Use of short-acting insulin aspart in managing older people with diabetes
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Abstract: Type 2 diabetes mellitus affects 5.9% of the world adult population, with older people and some ethnic groups disproportionately affected. Treatment of older people with diabetes differs in many ways from that in younger adults since the majority have type 2 disease and are at particular risk of macrovascular rather than disabling microvascular disease. Insulin therapy, the most effective of diabetes medications, can reduce any level of elevated HBA1c if used in adequate doses. However, some clinicians are often reluctant to initiate insulin therapy in older people with diabetes mainly out of their concerns about adverse reactions to insulin, particularly hypoglycemia. There is evidence suggesting that insulin aspart appears to act similarly to regular human insulin in older people with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Insulin aspart can be used in the treatment of older people with diabetes, but this should be individualized. There is evidence that it improves postprandial glucose control, improves long-term metabolic control, reduces risk of major nocturnal hypoglycemia and increases patient satisfaction compared with soluble insulin.
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Introduction

The global epidemic of type 2 diabetes continues unabated and now affects 5.9% of the world’s adult population. Older people and members of some ethnic groups are disproportionately affected.1

An age-associated hyperglycemia phase and glucose intolerance in older people was first described in 19212 and has been confirmed on many occasions since.3 The clinical presentation of diabetes in older people is often non specific and atypical and as a result the diagnosis is often missed or delayed. In addition older people with diabetes have higher rates of functional, psychological and cognitive impairments, and disabilities. Moreover, older people often have a wide co-morbidity profile linked to the inevitable consumption of multiple medications, and management guidance up to now has been relatively limited due to the lack of focused clinical guidelines, despite the overwhelming evidence base in diabetes generally.

There are no outcome data on the long term effect of tight glycemic control in older people with diabetes, and it is by a process of extrapolation from data on young and middle age diabetic subjects that the management of type 2 older people remains essentially the same as in younger patients. Modification of lifestyle and dietary advice plus the targeted use of single oral agents such as metformin are the most important early management steps. When these measures fail to control the symptoms and improve glycemic control then other oral antidiabetic medications and insulin analogs can be considered. This paper describes the value of using a specific short-duration insulin analog.

Management of diabetes in older people

The consensus statement from the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for the study of Diabetes published in 2006 on the
management of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes produced
a consensus algorithm for the initiation and adjustment
of therapy.4

Treatment of older people with diabetes differs in many
ways from that in younger adults since the majority have
type 2 disease and are at particular risk of macrovascular
rather than disabling microvascular disease. Treatment
approaches therefore need to take particular account of
cardiovascular risk factors5 particularly in those at home or
in care homes.6–7 The decision to offer treatment should be
based on the likely benefit/risk ratio for the intervention in
the individual concerned, but factors such as vulnerability
to hypoglycemia, ability to self-manage, the presence or
absence of other pathologies, the cognitive status, and life
expectancy must be considered.

Concern about hypoglycemia and lack of evidence of
benefit has contributed to underutilization of insulin in
older people with type 2 diabetes in the past. Following the
landmark UKPDS (United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes
Study) it is clear that many elderly patients treated with diet
and oral antidiabetic agents will develop beta-cell failure and
will be at risk of worsening glycemic control with reduced
well-being unless insulin is considered. The UKPDS6 and
Kumamoto University studies7 utilized a target HbA1c level
of 7.0% that may be unrealistic in the context of hypoglyce-
mic risk for many older people. The Steno-2 study, which
includes treatment of macrovascular risk factors as well as
glycemic control, represents a multifactoral approach to
managing diabetes that is appropriate for all older people
in the context of a healthy aging program combining life-
style and therapeutic interventions. Recommendations from
the European Diabetes Working Party For Older People
2000–2004 (available at www.eugms.org) suggest aiming
for a target HbA1c of 6.5% to 7.5% for subjects with single
system involvement, with the precise target depending on
existing cardiovascular disease, presence of microvascular
complications, and ability to self-manage.8

Principles of insulin therapy
in older people

Insulin therapy, the most effective of diabetes medications,
can reduce any level of elevated HbA1c if used in adequate
doses. However, some clinicians are often reluctant to initi-
ate insulin therapy in older people with diabetes mainly
out of their concerns about adverse reactions to insulin,
particularly hypoglycemia (Table 1). Hypoglycemic risk can
be minimized by initial careful assessment of the patient’s
cognitive function, by patient education, and careful selection
of treatment options and glycemic targets.

Insulin aspart

The human insulin analog, insulin aspart, with its proline
having been replaced by the negatively charged aspartic acid
at position 28 of the B-chain, has an insulin-receptor affinity
similar to that of human insulin.12

It has a shorter onset and duration of action and can be
given immediately before meals.13 Its unique molecular
structure that its absorption is fast, its action is rapid and that
its duration of action is short (Figure 1). Other researchers
suggested that subcutaneous injections of insulin aspart just
before meals better mimic the endogenous insulin profile in
blood compared with human insulin, resulting in improved

glucose control in a meal-related insulin regimen.14–16

Home et al17 in a 6-month, prospective, randomized,
open-label, parallel study, the effect of insulin aspart on long
term glycemic control was compared with soluble human
insulin in 1070 patients with type 1 diabetes. The study found
that after 8 months treatment, HbA1c was significantly lower
(by 0.12%) with insulin aspart than soluble human insulin.

