Physical activity levels of allied health professionals working in a large Australian metropolitan health district – an observational study
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Purpose: The aim of this observational cross-sectional study was to determine if allied health professionals working in a large metropolitan health district were meeting the minimal physical activity (PA) recommendations and the proportion that occupational PA contributed to the recommended PA levels. A secondary aim was to determine possible relationships between self-report questionnaire measures of PA and PA measured by accelerometry.

Materials and methods: Allied health professionals, working in the Sydney Local Health District (SLHD) in 2016–2017, completed the Active Australia Survey (AAS), Occupational Sitting and Physical Activity Questionnaire (OSPAQ), International Physical Activity Questionnaire Long form (IPAQ-L), and wore the ActiGraph GT1M accelerometer for 7 days consecutively.

Results: Based on accelerometry results, allied health professionals (N=126) spent a mean (SD) of 51 (23) minutes in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA)/day, representing 171% of the total recommended MVPA/day, with work contributing 76% to this recommendation. Participants walked a mean of 10,077 (2,766) steps/day, meeting 100% of the recommended 10,000 steps/day, with work contributing 54% to this recommendation. Sedentary behaviors were predominant throughout the entire day and work day. Compared with the ActiGraph MVPA time measurements, AAS MVPA time showed a fair level of agreement [intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC)=0.44, P<0.01], while OSPAQ and IPAQ-L MVPA time showed no agreement (ICC=0.05, P=0.27; ICC=0.13, P=0.10, respectively).

Conclusion: Allied health professionals working in a large metropolitan health district met the daily PA recommendations based on accelerometry measures but tended to overreport their MVPA on self-report questionnaires.

Keywords: occupational physical activity, sedentary behavior, questionnaires

Introduction

Physical inactivity refers to a lack of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) with decreased time spent in activities involving energy expenditure of at least three metabolic equivalent tasks (METs) throughout the week.1 Physical inactivity can occur as a result of a multitude of factors and has been correlated to individual (eg, age, sex, health status, self-efficacy, occupation), physical (eg, accessibility and availability of exercise facilities and equipment, seasonality), and social environmental factors (eg, urban planning, transportation systems, parks, and trails).2,3 Levels of physical inactivity are increasing in many countries, and this has been linked to increases in morbidity and mortality,4 with major implications for the general health of populations worldwide.1,4–6 Participation in sufficient physical activity (PA) levels throughout the day is associated with significant health benefits,1 such as decreased risk of diabetes mellitus,
cardiovascular disease, certain types of cancers, depression, and all-cause mortality,7-11 with an overall decrease in health system utilization.12 PA throughout the day is accumulated through leisure time activities, transportation, domestic tasks, and occupational activities.1,13

To achieve the health benefits of PA, it is recommended that individuals engage in 150 minutes of moderate intensity aerobic exercise and at least 75 minutes of vigorous intensity PA (or an equivalent combination of moderate and vigorous intensity PA) each week.1,14 Participation in MVPA demonstrates a dose–response relationship with cardiorespiratory health (with associated risk reductions in coronary heart disease, cardiovascular disease, stroke, and hypertension),1 with additional health benefits observed with up to 300 minutes of moderate-intensity PA/week.15,16 Therefore, it has been suggested that individuals aged 18–64 years perform at least 30 minutes of MVPA on most days of the week.1,14,17,18 The number of steps/day representative of the minimal requirement of 30 minutes of MVPA/day in healthy adults (aged 18–64 years) is 7,100–11,000 steps,18,19 and it is widely accepted that 10,000 steps/day is a reasonable and achievable target.17,18 PA levels have also been monitored using self-report questionnaires in population-based studies with varying levels of test–retest reliability and validity.20,21

Technological advancements and modern lifestyles (including occupational, domestic, and screen-based leisure activities) have led to increases in sedentary behaviors22–28 and physical inactivity,11,29 which have been linked to the development of chronic disease.30–38 Sedentary behaviors within the workplace (eg, sitting, screen-based activities) with energy expenditure 1.0–1.5 METs39,40 contribute to overall physical inactivity.41–43 Studies have demonstrated that individuals with less PA at work are also likely to demonstrate less leisure time PA.44,45

