Safety and efficacy of alpha-1-antitrypsin augmentation therapy in the treatment of patients with alpha-1-antitrypsin deficiency
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Abstract: Alpha-1-antitrypsin deficiency (AATD), also known as alpha1-proteinase inhibitor deficiency, is an autosomal co-dominant condition. The genotypes associated with AATD include null, deficient, and dysfunctional alpha-1-antitrypsin (A1AT) variants, which result in low levels of circulating functional A1AT, unbalanced protease activity, and an increased risk of developing lung emphysema, the leading cause of morbidity in these patients. Furthermore, the most common abnormal genotype, Pi*ZZ may also cause trapping of abnormally folded protein polymers in hepatocytes causing liver dysfunction. A major focus of therapy for patients with lung disease due to AATD is to correct the A1AT deficiency state by augmenting serum levels with intravenous infusions of human plasma-derived A1AT. This strategy has been associated with effective elevations of A1AT levels and function in serum and lung epithelial fluid and observational studies suggest that it may lead to attenuation in lung function decline, particularly in patients with moderate impairment of lung function. In addition, an observational study suggests that augmentation therapy is associated with a reduction of mortality in subjects with AATD and moderate to severe lung impairment. More recent randomized placebo-controlled studies utilizing computer scan densitometry suggest that this therapy attenuates lung tissue loss. Augmentation therapy has a relative paucity of side effects, but it is highly expensive. Therefore, this therapy is recommended for patients with AATD who have a high-risk A1AT genotype with plasma A1AT below protective levels (11 µM) and evidence of obstructive lung disease. In this article, we review the published evidence of A1AT augmentation therapy efficacy, side effects, and safety profile.
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Introduction
Definition
Alpha-1-antitrypsin deficiency (AATD) is a hereditary autosomal co-dominant condition, which occurs from the inheritance of two protease inhibitor deficiency alleles of the alpha-1-antitrypsin (A1AT) gene. The A1AT gene, also known as alpha1-proteinase inhibitor gene, PI or SERPINA1, spans 12.2 kb on the human chromosome 14q31–32.3 and encodes a 52-kD single-chain glycoprotein composed of 394 amino acid residues and three asparagine-linked complex carbohydrate side chains. Hepatocytes are the primary source of A1AT, but other cells including mononuclear phagocytes and lining epithelial cells of the intestinal and respiratory tract, also synthesize this protein. A1AT’s main function is serine proteinase inhibition. Neutrophil elastase, a 29-kD extracellular endopeptidase is the preferred target for A1AT, which baits the proteinase at its active site forming a stable 1:1 equimolar complex, which is then cleared from the circulation.1
Prevalence
The AATD prevalence data indicate variable distribution around the globe, being highest in northwestern Europe, reaching 1:1,600 in Sweden. In the US, where the Z genes frequencies are highest in individuals of northern and western European descent, prevalence estimates range from 1:2,857 to 1:5,097.

Abnormal A1AT genotypes
A1AT is a highly pleomorphic protein, reflecting a polymorphic gene locus with approximately 100 alleles identified to date. Genotype variants are classified according to the protease inhibitor (PI) isoelectric focusing electrophoretic mobility and to the plasma level and function of A1AT. The normal variant includes the common M types, present in 95% of Caucasian individuals with normal A1AT plasma levels (>20 μmol/L). The deficiency variants include the more common Z and S variants found predominantly in the Mediterranean region as well as the rare “M-like” or “S-like” types. These variants are caused by a single amino acid mutation and are usually associated with plasma A1AT levels <20 μmol/L. The rare “null” variant is associated with undetectable A1AT levels. The dysfunctional forms are characterized by normal circulating levels of a functionally impaired A1AT.