Table 1 Concerns about the use of insulin in older people

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypoglycemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to do regular blood sugar monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living alone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polypharmacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effects of comorbidities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 The timing of action for insulin aspart. A euglycemic clamp is used for delivery
of the insulin aspart (0.2 units/kg of body weight, delivered into the abdomen). The
use of this graph helps patients avoid “insulin stacking”. For example, 3 hours after
the administration of 10 units of insulin aspart, one can estimate that there is still
40% times 10 units, or 4 units, of insulin remaining. Reproduced with permission from
Massachusetts Medical Society. All rights reserved.
Treatment satisfaction assessed by the WHO DTSQ (Diabetes Treatment and Satisfaction Questionnaire) was significantly improved with insulin aspart. The relative risk of a major hypoglycemic episode with insulin aspart compared with soluble human insulin was 0.83 (0.59–1.8, NS). Major night-time hypoglycemic events requiring parenteral treatment were less with insulin aspart (1.3 vs 3.4% of patients, p < 0.05). Other studies have also found that insulin aspart is superior to soluble human insulin in controlling post-prandial blood sugar.

A recent evidence-based medicine review of biphasic insulin aspart (BIAsp) 30 in type 2 diabetes mellitus has concluded that the reported efficacy and tolerability of BIAsp 30 in the treatment of diabetes based on a variety of clinical endpoints is supported by a good body of evidence relating to its use in different dosage regimens and in comparison with other insulin treatment regimens. Chlup et al studied 57 individuals with type 2 diabetes, comparing the use of human regular insulin and insulin aspart, and found that insulin aspart appears to be more effective than human regular insulin. Moreover, another short-acting insulin (insulin glulisine) was found to provide a small improvement in glycemic control compared with regular human insulin in patients with type 2 diabetes who are already relatively very well controlled in insulin alone or insulin plus oral hypoglycemic agents.

Use of insulin aspart in older people

Studies comparing insulin aspart and regular insulin in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes have been conducted by Meneilly. The aim of the studies was to evaluate the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of insulin aspart in older people with diabetes. They studied 19 patients (10 males and 9 females), age 72 ± 1 years, BMI 27 ± 1 kg/m² and HbA1c 6.4 ± 0.1%, diabetes duration < 5 years; 9 patients were treated with metformin and 10 patients with diet. In a random order the subjects underwent 2 studies.

In one study, 0.1 units/kg of regular insulin were administered at 7:30 AM. Thirty minutes later at time 0 subjects were given 235 mL of Ensure Plus Fibre® (Abbott). The other study was identical to the first study except that insulin aspart 0.1 units/kg was given at time zero. Insulin and glucose values were measured at regular intervals. The results indicate that insulin aspart appears to act similarly to regular human insulin in older people with type 2 diabetes (Figure 2).

Warren et al, in a multi-center open-label randomized cross-over two-arm study of 10 weeks’ treatment, also looked at post-prandial versus pre-prandial dosing of BIAsp 30 in elderly type 2 diabetes patients. Their conclusion was that post-prandial injection of BIAsp 30 may be an acceptable alternative to minimize the risk of hypoglycemia for some older people with type 2 diabetes (Figure 3).

In a sub-group analysis, the efficacy, safety and treatment satisfaction of insulin aspart was evaluated in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes in the PRESENT Korea NovoMix study. In this 6-month, prospective study, patients inadequately controlled on previous therapies achieved better glycemia (reduction of HbA1c of 1.2 ± 1.6%; reductions of fasting plasma glucose and post-prandial glucose of 2.3 ± 3.5 mmol/L and 4.8 ± 5.3 mmol/L at 6 months, respectively, p < 0.0001 for all). Hypoglycemia rates were lower and weight gain was minimal. Treatment satisfaction was greater than 80%.

Miyaishi et al conducted a prospective, randomized study for optimal insulin therapy in type 2 diabetic patients with secondary failure and found that both basal-bolus therapy with the ultra rapid-acting insulin analog and conventional therapy with the twice daily BIAsp produce comparable reduction in HbA1c in type 2 diabetic patients with secondary failure. With particular reference to elderly and given that their appetite may be unstable, it may be preferable to treat them with a fast-acting insulin eg, insulin aspart, the dose of which is dependable on the actual amount of food consumed.
With this increasing evidence base, the European Commission announced in September, 2007, their approval of rapidly acting insulin aspart (NovoRapid®; Novo Norkisk) in the treatment of diabetes in elderly patients.

**Conclusion**

Insulin aspart can be used in the treatment of older people with diabetes; however this treatment should be individualized. There is evidence that it improves post-prandial glucose control, improves long-term metabolic control, reduces risk of major nocturnal hypoglycemia and increases patient satisfaction compared with soluble insulin.
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