Merkel cell carcinoma of the thigh: case report and review of the literature
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Background: Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC) is a kind of cutaneous neuroendocrine cancer with a poor prognosis. It is characterized by a high rate of recurrence and metastases, including distant metastases and regional nodal metastases. Clinically, MCC often manifests as obvious single painless hard nodules visible in sun irradiation of diameter <2 cm and not uncommonly >2 cm, with rapid growth and metastases, especially lymph node metastases. Due to the aspecific nature of MCC, it is often confused with other skin cancers. Exploring different treatments of MCC is necessary.

Case presentation: The current study describes the case of an 86-year-old retired man, who presented with a 2.5 × 2.0 × 1.2 cm red nodule on the right thigh, which was initially diagnosed as subcutaneous small cell cancer. Upon histological and immunohistochemical analysis, the tumor was consistent with a diagnosis of MCC.

Results: Antiangiogenic therapy combining endostar and apatinib was administered and a partial response achieved after 2.0 months of treatment, and 6.5 months of progression-free survival was achieved. Overall survival was 13.0 months.

Conclusion: We believe that antiangiogenic therapy is an extremely effective treatment for MCC, especially for patients who cannot tolerate chemotherapy and radiotherapy.
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Background
Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC) is a kind of cutaneous neuroendocrine cancer with a poor prognosis. It is characterized by a high rate of recurrence and metastases, including distant metastases and regional nodal metastases. There has been controversy about the origins of MCC organization, and different scholars have different views. Clinically, MCC often manifests as obvious single painless hard nodules visible in sun irradiation of diameter <2 cm and also not uncommonly >2 cm, with rapid growth and metastases, especially lymph node metastases. Clinically, MCC is easily confused with other cancers of the skin, such as metastasis of squamous cell carcinoma, melanoma, and other tumors. The positive expression of certain antibodies in immunohistochemical staining is an important diagnostic tool to distinguish MCC from these tumors. At present, the combination of postoperative radiotherapy and chemotherapy is often used, but there is no standard protocol for chemotherapy. Angiogenesis is an important process in tumor development. Inhibiting vascular regeneration can prolong survival and delay progression of disease. In this paper, we report a case of MCC treated with antiangiogenic therapy in our department combined with a literature review, in order to provide a reference for the clinical diagnosis and treatment of MCC.
Case presentation

This case concerns an 86-year-old man who used to be a soldier. He found a mass on the right thigh with a 2.5×2.0×1.2 cm red nodule at the beginning of May 2017. Surgical resection was performed at the local People’s Hospital. The results of pathological examination after surgery in local hospital showed a right thigh subcutaneous small cell malignant tumor of about 2.5×2.0×1.2 cm (Figure 1). The pathology immunohistochemistry in our hospital indicated CK-L, Syn+, Cga+, CD56+, Ki67+, CK-H+, CK7+, CK20+, TTF-1+, CD20+, CD3+, and S100+, implying MCC. According to the pathology and immunohistochemistry, he was diagnosed with MCC T2N1M0.

In mid-July 2017, multiple red masses appeared in the surgical incision area, of which the largest was hard and about 1.5 cm in diameter (Figure 2A). A metastatic lymph node was found in the right inguinal region on computed tomography (CT), ~2.2 cm in diameter without distant metastasis (Figure 3A). Next-generation sequencing from his peripheral blood showed KDM5A Gly31D mutation, which may have been associated with oncogenesis.

Due to his old age, the patient and his family members refused radiotherapy and chemotherapy. As MCC is closely related to neovascularization, antivascular therapy can be an effective treatment. As such, endostar 30 mg was administered intravenously on day 1–4 as the first cycle from August 2, 2017. After two cycles, the diameter of the major mass in the right thigh had reduced to 1 cm, and the remaining masses gradually subsided (Figure 2A–C). According to the RECIST standard, efficacy reached partial response. Before the third treatment, the masses became protruding, with a recurrent trend (Figure 2D). On CT, there was no change from before (Figure 3B). Therefore, apatinib was added at 250 mg every other day from the third cycle beginning October 22, 2017. After the next three cycles of treatment, the masses were disappearing (Figure 2D–F), while the diameter of the lymph node in the right inguinal region had reduced to 1.3 cm (Figure 3C). In summary, after nearly 5 months (five cycles) of endostar and apatinib treatment, clinical efficacy had reached a partial response. During treatment, common adverse reactions of endostar and apatinib, such as proteinuria, hypertension, hand–foot syndrome, and hematological toxicity, did not appear.