Pathogenesis of lung and liver disease in AATD
The decrease in levels of circulating functional A1AT renders organs such as the lung susceptible to the unopposed action of activated neutrophil elastase released from inflammatory cells. The disrupted homeostasis between protease–antiproteases is further augmented by cigarette smoking, which is associated with excessive elastase activity, degradation of elastin in the lung parenchyma, and ultimately development of the chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), emphysema. Approximately 60% of individuals with AATD develop COPD, suggesting that AATD alone is usually not sufficient to cause emphysema, cigarette smoking being a major pathogenic co-factor in these individuals. Recent studies have shown that in addition to the classical protease–antiprotease imbalance in AATD, there are several other mechanisms implicated in the pathogenesis of lung disease in susceptible individuals. Oxidants in cigarette smoke have been shown to oxidize methionine residues within the A1AT active site and weaken its antiprotease function. Similarly, the mutant Z A1AT, which has a high propensity for forming polymers, inhibits neutrophil elastase at a slower rate than M A1AT. Furthermore, these polymers exert chemotactic activities, augmenting the neutrophil elastase burden and perhaps explaining why individuals with AATD have a larger number of neutrophils in the lung parenchyma compared to normal individuals. In addition, in recent years there was increasing evidence of serpins interacting with enzymes such as cathepsins or caspases which uncovered unexpected cytoprotective and antimicrobial roles to this class of molecules. Examples of noncanonical effects of A1AT, which we recently summarized, include intracellular uptake and inhibition of apoptosis of pulmonary vascular endothelial cells, direct anti-inflammatory effects on immune and lung vascular cells, and effects on alveolar and epithelial lung fluid volume regulation. Impairment of these protective mechanisms in AATD may contribute to the pathogenesis of lung disease in AATD and may, in the future, expand the scope and indications of A1AT augmentation therapy.

In contrast to the pathogenesis of lung disease, the liver involvement in AATD is likely due to the trapping and accumulation of Z A1AT polymers inside hepatocytes. Indeed, individuals carrying the A1AT null genotype lack liver involvement. In the case of the Pi*Z, Pi*S, or other unstable mutations of A1AT, the abnormally folded protein accumulates in the endoplasmic reticulum, leading to liver injury, which may culminate in cirrhosis or hepatocellular carcinoma in some patients. Many AATD individuals with Pi*Z remain protected from this gain-of-toxic function though a protective autophagic disposal of abnormal proteins.

Clinical manifestations
Although most individuals with AATD remain asymptomatic, the most common clinical manifestation of this condition is COPD, which develops especially in those exposed to cigarette smoking. COPD occurs predominantly in the 3rd–4th decade of life and manifests predominantly as emphysema, chronic bronchitis, or bronchiectasis, with symptoms such as dyspnea, cough, wheezing, inability to exercise, fatigue, and frequent respiratory infections. The diagnosis is supported by pulmonary function tests which may reveal airflow obstruction (with decreased forced expiratory volume in one second [FEV₁] and forced vital capacity [FVC] ratio) and impaired gas exchange. Radiologic examination of the lungs by computer tomography (CT) shows decreased attenuation of lung tissue with a predominant basal distribution and a panlobular pattern, along with variable degrees of bronchial wall thickening and dilatation. The lung involvement may
progress to respiratory failure and death. Since the majority of subjects with AATD and lung disease have a history of tobacco use and their clinical presentation may be indistinguishable from non-AATD-related COPD, current guidelines recommend AATD testing for all individuals diagnosed with COPD. The liver is the second most common organ involved in AATD, in approximately 10% of individuals with this condition. Liver disease occurs predominantly in children but also long-term survivors of AATD, manifesting as jaundice and hepatomegaly, which in rare cases may progress to hepatic cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. Very infrequent but nevertheless intriguing manifestations of AATD are vasculitis and the indurated painful skin rash of necrotizing panniculitis.

General treatment considerations for AATD
In addition to consideration of A1AT augmentation therapy, the overall approach to treating individuals with lung disease from AATD follows the general principles that apply to treating COPD of any etiology, including the use of bronchodilators and inhaled steroids. In general, bronchodilators may provide symptomatic improvement even in the absence of a documented bronchodilator effect on pulmonary function tests. Antibiotics should be provided early for COPD exacerbations and long-term oxygen therapy should be instituted when indicated. In addition, subjects with AATD and emphysema may experience depression and anxiety, conditions that should be appropriately addressed and treated. Not in the least, subjects with AATD and COPD may have significant benefits from participating in disease management programs.

A1AT augmentation therapy for AATD
The major goal of the A1AT augmentation therapy in the treatment of patients with AATD and lung disease is to elevate levels of A1AT in plasma and lung interstitium and correct the deficiency state, with the rationale that adequate A1AT levels may prevent further lung destruction and halt disease progression. A1AT augmentation therapy has no role in the liver disease due to AATD, as the etiology is related to ineffective secretion of A1AT from hepatocytes. If these patients develop severe hepatic insufficiency and cirrhosis, consideration should be made for orthotopic liver transplantation.