Occupations in health care may be more active and health care professionals may have greater levels of health literacy, education, and clinical expertise, which may facilitate healthy lifestyle behaviors such as maintaining higher levels of daily PA.46,47 Although some studies have investigated PA levels in health professionals,48–52 few studies have investigated occupational PA levels53,54 and its contribution to recommended PA levels. A study investigating occupational PA levels across various occupational sectors using accelerometry classified health sector occupations as being associated with intermediate levels of occupational PA according to the proportion of total PA spent in MVPA.54 In addition, a Dutch study investigating the contribution of occupational PA levels to overall total PA levels across occupational sectors reported that occupational PA in health care sector occupations contributed ~30% to the overall total PA.55 Therefore, work-related PA may be an important contributor to overall total PA levels in the health sector.54 Furthermore, no studies have specifically investigated the PA levels of the allied health workforce.

The primary aim of this study was to determine if allied health professionals working in a large health district were meeting the recommended daily PA guidelines and the proportion that occupational PA contributed to this recommendation. The secondary aim of the study was to determine if there was any relationship between subjective self-report levels of PA via questionnaires and objective accelerometer measures of PA in allied health professionals.

Materials and methods

This study was a prospective observational study with cross-sectional design and was registered with the Australian and New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ACTRN1261600514404).

Sample

Participants were recruited from allied health professionals working in the Sydney Local Health District (SLHD). Allied health disciplines included in the study were physiotherapy, occupational therapy, psychology, nutrition and dietetics, speech pathology, pharmacy, podiatry, radiography, and social work. Allied health employees, deemed fit for work, aged 20–70 years and working in inpatient, outpatient, or community health services were invited to participate in this study. A minimum sample size of 112 participants was sufficient to demonstrate a ±1,000 step difference from the recommended 10,000 steps/day for daily PA, with $P<0.05$ and power of 0.80 (continuous outcome noninferiority trial).55

Procedures

The study was conducted in the SLHD between April 2016 and December 2017. Staff were invited to participate via the distribution of a flyer and departmental e-mail, which provided basic information regarding the study procedures. Participation in the study was voluntary, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. At initial assessment, demographic information, employment status, and position details were collected. Participants were asked to complete three validated questionnaires: the Active Australia
Survey (AAS),20,56 the Occupational Sitting and Physical Activity Questionnaire (OSPAQ),57–59 and the long form version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ-L).60–62

Participants wore a PA monitor (ActiGraph GT1M uniaxial accelerometer, Pensacola, Florida, USA) for 7 days consecutively. The ActiGraph GT1M detects human movement in the range of 0.05–2 gravity force with the signal filtered at a bandwidth of 0.25–2.5 Hertz63 and has good test–retest reliability and validity.64–66 Participants wore the activity monitor in the midaxillary line on their right hip via an elasticized band during waking hours and removed the monitor during bathing/showering or swimming activities. Participants were asked to continue their normal leisure and workplace activities during the data collection period. Activity counts were recorded in 1-minute epochs, which were used to calculate the relative intensity of PA performed. Adult Freedson cut points were utilized to describe the relative intensity of PA.67,68 For the activity data to be included in the analyses, participants had to wear the ActiGraph for a minimum of 10 hours a day (as representative of a full day’s wear) with a minimum of 4 days total wear.69–71 ActiLife version 6.10.4 software was used to download data and manage raw output data from the ActiGraph GT1M devices. Participants also kept a log of days and times worked during the 7-day data collection period. Participants were asked to rate the tolerability of wearing the ActiGraph device as intolerable, somewhat tolerable, neutral, tolerable, or very tolerable. Study data were collected and managed using Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap).72

Statistical analyses
Quantitative data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 22.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Normally distributed data were summarized as means and SDs, and data that were not normally distributed were summarized as medians and IQRs.

