Calcium and vitamin D supplementation with 3-year denosumab treatment is beneficial to enhance bone mineral density in postmenopausal patients with osteoporosis and rheumatoid arthritis
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Background: This 3-year retrospective study compared the outcomes of bisphosphonate-pretreated denosumab therapy with or without vitamin D and calcium supplementation in postmenopausal osteoporosis (OP) patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

Materials and methods: Fifty-eight patients under long-term denosumab treatment were divided into groups without (denosumab group; 31 cases) or with (combination group; 27 cases) vitamin D and calcium supplementation. The bone markers of BAP, TRACP-5b, and urinary NTX were measured at baseline and every year for 3 years. We also evaluated bone mineral density (BMD) of the lumbar 1–4 vertebrae (L-BMD) and bilateral total hips (H-BMD) at the same time points.

Results: There were no significant differences in the percent changes of serum albumin-corrected calcium between the groups. The percent change in TRACP-5b was significantly higher in the combination group at 2 years. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D status was persistently high during therapy in both groups, with significant percent increases over baseline at 2 and 6 months in both groups and at 24 months in the combination group. The percent increase from baseline of serum zinc was significantly higher at 3 years in the combination group over the denosumab group. L-BMD and H-BMD were significantly increased at every time point for 3 years vs pretreatment levels in both groups and were significantly higher in the combination group at all time points.

Conclusion: Compared with denosumab monotherapy, the combination group displayed significantly increased serum zinc, L-BMD, and H-BMD at 3 years in OP patients with RA. Thus, calcium and vitamin D supplementation may be beneficial to enhance BMD gains, but not necessarily 25-hydroxyvitamin D status, in patients with OP and RA under denosumab.
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Introduction

Osteoporosis (OP) is a widespread condition in which diminished bone quality is caused by losses in bone microarchitecture and bone mass.¹,² Reduced bone strength in OP patients may lead to increased fracture risk, which constitutes the major cause of mortality and morbidity in OP.³

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is an autoimmune disease with hallmark joint inflammation and destruction. OP is a major complication of RA,⁴ with 15%–20% of RA patients having...
afflictions of the hip and spine. Inflammation plays key roles in RA activity, bone resorption, and OP progression.

Vitamin D figures prominently in bone formation and remodeling. Although maintaining adequate levels of serum vitamin D is necessary to protect against bone fracture, vitamin D deficiency is a worldwide health concern. Tan et al very recently reported that reduced levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) might indicate a high risk of secondary OP in RA patients. However, the status and changes of 25(OH)D during osteoporotic treatment for OP with RA are largely unknown, with no data available on denosumab therapy with or without vitamin D and calcium supplementation.

Regarding OP treatment, bisphosphonates (BPs) and denosumab, a fully human monoclonal antibody against receptor activator of nuclear factor κB ligand, are common therapeutic agents. Although BP therapy is generally the standard of care for OP by inhibiting osteoclast activity, denosumab is also considered useful for primary as well as secondary OP. Denosumab treatment for 10 years has been associated with low rates of adverse events, low fracture incidence, and sustained increases in bone mineral density (BMD).

We earlier demonstrated that calcium and vitamin D supplementation of denosumab represented a useful treatment option for OP with RA, imparting additive effects on increases in bilateral total hip BMD (H-BMD) over 12 months in the absence of fracture and hypocalcemia. Thus, we have hypothesized that long-term denosumab treatment can also enhance BMD and bone turnover markers and prevent fracture in OP with RA, especially in cases of prolonged BP pretreatment.

This 3-year retrospective study compared the differences in outcomes with or without calcium and vitamin D supplementation in OP patients with RA under denosumab.

### Materials and methods

The inclusion criteria of this 3-year retrospective investigation were OP patients with low (ie, less than −2.5 SD) H-BMD and/or lumbar 1–4 BMD (L-BMD) with RA. The exclusion criteria were chronic renal failure (estimated glomerular filtration rate <40 mL/min/1.73 m²), disorders of bone metabolism or diabetes mellitus that could affect OP, and fracture within 12 months before the study. OP was diagnosed based on the revised criteria established by the Japanese Society of Bone and Mineral Research. RA was diagnosed and treated following the 2010 ACR/European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) classification system.