The approaches to providing A1AT augmentation therapy include systemic delivery of A1AT by intravenous or inhalational routes. The intravenous route uses human plasma-derived purified preparations and is currently the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved form of therapy. The inhalational route, which has been tested with both human plasma-derived and recombinant A1AT preparations remains experimental. Still in experimental stages are several genetic approaches of A1AT augmentation, recently detailed in an excellent review by McLean and colleagues. Other methods to increase circulating A1AT have been described, aimed at enhancing endogenous A1AT production and/or secretion with hormonal derivatives such as danazol or tamoxifen. The following discussion will focus on intravenous A1AT protein augmentation approach since it is the only approved form of therapy currently available.

Biological efficacy of augmentation therapy
An effective A1AT augmentation intervention is defined by biochemical and clinical criteria. To fulfill the biochemical criteria of efficacy, there should be evidence that intravenous augmentation therapy raises A1AT serum levels above the protective threshold and does so over the entire inter-dose interval, coupled with evidence that the functional capacity of infused pooled human plasma A1AT to oppose neutrophil elastase is preserved after its administration. These criteria were met by weekly infusions of purified human A1AT (60 mg/kg), which kept serum A1AT levels above 11 µM. This dosing regimen increased local A1AT levels in the lungs, documented by a 60% to 70% elevation in the antineutrophil elastase capacity of the epithelial lining fluid obtained via bronchoalveolar lavage. The serum threshold used in this study was derived from epidemiological studies that associate levels below 50 mg/dl (11 µM) with an increased risk of emphysema, levels of 50–80 mg/dl with an uncertain risk, and levels above 80 mg/dl with no risk of lung disease. Furthermore, the weekly dosing of 60 mg/kg was chosen based on the serum half life of A1AT, its estimated volume of distribution, and prior infusion experiences. To date, this dosing protocol and A1AT threshold remain the recommended goals of intravenous A1AT augmentation therapy.

In order to gauge the efficacy of A1AT augmentation therapy, in addition to antielastase activity of the airway lining fluid, there is an active research effort to identify other biomarkers which would be pertinent to the lung involvement in AATD. The pathogenesis of lung function decline in COPD in general and AATD in particular is complex and involves increased matrix proteolysis and destruction of lung units. Gottlieb and colleagues measured the effect of
exogenous A1AT (60 mg/kg once a week for eight weeks) on urine desmosine, a marker of lung matrix degradation, in 12 subjects with AATD (serum A1AT of 17–69 mg/dl). During treatment, the mean urinary excretion of desmosine remained unchanged from its high baseline levels (p = 0.85), suggesting that elastin degradation was not dependent upon neutrophil elastase at this stage of the disease, at least in this small group of subjects. Similar results were obtained in a study comparing two different commercial preparations of A1AT, in which neither preparation lead to a statistically significant decrease in urine desmosine excretion at 12 weeks, compared to levels obtained prior to the start of augmentation therapy. Further studies are needed to increase our insight on how A1AT affects the pathogenesis of COPD, especially in light of the discovery of noncanonical functions of this protein.

**Clinical efficacy of augmentation therapy: Observational studies**

Although the strongest evidence of clinical efficacy of any form of therapy is gained through randomized, placebo-controlled studies, execution of such studies in AATD individuals has been faced with multiple challenges, including ethical dilemmas of placebo use and difficulty to enroll a sufficient number of subjects. Therefore, most of the initial clinical efficacy studies of augmentation therapy had relied on nonrandomized prospective observational approaches that included as a main outcome the changes in lung function decline measured by spirometry (ie, rate of FEV₁ decline over time) (Table 1).

The earliest study by Seersholm and colleagues in 1997 was a prospective controlled, nonrandomized trial comparing 198 German subjects with AATD who were treated with a weekly A1AT dose of 60 mg/kg IV versus 97 Dutch subjects with AATD patients who received no A1AT infusions. All patients were ex-smokers, with PI ZZ genotype, A1AT plasma levels below 11 μM and lung emphysema. After 4.5 years of follow-up, there was a statistically significant slower decline in lung function in the treated group compared with the untreated group (decline in FEV₁ = 53 ml/year versus 75 ml/year, respectively, p = 0.02). Stratification by initial lung function showed an effect of treatment primarily for patients with an FEV₁ = 31%–65% predicted, the range of a moderate obstructive ventilator defect. Therefore, the study indicated that augmentation therapy may slow the disease progression in patients with moderately reduced lung function due to AATD.

