

Ocular side effects of Levitra[®] (vardenafil) – results of a double-blind crossover study in healthy male subjects

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal:
Drug Design, Development and Therapy

Gernot Roessler
Michael Vobig
Peter Walter
Babac AE Mazinani

Department of Ophthalmology,
RWTH Aachen University, D-52057
Aachen, Germany

Purpose: To determine ocular side effects of vardenafil with special regard to color vision and retinal function.

Methods: This was a single center, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, twofold crossover study with an administration of a single oral dose of two 20 mg tablets of BAY 38–9456 (vardenafil hydrochloride) or corresponding placebo in 24 healthy male subjects. Ocular investigations included Farnsworth–Munsell D100 color vision test, electroretinogram, and basic ophthalmological examinations like visual acuity, visual field, and slit-lamp of anterior segment and fundus.

Results: Compared to placebo, administration of vardenafil hydrochloride lead to a temporary significant increase of Farnsworth–Munsell D100 total error score after 1 and 6 hours as well as in error lines 3 and 4 after 1 hour. Twenty-four hours after administration there was no significant alteration of total error score or of any error line. While latency of electroretinogram b-wave remained unaffected, amplitudes showed a significant decrease compared to placebo 1 hour following administration. While other ocular examinations did not reveal any differences in general some mild to moderate but no serious adverse events have been reported.

Conclusion: Despite temporary changes in retinal function our study reports good tolerability of vardenafil in regard to ocular side effects.

Keywords: phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor, retinal function, color vision, electroretinogram, adverse events, placebo-controlled

Introduction

Vardenafil (Levitra[®]; Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany) has been developed as an inhibitor of phosphodiesterase 5 (PDE-5) for the treatment of erectile dysfunction. PDE-5 inhibitors like vardenafil or sildenafil (Viagra[®]) do not have a direct relaxant effect on the corpus cavernosum; however, they enhance the effect of nitric oxide (NO) by inhibiting PDE-5, which is responsible for degradation of cyclic guanosine monophosphate in the corpus cavernosum.¹ When sexual stimulation causes local release of NO, inhibition of PDE-5 by vardenafil causes smooth muscle relaxation and inflow of blood to the corpus cavernosum.^{2,3}

Vardenafil has been shown to be effective and safe when administered to patients with erectile dysfunction in placebo-controlled studies over a dose range of 5–20 mg once daily and up to 40 mg twice daily in multiple-dose Phase I and II studies.^{4–6} The most frequent adverse events (AEs) were headache, flush, rhinitis, and dyspepsia.^{7,8}

Typical ocular side effects seen under treatment with PDE-5 inhibitors are disturbed color vision and temporary effects on the electroretinogram (ERG), which may be due

Correspondence: Gernot Roessler
Department of Ophthalmology, RWTH
Aachen University, Pauwelsstrasse 30,
D-52057 Aachen, Germany
Tel +49 241 808 8191
Email groessler@ukaachen.de

to the fact that PDE-6, typically located in the eye, is also inhibited to a certain degree by PDE-5 inhibitors;^{9–14} this may depend on the selectivity of PDE-5 inhibition.¹⁵ However, these side effects have been exclusively investigated for sildenafil. Therefore, this study was designed to especially investigate the possible influence of vardenafil-induced PDE-5 inhibition on ocular side effects and the function of the retina.

The primary objective of the study was to investigate the possible influence of a single oral dose of 40 mg of vardenafil on color vision in normal-eyed male subjects measured by performing Farnsworth–Munsell 100 test at 1, 6 and 24 hours after drug administration. Secondary objectives of the study were further investigations of the eye including effects on retinal function as well as the safety and overall tolerability of vardenafil. To our knowledge this is the first investigation on retinal function following vardenafil administration and the first placebo-controlled study on ocular side effects for the use of vardenafil at all.

Methods

This was a single-center, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, twofold crossover study with an administration of a single oral dose of a 40 mg BAY 38–9456 (vardenafil hydrochloride) given as 2 tablets of 20 mg in 24 healthy male subjects. The trial was registered at the District Council Cologne, Germany under the registration number BAY 38-9456 / 10197. In detail, demographic features of all subjects are listed in Table 1.