We recruited 58 Japanese female OP patients with RA of low-to-moderate disease activity (2.6 < disease activity score 28 [DAS28]-C-reactive protein [CRP] ≤5.1) at our hospital between 2014 and 2018 (Table 1). All subjects had taken BPs for at least 5 years prior to the start of this study. The patients were retrospectively divided into those with (combination group; 27 cases) or without (denosumab group; 31 cases) vitamin D and calcium supplementation and were matched according to age, gender, body mass index, BP pretreatment period, and RA duration and activity. Alendronate (ALN), risedronate, and minodronate had been prescribed in various regimens as long-term BP pretreatment. The effects of individual BP drugs were not addressed since they were routinely switched if deemed ineffective.

Each patient received denosumab (60 mg, subcutaneously) once every 6 months in both groups. Subjects in the combination group took vitamin D and calcium supplementation tablets (precipitated calcium carbonate: 762.5 mg, cholecalciferol: 200 IU, magnesium carbonate: 59.2 mg) twice daily during denosumab administration. All treatments were substituted from BPs to denosumab prior to study commencement for baseline measurements.

All serologic testings were conducted just before denosumab (baseline) and at 1, 2, and 3 years of treatment from frozen samples by commercially available kits, including those for matrix metalloproteinase-3 (MMP-3) (Kyowa Pharma Chemicals, Toyama, Japan). We also examined changes in DAS28-CRP, simplified disease activity index (SDAI), and health assessment questionnaire disability index (HAQ-DI) to determine the RA status of all patients prior to the start of the study. All data were expressed as the mean ± standard error (SE).

Serum bone alkaline phosphatase (BAP) was examined as a bone formation marker using a chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay. Serum tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRACP)-5b and urinary N-terminal telopeptide of type I collagen (NTX) (Osteomark, Ostex International, Seattle, WA, USA) were used as markers of bone resorption using ELISA. Serum whole parathyroid hormone 1–84 (PTH) was measured by immunoradiometric assays. Serum 1,25(OH)₂D₃ was determined by immunoradiometric assays. Serum 25(OH)D was determined by solid-phase radioimmunoassays. Serum and urine were collected in a fasting state after omitting the first morning samples between 8:30 am and 11:00 am. Immunoassays were carried out by SRL, Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). Serum samples collected before and at 1, 2, and 3 years of denosumab treatment were maintained at −80°C until bone turnover marker assessment at the end of the study.

BMD was determined using a dual-energy X-ray absorption fan-beam bone densitometer (Lunar Prodigy;
Table 1 Patient characteristics at baseline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Denosumab (n=31)</th>
<th>Combination (n=27)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>68.7±1.2</td>
<td>68.3±1.7</td>
<td>0.8165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>20.1±0.6</td>
<td>20.4±0.4</td>
<td>0.6851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum Ca (mg/dL)</td>
<td>9.3±0.1</td>
<td>9.2±0.1</td>
<td>0.5852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum Phosphorus (mg/dL)</td>
<td>3.6±0.3</td>
<td>3.5±0.1</td>
<td>0.6704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum BAP (μg/L)</td>
<td>13.2±0.6</td>
<td>12.7±0.7</td>
<td>0.6248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum P1NP (ng/mL)</td>
<td>37.7±2.9</td>
<td>34.3±2.8</td>
<td>0.4010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum TRACP-5b (mU/mL)</td>
<td>307.2±24.6</td>
<td>336.8±31.0</td>
<td>0.4579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary NTX (nmol BCE/mmolCRE)</td>
<td>29.3±2.4</td>
<td>31.6±3.3</td>
<td>0.5761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum whole PTH (pg/mL)</td>
<td>23.9±2.0</td>
<td>25.1±2.7</td>
<td>0.7086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum 1,25(OH)₂D₃ (pg/mL)</td>
<td>61.7±4.6</td>
<td>55.9±3.7</td>
<td>0.3374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum 25(OH)D (ng/mL)</td>
<td>17.9±0.8</td>
<td>20.1±1.6</td>
<td>0.2276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum zinc (μg/dL)</td>
<td>56.6±1.5</td>
<td>54.3±1.5</td>
<td>0.4351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum iron (μg/dL)</td>
<td>73.3±6.7</td>
<td>61.1±7.2</td>
<td>0.2246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum magnesium (mg/dL)</td>
<td>2.1±0.3</td>
<td>2.1±0.4</td>
<td>0.6829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of BP use (years)</td>
<td>7.3±0.9</td>
<td>6.4±0.7</td>
<td>0.4427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate (mg/week)</td>
<td>7.5±0.7</td>
<td>6.8±0.6</td>
<td>0.4601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate (months)</td>
<td>19.2±2.4</td>
<td>20.3±2.2</td>
<td>0.7527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prednisolone (mg/day)</td>
<td>4.7±0.2</td>
<td>5.0±0.0</td>
<td>0.1747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-4 BMD (g/cm²)</td>
<td>0.692±0.02</td>
<td>0.695±0.02</td>
<td>0.9177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total hip BMD (g/cm²)</td>
<td>0.487±0.02</td>
<td>0.494±0.02</td>
<td>0.7622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS28CRP</td>
<td>3.1±0.2</td>
<td>3.0±0.2</td>
<td>0.7813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDAI</td>
<td>12.3±1.8</td>
<td>10.6±1.6</td>
<td>0.4855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAQ-DI</td>
<td>0.4±0.1</td>
<td>0.4±0.1</td>
<td>0.9678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP3 (IU/mL)</td>
<td>97.4±13.9</td>
<td>98.0±14.1</td>
<td>0.9763</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data are expressed as mean ± standard error.