The largest prospective cohort study in the USA was conducted by the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI) AATD Registry Group, which analyzed data from individuals with severe AATD in 1998. The study included 1129 subjects, and analyzed data from 927 subjects, of which 277 were untreated versus 650 treated with A1AT augmentation therapy (of those, 389 were always treated and 261 were only partly treated). All subjects were 18 years or older and had A1AT levels < 11 μmol/L. Over a follow-up period of 3.5 years, subjects receiving A1AT augmentation therapy had a lower mortality rate compared to the untreated group (risk ratio [RR] = 0.64, 95% [CI]: 0.43–0.94; p = 0.02), particularly in subjects with FEV₁ < 50% predicted. Overall there was no statistically significant difference in the decline in FEV₁ between the treated and the untreated group, but in patients with moderate airflow obstruction (mean FEV₁ = 35%–49% predicted) the decline in lung function was significantly slower for subjects receiving augmentation therapy (mean difference = 27 ml/year, 95% CI: 3–51 ml/year; p = 0.03). The main limitations of this study were that the decision to treat was left to the primary treating physician and the A1AT dosing regimens varied among treated subjects.

A third study investigated the rate of lung function decline before and after the initiation of A1AT augmentation in a cohort of 96 German patients with AATD.

**Table 1** Comparison of changes in FEV₁ decline in prospective observational studies of augmentation therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Overall changes in FEV₁ decline</th>
<th>Changes in FEV₁ decline in specific subgroups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>With augmentation</td>
<td>Without augmentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seersholm and colleagues</td>
<td>53 ml/yr</td>
<td>75 ml/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHLBI</td>
<td>51 ml/yr</td>
<td>56 ml/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wencker and colleagues</td>
<td>34.2 ml/yr</td>
<td>49.2 ml/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapman and colleagues</td>
<td>30 ml/yr</td>
<td>63 ml/yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in one second; NS, not significant.
The group included subjects with A1AT levels < 35% of normal, regardless of phenotype and moderate obstructive lung disease (FEV₁ < 65% predicted). In addition, subjects with accelerated lung function decline (FEV₁ decline rate > 120 ml/year) were included, regardless of baseline FEV₁. The study showed that the decline in FEV₁ was significantly slower during the treatment period compared to the pre-treatment period (34.3 ml/year versus 49.2 ml/year, respectively; p = 0.019). A subset analysis showed that augmentation therapy was particularly effective in patients with baseline FEV₁ > 65% predicted (mean difference 73.6 ml/year; p = 0.045), and in seven individuals who exhibited a rapid decline in FEV₁ before beginning augmentation therapy (256 ml/yr versus 53 ml/yr; p = 0.001). There was also a trend for a statistical significant (p = 0.066) effect of augmentation therapy in slowing the rate of FEV₁ decline in patients with moderate airflow obstruction at baseline (FEV₁ 30%–65% predicted).

Finally, a retrospective observational study using data collected by the Canadian AIR Registry, compared 21 subjects receiving augmentation therapy versus 42 matched controls, followed for 5.6 years. The results of this study, reported as an abstract, suggested a slower decline in FEV₁ in patients receiving augmentation therapy compared with the subjects who did not (29.9 ml/yr versus 63.6 ml/year; p = 0.019).45 Together, all these observational studies strongly suggest that A1AT augmentation therapy slows the decline in lung function in subjects with A1AT and lung disease, particularly in those with a moderate severity of airflow obstruction.

Clinical efficacy of augmentation therapy: Randomized studies

The observational studies discussed above provided useful information for the design of subsequent prospective placebo-controlled studies. For example, using the rate of FEV₁ decline as the primary outcome and based on the NHLBI Registry study data, to detect a difference in FEV₁ decline of 23 ml/yr (ie, a 28% reduction), a study would require an enrollment of 147 subjects per treatment arm, with an initial FEV₁ of 35% to 49% predicted, and who will undergo biannual spirometry measurements over a four-year period.46 Similarly, a study to detect a 40% reduction in mortality over a five-year period would require 342 subjects per treatment arm.46 Furthermore, there are other major challenges in conducting such trials, including the caveat of FEV₁ use as main outcome in COPD trials, criticized due to its poor correlation with the extent of emphysema and other important outcomes such as health-related quality of life;47 the variable rate of lung disease progression; and the often delayed clinical diagnosis of individuals with AATD.28,44