Starting from the time of administration, subsequent investigations of the eye were performed according to the study flowchart (Table 2). Blood drawings were taken for the determination of the plasma concentrations of BAY 38–7268 (free base of BAY 38–9456 vardenafil hydrochloride) and its major active metabolite BAY 44–5576 for population-pharmacoki-

netics at baseline (at screening) and at certain time intervals after administration of the test drug. Plasma concentrations were measured by using a validated HPLC/MS/MS-assay with limits of quantification of 0.1 µg/L (BAY 38–7268) and 0.5 µg/L (BAY 44–5576), respectively (Table 3).

Monitoring of safety and tolerability of treatment included subjective parameters like well-being and AEs communicated by the subjects and classified by investigators according to their severity and if AEs were non-serious or serious. Objective parameters were monitored by measurement of heart rate, blood pressure and recording of standard electrocardiogram (ECG). Moreover, blood samples were examined in regard to possible alterations of hematological and clinical chemistry parameters.

Each of the two treatment periods consisted of one profile day and at 24-hours follow-up examination. Subjects were discharged from the study ward about 1–2 hours after the last examination on days 0 and 1, provided there were no medical objections. There was a wash-out phase of at least one week between each of the two periods. For each subject the study ended with the final examination approximately 1–2 weeks after the second study period. The total duration of the study was four months.

Eye-specific inclusion/exclusion criteria were examined for both eyes. However, only one eye was included for study use. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (1964) in the revised version of 1996 (Somerset West) and 2000 (Edinburgh), the International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH) GCP Guideline (Note for Guidance on Good Clinical Practice) and the German drug law [Arzneimittelgesetz]. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty, University of Cologne. Subjects must have given their written informed consent to participate in the study after receiving adequate previous information.

Treatments administered

As test drug vardenafil (free base of BAY 38–9456) was administered orally at dosage of two tablets of 20 mg or corresponding placebo. Medication was given once on day 0 (0d 00) of each study period (Table 2) and in accordance with the randomization list.

In order to detect potential ophthalmological changes, BAY 38–9456 (vardenafil hydrochloride) 40 mg was chosen, which was double the expected highest prescribed future dose. A 40 mg dose had previously been found safe in a number of Phase I studies. The amount of dose in the tablet refers to the free base BAY 38–7268 (vardenafil).

Table 1 Demographic features at baseline examination

Demographic features	Subjects n=24
Race, n (%)	
Caucasian	24 (100.0)
Sex, n (%)	
Male	24 (100.0)
Color of eyes, n (%)	
Brown	11 (44)
Age (years), median, range	29.0, 18–57
Height (cm), mean (SD), range	179.6 (6.0), 168–191
Weight (kg), mean (SD), range	78.0 (9.2), 59–100
Broca index (%), mean (SD), range	98 (10), 81–126
BMI (kg/m ²), mean (SD), range	24.2 (2.5), 19.7–30.5
Non-smoker, n (%)	10 (40)
Light alcohol consumption, n (%)	15 (60)

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index.

Table 2 Study chart for administration of vardenafil hydrochloride (BAY 38–9456) and clinical findings at different times

Day hour minute	Screening (–1 week)	0d 00 –30	0d 00 00	0d 00 20	0d 01 00	0d 01 20	0d 02 00	0d 04 00	0d 05 20	0d 06 00	0d 06 20	0d 08 00	1d 00 00	1–2 weeks
Administration of BAY 38–9456			X											
Lab: clinical chemistry+hematology	X											X		X
Urine for drug screening	X	X												
Eye examinations:														
• Refraction (objective+subjective)	X			X					X				X	
• Vision-visual acuity (ETDRS)	X			X					X				X	
• Intraocular pressure	X			X					X				X	
• Slit lamp	X			X					X				X	
• Humphrey 30-2 visual field test	X								X				X	
• Amsler test	X			X					X				X	
• Farnsworth–Munsell 100 test	X				X					X			X	
• ERG (including photo stress test)	X					X					X		X	
• Funduscopy	X					X				X			X	
Well-being		X		X			X	X		X		X	X	X
Blood pressure, heart rate	X	X					X	X				X	X	X
ECG	X													X
Presentation at study ward		X												
Discharge												X		

Abbreviations: ETDRS, Early Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy Study; ERG, electroretinogram; ECG, electrocardiogram.