Absolute agreement between subjective questionnaire self-report measures and corresponding objective ActiGraph measures of time spent in various categories of PA were assessed using intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) using a two-way mixed model with absolute agreement. Subjective items within the individual questionnaires for corresponding MET levels were compared with similar ActiGraph measures of PA. Repeatability and the level of agreement were interpreted as follows: poor (<0.40), fair to good (0.40–0.75), and excellent (>0.75).73 Bland–Altman plots were used to determine the level of difference between subjective questionnaire self-report and objective ActiGraph measures. Specifically, questionnaire items reflecting time spent in MVPA for the AAS, OSPAQ, and IPAQ-L were summed within each questionnaire with a daily MVPA time calculated which was compared with the ActiGraph MVPA minutes/day. Questionnaires with items reflecting time spent in work MVP (ie, OSPAQ and IPAQ-L) were treated similarly with the calculated daily work MVP time compared with the ActiGraph MVPA minutes/day during work hours.

Differences between key variables were analyzed via dependent samples t-tests or Wilcoxon signed rank tests and correlations were examined using Pearson’s correlations or Spearman’s rho correlations. The strength of correlation effect size was interpreted as small (0.10–0.29), medium (0.30–0.49), and large (≥0.50).74 The level of statistical significance was set at P<0.05.

Ethics statement
Ethics approval was obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Sydney Local Health District (SLHD) (Protocol Number X15-0435 and HREC/15/RPAH/580). This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Results
Demographics and ActiGraph wear
Of the 514 allied health professionals informed about the study, 126 agreed to participate in this study. The participant demographic data are presented in Table 1. ActiGraph tolerability of wear was rated as greater than or equal to tolerable by 86% (n=108) with 12% (n=15) neutral and 2% (n=3) providing no response. The mean (SD) overall number of days the ActiGraph was worn for >10 hours wear each day was 6.5 (0.8) days. Participants wore the ActiGraph for an average of 4.2 (1.0) work days and worked a mean of 35.8 (9.0) hours/week.

ActiGraph accelerometer measures of PA levels
ActiGraph PA data for the participants’ entire day and during work hours are presented in Table 2. In addition, 82% (n=103) of allied health participants met the minimum PA MVP guidelines of ≥30 minutes/day.14 Within our sample, 46% (n=57) walked greater than the recommended 10,000 steps/day,18 30% (n=38) walked between 8,000 and 10,000 steps/day, and 25% (n=31) walked <8,000 steps/day.
Table 1  Allied health participants’ demographic data (N=126)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td>35 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>23 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years working as an allied health professional</td>
<td></td>
<td>11 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variables</td>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>30 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>96 (76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status</td>
<td></td>
<td>100 (79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Part-time</td>
<td>26 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allied health discipline</td>
<td></td>
<td>54 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physiotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Occupational therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nutrition and dietics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Psychology</td>
<td>11 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pharmacy</td>
<td>6 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speech pathology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Radiography</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Podiatry</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social work</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job classification</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Managerial</td>
<td>99 (79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Community based</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniority³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Junior staff</td>
<td>71 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senior staff</td>
<td>55 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job is active/inactive</td>
<td></td>
<td>91 (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>35 (28)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Seniority, ≥ level 3 or ≥ grade 2 or ≥ senior clinical psychologist.
Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index.

Table 2  Allied health participants’ Actigraph (GT1M) PA data (N=126)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PA categories (mins)</th>
<th>Total PA/day Statistics</th>
<th>Work PA/day Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>% of recommended PA/day³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedentary</td>
<td>1,117 (61)</td>
<td>Sedentary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>270 (58)</td>
<td>Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>47 (21)</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vigorous</td>
<td>4 (6)</td>
<td>Vigorous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very vigorous</td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>Very vigorous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVPa (mins)</td>
<td>51 (23)</td>
<td>MVPa (mins)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steps</td>
<td>10,077 (2766)</td>
<td>Steps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Recommended daily PA level: 30 minutes of MVPa/day;¹° 10,000 steps/day.²°
Abbreviations: MVPa, moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; PA, physical activity.