A more recent method in COPD research is the use of CT scanning to assess lung density, an outcome which strongly correlates with quantitative pathology scores of emphysema and is more sensitive to detect progression of emphysema compared to traditional lung function parameters.48 Dirksen and colleagues reported the first double blind, randomized placebo-controlled trial of A1AT augmentation therapy, using both FEV₁ and CT-measured lung densitometry as outcomes.49 They compared the effect of 250 mg/kg of A1AT against 625 mg/kg of albumin administered at four week intervals for at least three years in 56 patients with AATD and moderate obstructive ventilatory defect (FEV₁ 30%–80% predicted). Admittedly underpowered for both outcomes, no differences were noted in the FEV₁ decline, but there was a trend for a reduction in the loss of lung tissue in the group who received A1AT augmentation therapy compared to the placebo group (1.5 ± 0.41 g/L/year versus 2.6 ± 0.41 g/L/year (mean ± SEM), respectively (p = 0.07).49

A more recent European trial (The EXAcerbations and CT scan as Lung Endpoints [EXACTLE] trial) further explored the use of CT densitometry for the assessment of a conventional regimen of augmentation therapy in subjects with AATD and lung disease. The study included 77 patients with AATD and PiZ who were randomized to receive either A1AT augmentation therapy (60 mg/kg IV weekly) or placebo for 2–2.5 years.50 Similarly to their previous trial, the patients who received A1AT had a trend towards lesser decline in lung density measurements (Table 2). This trial also supports the notion that CT densitometry may be more sensitive in detecting changes in response to treatment compared to other functional and subjective parameters in subjects with emphysema and AATD.50 Indeed, a higher powered multinational randomized placebo-controlled trial is utilizing CT densitometry as primary outcome of A1AT augmentation therapy in AATD (clinicaltrials.gov # NCT00261833).

Augmentation therapy: Effect on exacerbations

The effect of A1AT augmentation therapy on airway inflammatory markers was evaluated by Stockley and colleagues in 12 subjects with AATD and Pi Z before and following A1AT augmentation therapy (60 mg/kg weekly for four weeks).51 Augmentation therapy led to a rise of AAT concentration in sputum similar to that of nondeficient subjects. Furthermore, A1AT augmentation was associated with a favorable change in the sputum inflammatory milieu,
with statistical significant reductions in sputum elastase activity and levels of the chemoattractant leukotriene B4, and a trend towards decreased sputum myeloperoxidase and interleukin-8.

In addition to decreasing airway inflammation, other endpoints of efficacy of augmentation therapy investigated in the setting of respiratory exacerbations were the rate of airway microbial colonization and the frequency of acute COPD exacerbations in AATD. Lieberman and colleagues conducted a patient survey to document the self-reported sense of personal benefit from augmentation therapy. Of the adult patients with Pi ZZ genotype interrogated by a web-based questionnaire, there were 96 patients who reported treatment with A1AT for one year or more and 47 responders who reported not receiving such treatment. Seventy-four of 89 patients who had received infusions for longer than one year believed they had definitely benefited from such therapy, approximately half of them attributing it to a reduction in the number of infectious COPD exacerbations, from a reported average of five episodes to less than one episode annually (p < 0.001). Other prospective studies have shown that such acute exacerbations in subjects with AATD are common even with the use of augmentation therapy. The recently reported EXACTLE trial did not show an effect of A1AT augmentation therapy on the number of COPD exacerbations compared to placebo, but showed that augmentation therapy decreased the severity of such acute exacerbations. This effect which may have a positive impact on health-related quality of life, health care utilization, and disease progression attributed to reductions in neutrophil elastase burden.

### A1AT augmentation treatment recommendations

A1AT augmentation therapy is recommended for individuals with abnormal A1AT genotypes who have A1AT levels below the protective threshold of 11 µM and have evidence of airflow obstruction. Since weekly infusions of AAT at 60 mg/kg are effective in restoring plasma AAT concentrations to protective levels and restoring the epithelial lung fluid’s antielastase properties throughout the dosing interval, regulatory agencies and guidelines have thus recommended this schedule and dosing for the treatment of affected individuals.