On study days, eye examinations were done in the following order (time needed per examination in brackets; reference is also provided in study flowchart, Table 2):

- Refraction (5 minutes)
- Vision-visual acuity (7 minutes)
- Intraocular pressure (2 minutes)
- Slit lamp (2 minutes)
- Humphrey 30-2 visual field test (20 minutes)
- Amsler test (3 minutes)
- Farnsworth–Munsell 100 test (30 minutes)
- Administration of eye drops for mydriasis and waiting period (30 minutes)
- Electroretinogram (ERG) (35 minutes)
- Funduscopy (5 minutes).

Color vision (Farnsworth–Munsell 100 test)

The color discrimination error score of this test was the primary variable for the assessment of visual function. Total error score was calculated based on the test results

Table 3 Plasma concentration of BAY 38–7268 and BAY 44–5576 (geometric mean \pm SD, $\mu\text{g/L}$)

Time	BAY 38–7268 n=24	BAY 44–5576 n=24
0–1 hour postadministration	16.49 \pm 6.43	25.10 \pm 5.60
1–2 hours postadministration	35.90 \pm 1.44	21.47 \pm 1.77
2–8 hours postadministration	6.10 \pm 3.01	2.56 \pm 2.13

for the individual caps. In addition, partial scores (error lines 1–4) were calculated for each of the four boxes of the Farnsworth–Munsell 100 test. According to published data square root of error scores were used to reach a better approximation of the normal distribution.¹⁶

Electroretinogram (ERG)

Scotopic and photopic ERG measurements were performed according to International Society for Clinical Electrophysiology of Vision (ISCEV) standards.¹⁷ ERGs were recorded by Amplaid MK15 evoked potential system (Amplaid USA Inc., Rolling Meadows, IL, USA) connected to corneal jet electrodes. Following dark adaptation of 30 minutes ERGs were recorded according to single flash stimulation with constant luminance stimulus (cd/m^2) of 2.4 cd/m^2 (no background illumination) and 5.0 cd/m^2 (additional background illumination of 20 cd/m^2).

Measurement of treatment compliance

Concentration measurements of BAY 38–7268 and BAY 44–5576 in plasma indicated subject compliance. Moreover, urine probes were taken at baseline to detect possible drug abuse (opiates, amphetamines, barbiturates, cannabis, benzodiazepines, cocaine-metabolites) which would have led to exclusion of the subject.

Statistical analysis

The statistical evaluation was performed using SAS software package (version 6; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

All data were listed and trial summary tables were provided. Exploratory statistical tests were performed to compare the visual function tests following BAY 38–9456 and placebo, respectively. Quantitative target variables were subjected to an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with the factors: treatment, period, subject, sequence, time, and the interactions period*time, treatment*time and the baseline value as a covariate.

Results

BAY 38–9456 40 mg (vardenafil hydrochloride) was generally well tolerated. Adverse events were more common in subjects receiving active BAY 38–9456 (vardenafil hydrochloride) when compared to those receiving placebo. The most frequently reported adverse event in each treatment group was “headache”. The intensity of all adverse events was reported as “mild” or “moderate” and the relationship to study drug was “possible” in all cases. All adverse events had resolved by the end of the study while there was no evidence for a serious adverse event at any time (Table 4).

Ocular side effects

The color discrimination error score of the Farnsworth–Munsell test was the primary variable for the assessment of the visual function. The most pronounced differences between the two treatment groups were observed for total

error score 1 and 6 hours after drug administration, and for error score lines 3, and 4 one hour after drug administration, indicating an impairment of color discrimination particularly in representing color vision of blue/green and purple. Minor differences were still present after 6 hours affecting error score lines 1, 3, and 4. There were no differences after 24 hours between active and placebo (Tables 5 and 6).