However, from the beginning of the sixth cycle on February 14, 2018, there was a new lesion of 1.2 cm diameter at the right thigh (Figure 2G), which indicated progressive disease. He then continued to receive endostar and apatinib. At the same time, everolimus 5 mg daily and tegafur 50 mg twice daily were administered. However, he stopped taking everolimus due to serious adverse effects of weakness and vomiting after 2 months. Three treatment cycles later, the diameter of the mass had gradually increased to 3 cm (Figure 2G–I). The latest CT on March 5, 2018 showed no significant changes compared with previously (Figure 3D). The patient was followed up for about 12 months. Finally, he died of infection at the beginning of June 2018. Progression-free survival was 6.5 months and overall survival 13.0 months.

The patient’s family provided written informed consent for publication of this report and accompanying images. We confirmed with the institutional review board of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University that institutional approval was not required to publish this case report.

Discussion

MCC is a cutaneous neuroendocrine malignancy. The disease occurs mainly in bodily areas exposed to sunlight, such as the face, neck, and limbs, with low incidence and high recurrence.3 People of different ages may develop the disease, especially those >70 years.4,5 Because MCC is relatively rare, it is easily misdiagnosed as other tumors of the skin, which may cause the lower incidence of the disease. Correct understanding and deeper exploration of MCC have become extremely important. The organizational origin of MCC is unclear. In 1978, Tang et al found that MCC may originate from MCs. MCs are

Figure 1 The pathology and immunohistochemistry of the patient.
Notes: (A) H&E staining with surgical specimens. (B) Immunohistochemistry: dot-like positivity for CK20. (C) Immunohistochemistry: negativity for CK7. (A) 200×; (B, C) 400×.
Merkel-cell carcinoma of the thigh

Figure 2 Changes in lesions during treatment of the patient.
Notes: (A) After surgery, before treatment; (B) after the first treatment; (C) after the second treatment; (D) after the third treatment; (E) after the fourth treatment; (F) after the fifth treatment; (G) after the sixth treatment; (H) after the seventh treatment; (I) after the eighth treatment. From the pictures, masses reduced significantly during two cycles (A–C). From the third cycle (D), tumors had a recurrent trend. After three cycles (D–F), tumors had started disappearing. From the sixth to eighth cycles (G–I), the masses were increasing gradually.