Self-report PA questionnaires and the level of agreement with ActiGraph accelerometer measurements

Table 3 provides a summary of the self-report questionnaire measurement of PA levels for the AAS (Table 3a), IPAQ-L (Table 3b), and OSPAQ (Table 3c). The level of absolute agreement between subjective questionnaire measures of PA and objective ActiGraph measures of PA are in Table 4. Bland–Altman plots for questionnaire variables which demonstrated significant agreement with ActiGraph measures are presented in Figure 1.

The self-report AAS MVPA time and OSPAQ sitting and standing work time showed a fair level of agreement with the corresponding objective ActiGraph accelerometer measurements (Table 4a, b). The calculated self-report AAS MVPA (walking + moderate + vigorous PA) when compared with the ActiGraph total MVPA [with mean (SD) values of 58 (41) and 51 (24) minutes/day, respectively] demonstrated a fair level of agreement (ICC=0.44, P<0.01) (Table 4a). The self-report AAS MVPA time compared with the ActiGraph total MVPA time was overestimated by a mean (SD) of 7 (40) minutes/day (Table 4a, Figure 1A). The difference between these variables was not significant [t(125)=1.9, P=0.06] (Table 4a) but showed a medium level of correlation with Spearman’s rho (r_s)=0.41, P<0.01 (Table 4a).

The self-report OSPAQ work sitting time when compared with the ActiGraph work sedentary time [with mean (SD) values of 249 (146) and 336 (62) minutes/day, respectively] demonstrated a fair level of agreement (ICC=0.51, P<0.01) (Table 4b). The self-reported OSPAQ work sitting time compared with the ActiGraph work sedentary time was underestimated by a mean (SD) of –87 (118) minutes/day (Table 4b, Figure 1B). OSPAQ self-reported work sitting time was significantly less than the objectively measured ActiGraph work sedentary time [t(125)=−8.3, P<0.01] (Table 4b). Furthermore, OSPAQ work sitting time and ActiGraph work sedentary time demonstrated a high level of correlation with r_s=0.64, P<0.01 (Table 4b).
Similarly, self-report OSPAQ work standing time when compared with the ActiGraph work light PA time [with mean (SD) values of 123 (91) and 153 (54) minutes/day, respectively] also demonstrated a fair level of agreement (ICC = 0.54, P < 0.01) (Table 4b). The self-reported OSPAQ work standing time compared with the ActiGraph work light PA time was underestimated by a mean (SD) of –30 (82) minutes/day (Table 4b, Figure 1C). OSPAQ self-reported work standing time was significantly less than the objectively measured ActiGraph work light PA time [t(125) = –4.1, P < 0.01] (Table 4b). Furthermore, OSPAQ work standing time and ActiGraph work light PA time demonstrated a high level of correlation with r_s = 0.57, P < 0.01 (Table 4b). The Bland–Altman plots demonstrated that as the amount of time spent in sitting and standing increased, the overall difference between subjective self-report on the OSPAQ and objective ActiGraph time spent in sitting and standing also increased (Figure 1B, C).

**Discussion**

The main findings of the study were that allied health professionals spent a mean (SD) of 51 (23) minutes in MVPA/day and walked a mean (SD) of 10,077 (2,766) steps/day (Table 2). PA during work hours contributed 76% of the overall recommended total PA requirement for MVPA of 30 minutes/day and 54% of the daily recommended 10,000 steps/day (Table 2). Self-report PA questionnaires for time...
Table 4: Level of agreement between self-report PA questionnaires and ActiGraph PA measures (N=126)

| A) Active Australia Survey (AAS) responses vs ActiGraph MVPA measures (n=126) |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------|---------|----------|---------|--------|---------|----------|
| AAS categories (mins/day)                        | Mean (SD) | ActiGraph (mins/day) | Mean (SD) | ICC (95% CI) | P-value | Mean diff (95% CI) (mins/day) | t-test | P-value | Spearman’s rho | P-value |
| MVPA (walking + moderate + vigorous PA)          | 58 (41)  | Total MVPA          | 51 (24)   | 0.44 (0.20–0.60) | <0.01   | 7 (0–14)                  | 1.9     | 0.06     | 0.41           | <0.01   |