Abbreviations: (OH)₂D, hydroxyvitamin D; BAP, bone alkaline phosphatase; BCE, bone collagen equivalent; BMD, bone mineral density; BMI, body mass index; BP, bisphosphonate; Ca, albumin-corrected calcium; CRE, creatinine; DAS28CRP, disease activity score 28 C-reactive protein; HAQ-DI, health assessment questionnaire disability index; MMP3, matrix metalloproteinase-3; NTX, type I collagen cross-linked N-telopeptide; P1NP, procollagen type I amino-terminal propeptide; PTH, parathyroid hormone; SDAI, simplified disease activity index; TRACP-5b, tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase-5b.

GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences Corp., Piscataway, NJ, USA) at the L1–4 levels of the posteroanterior spine and bilateral total hips before treatment commencement and at 1, 2, and 3 years.

Bone turnover markers, whole PTH, 1,25(OH)₂D₃, serum albumin-corrected calcium (Ca), phosphorus, zinc, iron, magnesium, and BMD were all measured at set time points for statistical comparisons. 25(OH)D were measured before treatment commencement and at 2, 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, and 36 months of therapy for statistical comparisons. Some factors, such as diet habits and ultraviolet exposure that could have influenced vitamin D status in both groups, were excluded during the study period. Differences in percent changes from baseline were calculated using Bonferroni correction with repeated ANOVA for multiple comparisons. Comparisons of markers between the groups were performed by Welch’s t-test.¹⁸ The critical value for rejecting the null hypothesis was P<0.05. Data analyses were conducted with the BellCurve for Excel (Social Survey Research Information Co., Ltd., Japan).

This study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Review Board of Shinshu University School of Medicine, Japan, and conducted in adherence to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki (2014 revision). All participants provided written informed consent.

Results

Patient backgrounds were comparable between the denosumab and combination groups (Table 1).

Mean ± SE age was 68.7±1.2 years in the denosumab group and 68.3±1.7 years in the combination group. The enrolled patients had undergone pretreatment with BPs for a mean duration of 7.3±0.9 years in the denosumab group and 6.4±0.7 years in the combination group. The mean doses
of methotrexate and prednisolone in the denosumab and combination groups were 7.5±0.7 and 4.7±0.2 mg/week and 6.8±0.6 and 5.0±0.0 mg/day, respectively (Table 1).

All 58 subjects attended all scheduled visits over the 3-year observational period (Table 1).

**Ca and phosphorus levels**

There were no significant differences in the percent changes of Ca between the groups (Figure 1A). No remarkable differences in percent change from baseline were seen for either group or between groups for serum phosphorous (Figure 1B).

**Serum whole PTH and 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\)**

The percent change of serum whole PTH was seen to decrease and return to the baseline in denosumab patients and was comparable between the groups (Figure 1C).

The percent change of serum 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) tended to be decreased at 1 and 2 years and return to baseline at 3 years in both groups in a similar manner (Figure 1D).