In comparison to screening ERG with b-wave amplitude of $225\pm 91\ \mu\text{V}$ ($2.4\ \text{cds}/\text{m}^2$), in ERG after 1 hour 20 minutes with active treatment b-wave amplitude was reduced by 15% compared to a reduction of 10% observed with placebo. While there was no relevant difference in b-wave amplitude between the treatment groups after 6 hours 20 minutes, there were again differences between active and placebo after 24 hours (Table 7a).

Compared to a baseline value of $92\pm 41\ \mu\text{V}$ ($5\ \text{cds}/\text{m}^2$), b-wave amplitude was reduced by 27% after 1 hour 20 minutes with active treatment while placebo group showed a reduction of 12%. A less prominent amplitude reduction was still present after 6 hours 20 minutes with active treatment. At 24 hours, the amplitude had nearly returned to baseline values; however, there was still a difference between active and placebo after 24 hours (Table 7b).

The ANCOVA for the observations of a-wave and b-wave revealed a significant influence of the factor treatment on the amplitude of the a-wave, $2.4\ \text{cds}/\text{m}^2$ ($P=0.0152$), as well as on the amplitude of the b-wave, $2.4\ \text{cds}/\text{m}^2$ ($P=0.0302$) and $5\ \text{cds}/\text{m}^2$ ($P=0.0028$). Moreover, a significant influence of time on the amplitude of the b-wave, $2.4\ \text{cds}/\text{m}^2$ ($P=0.0170$) and $5\ \text{cds}/\text{m}^2$ ($P=0.0020$), was observed. The b-wave amplitude increased with time after administration of active treatment or placebo.

There were no relevant differences between active and placebo in regard to ERG latency for neither a-wave nor b-wave at any time up to 24 hours after drug administration.

Other ophthalmological examinations like visual acuity, refraction, intraocular pressure, Humphrey 30-2 visual field test, Amsler grid field test, slit-lamp, and funduscopy did not reveal any significant differences between the two treatment groups ([Supplementary materials](#)).

Conclusion

It is known from in-vitro studies that PDE-6, which is found predominantly in retinal photoreceptors, is a key enzyme in the phototransduction cascade.¹⁵ PDE-6 is required for the transformation of light into electrical signals. For this reason, retinal effects of PDE inhibitors are not unexpected.

Table 4 Summary of adverse events following administration of vardenafil and placebo respectively

Body system adverse event (COSTART)	40 mg BAY 38–9456 n=24	BAY 38–9456 placebo n=24
Subjects with any adverse event	17	3
Body as a whole	16	3
Back pain	4 (mild n=1, moderate n=3)	1 (mild)
Headache	16 (mild n=4, moderate n=12)	1 (moderate), 2 (mild)
Leg pain	4 (moderate)	1 (mild)
Arm pain	5 (moderate)	1 (mild)
Hand pain	4 (moderate)	1 (mild)
Cardiovascular	1	–
Vasodilatation	1 (mild)	–
Respiratory	13	1
Rhinitis	13 (mild n=11, moderate n=2)	1 (mild)
Skin and appendages	4	–
Rash	4 (moderate)	–
Special senses	2	–
Chromatopsia	2 (moderate)	–

Abbreviation: COSTART, coding symbols for a thesaurus of adverse reaction terms.

Table 5 Total error score of Farnsworth–Munsell 100 color vision test: significant increase of total error 1 hour after administration of verum compared to placebo ($P=0.0024$)

	40 mg BAY 38–9456 n=24	BAY 38–9456 placebo n=24	Difference between active and placebo
Original scale			
Screening	58.4±26.0	58.4±26.0	
1 hour postdrug administration	64.4±34.4	49.9±25.1	14.5±27.0
6 hours postdrug administration	61.0±33.7	51.1±23.4	10.0±26.5
24 hours postdrug administration	58.4±31.0	57.6±26.0	0.8±19.0
Square root			
Screening	7.5±1.7	7.5±1.7	
1 hour postdrug administration	7.8±2.1	6.9±1.7	0.9±1.6
6 hours postdrug administration	7.5±2.1	7.0±1.5	0.5±1.8
24 hours postdrug administration	7.4±2.0	7.4±1.8	−0.0±13

PDE-6 is also weakly inhibited by PDE-5 inhibitors and high plasma concentrations of the latter can be expected to inhibit the phototransduction process in retinal photoreceptors.¹⁰

Many investigations have been performed on ocular side effects of PDE-5 inhibitors, especially for the use of its best-known representative sildenafil citrate.^{18–22} In regard to visual function, visual acuity, visual fields, and contrast sensitivity remained unaffected while a temporary impairment of color discrimination represents a definitive effect.