| B) Occupational Sitting and Physical Activity Questionnaire (OSPAQ) vs ActiGraph work measures (n=126) |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------|---------|----------|---------|--------|---------|----------|
| OSPAQ categories (mins/work day)               | Mean (SD) | ActiGraph (mins/day) | Mean (SD) | ICC (95% CI) | P-value | Mean diff (95% CI) (mins/day) | t-test | P-value | Spearman’s rho | P-value |
| MVPA (walking + heavy labor)                   | 137 (95) | Work MVPA                | 23 (11)   | 0.05 (–0.11–0.20) | 0.27    | 114 (98–131)                  | 13.8   | <0.01   | 0.20           | 0.03    |
| Sitting                                        | 249 (146) | Work sedentary time         | 336 (62)  | 0.51 (0.11–0.71) | <0.01   | –87 (–188 to –67)               | –8.3   | <0.01   | 0.64           | <0.01   |
| Standing                                       | 123 (91)  | Work light PA               | 153 (54)  | 0.54 (0.34–0.68) | <0.01   | –30 (–44 to –15)               | –4.1   | <0.01   | 0.57           | <0.01   |
| Walking                                       | 115 (79)   | Work moderate PA             | 22 (11)   | 0.04 (–0.11–0.20) | 0.30    | 93 (80–107)                  | 13.5   | <0.01   | 0.17           | 0.05    |
| Heavy labor                                    | 22 (38)    | Work ≥ vigorous PA            | 0.7 (2)   | –0.02 (–0.32–0.23) | 0.54    | 21 (14–28)                  | 6.1     | <0.01   | –0.16          | 0.07    |

| C) International Physical Activity Questionnaire Long form (IPAQ-L) vs ActiGraph measures (n=126) |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------|---------|----------|---------|--------|---------|----------|
| IPAQ categories (mins/day)                     | Mean (SD) | ActiGraph (mins/day) | Mean (SD) | ICC (95% CI) | P-value | Mean diff (95% CI) (mins/day) | t-test | P-value | Spearman’s rho | P-value |
| IPAQ work MVPA (walk + moderate + vigorous)    | 46 (67)   | Work MVPA                | 23 (11)   | 0.14 (–0.18–0.38) | 0.18    | 23 (12–35)                  | 4.1     | <0.01   | 0.24           | <0.01   |
| IPAQ total MVPA (walk + moderate + vigorous)   | 142 (108) | Total MVPA               | 51 (24)   | 0.13 (–0.12–0.34) | 0.10    | 90 (72–109)                  | 9.8     | <0.01   | 0.32           | <0.01   |

Note: *Dependent samples t-test statistics with degrees of freedom = 125.

Abbreviations: ICC, intraclass correlation coefficient; MVPA, moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; PA, physical activity; diff, difference.
Physical activity levels of allied health professionals

Figure 1 Bland–Altman plots examining the agreement of statistically significant intraclass correlation coefficient data assessed with the ActiGraph and self-report questionnaires (N=126).

Notes: unbroken line = mean difference; dashed line = coefficient of variability (±1.96×SD); x-axis = mean of respective variables; y-axis = mean difference between respective variables.

Abbreviations: AAS, Active Australia Survey; PA, physical activity; MVPA, moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; OSPAQ, Occupational Sitting and Physical Activity Questionnaire.
spent in MVPA when compared with ActiGraph measures of time spent in MVPA revealed only poor to fair levels of agreement between corresponding measurement tools, with higher MVPA on self-report questionnaires compared with ActiGraph measures (Table 4).