### Dosage and frequency considerations

Since augmentation therapy is expensive, it is imperative to optimize its utilization, particularly since costs have not decreased despite the advent of more suppliers on the market in recent years. Considerations for optimal dosage and infusion schedules include patient’s comfort and acceptance, as well total treatment costs. In fact, the recommended regimen of 60 mg/kg once a week has not been widely adopted. In the

---

**Table 2** Changes in emphysema progression assessed by computed tomography densitometry in placebo-controlled studies of augmentation therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Augmentation therapy</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Estimated treatment differences (augmentation–placebo)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dirksen 1</td>
<td>Double-blind, randomized placebo-controlled (two centers)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>250 mg/kg IV Every four weeks for three years</td>
<td>Albumin (625 mg/kg)</td>
<td>15th percentile lung density (PD15) adjusted for lung volume (g/L)</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dirksen 2</td>
<td>Double-blind, randomized placebo-controlled (three centers)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>60 mg/kg IV Every week For 2–2.5 years</td>
<td>Albumin (2%)</td>
<td><strong>Method 1</strong> (physiological, slope analysis)</td>
<td>0.857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Method 2</strong> (statistical, slope analysis)</td>
<td>0.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Method 3</strong> (physiological, end-point analysis)</td>
<td>1.596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Method 4</strong> (statistical, end-point analysis)</td>
<td>1.472</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Analyzed 15th percentile lung density (density value at which 15% of the pixels have lower densities) using two methods of adjustment for lung volume variability (physiological and statistical) and two statistical approaches (slope analysis and end-point analysis).

---
the 28 days. In a more recent study, Zamora and colleagues evaluated seven patients initially receiving 180 mg/kg of AAT every three weeks, and used A1AT levels measured after 7, 14, and 21 days to readjust dose and frequency based on a one-compartment model.60 The authors concluded that the mean optimized final A1AT dose was 123.1 mg/kg every two weeks (range 118.5–125.6) for a desired AAT serum trough of 0.50 g/L. Surprisingly, results differed when two different commercial preparations were compared.

In all studies, the once-a-week regimen showed adequate protective levels throughout the infusion interval, and this has been the regimen studied in most clinical trials including the recent randomized studies. The factors associated with increased clearance of A1AT have not been defined. Independent variables such as sex, age, and body weight have no influence on pharmacokinetic parameters.60 Although these studies suggest that less expensive and more convenient individualized regimens can be designed, caution should be employed, since clinical outcome studies using these personalized regimens are not available. If a nonweekly regimen is chosen to treat an individual patient, it would be prudent to periodically follow trough A1AT levels to ensure adequate protection.

Augmentation treatment for heterozygous individuals

Studies have shown that PI*MZ individuals may have a small increase in lung function decline (25 ml/yr versus 21 ml/yr)61 and may have a slightly higher risk for developing COPD (odds ratio 2.3)62 compared to PI*MM individuals. It is likely that some PI*MZ individuals may have a particularly high risk for COPD, however early identification of this subgroup is rarely possible. As mentioned before, current augmentation therapy strategies aim at increasing A1AT levels above a protective threshold, usually lower than what is observed in carriers of abnormal A1AT alleles, particularly PI*MZ individuals. Given the complete absence of any data suggesting efficacy for heterozygous individuals, current recommendations do not endorse use of augmentation therapy for such individuals.63 Individuals with PI*SZ genotypes may have A1AT levels < 11 µM; in such cases, augmentation therapy may be advisable if lung function impairment is present.

Safety of intravenous A1AT augmentation therapy

The experience of thousands of individuals treated with A1AT augmentation therapy suggests that it is generally safe. The few side effects reported were generally mild and rarely
required major interventions or interruption of therapy. Two major registry studies provide data from large number of patients from both Europe and the US.

Wencker and colleagues reported the side effects associated with augmentation therapy (60 mg/kg IV once a week) in 443 patients with severe AATD and emphysema from the German registry recruited between 1989 and 1995.\(^64\) Between 1989 and 1995, a total of 58,000 infusions were administered which only triggered 124 side effects reported in 65 patients. In the majority of these patients the observed adverse reactions were typical of intravenous infusions of proteins, such as fever or chills (18 patients), urticaria (18), nausea or vomiting (21), and fatigue (7). Increased dyspnea of unclear etiology was reported by 17 patients and was thought to be related to the absolute protein load in the infusion. Three patients terminated the treatment permanently because of repeated symptoms of fevers or chills immediately after each infusion from different batches on more than one occasion. There were five severe side effects reported during the study that required medical intervention or hospitalizations. Four patients suffered anaphylactic reaction and one patient developed congestive heart failure exacerbation, all eventually experiencing complete recovery. No deaths or viral transmission related to A1AT augmentation therapy was observed during the study period.