Figure 3 Changes on CT scans during treatment.
Note: (A) Metastatic lymph node (2.2 cm) on July 31, 2017; (B) metastatic lymph node (2.2 cm) on October 23, 2017; (C) metastatic lymph node (1.3 cm) on December 26, 2017; (D) metastatic lymph node (1.3 cm) on March 5, 2018.
Abbreviation: CT, computed tomography.
related to the sense of touch. In lower organisms, this sense of touch is like a mechanical stimulus. 1 MCs are derived from neuropathies and are members of the amine-precursor-uptake decarboxylation cell system. In recent years, new discoveries and different views on its origin have been gradually emerging. For example, the original multipotent stem cells and some kinds of progenitor cells have become the focus of many scholars, even though the exact basis for the origin of MCC tumors is still lacking. 2,6 Feng et al found that polyomaviruses could integrate with host genes, with clone proliferation in eight patients with MCC. 7 This virus had not previously been reported. MC polyomavirus is still considered the causative agent of MCC. Interestingly, polyomaviruses do not actually affect MCs. 8 MCC cells are distributed around the epidermis, especially in the skin appendages. According to morphology under microscopy, histological types of MCC are divided into three types: intermediate, small cell, and between the former two. Of these types, the most characteristic is the intermediate type, and the worst prognosis is the small cell type. On the basis of cancer cell morphology, immunohistochemistry can confirm the diagnosis of MCC. It is noteworthy that CK20 is a sensitive and specific indicator of MCC. 9 CKs (such as AE1/AE3, CAM5.2, pan-CK), CD5, CD17, CD117, NF, EMA, NSE, TTF1, and Bcl2, and the epithelial marker BER-EP4 are usually positive in MCC, with the exception of TTF1. The negative expression of TTF1 in combination with the positive expression of CK20 can differentiate MCC and SCLC (TTF+ and CK20-) to a certain degree. At the same time, NF+ combined with CK20+ also contributes to the differential diagnosis of MCC from other neuroendocrine carcinomas. 10 In this study, CK20+ was found by immunohistochemistry, and related neuroendocrine tumor markers, such as Syn and CD56, were all positive and TTF negative. Compared to other tumors, the incidence of MCC is low. Due to the lack of standard therapy, treatment for MCC is individual. When the patient has a surgical indication, a complete primary tumor resection is needed, and the lymph node is evaluated by sentinel lymph node operation. 11,12 Adjuvant radiotherapy is recommended for high-risk patients with local recurrence for incomplete resection or larger tumors (>2 cm). Moreover, Veness’s analysis showed that if the simple surgical resection was used, the median survival time was about 6 months. 13 When postoperative radiotherapy is added, median survival can be extended to 23 months. If lesions have metastasized, such as in lymph-node metastasis and especially distant metastasis, often adjuvant systemic chemotherapy is needed. 11 Distant metastases usually suggest further postoperative chemotherapy, but a study has reported that combined postoperative chemotherapy may reduce a patient’s survival time and increase postoperative recurrence. 14 Therefore, making an appropriate choice of chemotherapy is crucial. At this point, effective chemotherapy drugs include cisplatin, etoposide, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, and vincristine. 15–17 Although chemotherapy may be effective, a high rate of recurrence leads to a poor prognosis. Recently, some scholars summarized that PD1 or PDL1 inhibition could be regarded as a new first-line therapy for patients with metastatic MCC. 18 A National Cancer Institute-sponsored clinical trial had an estimated progression-free survival of 67% at 6 months by studying pembrolizumab (anti-PD1) in 25 patients with advanced MCC. Results eventually showed that pembrolizumab was effective (objective remission rate 62%). In March 2017, avelumab became the first PDL1 monoclonal antibody approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for MCC treatment. In January 2018, National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines recommended avelumab, pembrolizumab, and nivolumab as choices for patients with metastatic disease, and these drugs were becoming the new standard for patients with metastatic or unresectable MCC. Endostar and apatinib chemotherapy has not been reported to be used in MCC. In our case report, we recommended the patient receive chemotheraphy. Considering the age of the patient, family members refused chemoradiotherapy. The patient could not afford immunotherapy for financial reasons. Finally, individualized treatment with endostar and apatinib was used. Both of these were new biological products for angiogenesis inhibition, whose mechanism was inhibiting the migration of vascular endothelial cells, leading to an inhibition of tumor angiogenesis, blocking the nutritional supply of tumor cells, as well as achieving the purpose of inhibiting tumor proliferation or metastasis. Endostar can suppress the proliferation and migration of endothelial cells by inhibiting VEGFR signaling, repressing the cell cycle, and controlling antiapoptosis genes, eventually resulting in cell death. 20,21 In a clinical trial of breast cancer, 803 patients who were treated with neoadjuvant docetaxel, epirubicin, and endostar showed a relatively higher pathological complete response than the patients treated with just docetaxel + epirubicin. 22 Yao et al found that the addition of endostar to conventional chemotherapy treatment could effectively attenuate the development of peritoneal carcinomatosis and extend survival with high safety and tolerance in gastric cancer from a retrospective study. 23 After meta-analysis, An et al concluded that the chemotherapy regimen of endostar, vinorelbine, and cisplatin improved the prognosis of patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer without increasing the risk of toxicity. 24 Other studies have
also shown that endostar with conventional chemotherapy is an effective, well-tolerated regimen in tumors of pediatric osteosarcoma, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, non-small cell lung cancer, colorectal cancer, gastrointestinal cancer, and esophageal cancer.25-30 Apatinib is a novel, oral, small molecule tyrosine-kinase inhibitor of VEGFR. VEGFR can contribute to pathological angiogenesis, leading to tumor growth and metastasis.31,32 Many clinical studies have shown that apatinib prolongs survival with manageable side effects in solid tumors, including non-small cell lung cancer, gastrointestinal cancer, breast cancer, and hepatocellular carcinoma.32–38 Wang et al reported two patients with advanced esophageal cancer who could not tolerate chemotherapy. Both had dyspnea symptoms. However, these symptoms were significantly relieved quickly when they took apatinib. At the same time, their diseases were controlled for a certain time, which may demonstrate that apatinib is effective for some patients with esophageal cancer.39 In view of the clinical effectiveness of endostar and apatinib and the vascular correlation of MCC, it may be an effective treatment to select antivascular drug therapy.

Conclusion
Based on this case report and literature reviews of MCC, we understand that MCC is a rare tumor with neuroendocrine properties. It can be transferred to local lymph nodes in the early stage, and even disseminated to distant places. In this case report, for the first time, we report that antiangiogenic therapy is an extremely effective treatment for MCC, especially for patients who cannot tolerate chemotherapy and radiotherapy. In future, more clinical studies are needed to explore more efficacious treatment for MCC, as well as its biological behavior at a deeper level.
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