicate vulnerabilities that are not known or apparent.

Apgar score is a reliable index of a newborn’s condition immediately after birth, particularly in guiding decisions regarding resuscitation and in predicting neonatal death. The associations of low Apgar scores with neurologic disability have been shown with sufficient consistency, but the low associated absolute risks do not warrant use of low Apgar score to predict long-term neurologic prospects for individual infants. Rather, the associations may be taken as evidence of the potential biologic mechanisms that link prenatal and perinatal events with neurodevelopment.

Search strategy
I searched Medline for English language articles with abstracts, published from January 1st, 1999 to February 20, 2009, using the term ‘Apgar score’ in the title or abstract. I examined Medline-provided related articles, and also manually searched references of published papers.
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