In the US, the most comprehensive report or side effects following A1AT augmentation therapy was published by Stoller and colleagues using the NHLBI Registry data.\(^65\) Patients included were divided into “always, sometimes, and never receiving therapy”. For all participants and all severity categories, the absolute frequency of adverse events was very low (95% CI: 0.019 to 0.023 events per patient-month), and interestingly, there was no change over time in the percentage of participants reporting an adverse reaction. A total of 720 side effects were reported, the most common ones being headache (47%), dizziness (17%), nausea (9%), and dyspnea (9%), the latter being classified as severe. No transmission of viral hepatitis, HIV infection, or prion disease was reported. Participants receiving weekly treatments did report a higher rate of total adverse events (0.030 events per patient-month; \(p = 0.020\)) or monthly (0.005 events per patient-month; \(p < 0.001\)). Furthermore, those treated weekly also reported statistically significant higher rates of severe and moderate adverse events, while subjects who received infusions every two to three weeks reported milder adverse effects than the other groups. Careful examination of the side effects reported from the German registry compared to the US registry suggests there were more cases of anaphylactic reactions in the European study (four patients versus none, respectively), while side effects requiring medical attention such as emergency room visit, hospitalization, or physician office visit were more common among American patients (1% versus 6%, respectively). These differences may be due to recall bias (as the side effects in both studies were recorded at various time intervals), the exclusion of events related to recalled flawed lots (due to the sucrose component in one instance and presence of a pyrogen in the other), as well as the variable frequency of augmentation therapy in the latter study. A rare case of IgE-mediated anaphylactic reaction following the third intravenous infusion of A1AT has been reported.\(^66\)

**Alpha I-proteinase inhibitor preparations**

There are currently three FDA-approved preparations of A1AT purified from human plasma commercially available in the US: Aralast NP (initially named Respitin and marketed as Aralast by Baxter Healthcare; Deerfield, IL, USA), Prolastin (Talecris Biotherapeutics, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA) and Zemaira\(^a\) (CSL Behring, King of Prussia, PA, USA). All these products are available as lyophilized preparations. A liquid preparation (Respira, Kamada Ltd., Israel) as well as a purified formulation of Prolastin are planned for future release.

Few studies have compared the effectiveness and safety between these formulations, with studies showing that Aralast\(^67\) and Zemaira\(^68\) have biochemical equivalence to their comparator, Prolastin. One internally funded pilot study from the maker of Zemaira\(^a\) (Bioanalytical Laboratory, Technical Operations, ZLB Behring LLC, Kankakee, IL, USA) suggested a higher purity of their product compared to Prolastin and Aralast.\(^68\)

**Cost effectiveness of intravenous augmentation therapy**

A1AT augmentation therapy is expensive, with an average annual total health care cost ranging from $36,471 to $46,114, and a median ranging from $12,485 to $37,100.\(^69\)\(^70\)

The cost and cost-effectiveness of A1AT augmentation therapy (Table 3) is generally analyzed as the cost per life-year saved (CLYS) for treated individuals, which is the ratio of the change in expected net COPD medical cost resulting from A1AT replacement therapy relative to the change in years of life saved through the intervention. Utilizing this method, an initial cost-effectiveness estimation based
on available studies before 1991 by Hay and colleagues suggested that to be comparable to widely used medical interventions such as antihypertensives, coronary bypass, and cholesterol-lowering drugs, A1AT augmentation therapy would need to narrow the age- and sex-adjusted survival probability gap between Pi ZZ and normal individuals by at least 30%–50%.71

Utilizing the declining exponential approximation of life expectancy (DEALE) technique, which assumes constant death rates and an inverse relation between remaining life expectancy and annual death rate, Alkins and O’Malley calculated the incremental cost (the value added to usual care) per year of life saved (CYLS) for A1AT replacement therapy. For a replacement regimen of weekly IV infusions of 60 mg/kg in a 70-kg subject with severe AATD and an FEV1 < 50% of predicted, and utilizing mortality data from the NHLBI Registry, the CYLS was $13,971. Based on this study, it was suggested that A1AT augmentation therapy was cost-effective in those with emphysema and lung dysfunction.