**Are allied health professionals meeting the recommended guidelines for PA?**

The main findings of the study were that allied health professionals spent the majority of their day in sedentary behaviors but were meeting the overall total PA recommendations for MVPA and number of steps/day. Participants spent a mean (SD) of 51 (23) minutes in MVPA/day, which represented 171% of the recommended total PA requirements for MVPA/day (Table 2). For the group, MVPA/day during work hours contributed a mean of 23 (11) minutes to the overall daily PA, which was 76% of the overall recommended total PA requirements for MVPA/day (Table 2). Furthermore, 82% (n=103) of allied health participants met the minimum PA MVPA guidelines of ≥30 minutes/day. A previous study of physiotherapists found that 91% of participants exceeded the minimum recommended PA guidelines reporting greater amounts of time spent in vigorous and walking PA/week. Participants in our study walked a mean (SD) of 10,077 (38) steps/day, which was 100% of the recommended total PA requirements for MVPA/day (Table 2). Furthermore, 82% (n=103) of allied health participants met the minimum PA MVPA guidelines of ≥30 minutes/day (Table 2). The recommended 10,000 steps/day18 was achieved by 46% (n=57) of our sample, with 30% (n=38) walking between 8,000 and 10,000 steps and 25% (n=31) walking <8,000 steps/day. A recent study examining activity levels of healthcare professionals in New Zealand found that 65% of staff were achieving 10,000 steps/day, which was greater than the overall percentage reported in the general population.32

Our study also demonstrated that participants generally exceeded the recommended PA guidelines based on self-report questionnaires (AAS and IPAQ-L) with overall PA levels reported as a mean (SD) of 1,878 (1,436) and 4,311 (3,632) MET minutes/week (METmins/week), respectively (Table 3a and b). Self-reported data from both these questionnaires exceeded the recommended weekly PA levels of ≥600 METmins/week and indicated that the PA levels in our sample were high (ie, ≥1,200 METmins/week). This agrees with previous studies using self-report PA questionnaires, which showed that health professionals overall are a physically active group, with one study reporting that 60% of health professionals reported their PA levels at ≥600 METmins/week. Our study demonstrated that 86% and 94% of allied health participants met the minimum PA of ≥600 METmins/week on the AAS and IPAQ-L, respectively. Various studies have reported a wide range in the percentage of the general population meeting the recommended PA guidelines with values ranging from 5% to 75%.52,53 Various studies have also demonstrated marked differences in occupational PA across differing occupations and sectors. Studies have also demonstrated higher levels in allied health professionals compared with studies examining different occupational sectors. A study conducted in Dutch workers utilizing a cross-sectional survey across various occupational sectors found that the work occupational activity in the health care sector accounted for ~30% of the contribution of work to total PA.53 Studies have demonstrated marked differences in occupational PA across different occupations and sectors and the contribution of PA at work to total levels of PA. Occupations that appear to be relatively active may contribute to a greater degree to the overall total PA levels of individuals.54

**Sedentary behavior in allied health professionals**

Our study found that allied health professionals engaged on average in a mean (SD) 1,117 (61) minutes (18.6 hours) of sedentary time/day (Table 2), which equates to ~10.6 hours of sedentary behavior during waking hours (assuming an average of 8 hours of sleep/night). These results are similar to those of previous studies, which have reported that on average adults spend between 55% and 70% of their day (~9–11 hours/day) in sedentary behaviors. Furthermore, sedentary time during work hours in our study participants accounted for 336 (61) minutes (5.6 hours) work day. A study...
examining sedentary time in office workers demonstrated that ~77% of working hours (ie, 6.6 hours) were spent in sedentary behaviors, which is similar to the sedentary time at work observed in our study participants. These findings suggest that allied health professionals working in a large health district spend the majority of their time at work in sedentary behaviors despite achieving PA recommendations. This is an important consideration as the detrimental effects of sedentary workplace behaviors have been demonstrated even in adults engaging in MVPA throughout the week.