**Serum bone-related minerals**

The percent change of serum zinc was significantly greater in the combination group than in the denosumab group at 3 years, with significant differences at each time point in the combination group and at 1 and 2 years in the denosumab group vs baseline (Figure 2A). There were no remarkable differences in serum iron between the groups, although a significant increase over baseline was observed at 3 years in the combination group (Figure 2B). The percent change of serum magnesium was comparable between the groups, with a significant difference at 3 years in the denosumab group compared with baseline (Figure 2C).

**Bone resorption markers**

The percent change of serum TRACP-5b was significantly lower than baseline for both groups during treatment. There was a significant difference at 2 years between the groups (Figure 3A). Regarding urinary NTX, no remarkable differences in percent change were seen with baseline for either group or between the groups (Figure 3B).

**Bone formation marker**

The percent change of serum BAP was comparably and significantly lower than baseline throughout the treatment period in both groups (Figure 3C).

**Serum 25(OH)D**

The percent change of serum 25(OH)D was persistently high and significantly greater than baseline at 2 and 6 months in both groups and at 24 months in the combination group. There were no notable differences between the groups (Figure 3D).

---

**Figure 1** Percent changes of serum albumin-corrected calcium (Ca) (A), serum phosphorus (B), whole parathyroid hormone (PTH) (C), and serum 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) (D) at 12, 24, and 36 months (M). Circles show the denosumab group and triangles show the combination group.
Calcium and vitamin D addition with denosumab in osteoporosis with RA

The percent change of L-BMD increased steadily during treatment in the denosumab (7.6% increase at 3 years) and combination (11.3% increase at 3 years) groups, with significant differences over baseline at every time point for both groups. L-BMD gains were significantly greater in the combination group at years 1, 2, and 3 (Figure 4A).

BMD

L-BMD and H-BMD

The percent change of L-BMD increased steadily during treatment in the denosumab (7.6% increase at 3 years) and combination (11.3% increase at 3 years) groups, with significant differences over baseline at every time point for both groups. L-BMD gains were significantly greater in the combination group at years 1, 2, and 3 (Figure 4A).

Figure 2 Percent changes of serum zinc (A), serum iron (B), and serum magnesium (C) at 12, 24, and 36 months (M).

Notes: Circles show the denosumab group and triangles show the combination group. Single and double asterisks indicate a significant difference (P<0.01 and P<0.05, respectively) with baseline values.

Figure 3 Percent changes of serum tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRACP)-5b (A), urinary cross-linked N-terminal telopeptide of type I collagen (NTX) (B), serum bone alkaline phosphatase (BAP) (C), and serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) (D) at 12, 24, and 36 months (M). Circles show the denosumab group and triangles show the combination group.

Notes: Double hashtags indicate a significant difference (P<0.01) between the denosumab and combination groups. Single and double asterisks indicate a significant difference (P<0.05 and P<0.01, respectively) with baseline values.
Similarly, the percent change of H-BMD increased gradually in the denosumab (4.5% increase at 3 years) and combination (8.2% increase at 3 years) groups, with significant differences over baseline at every time point. The percent increase in H-BMD was significantly greater in the combination group at years 1, 2, and 3 (Figure 4B).

Indicators of RA state
MMP-3, DAS28-CRP, SDAI, and HAQ-DI
Pretreatment RA state was comparable between the groups, with no remarkable differences in the values of MMP-3, DAS28-CRP, SDAI, or HAQ-DI prior to treatment commencement (Table 1).

Adverse events
No hypocalcemia, fracture, or other serious adverse events were seen during the 3-year study period.

Discussion
This study is the first to compare denosumab therapy for 3 years with or without vitamin D and calcium supplementation in patients with OP and RA. The status of serum 25(OH)D showed persistently high levels throughout the study period in both groups. L-BMD and H-BMD values increased significantly over 3 years in the groups, with those in the combination group rising significantly more. Since no fracture or hypocalcemia occurred in any patient, vitamin D and calcium addition appears to enhance BMD gains, but not necessarily 25(OH)D status, during long-term denosumab therapy for OP with RA.