Studies on retinal function due to ingestion of sildenafil showed temporary alterations of ERG and color vision correlating with peak plasma concentrations of sildenafil.^{13,14} Accordingly, in our double-blind, crossover study on ocular side effects of vardenafil hydrochloride, the most pronounced differences between active treatment and placebo in the

Farnsworth–Munsell 100 test were observed for total error score 1 and 6 hours after drug administration. The findings correlated with BAY 38–7268 and its active metabolite's plasma concentrations. There were no differences after 24 hours between active and placebo. The data indicate a mild and transient impairment of color discrimination particularly in representing color vision of blue/green and purple and go along with the results of investigations performed on ocular side effects of sildenafil revealing similar color vision alterations which resolve within 24 hours in correlation with plasma concentrations of the drug.^{9,11,18}

Moreover, ERG measurements showed reductions of the b-wave amplitude with no relevant effects on a-wave amplitude which predominantly recovered after 24 hours. There were no relevant differences between active and

Table 6 Error score lines 1–4 of Farnsworth–Munsell 100 test: most pronounced differences between verum and placebo in error score lines 3 and 4 one hour following administration. (ANCOVA for square root of error score line 3 [$P=0.0082$] and 4 [$P=0.0667$])

Square root	Time	LS-mean	95% CI	
		Active–placebo	Lower	Upper
Total error score	1 hour postdrug administration	0.951	0.354	1.548
	6 hours postdrug administration	0.608	0.011	1.204
	24 hours postdrug administration	0.064	−0.532	0.661
Error score line 1	1 hour postdrug administration	−0.070	−0.673	0.533
	6 hours postdrug administration	0.470	−0.133	1.073
	24 hours postdrug administration	0.177	−0.426	0.779
Error score line 2	1 hour postdrug administration	0.066	−0.459	0.592
	6 hours postdrug administration	0.093	−0.433	0.618
	24 hours postdrug administration	0.227	−0.299	0.752
Error score line 3	1 hour postdrug administration	1.263	0.625	1.901
	6 hours postdrug administration	0.557	−0.081	1.195
	24 hours postdrug administration	−0.318	−0.956	0.320
Error score line 4	1 hour postdrug administration	0.848	0.210	1.487
	6 hours postdrug administration	0.534	−0.105	1.173
	24 hours postdrug administration	−0.349	−0.987	0.290

Abbreviations: ANCOVA, analysis of covariance; LS-mean, least squared mean.

Table 7 (a+b) ERG amplitudes (μV , mean \pm SD), (a) 2.4 cds/m², (b) 5.0 cds/m²

(a) 2.4 cds/m ²	40 mg BAY 38–9456 n=24	BAY 38–9456 placebo n=24	Difference between active and placebo
a-wave			
Screening	–128 \pm 46	–128 \pm 46	
1 hour 20 minutes postadministration	–102 \pm 38	–114 \pm 36	11.6 \pm 45.2
6 hours 20 minutes postadministration	–104 \pm 38	–116 \pm 32	12.2 \pm 41.1
24 hours postadministration	–103 \pm 31	–116 \pm 54	12.9 \pm 46.2
b-wave			
Screening	225 \pm 91	225 \pm 91	
1 hour 20 minutes postadministration	192 \pm 50	202 \pm 54	–9.9 \pm 73.2
6 hours 20 minutes postadministration	224 \pm 76	229 \pm 58	–5.0 \pm 90.2
24 hours postadministration	192 \pm 42	230 \pm 77	–38.8 \pm 71.0
(b) 5.0 cds/m ²	40 mg BAY 38–9456 n=24	BAY 38–9456 placebo n=24	Difference between active and placebo
a-wave			
Screening	–33 \pm 20	–33 \pm 20	
1 hour 20 minutes postadministration	–31 \pm 22	–35 \pm 22	3.3 \pm 29.8
6 hours 20 minutes postadministration	–31 \pm 16	–26 \pm 11	–5.0 \pm 14.9
24 hours postadministration	–30 \pm 12	–27 \pm 19	–3.0 \pm 17.3
b-wave			
Screening	92 \pm 41	92 \pm 41	
1 hour 20 minutes postadministration	67 \pm 27	83 \pm 25	–15.4 \pm 27.0
6 hours 20 minutes postadministration	81 \pm 34	89 \pm 31	–7.0 \pm 42.9
24 hours postadministration	86 \pm 24	96 \pm 33	–10.9 \pm 29.4