A re-examination of the cost-effectiveness of A1AT augmentation therapy using a model of disease progression also based on data from the NHLBI registry was reported by Gildea and colleagues.73 The authors used a Markov-based decision analytic module and assessed three different strategies: (1) no augmentation therapy for individuals with AATD; (2) A1AT augmentation therapy for life for AATD individuals who have indication for such therapy; and (3) A1AT augmentation therapy for AATD individuals who have indication for such therapy but only until their lung function deteriorates to a FEV1 below 35% of predicted. A hypothetical cohort of 30,000 identical individuals was evaluated for each strategy using Monte Carlo simulation. Costs were adjusted to the 2001 US dollar, and effectiveness was adjusted by quality-adjusted life year (QALY). Compared to the individuals with AATD and lung disease receiving no augmentation therapy, which would incur a $92,091 cost with 4.62 QALYs, the model of the AATD individual receiving augmentation treatment for life cost $895,243 yielding 7.19 QALYs, while the ones receiving augmentation only until FEV1 falls below 35% had a predicted cost of $511,930 and produced 6.64 QALYs. Therefore, the incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) was $207,841/QALY for an A1AT augmentation therapy until FEV1 < 35% predicted and $312,511/QALY for A1AT augmentation therapy for life. It was concluded that the annual cost of A1AT augmentation therapy would have to be reduced from $54,765 to $4,900 for the augmentation therapy for the life strategy to be considered cost-effective. Given the lack of alternative treatments, this study further emphasized the need to discover both clinically effective and cost-effective therapies for individuals with AATD and lung disease.

**Future considerations**

**A1AT augmentation therapy via aerosol inhalation**

The vast area available for gas exchange in the lung has long been recognized as an attractive route of systemic drug delivery.74 Small studies have demonstrated that aerosolized
A1AT delivery led to significant elevations of A1AT above protective levels in both plasma and the epithelial lining fluid,\textsuperscript{73} which may be translated into sustained antielastase protection with once-or twice a day inhalation. In patients with AATD and relatively mild lung function impairment, adequate deposition of A1AT in the lung periphery appears to be achievable using the inhalation route.\textsuperscript{70} This attractive form of therapy, likely to prove less costly than intravenous augmentation, is currently under investigation.

**Augmentation therapy post lung transplantation**

Lung transplantation is indicated for subjects with AATD and end-stage emphysema. In general, routine post transplant augmentation therapy is not recommended, as no supportive data are available. However, given the detection of significant increases in elastase activity in the lung, as measured in the bronchoalveolar lavage of post-transplant patients during symptomatic respiratory episodes,\textsuperscript{77} many clinicians advocate the use of A1AT augmentation therapy during episodes of lung inflammation, including chronic rejection.\textsuperscript{78}

**Conclusions**

Current evidence based on prospective observational studies suggests that A1AT intravenous augmentation therapy has a positive impact in attenuating the lung function decline and may decrease mortality in subjects with AATD and moderate air-flow obstruction. Newer randomized trials suggest that A1AT augmentation therapy slows emphysema progression and ameliorates the severity of acute disease exacerbations. These effects are supported by biochemical evidence that infused A1AT reaches the epithelial lung fluid and decreases airway inflammation. Experience with intravenous augmentation therapy suggests that it is safe, with few and usually well tolerated side effects. The decision to initiate augmentation therapy in affected individuals with impaired lung function should be based on collective data of efficacy, safety of augmentation therapy, and, currently, lack of alternative interventions.

Adequately powered randomized, placebo-controlled trials, utilizing clinical and biochemical endpoints of efficacy are needed to determine the most effective augmentation regimen and the subgroups of patients who would benefit from it. Other important issues to be addressed include the improvement of the cost effectiveness of therapy, implementation of alternate modalities of A1AT delivery, and further studies to assess the role of augmentation therapy after lung transplantation. New insights from basic science research regarding novel functions of A1AT and additional mechanisms of disease development in AATD, accelerated progress in the translational application of gene therapy and safe drug delivery, together with ongoing efforts of early disease detection, will undoubtedly improve the quality and length of life of individuals with this condition.
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