There have been no reports describing serum 25(OH)D changes during 3 years of denosumab therapy with or without vitamin D and calcium supplementation in patients with OP and RA. Several investigations have addressed the correlation between serum 25(OH)D status and BMD.19–24 Roux et al described a positive association between 25(OH)D and L-BMD or femoral neck BMD in postmenopausal women treated with ALN.19 In Malaysian RA female patients, serum 25(OH)D levels were low compared with those in healthy controls, with no associations between 25(OH)D and BMD,26 while a negative relationship was identified between 25(OH)D levels and BMD in both sexes in a healthy Iranian population.21 In Palestinian postmenopausal OP patients, a positive correlation was reported between 25(OH)D and L-BMD.22 It was noteworthy that all of the OP patients in those studies had taken vitamin D supplementation.19–22 We previously found that serum 25(OH)D levels were significantly increased after 3-year BP therapy without additional vitamin D in Japanese postmenopausal OP patients.23 On the other hand, serum 25(OH)D had decreased significantly after 4 months of BPs in a Japanese postmenopausal OP cohort.24 Thus, it is conceivable that vitamin D addition may be optional in prolonged BP therapy. In the present denosumab study, serum 25(OH)D was persistently increased during 3 years regardless of vitamin D and calcium supplementation, with significant gains in both L-BMD and H-BMD. On the other hand, Augoulea et al reported that an increase in PTH caused by denosumab therapy was not associated with serum 25(OH)D levels.25 Serum 1,25(OH)_{2D_{3}} levels are strictly regulated by elevations in PTH26 that are initiated by transient changes in calcium levels as reported previously,27 and there is a negative correlation between 25(OH)D and PTH levels in Brazilians.28 Also, Wintemeyer et al have reviewed and reported that 25(OH)D is metabolized to 1,25(OH)_{2D_{3}} (calcitriol), which is the biologically active form of vitamin D in the kidney.29 Thus, it is considered that serum PTH, 1,25(OH)_{2D_{3}}, and 25(OH)D are tightly regulated to one another. Our results suggested that the percent changes of whole PTH and 1,25(OH)_{2D_{3}} in both groups were potentially inhibited due to an increase in Ca during the study period and that denosumab could increase and maintain 25(OH)D
levels regardless of vitamin D and calcium supplementation from an as yet unknown mechanism.

We witnessed that L-BMD and H-BMD were ameliorated at 3 years in both the denosumab (7.6% and 4.5%, respectively) and combination (11.3% and 8.2%, respectively) groups compared with baseline values. BMD was more significantly increased during the 3 years in the combination group. The mechanism of this phenomenon is unclear since bone metabolism was more greatly suppressed in the denosumab group. However, Ca levels in the combination group were more substantially increased during the study period. Kinoshita et al concluded that Ca was associated with L-BMD gains since bone metabolism was closely related to calcium metabolism due to calcium storage in the bone (>99%). Here, baseline Ca was 9.3±0.1 in the denosumab group and 9.2±0.1 in the combination group. At 2 years of treatment, the percent change of Ca was 2.2% in the combination group and 0.9% in the denosumab group. Thus, BMD might have become more significantly increased in the combination group owing to improvements in Ca during therapy. Second, as we have previously reported, denosumab might have augmented zinc and iron metabolism to increase BMD in Japanese postmenopausal OP patients. Similarly to other Japanese OP patients with RA, serum zinc was improved by denosumab therapy, which presumably contributed to ameliorations in BMD. Here, a more significant increase in serum zinc at 3 years in the combination group might have increased L-BMD and H-BMD more than in the denosumab group, although there were no remarkable differences in serum iron or magnesium between the test groups.

Despite our previous report on percent changes of BAP returning to baseline levels at 2 years of denosumab therapy in OP with RA patients who had received BP pretreatment, this study revealed continuously strong BAP inhibition for 3 years. This may be due to 1) the patient background differed considerably and 2) the duration of BP use was different, which was 6–7 years here vs approximately 15 years earlier.

The present investigation’s main limitation was its sample size and retrospective design. Further assessment of fracture protection will also be necessary to validate our findings.

**Conclusion**

This study is the first to show that the addition of vitamin D and calcium supplementation to denosumab in patients with OP and RA exhibited higher serum Ca, zinc, and L-BMD and H-BMD gains over 3 years, suggesting the additive benefits of vitamin D and calcium supplementation on the reduction of fracture risk. This study is also the first to directly compare the long-term effects on serum 25(OH)D with or without vitamin D and calcium supplementation, both of which revealed a persistent increase during the study period, suggesting that vitamin D and calcium supplementation might not be necessary for 25(OH)D status in the same population.
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