Notes: Amplitudes of b-wave show significant reductions even after 24 hours in treatment arm while a-waves remain unaffected.

Abbreviation: ERG, electroretinogram.

placebo in regard to ERG latency at any time up to 24 hours following drug administration. Studies on retinal function after sildenafil administration show a complete recovery of all ERG parameters within 24 hours.^{9,13} However, as we found a mild reduction of the b-wave amplitude even 24 hours after ingestion of vardenafil our study design could not deliver data on detecting a more precise point in time for complete recovery.

Because all these studies were performed in healthy subjects there is little evidence on ocular side effects of PDE-5 inhibitors in patients with preexisting ocular pathologies. In our study, visual acuity, refraction, intraocular pressure, Humphrey 30-2 visual field test, Amsler grid field test, slit-lamp, and funduscopy did not reveal any significant differences between the two treatment groups. This goes along with studies investigating ocular side effects of sildenafil in patients suffering from open-angle glaucoma or age-related macular degeneration where these parameters remained unaffected as well.^{21,23}

In animal experiments, knockout mice with retinal degeneration support the assumption that administration of PDE-5 inhibitors in patients with retinal degenerations may lead to

more pronounced effects on retinal function. Meanwhile, recovery of electrophysiological alterations took about two weeks in carrier mice compared to a recovery period of two days in wildtype mice.²⁴ Up to now, it is unclear whether administration of PDE-5 inhibitors in human subjects would show more distinctive side effects on retinal function as well. Future investigations in patients with retinal degenerations may give an answer.^{24,25}

In conclusion, BAY 38–9456 40 mg (vardenafil hydrochloride) was generally well tolerated. As expected, adverse events were more common in subjects receiving active BAY 38–9456 (vardenafil hydrochloride) compared to those receiving placebo. Our study shows comparable results to studies on sildenafil and confirms a good tolerability of vardenafil in regard to ocular side effects.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Moreland RB, Goldstein I, Traish A. Sildenafil, a novel inhibitor of phosphodiesterase type 5 in human corpus cavernosum smooth muscle cells. *Life Sci*. 1998;62(20):PL309–PL318.

