The impact of intravenous dexamethasone on the efficacy and duration of analgesia of paravertebral block in breast cancer surgery: a randomized controlled trial
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Purpose: The study aimed at the evaluation of the impact of intravenous (IV) dexamethasone on efficacy and duration of analgesia of paravertebral block (PVB) in patients undergoing modified radical mastectomy (MRM).

Patients and methods: This randomized, double-blind controlled trial included 50 patients with breast cancer scheduled for unilateral MRM. Ultrasound-guided PVB was performed in out-of-plane technique. The technique was repeated at each segment from C7 to T6. The participants were randomly allocated to one of two groups. Group BD (n=25) received IV 8 mg dexamethasone diluted with 8 mL of normal saline to reach 10 mL solution, while Group B received IV 10 mL normal saline. Top-up local infiltration analgesia into the surgical field was performed by the surgeon if needed using lidocaine 1% intraoperatively. Propofol infusion of 50–100 µg/kg/min was maintained throughout the surgery. The time to administration of the first postoperative analgesic dose, pain intensity as visual analog scale (VAS) score, number of patients who required rescue morphine analgesia, total morphine consumption, postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) impact scale, and the overall satisfaction of patients with pain management were measured.

Results: Fifty patients were randomized and analyzed. The time to first rescue analgesic dose was significantly longer in Group BD (P<0.001). The VAS scores were significantly lower in Group DB compared to Group B up to 12 hours postoperatively. Morphine consumption was lower in Group DB compared to Group B. PONV Impact Scale score was significantly higher in Group B.

Conclusion: Systemic dexamethasone increased the efficacy and duration of the single-shot multilevel PVB in breast cancer surgery.

Trial registration: ISRCTN registry, study ID: ISRCTN15920148
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Introduction

Breast cancer is the most frequent malignant neoplasm in females.1 Surgical procedures for the management of breast cancer are typically performed under general anesthesia. Breast cancer surgery is not always associated with serious complications, and early recovery is always achieved, making them ideal candidates for ambulatory surgery.2 However, postoperative pain, nausea, and vomiting are commonly encountered after breast surgery which may hinder early recovery.3

Regional anesthesia using paravertebral block (PVB) has been suggested as a good alternative to general anesthesia for breast cancer surgery. It provides better...
postoperative analgesia with reduction of the risk of nausea, vomiting, and chronic pain.4 PVB exerts its analgesic effect via elimination of cortical responses to thoracic dermatomal stimulation.5 Moreover, PVB has been suggested to reduce the risk of recurrence or metastasis in patients undergoing modified radical mastectomy (MRM).6

Nevertheless, the postoperative analgesic duration is not adequate to compensate for the acute nociception associated with surgery in the early perioperative phase of healing. Many adjuvants including clonidine, neostigmine, epinephrine, tramadol, buprenorphine, and dexamethasone have been added to local anesthetics (LAs) to enhance postoperative analgesia.7 In 2006, Movafegh et al8 found that dexamethasone has improved the action of lidocaine as regards the duration of axillary brachial plexus blockade.

Dexamethasone is the most potent anti-inflammatory drug with a long half-life.9 A single dose of 8 mg of dexamethasone has been found to produce effective postoperative analgesia following dental surgery10 and thyroidectomy for up to 48 hours.11 A recent meta-analysis showed that an intermediate intravenous (IV) dose of 0.11–0.2 mg/kg has an opioid-sparing effect.12 Moreover, in 2013, it has been suggested that IV route can produce a comparable effect of perineural dexamethasone.13

Postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) is a common complication of all operative procedures. Breast cancer surgery under general anesthesia is associated with a relatively high incidence of PONV; it affects 60%–80% of patients undergoing mastectomy during the first 24 hours.14 PONV prolongs recovery and may lead to aspiration of gastric contents, wound dehiscence, and psychological distress.15

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of a single IV dose of dexamethasone on the efficacy and duration of analgesia of PVB in patients undergoing MRM.

Patients and methods

The study was conducted at the National Cancer Institute (NCI), Cairo University, Egypt, in the Department of Surgery during the period from June 2017 to October 2017. The study implemented the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki (1964) and its following revisions. The Institutional Review Board approved the study (approval no. 201617016.2 p, on 18 June 2017) and registered on the ISRCTN registry with study ID ISRCTN15920148. All data regarding the technical benefits, expectations, and hazards were explained to all patients and written informed consent was obtained from all patients. The patients were eligible if they were at least 18 years old, with breast cancer, scheduled for MRM, and able to understand and willing to follow the study protocol.