**Use of self-report questionnaires in assessing PA levels in allied health professionals**

The self-reported MVPA time in the AAS showed only a fair level of agreement with the total ActiGraph measured MVPA time (Table 4a). The AAS as a tool investigating PA levels has been shown to be subject to less overreport compared with other PA questionnaires such as the IPAQ-L. Furthermore, the AAS has been found to have moderate correlation with MVPA levels as measured by accelerometry. This is similar to our results (Table 4) and may reflect that fewer items in this questionnaire may lead to less self-reporting error compared with longer questionnaires such as the IPAQ-L.

The self-report IPAQ-L total MVPA time showed no agreement with the total ActiGraph measure of MVPA time (ICC=0.13, P=0.10) (Table 4c). The IPAQ-L has been found to be subject to overreport as assessed against reference accelerometry, with only a low to moderate level of correlation with corresponding MVPA levels as measured by accelerometry. This is similar to the results observed in our study (Table 4c) and may be the result of the large number of questions that rely on recall of a diverse range of activities over a 1-week period. Activities in the IPAQ-L such as walking and other moderate activities tend to occur in small bouts throughout the day making these activities difficult to recall, with individuals finding it easier to recall more structured forms of activity such as planned, high-intensity activities (eg, organized sports, fitness classes).

Our study demonstrated that allied health professionals underestimated their work sitting and standing when assessed against work sedentary behavior and light PA ActiGraph measurements, respectively (Table 4b), and overestimated the time they spent in occupational walking and heavy labor as assessed against ActiGraph work moderate PA and work ≥ vigorous PA ActiGraph measures, respectively (Table 4b). This has been described in other studies and highlights respondents’ inability to correctly classify their work activities on self-report questionnaires in relation to the intensity of PA. Workers often perceived they were performing higher levels of PA at work when compared with objective accelerometry measurements. The IPAQ items sitting and standing demonstrated fair levels of agreement with ActiGraph measurements of work sedentary behavior and work light PA time, respectively (ICC=0.51, P<0.01; ICC=0.54, P<0.01, respectively) (Table 4b). There was a very good correlation between self-reported IPAQ sitting and standing time with corresponding ActiGraph measurements of work sedentary behavior and work light PA time (Table 4b). These results have also been demonstrated in previous studies involving desk-based workers and government/nongovernment organizations and have found moderate validity for estimating time spent sitting and standing at work with lower validity for measuring occupational walking and heavy labor. This may be because sitting and standing time account for a greater proportion of an individual’s time at work, which may mean less error in recall. Our study also demonstrated poor levels of agreement between IPAQ self-reported MVPA and ActiGraph measurements of MVPA at work, and these results may reflect the difficulties of respondents recalling occupational walking and heavy labor tasks, which contribute to MVPA as described in previous studies.

The practical implications of these findings suggest that PA measurements in allied health professionals need to be assessed by accelerometry as self-report PA questionnaires only provide a poor to fair level of agreement when compared with objective measurements. Although allied health professionals are meeting PA recommendations, initiatives to reduce sedentary behavior at work may be of value in facilitating higher levels of PA.

**Limitations**

There were a number of limitations associated with this study. First, our study may have attracted participation by allied health professionals who were physically active. However, all allied health professionals were encouraged to participate in this study. Furthermore, the use of the ActiGraph may have stimulated participants to be more physically active than usual. Second, the ActiGraph GT1M was a uniaxial accelerometer, which may not have been able to detect movement in all planes and may not have detected some types of activities, eg, upper limb activities, weight training activities, cycling, or swimming activities. Therefore, in some instances, the ActiGraph GT1M may have underrepresented some participant’s PA levels, which were reported in the IPAQ-L introducing a possible source of measurement error.
Conclusion
This study demonstrated that allied health professionals working in a large metropolitan health district met the overall total PA recommendations for MVPA and number of steps/day. When measured objectively, PA during work contributed more than half of the recommended steps/day and greater than three-quarters of the recommended daily MVPA. PA questionnaires demonstrated that allied health professionals surpassed the recommended PA levels; however, they should be interpreted with caution due to poor to fair levels of agreement with accelerometry.

Data sharing statement
Due to ethics requirements participant data from this study cannot be shared unless individual ethics approval is obtained from the relevant human research ethics committees.
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