2. Boolell M, Allen MJ, Ballard SA, et al. Sildenafil: an orally active type 5 cyclic GMP-specific phosphodiesterase inhibitor for the treatment of penile erectile dysfunction. *Int J Impot Res.* 1996;8(2):47–52.
3. Ballard SA, Gingell CJ, Tang K, Turner LA, Price ME, Naylor AM. Effects of sildenafil on the relaxation of human corpus cavernosum tissue in vitro and on the activities of cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase isozymes. *J Urol.* 1998;159(6):2164–2171.
4. Porst H, Rosen R, Padma-Nathan H, et al. The efficacy and tolerability of vardenafil, a new, oral, selective phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor, in patients with erectile dysfunction: the first at-home clinical trial. *Int J Impot Res.* 2001;13(4):192–199.
5. Stark S, Sachse R, Liedl T, et al. Vardenafil increases penile rigidity and tumescence in men with erectile dysfunction after a single oral dose. *Eur Urol.* 2001;40(2):181–190.
6. Klotz T, Sachse R, Heidrich A, et al. Vardenafil increases penile rigidity and tumescence in erectile dysfunction patients: a RigiScan and pharmacokinetic study. *World J Urol.* 2001;19(1):32–39.
7. Hellstrom WJ, Gittelman M, Karlin G, et al. Vardenafil for treatment of men with erectile dysfunction: efficacy and safety in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Androl.* 2002;23(6):763–771.
8. Keating GM, Scott LJ. Vardenafil: a review of its use in erectile dysfunction. *Drugs.* 2003;63(23):2673–2703.
9. Vobig MA, Klotz T, Staak M, Bartz-Schmidt KU, Engelmann U, Walter P. Retinal side-effects of sildenafil. *Lancet.* 1999;353(9150):375.
10. Zrenner E. No cause for alarm over retinal side-effects of sildenafil. *Lancet.* 1999;353(9150):340–341.
11. Luu JK, Chappelov AV, Mcculley TJ, Marmor MF. Acute effects of sildenafil on the electroretinogram and multifocal electroretinogram. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2001;132(3):388–394.
12. Gabrieli CB, Regine F, Vingolo EM, Rispoli E, Fabbri A, Isidori A. Subjective visual halos after sildenafil (Viagra) administration: Electroretinographic evaluation. *Ophthalmology.* 2001;108(5):877–881.
13. Laties A, Zrenner E, Viagra ZE. Viagra (sildenafil citrate) and ophthalmology. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* 2002;21(5):485–506.
14. Jäggle H, Jäggle C, Sérey L, et al. Visual short-term effects of Viagra: double-blind study in healthy young subjects. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2004;137(5):842–849.
15. Beavo JA. Cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterases: functional implications of multiple isoforms. *Physiol Rev.* 1995;75(4):725–748.
16. Kinnear PR. Proposals for scoring and assessing the 100-hue test. *Vision Res.* 1970;10(5):423–433.
17. Brigell M, Bach M, Barber C, Kawasaki K, Kooijman A. Guidelines for calibration of stimulus and recording parameters used in clinical electrophysiology of vision. Calibration Standard Committee of the International Society for Clinical Electrophysiology of Vision (ISCEV). *Doc Ophthalmol.* 1998;95(1):1–14.
18. Laties AM, Fraunfelder FT. Ocular safety of Viagra, (sildenafil citrate). *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc.* 1999;97:115–125.
19. Yajima T, Yajima Y, Koppiker N, Grunwald JE, Laties AM. No clinically important effects on intraocular pressure after short-term administration of sildenafil citrate (Viagra). *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2000;129(5):675–676.
20. Sponsel WE, Paris G, Sandoval SS, et al. Sildenafil and ocular perfusion. *N Engl J Med.* 2000;342(22):1680.
21. Birch DG, Toler SM, Swanson WH, Fish GE, Laties AM. A double-blind placebo-controlled evaluation of the acute effects of sildenafil citrate (Viagra) on visual function in subjects with early-stage age-related macular degeneration. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2002;133(5):665–672.
22. Grunwald JE, Metelitsina T, Grunwald L. Effect of sildenafil citrate (Viagra) on retinal blood vessel diameter. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2002;133(6):809–812.
23. Grunwald JE, Jacob SS, Siu K, Piltz J, Dupont J. Acute effects of sildenafil citrate (Viagra) on intraocular pressure in open-angle glaucoma. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2001;132(6):872–874.
24. Nivison-Smith L, Zhu Y, Whatham A, et al. Sildenafil alters retinal function in mouse carriers of retinitis pigmentosa. *Exp Eye Res.* 2014;128:43–56.
25. Behn D, Potter MJ. Sildenafil-mediated reduction in retinal function in heterozygous mice lacking the gamma-subunit of phosphodiesterase. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2001;42(2):523–527.

Drug Design, Development and Therapy

Publish your work in this journal

Drug Design, Development and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that spans the spectrum of drug design and development through to clinical applications. Clinical outcomes, patient safety, and programs for the development and effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines are the features of the journal, which

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/drug-design-development-and-therapy-journal>

has also been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.