Exclusion criteria included patients with contraindications to PVB (coagulopathies, severe bronchopulmonary disease, prior contralateral pneumonectomy, and preexisting neuropathy involving the surgical site), failed PVB, patient refusing regional anesthesia, allergy or intolerance to one or more medications of the study protocol, chronic pain syndrome, chronic opioid use, and chronic systemic corticosteroid use.

Intervention

In the preoperative area, IV access was established on the contralateral side of the surgical procedure. IV dexamethasomine 0.5–1.0 µg/kg and fentanyl 0.5–1.0 µg/kg were administered. Noninvasive blood pressure, pulse oximetry, and electrocardiogram monitoring were established. With the patient lying in the lateral position with the side of block up, sterilization with povidone–iodine, draping, and skin infiltration with lidocaine 1% (1 mL) was done. Then, PVB was performed with transportable ultrasound equipment with a 50 mm linear 15–6 MHz probe (SonoSite M-Turbo™, SonoSite Inc., Bothell, WA, USA). An out-of-plane needle guidance technique with the needle positioned 1 cm caudal to the ultrasound probe was performed. A 22-G spinal needle was used. We used the hydrolocation technique by injecting 0.5–1 mL saline to localize the needle tip and confirm proper placement in the paravertebral space by observing anterior displacement of the parietal pleural upon injection. Once the tip of the needle was in its proper position, bupivacaine 0.5% with epinephrine 1/200,000, 3–4 mL was injected. The technique was repeated at each segment from C7 to T6 (Figure 1). The success of the block was assessed by temperature sensation loss over the required dermatomes and by testing pinprick sensation before surgical incision.

Randomization, allocation, and masking of study groups

After assessment of the block, patients’ randomization was done by a clinical nurse independent of the protocol who obtained a randomization number (computer-generated random numbers), with proper concealment in opaque sealed envelopes. The patient was then allocated to Group B or Group BD. To maintain blinding, the anesthesiologist who evaluated the patient could not guess allocation at any time.

Group BD (n=25) received IV 8 mg dexamethasone diluted with 8 mL normal saline to reach 10 mL solution, while Group B (n=25) received IV 10 mL normal saline.
Top-up local infiltration analgesia into the surgical field was performed by the surgeon, if needed for any patient, in the two groups using lidocaine 1% intraoperatively.

Patients were evaluated for 24 hours for pain intensity and duration, rescue analgesics, and drug adverse effects. Pain intensity was assessed on a visual analog scale (VAS) from 0 to 10 (0 = no pain at all to 10 = worst pain imaginable). If the VAS score was ≥4, a 3 mg dose of morphine was administered every 4 hours as needed. PONV was evaluated according to the PONV Impact Scale16 with scores 0–6. A score of ≥5 defines clinically important PONV. The overall satisfaction of patients with pain management given to them in the postoperative phase was assessed on a scale of five categories (very satisfied, satisfied, slightly satisfied, slightly dissatisfied, and very dissatisfied).17

The primary outcome measure was the duration of analgesia defined as the time till the first administration of analgesic postoperatively. The secondary outcome measures were pain intensity, the number of patients who required rescue morphine analgesia, total morphine consumption, and PONV.

Sample size estimation
No previous studies tested the effect of adding IV dexamethasone to augment the analgesic effect of PVB. Thus, we calculated the required sample size based on a study using the same technique for interscalene block. According to this study,18 based on the primary outcome measure (duration of analgesia), seven patients were required to have a 95% chance of detecting, as significant at the 5% level, a longer analgesic duration in the experimental group (19.1 ± 5.2 hours) compared to 11.8 ± 2.4 hours in the control group. Given the difference in technique, disease type, and surgical procedure, we included 25 patients in each group to test the effect of adding IV dexamethasone on pain control, opioid consumption, and hemodynamic stability.

Statistical analyses
Statistical analyses were done using IBM® SPSS® Statistics version 22 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). The sample size was estimated using the online power calculator for continuous outcome superiority trial under Sealed Envelope Ltd., 2012 (available from https://www.sealedenvelope.com/power/continuous-superiority/). Numerical data were expressed as mean and SD or median and range as appropriate. Qualitative data were expressed as frequency and percentage. Chi-squared test (Fisher’s exact test) was used to examine the relationship between qualitative variables. For quantitative data, the comparison between two groups was made using independent sample t-test or Mann–Whitney U-test as appropriate. Comparison of repeated measures was done using Friedman test. P-values were corrected for multiple analyses. A P-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results
Fifty patients were randomized and analyzed (Figure 2). There was no significant difference between the two groups.
Duration of analgesia dose was significantly longer in Group DB \((P<0.001)\). Between 2 hours and postoperatively up to 12 hours, VAS scores were significantly lower in Group DB compared to Group B (Table 2). By the end of the observation period (at 24 hours), the two groups had comparable VAS score \((P=0.216)\). Ten (40%) patients of Group DB did not request any further analgesic during the 24 postoperative hours compared to only one in Group B, while 56% of the latter needed two doses of morphine \((P<0.001)\). The total morphine consumption was significantly lower in Group DB compared to Group B \((P<0.001)\). PONV Impact Scale score was significantly higher in Group B \((P=0.001)\). However, no patients had clinically important PONV (Table 2).

The mean arterial pressure was comparable in the two groups; all of the readings were within the clinically accepted range (Figure 3). The patients’ rating of their satisfaction with postoperative pain management procedure is illustrated in Figure 4.

**Discussion**

The present study demonstrated that a preoperative IV dose of 8 mg dexamethasone prolonged the analgesic duration of PVB, decreased pain intensity during the first 12 postoperative hours, and reduced opioid consumption in patients undergoing MRM for breast cancer under multilevel PVB. Dexamethasone was also associated with lower PONV Impact Scale score.

Regional anesthesia was adopted by many authorities and was found to enhance analgesia, and reduce perioperative morbidity, with consequently shorter hospital stay.19–21 Of the regional techniques, PVB has been shown to be effective in women having major breast surgeries.22,23

---

**Table 1** Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of the two studied groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline characteristics</th>
<th>Group DB ((n=25))</th>
<th>Group B ((n=25))</th>
<th>(P)-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>48.3±8.5</td>
<td>49.8±8.7</td>
<td>0.524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>69.7±6.5</td>
<td>71.6±3.9</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean arterial pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>81±8</td>
<td>83±9</td>
<td>0.410</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Data are presented as mean ± SD.
Table 2 Analgesic profile during the postoperative 24 hours of the two studied groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group DB (n=25)</th>
<th>Group B (n=25)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time to first analgesic dose (minutes)</td>
<td>224±30</td>
<td>173±24</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 2 hours</td>
<td>0 (0–3)</td>
<td>4 (2–6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 6 hours</td>
<td>3 (1–4)</td>
<td>5 (2–7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 12 hours</td>
<td>3 (2–4)</td>
<td>5 (2–6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 24 hours</td>
<td>3 (2–5)</td>
<td>3 (1–5)</td>
<td>0.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients requiring additional morphine doses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single dose</td>
<td>13 (52.0%)</td>
<td>10 (40.0%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two doses</td>
<td>2 (8.0%)</td>
<td>14 (56.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 hours morphine dose (mg)</td>
<td>6.8±3.5</td>
<td>10.5±4.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PONV scale</td>
<td>1 (0–3)</td>
<td>2 (1–3)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data are presented as mean ± SD, median (range), or n (%).

Abbreviation: PONV, postoperative nausea and vomiting; VAS, visual analog scale.

Figure 3 Changes of mean arterial pressure during the 24 postoperative hours.

Figure 4 Bar graph representing the overall level of patient satisfaction.
However, duration of postoperative analgesia constitutes a principal limitation of PVB in breast surgery. In this study, we used dexamethasone in a dose of 8 mg preoperatively to prolong the analgesic duration of PVB. In this concern, Desmet et al found that IV and perineural dexamethasone are equivalent in increasing the analgesic duration of a single-shot interscalene block with ropivacaine for shoulder surgery. Few studies are available about adding dexamethasone to PVB in breast cancer surgery. In a small case series of breast cancer surgeries, Goravanchi et al found that adding dexamethasone to the LA prolonged the duration of PVB. Additionally, IV dexamethasone was found to be valuable in postoperative pain control and reduction of opioid consumption when given in intermediate dose (0.1–0.2 mg/kg). A systematic review of 24 randomized clinical trials, including 2,751 patients, reported that IV dexamethasone at doses more than 0.1 mg/kg is an effective adjunct in multimodal strategies in reducing postoperative pain and opioid consumption after surgery. This review included a heterogeneous group of procedures involving head and neck, abdominal, orthopedic, and gynecology surgeries. The exact mechanism of analgesic action of dexamethasone is not well-understood. However, it appears that it works systemically on decreasing cyclooxygenase and lipooxygenase production by inhibiting peripheral phospholipase. This study supports the concept of improving the postoperative analgesic efficacy of PVB by adding a single IV dose of dexamethasone.

The limitation of this study is that the perineural effect of dexamethasone was not studied and compared to the systemic efficacy. It is recommended that further randomized controlled studies, to identify which specific combinations of multimodal analgesia components, are most suitable for patients in each operative and postoperative setting.

**Conclusion**
This study shows that systemic dexamethasone increased the efficacy and duration of the single-shot multilevel PVB in breast surgery.
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